













Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2011 with funding from  
Boston Library Consortium Member Libraries

Court of Common Pleas Records.  
From August Term 1783 to May Term 1784

o  
#16



Hampshire & The Commonwealth of Massachusetts — 1  
At the Court of Common Pleas holden at North  
ampton in and for the County of Hampshire  
on the last Tuesday of August being the twenty  
sixth day of said month and de Die in Diem  
to the fourth day of September Anno Dom. 1783.

Justices of the said Court  
present

Timothy Danielson Esq<sup>r</sup>

Cleaver Porter Esq<sup>r</sup>

John Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>

Samuel Mather Esq<sup>r</sup>

Jury of Trials

John Cotton Forem<sup>n</sup> J<sup>r</sup>

Elijah Allen N<sup>o</sup>

Ben<sup>n</sup> White Had  
excus<sup>d</sup> 3<sup>d</sup> day  
rel<sup>d</sup> 5 day

Simoni Good  
excus<sup>d</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> day

Phineas Clap N<sup>o</sup>  
abs<sup>t</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> fresh Case

abs<sup>t</sup> Joseph Smith Jun<sup>r</sup> Del

Nath<sup>n</sup> Parsons Jun<sup>r</sup>  
abs<sup>t</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> fresh Case

Harold Dickinson Hat

Isaac Porter

Neth Hawks abs<sup>t</sup> Ber

Jon<sup>n</sup> Hoit Jun<sup>r</sup>

Jun<sup>n</sup> Green Jun<sup>r</sup> An  
abs<sup>t</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> fresh Case

Jun<sup>n</sup> Hastings

abs<sup>t</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> fresh Case

Levi Lyman excus<sup>d</sup> N<sup>o</sup>

In Case Hathaway v Denio

Jun<sup>n</sup> Hindall de Tal<sup>r</sup> on

In Case Wood v Porter

Hon<sup>l</sup> Hale & Abel Chapin on

In Case Brower v Silbert

Dan<sup>n</sup> Wells, John Lyman & Ben<sup>n</sup> Bolth<sup>n</sup> on

In Case Appthorpe v Maccham - Jury half Trs

In Case Alden v Pelham

John Lyman Ben<sup>n</sup> Bolth<sup>n</sup> Asaph White

and David Scott de Tal<sup>r</sup> on

In Case Eastman v Montague

Nath<sup>n</sup> White Elisha Cook Jun<sup>r</sup> & Jon<sup>n</sup> Pharus on

In Case Cook v Hambleton

Asaph White Ben<sup>n</sup> Bolth<sup>n</sup> W<sup>m</sup> Scott Asahel Porroy & Obed<sup>n</sup> Maltchuk  
were on

In Case Chapin v Bridges

Enos White Ben<sup>n</sup> Tappen Asahel Porroy Asaph White and  
Ben<sup>n</sup> Bolth<sup>n</sup> de Tal<sup>r</sup> on

Concl. Cases

Nash Phelps of W<sup>m</sup>field in the County of Hampshire Phelps  
Zeoman P<sup>r</sup> v William Ashley of ~~W<sup>m</sup>field~~ Sheffield in  
the County of Berkshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Ashley  
Record heretofore - the Parties severally appear & Timothy  
Robinson Esq<sup>r</sup> & others, Refers in this Case heretofore men  
tioned come & bring into Court their award as follows viz.  
With the Subscribers being appointed Refers in this Case  
have fully heard the Parties with their Pleas, Allegations  
and Proofs & fully considered the same do award, judge  
that the P<sup>r</sup> Nash do recover against the said William the  
Sum of Six Pounds & seventeen Shillings lawful Money Damages.

Phelps  
Ashley

Thomas  
Doolittle

Black  
Woolworth

and the Cost of this Reference, being forty one Shillings & three Pence & Cost of Court to be taxed by the Court & this our Award to be a final Settlement of the Case. Timothy Robinson Samuel Bancroft, William Cooley & Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. Noah do recover against the said William six Pounds & seventeen Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court, Taxed at seven Pounds & nine Pence & thereof & Laveyell Thomas of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plff vs Titus Doolittle of the same Westfield Yeoman Deft. in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree that this Case be continued to the next Term under the former Rule, & that it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Clark  
Brooks

William Clark of Colrain in the County of Hampshire Gentle Plff vs Lurah Brooks of the same Colrain Yeoman Deft. in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear, Hugh M<sup>c</sup> Clallen Esq<sup>r</sup>, David Dickinson Esq<sup>r</sup> & David Sexton, Referees in this Case heretofore mentioned come & bring into Court their Award as follows viz. We the Subscribers being appointed by the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of November last past to settle all demands & Controversies subsisting between William Clark of Colrain Innholder Plff & Lurah Brooks late of the same Colrain Deft. We met at Colrain on the nineteenth Day of May last past, the Deft not being Present & his Account not exhibited we did not proceed on the Business, we met again on the fourteenth Day of this Instant August, the Deft not appearing we determined once more to notify the Deft. We proceeded to examine the Accounts of both Parties & Award as follows viz that Brooks when he sued Clark was indebted to Clark five Shillings & ten Pence & afterwards was Indebted to Clark four Shillings & two Pence & we also Award that Brooks pay all Costs and Charges that has arisen on the Suits In Law & Charges of the Reference, amounting in the whole to Eleven Pounds & eight Shillings. Hugh M<sup>c</sup> Clallen David Sexton & Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. William do recover against the said Lurah ten Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court & Reference & thereof.

Wright  
Lyman

Ebenezer Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plff vs Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County of aforesaid Gentle Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The Plff appears by Joseph Clark Gent. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft being dead and Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of said Elijah not appearing to defend said Sub, this Action is dismissed.

2

Nathaniel Wright Yeoman & Biddall Wright Yeoman  
both of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Plffs vs  
Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County aforesaid Gent<sup>e</sup> Wright & Bae  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plffs ap-  
pear by Joseph Clark Gent<sup>e</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> being dead Lyman  
and Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of  
said Elijah not appearing to defend said Suit this Action  
is dismissed

Biddall Wright of Northampton in the County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> B. Wright  
thrice Yeoman Plff vs Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the  
County aforesaid Gent<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Lyman  
Record heretofore The Plff appears by Joseph Clark  
Gent<sup>e</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> being dead & Phineas Lyman  
Administrator on the Estate of D. Elijah not appearing  
to defend D. Suit this Action is dismissed

Ezra Clark of Northampton in the County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Clark  
thrice Freeholder Plff vs Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the  
County aforesaid Gent<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plff appears by Joseph Clark Gent<sup>e</sup> his Lyman  
Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> being dead & Phineas Lyman Administra-  
tor on the Estate of D. Elijah not appearing to defend  
said Suit this Action is dismissed

Amasa Sheldon of Barnardstown in the County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>e</sup> Plff vs Consider Dickinson of Dursfield Sheldon  
in the County aforesaid Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c  
as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear Dickinson  
and Israel Chapin Esq<sup>r</sup> & others, Referees in this Case hereto-  
fore mentioned come & bring into Court their Award as  
follows viz. We the Subscribers being appointed Referees in  
the Case depending between Amasa Sheldon Plff & Consider  
Dickinson Def<sup>t</sup> have met at Dursfield on the eighteenth  
Day of June 1703 & the P<sup>r</sup> Parties being duly notified, appeared  
and laid before us their several Proofs & Allegation & were  
fully heard & all & singular the Premises being viewed &  
fully understood by us do adjudge, award & determine  
that the above named Consider Dickinson pay to the  
said Amasa Sheldon thirty five Pounds lawful Silver  
Money Damages & Cost of Court being two Pounds nineteen  
Shillings & six Pence & Cost of this Reference being three  
Pounds seventeen Shillings & ten Pence like Money  
Israel Chapin Daniel Jones & Robert Bruck  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Amasa  
do recover against the said Consider thirty five Pounds of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court & Reference taxed at six  
Pounds seventeen Shillings & four Pence & thereof &c

Aaron Mighells of Brimfield in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Mighells  
thrice Gent<sup>e</sup> Plff vs John Torry of Springfield in the County  
aforesaid Gent<sup>e</sup> otherwise called John Torry of Boston in our Torry  
County of Suffolk Gent<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plff appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
& the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Aaron do recover  
against the said John the sum of one hundred and thirty  
six Pounds fifteen Shillings and seven Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at three Pounds  
and two Shillings & thereof &c

Bridgeman  
Howe

James Brigham of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Plf vs Moses Howe of Belcherstown in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plf  
appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho. three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear-  
ance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
James do recover against the P<sup>d</sup> Moses Eight Pounds seven shillings  
& three Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at eight Pounds ten shillings & four Pence & thereof &c  
Leon J<sup>r</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Pebles  
Smith

James Pebles of Pelham in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
Plf vs Hieremiah Smith of Belcherstown in the County aforesaid  
Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plf  
appears by Simeon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> by Caleb Strong  
and John Ches<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes & defend<sup>t</sup> &c and  
for Plea says (reserving Liberty to give any special Matter in  
Evidence under the general Issue) he never promised in Manner  
& Form as the Plf against him has alleged & thereof puts  
himself on the Country And the Plf likewise  
Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to the Form & Effect  
of the Statute in such Case made & provided at this Time  
returned & impannelled being likewise demanded come here  
who to say the Truth concerning the Premises being duly  
sworn declare upon their Oath by John Cotton their  
Foreman that they find the Def<sup>t</sup> did not promise as is  
declaired Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the P<sup>d</sup> Hieremiah do recover against the P<sup>d</sup> James his Cost  
of defending the Suit of the P<sup>d</sup> James After all which the  
P<sup>d</sup> James by Thos M<sup>r</sup> Mullen his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court &  
appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial  
Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hamp-  
shire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & here recognises  
with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting this said  
Appeal with Effect as by P<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File does appear

Benj<sup>a</sup> Day Jun<sup>r</sup>  
J<sup>r</sup> Leonard

Benjamin Day Jun<sup>r</sup> of West Springfield in the County  
of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Pinesy Leonard of the same West  
Springfield Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plf appears by Samuel Fowler Gent<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho. three Times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the P<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>a</sup> do recover against the  
said Pinesy  
and Cost of Court taxed at £ and thereof &c

Benj<sup>a</sup>  
Cooper

Benjamin Day Jun<sup>r</sup> of West Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Enoch Cooper of the same West  
Springfield aforesaid Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plf appears by Samuel Fowler Gent<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho. three Times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it  
is considered by the Court that the P<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>a</sup> do recover  
against the P<sup>d</sup> Enoch  
and Cost of Court taxed at £ & thereof &c

Matthew  
Dunio

John King Hatheway of Suffield in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plf vs Bathis Dunio of Granfield in the same  
County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of  
Record heretofore The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> by John & William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes

and defends &c and for Plea says he never promised in  
Manner & Form as the Puf in his Declaration has alleged &  
thereof for Trial put himself on the Country & The Jy. Hathaway  
likewise & Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according  
to the Form & Effect of the Statutes in such Case made and  
Provided at this Time returned & impannelled being like  
wise demanded come here who to say the Truth concerning  
the Premises being duly sworn declare upon their Oath by  
John Cotton their Foreman that they find the Def<sup>t</sup>  
promised as is declared & assess Damages for the Puf at  
four Pounds & nine Shillings of lawful Money & Cost of  
Court taxed at £5. 14. 0 After all which the Def<sup>t</sup>

Denio

by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court  
and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the su-  
preme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in  
for the County of Hampshire on the four<sup>th</sup> Tuesday of  
September next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law  
directs for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as  
by said Recognizance on File does appear

David Williams of Springfield in the County of Hamp-  
shire Yeoman Puf is Roger Bagg of Westfield in the  
County aforesaid Cordwainer Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of  
Record heretofore & The Parties severally appeared Daniel  
Sacket & others Referees in this <sup>heretofore mentioned</sup> Case come & bring into Court  
their Award as follows viz Hampshire July 30<sup>th</sup>  
1783 We the subscribers being appointed Referees in this

Williams  
is  
Bagg

Case have notified the Parties before mentioned who  
appeared with their Proofs, Pleas & Allegations & we having  
fully considered the same do Judge Award & Determine  
that the said David Williams do have & recover against  
the P Roger Bagg Adm<sup>r</sup> the Sum of six Pounds five  
Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Cost of Court to be taxed by the Court & Cost of this  
Reference being twenty four Shillings & that this our  
Award be a final Settlement between the Parties  
Daniel Sacket & Benjamin Saxton  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the P David  
do recover against the P Roger six Pounds five Shillings  
and four Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of  
Court & Reference taxed at four Pounds two Shillings  
and four Pence & thereof &c Exon<sup>r</sup> Oct. 18. 1783.

Abel Whitney of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Abel Whitney  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Puf is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in the County  
aforesaid Trader & William Barron of Peterham in the  
County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record & al  
heretofore & The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement to then  
to be final & Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the P Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday  
of November next

Abel Whitney  
is  
Aaron Whitney

Paul Whitney of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Paul Whitney  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Puf is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in the County  
aforesaid Trader & William Barron of Peterham in the  
County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore & The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term

- Whitney Judgement thin to be final & Therefore it is considered  
Whitney & by the Court that P. Parties have further Day here until the  
second Tuesday of November next &
- Bigelow Titus Bigelow of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Geoman  
Pff is Abner Fowler of the same Southwick Geoman Deft In a  
Plea &c as is of Record heretofore & The Parties severally appear  
upon a Motion of the Pffs with consent of the Deft with  
agree to have this Case continued under the former Rule &  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Parties have  
further Day here until the second Tuesday of November  
Jonathan Warner & Doadiak Warner both of Hadley in the  
County of Hampshire Plaintiffs Pffs is Elijah Lyman of  
the same Hadley Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record here  
before The Pffs appear by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> their  
Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft being dead and Phineas Lyman Administrator  
on the Estate of the said Elijah not appearing to defend &  
Suit the Action is dismissed &
- White Nathaniel White of Hadley in the County of Hampshire  
Geoman Pff is Elijah Lyman of Hadley aforesaid Geoman Deft  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore & The Pff appears  
by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft being dead &  
Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of the said Elijah  
not appearing to defend & Suit the Action is dismissed &
- Smith Phineas Smith of Granby in the County of Hampshire Geoman  
Pffs Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County aforesaid  
Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore & The  
Pff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the  
Deft being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on the  
Estate of the said Elijah not appearing to defend & Suit  
the Action is dismissed &
- Smith Phineas Smith Jun<sup>r</sup> of Granby in the County of Hampshire  
Geoman Pff is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County  
aforesaid Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record hereto  
fore & The Pff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft being & Phineas Lyman Administrator on  
the Estate of the said Elijah not appearing to defend & Suit  
the Action is dismissed &
- Olcott Peter Olcott of Norwich in the County of # Windsor &  
State of Vermont Esq<sup>r</sup> Pff is Oliver Gaylord of South Hadley  
in the County of Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea &c  
as is of Record heretofore & The Pff being now three Times  
publicly called to come into Court is Non-suit & the Deft  
defaulted & the Action Dimissed &
- Cook Elisha Cook of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Geoman  
Pff is Robert Hamilton of Chesterfield in the County aforesaid  
Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore & The Pff  
appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft by  
Calish Hong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes & defends &c and receiving  
Liberty to give any special Matter in Evidence under the Gene  
ral Issue says that he never promised in Manner & Form as the  
Pff hath alleged & thereof put himself on the Country &  
the Pff agreeing to a Remission likewise & Where upon the  
Jurors of the Jury according to the Form & Effect of the Statute  
in such Case made & provided at this Time returned and  
impanelled being likewise demanded come here who to say  
the Truth concerning the Promise being duly sworn declare upon  
their Oath by John Colton their Foreman that they and the  
Deft promised as is declared and a just Damages for the

1.  
P<sup>l</sup> at six Pounds six shillings & therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Eliza do recover against the <sup>d</sup> Robert  
six Pounds six shillings of lawful Money Damages & Cost of  
Court taxed at £ & thereof

Rachel Hannum of Belchertown in the County of Hamp  
shire Widow P<sup>l</sup> is Jonathan Bridges of the same Belchertown  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The  
P<sup>l</sup> being now three Times publicly called to come into Bridges  
Court ~~in~~ & the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed

Levi Shephard of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Apothecary P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County  
of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The P<sup>l</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on  
the Estate of the said Elijah not appearing to defend &  
suit the action is dismissed

Ebenezer Hunt of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County of  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The  
P<sup>l</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> being dead  
& Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of the  
Elijah not appearing to defend said suit this action is dismissed

Elijah Hunt of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County of  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The P<sup>l</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on the  
Estate of the <sup>d</sup> Elijah not appearing to defend & suit  
this action is dismissed

Ephraim Wright of Northampton in the County of Hamp  
shire Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County of  
Hampshire aforesaid Gent<sup>r</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The P<sup>l</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator  
on the Estate of the <sup>d</sup> Elijah not appearing this action  
is dismissed

Job Lyman of York in the County of York Physician Job Lyman  
P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The  
P<sup>l</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> being  
dead Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of  
the <sup>d</sup> Elijah not appearing this action is dismissed

Levi Shephard Gent<sup>r</sup> & Ebenezer Hunt Jun<sup>r</sup> Gent<sup>r</sup> both of Shephard  
Northampton in the County of Hampshire Joint Dealers  
in Trade P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The P<sup>l</sup>s appear by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate  
of the <sup>d</sup> Elijah not appearing this action is dismissed

James Shephard of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Trader P<sup>l</sup> is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in our County aforesaid  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The  
P<sup>l</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>

being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of the P. Elijah not appearing this Action is dismissed

Pomeroy  
Lyman  
Quartus Pomeroy of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gent. Plff is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County aforesaid  
Gent. Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plff  
appears by John Cate William Esq. his Att. & the Deft being  
dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of the said Elijah  
not appearing this Action is dismissed

Idem  
Quartus Pomeroy of Northampton in the County of Hamp  
shire Gent. Plff is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County  
aforesaid Gent. Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The Plff appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att. & the Deft being dead  
& Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of the said Elijah &  
not appearing this Action is dismissed

Wright  
Idem  
Ezekiel Wright Nathaniel Wright & Biddad Wright all of North  
ampton in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plffs is Elijah  
Lyman of Hadley in the County aforesaid Gent. Deft in a  
Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plffs appear by Caleb  
Strong Esq. their Att. & the Deft being & Phineas Lyman Admini  
strator on the Estate of the P. Elijah not appearing this Action  
is dismissed

Wright  
Gid. Lyman &c  
Biddad Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plff is Gideon Lyman of Northampton in the County  
aforesaid Esq. deceased & the Hands of Elijah Lyman of Hadley  
in the County aforesaid Gent. Executor of the last Will & Testament  
of the P. Gideon & in said Capacity Deft. in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plff appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att. & the  
Deft being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate  
of the P. Elijah not appearing this Action is dismissed

Russell  
Idem  
Ezekiah Russell of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gent. Plff is Elijah Lyman of Hadley in the County aforesaid  
Gent. Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plff  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att. & the Deft being dead  
& Phineas Lyman Administrator on the Estate of the said Elijah  
not appearing this Action is dismissed

Mather &c  
Lyman  
Samuel Mather of W. field in the County of Hampshire Esq.  
Elisha Mather & Timothy Mather both of Northampton in the  
County aforesaid Yeoman & Executors of the last Will & Testament  
of Samuel Mather late of Northampton Esq. deceased, who was  
Executor of the last Will & Testament of William Mather late of  
Northampton aforesaid Physician deceased Plffs is Elijah Lyman  
of Hadley in the County aforesaid Gent. Deft in a Plea &c  
as is of Record heretofore The Plff appears by Caleb Strong Esq.  
his Att. & the Deft being dead & Phineas Lyman Administrator  
on the Estate of the P. Elijah not appearing this Action is  
dismissed

Mather &c  
Lyman  
Samuel Mather of W. field in the County of Hampshire  
Esq. and Elisha Mather & Timothy Mather both of Northampton  
in the County aforesaid Yeoman Executors of the last Will and  
Testament of Samuel Mather late of Northampton aforesaid Esq.  
deceased & in said Capacity Plffs is Gideon Lyman late of Northamp  
ton aforesaid Esq. deceased now in the Hands of Elijah Lyman  
of Hadley in the County aforesaid Gent. Executor of the last Will  
and Testament of the P. Gideon & in said Capacity Deft in a Plea &c  
as is of Record heretofore The Plff appears by Caleb Strong Esq.  
his Att. & the Deft being dead Phineas Lyman Administrator  
on the Estate of the P. Elijah not appearing this Action is  
dismissed

Ezekiel Beebe of Ludlow in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plaintiff is Amman Beebe of the same Ludlow Black & Beebe  
Smith Defendant in a Plea as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff  
appears by Moses Bliss Esq his Attorney & the Defendant three Times call  
ed to come into Court makes default of appearance  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Beebe do recover  
against the Defendant thirty four Pounds sixteen Shillings  
of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at one Pound  
fourteen Shillings & the rest of the Court Exon ifs Oct 14 1781

John Worthington of Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Esq Plaintiff is Eben Hitchcock of the same Springfield Yeoman  
Defendant in a Plea as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
under the former Rule Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Parties have further Day here until the Second Tuesday  
of November next

Robert Treat Paine Attorney General for the Commonwealth  
of Massachusetts & in their behalf complains of Nath Dickinson  
Son late of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
and gives them to understand & to be informed as may  
be seen at large in the Original Complaint on File  
And ~~now at this time the said Plaintiff comes here further to prosecute~~  
~~these upon it is considered by the Court that the said Complaint~~  
~~is continued to the next Term & that~~  
~~the Clerk of the Court do cause to be published agreeable~~  
~~to Law a Schedule of the Lands & Tenements set forth in~~  
~~the said Complaint that all Persons claiming the same or~~  
~~any Part thereof may enter their Claims at the next~~  
~~Term of this Court the Second Tuesday of November next~~

Robert Treat Paine Attorney General for the Commonwealth  
of Massachusetts & in their behalf complains of Isaac  
Royall late of Melford in the County of Middlesex Esq  
& gives the Court to understand & to be informed as  
may be seen at large in the Original Complaint on File  
And no Person now appearing to take upon the Defense  
of this Suit, Satisfaction is made three several Times to all  
Persons claiming the Estate described in the said Complaint either  
in their own Right or on the Part & behalf of the said Isaac  
Royall or of any Person whomsoever to come & defend the same  
Suit & thereupon no Person appearing to take upon him the  
defense of the same Suit, it is by the Court therefore considered  
that the said Isaac is guilty in Manner as in the said Complaint  
is alleged against him, & that the said Estate is forfeited & doth  
remain, enure & accrue to the sole Use & Benefit of the Commonwealth

Robert Treat Paine Attorney General for the Commonwealth  
of Massachusetts & in their behalf complains of Richard Clark  
of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq & gives the Court  
to understand & to be informed as may be at large seen in  
the Original Complaint on File And ~~now at this time~~  
~~the said Plaintiff comes here further to prosecute~~  
~~these upon it is considered by the Court that the said Complaint~~  
~~is continued to the next Term & that the Clerk of the Court~~  
~~do cause to be published agreeable to Law a Schedule of the Lands and~~  
~~Tenements set forth in the said Complaint that all Persons claiming~~  
~~the same or any Part thereof may enter their Claims at the~~  
~~next Term of this Court the Second Tuesday of November~~  
~~next~~

Commonwealth of Massachusetts & in their behalf complains of Charles Ward  
Apthorp Esq<sup>r</sup> & gives the Court to understand & to be informed as may be seen  
at large in the Original Complaint on file And no Person now  
appearing to take upon the Defence of this Suit; Proclamation is  
made three several Times to all Persons claiming the Estate described  
in the Complaint either in their own Right or on the Part and  
behalf of the said Charles Ward Apthorp or of any Person whomsoever  
to come & defend the same Suit; & thereupon no Person appearing to  
take upon him the Defence of the same Suit; it is by the Court  
therefore considered that the said Charles is guilty in Manner as  
in the Complaint is alleged against him; & that the said  
Estate is forfeit & doth escheat, inure & accrue to the sole Use &  
Benefit of the Commonwealth

Idem  
E Williams. Robert Treat Paine Att General for the Commonwealth of  
Massachusetts & in their behalf complains of Elijah Williams  
of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & gives the  
Court to understand & to be informed as may be seen at large  
in the Original Complaint on file And no Person now  
appearing to take upon him the Defence of this Suit; Proclamation  
is made three several Times to all Persons claiming the Estate  
described in the Complaint either in their own Right, or on the  
Part and behalf of the said Elijah Williams or of any Person whom  
soever to come & defend the same Suit; & thereupon no Person  
appearing to take upon him the Defence of the same Suit; it is by  
the Court therefore considered that the said Elijah is guilty in Man-  
ner as in the Complaint is alleged against him; and  
that the said Estate is forfeit & doth escheat, inure & accrue to the sole  
Use & Benefit of the Commonwealth

Brewer  
Butler Charles Brewer of Wilbraham in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman & Nathaniel Butler of Rutland in the County  
aforesaid Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore.  
The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> in Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> being  
three Times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Charles recover against the said Nathaniel  
of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed abt & there of &c

Taylor  
Apthorp & al  
Gibbs. Samuel Taylor Jun<sup>r</sup> of Charlestown in the County of Hamp-  
shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The  
Pl<sup>t</sup> being now three Times publicly called to come into Court his  
non-suit & the Def<sup>r</sup> defaulted & the Action dismissed  
Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State  
of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & gives the Court to understand & to be informed  
that the said Charles Ward Apthorp is Administrator of the Estate of Charles Apthorp  
Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Boston aforesaid deceased Pl<sup>t</sup> is John Gibbs  
of Blandford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea  
as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear to wit  
the Pl<sup>t</sup> by William Sturges Esq<sup>r</sup> & Solicitors & the Def<sup>r</sup> by their Att<sup>r</sup>  
and the Def<sup>r</sup> by Theodore Tregewell & John C. Williams his Att<sup>r</sup>  
and it is mutually agreed by the said Parties that the said  
action be continued to the next Term at which Term the said  
Def<sup>r</sup> shall have every advantage of pleading as well to the  
ability of the Pl<sup>t</sup> as to the said Suit & declaration as if such  
Plea or Pleas had been made & taken advantage of at the last

Term, & it is further agreed & consented to on the Part of the Def<sup>t</sup> that the Pl<sup>ff</sup> may amend their declaration ~ Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Parties have further Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next ~  
Linas Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Parsons  
Imholder Pl<sup>ff</sup> is Ebenezer Chapman of Hancock in the  
County of Berkshire Geoman Def<sup>t</sup>. in a Plea & as is of Chapman.  
Record heretofore ~ The Pl<sup>ff</sup> now being three Times called  
to come into Court is Non suit & the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted and  
the Action dismissed ~

Jedediah Darling of Granfield in the County of Hampshire Darling  
Wheel Wright Pl<sup>ff</sup> is David Gains of the same Granfield  
Geoman Def<sup>t</sup>. in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore ~ gains  
The Parties severally appear & Samuel Williams & others  
appointed Referees in this Case heretofore mentioned come &  
bring into Court their Award as follows viz ~ Pursuant  
to Orders of the Court of Common Pleas holden at Spring  
field in & for the County of Hampshire on the Third Tues  
day of May Anno Domini 1783 ~ on the Case between  
Jedediah Darling of Granfield wheel Wright in S<sup>d</sup> County  
Pl<sup>ff</sup> & David Gains of the same Granfield Geoman Def<sup>t</sup>.  
Reference to S<sup>d</sup> Orders being had ~ having notified the  
Parties of the Time & Place agreed on by us to hear said  
Case did meet at the House of Mr Benjamin Hopley  
at S<sup>d</sup> Granfield this eighteenth Day of August Anno  
Domini 1783 ~ at which Time the P<sup>r</sup> Parties being present  
did then proceed to hear their Pleas & Allegations examined  
their Evidence & duly considered the same do Award & Order  
that the dividing Line between the Farms of the S<sup>d</sup> Darling  
& Gains be in Manner following viz. Beginning at a Stake  
and Stone at the Northwest Corner of an Original Lot of  
Land, laid out to John Hawks No 62 containing 75 Acres  
& 55 Perch dated June 1736 as may be seen on the Propri  
etors Book of Records in Fairfield) runs South twenty four  
Degrees 40 minutes West one hundred & eighty six Rods &  
13 Links to a Stake & Stone then running East at right Angles  
seventy three Rods & twelve links to a Siller of Stones & the  
further Award to the S<sup>d</sup> Jedediah Darling four Pounds & same  
ges & Cost of Court & Reference Ten Pounds one Shilling &  
seven Pence ~ Samuel Williams Jeremiah Stratten and  
Elisha Hook Referees ~ Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Darling do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Gains  
four Pounds of lawful Money Damages & Cost of  
Court & Reference taxed at ten Pounds one Shilling and  
seven Pence ~ & there of &c ~ Given at July 13. 1784 ~

Timothy Quen of South Hadley in the County of Hamp  
shire Trader Pl<sup>ff</sup> is Howard Alden of Parkfield in the  
County of Berkshire Physician Def<sup>t</sup>. in a Plea & as is of Alden.  
Record heretofore ~ The Parties severally appear &  
Enos Nash & others Referees in this Case come & bring into  
Court their Award as follows viz ~ We the Subscribers  
having attended the Business assigned us heard the  
Parties their Proofs & Allegations & duly considered the same

Luen  
y  
Alden

do determine that the P<sup>r</sup> Timothy Luen recover of P<sup>r</sup> Alden  
the Sum of seventeen Pounds thirteen Shillings & Three Pence  
in full of all demands betwixt P<sup>r</sup> Alden & Luen & Luen & Alden  
and that P<sup>r</sup> Luen pay out of P<sup>r</sup> Sum awarded as aforesaid  
the Sum of two Pounds ten Shillings being the Cost of this  
Reference. Enored Nath Eliza Lyman & Henry Badger Jur<sup>s</sup>  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Luen  
recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Alden seventeen Pounds thirteen Shil  
lings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and thereof &c

Dyke  
y  
Sams

Benjamin Dyke of Northfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Yeoman P<sup>r</sup> vs Ebenezer Sams of the same Northfield  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Dyk in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The  
Parties severally appear & agree to have this Action  
continued to the next Term & if defaulted no appeal  
to be had. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Parties have further Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next.

Montague's Adm<sup>r</sup>  
y  
Smith

John Montague of Hadley in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman vs the Administrator of all & singular the Goods  
and Chattels Rights & Credits of the Estate of David Montague  
late of Hadley deceased & in P<sup>r</sup> Capacity P<sup>r</sup> vs Noah Smith  
of Hadley in the County aforesaid Yeoman Dyk in a  
Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>r</sup> appears by  
John Ches<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>t</sup> & the Dyk being three  
Times called to come into Court makes default of ap  
pearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the P<sup>r</sup> John do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Noah eighteen  
Pounds & eighteen Shillings of lawful Money Damages &  
Cost of Court taxed at £16. 0. & thereof &c.  
Exec<sup>d</sup> 19<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 1783.

Rice  
y  
White

Samuel Rice of Charlemonk in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman P<sup>r</sup> vs Jonathan White of Charlemonk Gent<sup>r</sup>  
Dyk in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>r</sup>  
being three Times publicly called to come into Court is  
Nonvult & the Dyk now comes into Court & prays that  
his Cost may be allowed him. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Jon<sup>r</sup> do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Sam<sup>r</sup>  
Eight Pounds of lawful Money Cost for defending the Suit  
of the P<sup>r</sup> Samuel & thereof &c.

Bordwell  
y  
Lipton

John Bordwell of Belchertown in the County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup>  
P<sup>r</sup> vs Josiah Lipton of Charlemonk in the County aforesaid Yeoman  
Dyk in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>r</sup> appears  
by John Ches<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>t</sup> & the Dyk being three  
Times called to come into Court makes default & appear  
ance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the P<sup>r</sup> John do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Josiah three Pounds one  
Shilling & seven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of  
Court taxed at £2. 10. & thereof &c. Exec<sup>d</sup> 19<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 1783.

Pomeroy  
y  
Bowen

Daniel Pomeroy of Northampton in the County of Hamp  
shire Gent<sup>r</sup> P<sup>r</sup> vs Valentine Bowen of Adams in the County  
of Berkshire Yeoman Dyk in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore. The P<sup>r</sup> being three Times called to come into  
Court is Nonvult & the Dyk defaulted & the Action dismissed.

Hendall  
y  
Lynnan

John Hendall of Surfield in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman P<sup>r</sup> vs Andrew Lynnan of Buckland in the County  
aforesaid Yeoman Dyk in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore.  
At this Time the P<sup>r</sup> by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>t</sup>.

comes into Court & prays Judgement & Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> John do recover against the <sup>d</sup> Andrew four Pounds eighteen Shillings & five Pence of Lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds three Shillings & ten Pence & there of &c Exon <sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> 1783

John Clary of Conway in the County of Hampshire John Clary  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf is Aaron Pratt of Dursfield in <sup>d</sup> County Yeoman  
Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plf Aaron Pratt  
appears by William Bidings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> the  
three Times publicly called to come into Court makes de  
fault of appearance here & Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> John do recover against the <sup>d</sup> Aaron  
Eight Pounds four Shillings & five Pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & Cost of Court taxed at <sup>d</sup> 2<sup>l</sup> 7<sup>s</sup> 9<sup>d</sup> & there of &c  
Exon <sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> 1783

John Wood of Wilmington in the County of Wiltshire and State of Vermont (commonly called) Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf is Elisha A Rod Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Porter of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & Sheriff Porter Esq<sup>r</sup>  
of the same County Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The Plf appears by Theodore Sedgwick Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the <sup>d</sup>  
Elisha by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes & defends &c Reserving  
Liberty to give any special Matter in Evidence under the General  
Issue says he is not guilty in Manner & Form as the Plf hath  
alleged & there of puts himself on the Country & the Plaintiffs  
consenting likewise & Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury  
according to the Form & Effect of the Statute in such Case  
made & provided at this Time returned & impanelled  
being likewise demanded come he who to say the Truth con  
cerning the Premises being duly sworn deliver upon their Oath  
by John Cotton their Foreman that they find the Def<sup>r</sup> is  
not guilty in Manner & Form as set forth in the Writ.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Elisha  
do recover against the <sup>d</sup> John his Cost of defending  
the Suit of the <sup>d</sup> John taxed at <sup>d</sup> 1<sup>l</sup> 17<sup>s</sup> 3<sup>d</sup> After all which  
the Plf by Theodore Sedgwick his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court  
and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in  
and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
of September next & he recognizes with Sureties as the  
Law directs for his Prosecuting his <sup>d</sup> Appeal with Effect as  
by <sup>d</sup> Resignance on File case & appear

William Scott of Palmer in the County of Hampshire Sc<sup>r</sup> M  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf is Jonathan Olds late of Belchertown in said  
County deceased now in the Hands & Possession of  
Hannah Olds of <sup>d</sup> Belchertown Widow sole administratrix  
of all & singular the Goods & Chattels Rights & Credits of the  
<sup>d</sup> Jonathan & in <sup>d</sup> Capacity Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea &c as is of  
Record heretofore The Plf appears by John Sher<sup>r</sup> William  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> the three Times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here & Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> William do recover  
against the <sup>d</sup> Hannah Twenty five Pounds & Nine Shillings  
of Lawful Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at  
one Pound eighteen Shillings & six Pence of like Money  
and there of &c Exon <sup>d</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 27<sup>th</sup> 1783  
Nov<sup>r</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> 1783

Brown's Adm. Margaret Brown of Palmer in the County of Hampshire  
Gilbert ~ Widow sole Executrix of the last Will & Testament of William  
Brown late of Palmer aforesaid Yeoman deceased & in P<sup>re</sup> Capau  
P<sup>re</sup> is John Gilbert Esq<sup>r</sup> of Brookfield in the County of Worcester  
Blacksmith Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The P<sup>re</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft by  
Calib. Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes & defends &c & reserves Liberty  
to give any special Matter in Evidence under the general Issue  
says he never promised in Manner & Form as the P<sup>re</sup> hath alleged  
and thereof puts himself on the Country & and the P<sup>re</sup>  
likewise & Where upon the Jurors of the Jury according to the  
Form & Effect of the Statutes in such Case made & provided at  
his Time returned & impannelled being likewise demanded  
come here who to say the Truth concerning the Premises being  
duly sworn deliver upon their Oath by John Colton their Foreman  
that they find the Deft promised as is declared & assess Damages  
for the P<sup>re</sup> at Twelve Pounds seven Shillings & two Pence  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>re</sup> Margaret  
do recover against the P<sup>re</sup> John twelve Pounds seven Shillings  
and two Pence as lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court  
taxed at Five Pounds ten Shillings & six Pence  
and thereof &c  
Leon J<sup>st</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 18<sup>th</sup> 1703

Tim<sup>r</sup> Mather Timothy Mather of Northampton in the County of  
Downing Warner ~ Hampshire Yeoman P<sup>re</sup> is Downing Warner of Williamsburg  
in P<sup>re</sup> County Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore At which Time the P<sup>re</sup> Calib. Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & prays Judgement Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>re</sup> Timothy do recover  
against the P<sup>re</sup> Downing four Pounds twelve Shillings & three  
Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed  
at two Pounds one Shilling & two Pence & thereof &c  
Leon J<sup>st</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 16<sup>th</sup> 1703

Stephen Faring Stephen Faring of Simsbury in the County of Hartford &  
E Makepeace ~ State of Connecticut Yeoman P<sup>re</sup> is Elliot Makepeace of  
a Plantation called Murrayfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Gent<sup>le</sup> Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The P<sup>re</sup> appears by Calib. Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho  
three Times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the P<sup>re</sup> Stephen do recover against the P<sup>re</sup> Elliot five Pounds  
fourteen Shilling & nine Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds five Shillings & six  
Pence & thereof &c  
Leon J<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 17<sup>th</sup> 1703

Alex<sup>r</sup> Rumley Alexander Rumley of Weathersfield in the County of Hartford  
John Ely ~ and State of Connecticut Yeoman P<sup>re</sup> is John Ely of West  
Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in  
a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The P<sup>re</sup> being now  
three Times publicly called to come into Court & ~~not~~  
and the Deft by his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & prays his Cost  
may be allowed him Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the P<sup>re</sup> John do recover against the P<sup>re</sup> Alexander  
his Cost taxed at £1. 0. 9 & thereof &c Leon J<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1703

Idem Alexander Rumley of Weathersfield in the County of  
Oliver Taylor ~ Middlesex & State of Connecticut Yeoman P<sup>re</sup> is Oliver  
Taylor of South Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore

The Plf being now three Times called to come into Court  
is Nonsub and the Deft by his Att comes into Court and  
prays that his Cost may be allowed him — Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Plf do recover of the D<sup>r</sup>  
Alexander his Cost of depending & such taxed at £ 2. 3. 0  
and thereof &c — Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 14 1783

John Fayer of Windham in the County of Windham and  
State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf vs John Shaw Jun<sup>r</sup> of Brookfield  
in the County of Worcester Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of  
Record heretofore — The Plf appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att & the Deft tho three Times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Plf do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Shaw  
seven Pounds fifteen Shillings & six Pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & two Pounds three Shillings & four Pence Cost taxed  
by the Court — & thereof &c — Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 19 1783

Stephen Foster of Brookford in the County of Essex Trade Foster  
Plf vs Noah Smith of Hadley in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman alias Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore — The Plf appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att  
and the Deft tho three Times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Plf do recover against  
the D<sup>r</sup> Noah fifty three Pounds three Shillings & nine Pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £ 12. 0  
and thereof &c — Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9 1783

Jose Merrikk of Wilbraham in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plf vs Nathan Sison of the same Wilbraham Merrikk  
Cordwainer Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore —  
The Plf by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att now comes into  
Court & prays Judgement — Whereupon it is considered  
by the Court that the Plf do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Nathan  
two Pounds seven Shillings & ten Pence of Lawful Money Dam  
ages & Cost of Court taxed at £ 2. 3. 0 — & thereof &c —  
Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

Daniel Dodge of Palmer in the County of Hampshire Merchant Daniel Dodge  
Plf vs David Hodges of South Brimfield in the County afores<sup>d</sup>  
Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore — The Plf David Hodges  
appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att & the Deft tho three  
Times called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Plf do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> David eight Pounds  
nine Shillings & one Penny of Lawful Money Damages &  
Cost of Court taxed at £ 2. 0. 2 — & thereof &c — Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

Silas Fowler Nathan Laffin John Hunt & Noah Loomis Fowler & al  
Gentlemen & Thomas Campbell Yeoman all of Southwick  
in the County of Hampshire & Selectmen of said Town & in  
Pl Capacity Plf vs Jacob Cook late of Southwick Yeoman  
Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore — The Parties  
severally appear & Samuel Marth & others referees in this  
Case come & bring into Court their Award as follows viz.  
In pursuance of the within Rule the Parties were duly

Howland & al Notified & appeared before us on the twenty fourth Day of July 1783, with their Allegations & Proofs & we having duly considered the same, do Judge & Award that the Plffs ought to recover nothing by this Suit of the Dft & do award for the Dft Cost of Court to be taxed by the Court & that being in full of all Demands betwixt the Parties, Samuel Mather David Mosley & William Shephard Refer us — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P Jacob do recover against the P Silas & al his Cost taxed at £1. 17. 6 — & thereof &c Exon ip: Oct<sup>r</sup> 12<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Jonathan Tillotson <sup>late</sup> of Granville in the County of Hampshire out-named of Southwick in P County Yeoman Plff vs Ahimaa Easton Esq<sup>r</sup> of Washington in the County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore — The Plff appears by his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft the three Times called to come into Court makes Default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P Jon<sup>s</sup> do recover against the P Ahimaa three Pounds seventeen Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £2. 7. 6 — and thereof &c Exon ip: Oct<sup>r</sup> 13<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Samuel Wilson of Warringsbush in the County of Tryon & State of New York Yeoman Plff vs Archibald Black of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore — The Parties severally appear & Timothy Robinson Esq<sup>r</sup> & others, referees in this Case heretofore mentioned come into Court & bring in their Award as follows viz — We the Subscribers Refer us in an Action of Plea of the Case between Samuel Wilson Yeoman Plff & Archibald Black Yeoman Dft the Parties being duly Notified met on the fourteenth Day of August 1783 — & after hearing the Proofs & Allegations of the Parties & having maturely considered the Matter, Judge Determine & Award in favour of the said Black the Dft and that he recover his Legal Cost against the P Wilson the Plff & Cost of Arbitration taxed at three Pounds & Cost of Court left to be taxed by the Court — Tim<sup>s</sup> Robinson David McConoughy Jun<sup>r</sup> & Samuel Sloper Refer us — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P Black do recover against the P Wilson Cost of arbitration being three Pounds & Cost of Court taxed at £ — & thereof &c

Pelataiah Adams of Granville in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plff vs William Moore of Southwick in P County Yeoman Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore — The Plff being now three Times called to come into Court is Non-suit the Dft defaulted & the Action dismissed —

John Shearer of Palmer in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plff vs Aaron Nelson Yeoman & Moses Moore Yeoman both of Palmer of orsuid Dfts in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore — The Plff appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dfts being three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & the P Aaron <sup>by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup></sup> defends the Force & Injury when &c & says he is not guilty in Manner and Form as the Plff hath alleged & thereof puts himself on the Country — And the Plff likewise — Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to Form & Effect of the Statutes in such Case made & provided at this Time

returned & impannelled being where demanded come here  
whoto say the Truth concerning the Premises being duly sworn  
declair upon their Oath by John Colton their Foreman that  
they find the Deft guilty & assess Damages for the Plf ab  
thirty five Shillings Lawful Money Therefore it is con  
sidered by the Court that the Plf do recover against the  
Def<sup>t</sup> Aaron & Moses thirty five Shillings of lawful Money of Dama  
gis & Cost of Court taxed at £

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State Apthorp Esq  
of New York Esq & Grizzel Apthorp of Boston in the County of  
Suffolk Gentle Woman Administrators on the Estate of Moore  
Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq deceased & in said Capa  
ty Plf is Obadiah Moore of Blanford in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record here  
before The Plfs appear by Moses Black their Att<sup>y</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into Court makes de  
fault of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Pl Charles & Grizzel do recover against  
the Def Obadiah his In & Possession of the Lands described in  
the original Writ & Cost of Court taxed at £

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County and State of New York Esq & Grizzel Apthorp of Boston in  
the County of Suffolk Gentle Woman Administrators on the Estate of Charles Apthorp Esq late of Boston deceased &  
in said Capacity Plfs is Paul Meacham of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of  
Record heretofore

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State of New York Esq & Grizzel Apthorp of Boston in  
the County of Suffolk Gentle Woman Administrators on the Estate of Charles Apthorp Esq late of Boston deceased & in said  
Capacity Plfs is Robert Blair Esq of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The Parties severally appear to wit the Plfs by Lincoln Strong & Caleb Strong Esqs their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defs by Theodore Sedgwick & John C Williams  
Esqs their Att<sup>y</sup> and it is mutually agreed by the Parties that the  
action be continued to the next Term at which Term the Defs shall have  
every advantage of pleading as well to the ability of the Plfs as to their Writ  
and Declaration as if such Plea or Pleas had been made & taken advantage of  
at the last Term & it is further agreed & considered to on the Part of the Defs  
that the Plfs may amend their Declaration Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have further Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next

Pratt - Jacob Pratt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Spencer Sum. Geoman Plff vs Ebenezer Spencer Sum. of Summers in the County  
of Hartford & State of Connecticut Husbandman Deft in a  
Plea &c as is of Record heretofore - The Plff appears by Moses  
Bliss Esq his Att & the Deft the three Times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the P Jacob do recover against the  
P Ebenezer sixteen Pounds two Shillings & six Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at one Pound, seventeen  
Shillings & six Pence & thereof &c Exon ip. Oct. 14. 1783.

Burja Thel Benjamin Thel of West Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Labourer Plff vs Jonathan Stephenson of Spring  
field in P County Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore - The Parties severally appear & it is considered  
by the Court that P Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
of November next -

Graves - ~~Moses~~ Graves of ~~Worcester~~ in the County of Hampshire  
Pompeys Geoman Plff vs Gato Pompeys of Manson in the County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Deft in a Plea &c as is at large of  
Record heretofore - The Parties severally appear & the Riffers  
appointed in this Case heretofore mentioned come & bring into  
Court their Award which is considered & read in the C to the  
P Riffers again &c Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that P Parties have <sup>fourth</sup> Day here until the second Tuesday of  
November next -

Phillips William Phillips of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq.  
Towler Plff vs Stephen Towler of Westfield in the County of  
Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record hereto  
fore - The Plff appears by Moses Bliss Esq his Att &  
the Deft the three Times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the P William do recover against the P  
Stephen one hundred & forty four Pounds five Shillings  
and two Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of  
Court taxed at £ 10 & thereof &c Exon ip. Oct. 14. 1783

Ballard Daniel Ballard of Windad in the County of Hampshire  
Smith Geoman Plff vs Aaron Smith of Granwick in the County  
aforesaid Geoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore.  
At this Time the Plff by John Cher Williams Esq comes  
into Court & prays Judgement - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the P Daniel do recover against the said  
Aaron five Pounds one Shilling & ten Pence of lawful Mo  
ney Damages & Cost of Court taxed at one Pound, nineteen shil  
lings & ten Pence & thereof &c Exon ip. Sep 2 17 1783

Amos Day Amos Day of Worthington in the County of Hampshire  
Amos Trunk Geoman Plff vs Amos Trunk of Worthington aforesaid Geoman  
Deft In a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore - The Plff appears  
by John Cher Williams Esq his Att & the Deft the three  
Times called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the P Day do recover against the P Trunk  
of lawful Money Damages & Cost  
of Court taxed at £ & thereof &c -

Joseph Swan of Simsbury in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Yeoman Plaintiff is Malcom Henry of Pittsfield in the County of Berkshire Gentle Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff being now three times called to come into Court is nonsuit & the Debt Defaulted & the Action dismissed

Swan  
Henry

Timothy Nichol of Manchester in the State of New Hampshire Plaintiff is Jacob Shaw of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear & agree that this Case be continued to the next Term Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next

Nichol's

Lincoln Hubbard of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff is Joseph Brooks Yeoman & Lebadiah Caburne ~~Def~~ both of Ludlow in the County of Hampshire Defendants in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by Honor Morgan Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendants tho. three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendants Joseph & Lebadiah within Pounds ten Shillings & ten Pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £2. 6. 4 & thereof &c Exec<sup>n</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

Hubbard

Job Fuller of New Concord in the County of Albany and State of New York Trader Plaintiff is John Wallis of South Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by Honor Morgan Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant tho. three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Job do recover against the Defendant John one hundred and twenty five Pounds seven Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £3. 10. 4 & thereof &c Exec<sup>n</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

Fuller  
John Wallis

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County of Suffolk Plaintiff is Gerrit Apthorp of Boston in the County of Suffolk Gentle Woman Administrator on the Estate of Charles Apthorp late of said Boston Esq. deceased and in her Capacity Plaintiff is Joseph Beard of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear to wit the Plaintiff by Lincoln Strong & Caleb Strong Esq. their Att<sup>y</sup>s and the Defendant by Theodore Sedgwick & John Ches<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & it is mutually agreed by the Parties that the Action be continued to the next Term at which Term the said Defendant shall have every advantage of pleading as well to the ability of the Plaintiff as to the Work & Dilatation as if such Plea or Pleas had been made & taken advantage of at the last Term & it is further agreed & ~~consented~~ consented to on the Part of the Defendant that the Plaintiff may amend their Declaration Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next

Apthorp's Ad<sup>r</sup>  
Beard

Thumari 15  
Hummley  
Moody Freeman of Shelton in the County of Orange & State of Vermont Plaintiff is Alexander Plimley of Wethersfield in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Moody do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Alexander six Pounds of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £3. 4. 0 & thereof Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 17. 1783

Morgan 15  
Marsh  
Justin Morgan of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff is Isaac Marsh of Stockbridge in the County of Berkshire Gentle Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Justin do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Isaac thirty seven Pounds eight Shillings & seven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds ten Shillings & eight Pence & thereof Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1783

Allen 11  
The  
Inhabitants  
of Pelham  
Howard Alden of Partridge Field in the County of Berkshire Physician Plaintiff is the Inhabitants of the Town of Pelham in the County of Hampshire Defendants in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by ~~Howard~~ Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the P<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants by Thomas Johnson Esq<sup>r</sup> Hugh Johnson their Agents in their behalf Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Agent when he says they never promised defend the title & injury when he says they never promised in Manners & Form as the P<sup>r</sup> in his Declaration his Declaration against them has alleged & thereof put themselves on the Country & the P<sup>r</sup> likewise Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to the Form & Effect of the Statutes in such Case made & provided at this Time returned & impanelled being likewise demanded come here who to say the Truth concerning the Premises being duly sworn declare upon their Oath by John Colton their Foreman that they find the Def<sup>t</sup> promised as is alleged & assess damages for the P<sup>r</sup> at Ten Pounds four Shillings & two Pence Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Howard do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants of Pelham the Sum of Ten Pounds four Shillings & two Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £0. 0. 0 After all which the said Inhabitants by Simon Strong & Theodore Sedgwick Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> come into Court & appeal from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & they recognize with Sureties as the Law directs for their more certain this P<sup>r</sup> appeal with Effect as by P<sup>r</sup> recognizance on File does appear

Towne 1  
Hastings  
Eli Towne of Murrayfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff is Jonathan Hastings of Charlemont in P<sup>r</sup> County Gentle Defendant in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore At this Time the P<sup>r</sup> by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>

comes into Court & prays Judgement Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> do recover against Jonathan twenty six pounds thirteen Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at three Pounds one Shilling & four eighth Pence & thereof Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 17. 1703.

Charles Warr Apthorp of New York in the County and State of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & General Apthorp of Boston in our County of Suffolk Gentle-woman Administrators on the Estate of Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in S<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plffs v James Miner of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft In a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear to wit the Plffs by Simon Strong & Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>ys</sup> & the Deft by Theodore Sedgewick & John Ches<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>ys</sup> and it is mutually agreed by the S<sup>d</sup> Parties that the S<sup>d</sup> Action be continued to the next Term at which Term the S<sup>d</sup> Deft shall have every advantage of pleading as well to the ability of the Plffs as to their Writ & Declaration as if such Plea or Pleas had been made & taken advantage of at the last Term, and it is further agreed & consented to on the Part of the Deft that the Plffs may amend their Declaration Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Parties have further Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next.

Charles Warr Apthorp of New York in the County & State of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & General Apthorp of Boston in our County of Suffolk Gentle-woman Administrators in the Estate of Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in S<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plffs v Dan Boies of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear to wit the Plffs by Simon Strong & Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>ys</sup> & the Deft by Theodore Sedgewick & John Ches<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>ys</sup> and it is mutually agreed by the S<sup>d</sup> Parties that the S<sup>d</sup> Action be continued to the next Term, at which Term the S<sup>d</sup> Deft shall have every advantage of Pleading as well to the ability of the Plffs as to their Writ & Declaration as if such Plea or Pleas had been made & taken advantage of at the last Term and it is further agreed & consented to on the Part of the Deft that the Plffs may amend their Declaration Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next

Howard Alden of Gardbridgefield in the County of Berkshire Physician Plff v Timothy Quen of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire Trader Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear & Enas Mark & others Treasurers in this Case heretofore mentioned come and bring into Court their Award, as may be seen at large on Record in Case Tim<sup>o</sup> Quen v Howard Alden, Page 6 hujus libri

Woods John Waldo Woods of Hartford in the County and State  
Hunter of Connecticut vs Robert Hunter of Marlborough  
in the County of Middlesex Husbandman Debt in a Plea  
as is of Record heretofore. The Plea appears by <sup>Amoy & Co</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>Amoy & Co</sup>  
~~Amoy & Co~~ Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the P. Robert by Theodore Sedgwick Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> comes & defends & & receiving Liberty to alter the Plea  
at the Trial by appeal says that he never owed the P. any  
thing & thereof prays Judgement. And the P. John con  
sents to the Reservation says the Plea aforesaid is an insuffi  
cient Answer to the P. Declaration & that he is not obliged  
by Law to answer thereto wherefore he prays Judgement for his  
Damages & Judgement for his Costs. And the P. Robert  
says his Plea aforesaid is sufficient & prays Judgement for his  
Costs. Thereupon all & singular the Premises being seen and  
by the Court here fully understood for that it appears to  
the Court that the Plea aforesaid of the P. Robert by him above  
pleaded & the Matters therein contained are an insufficient  
Answer to the Declaration aforesaid of the P. John & ought  
not to preclude the P. John from having & maintaining  
his Action aforesaid & because the P. Robert hath not in any  
Manner denied the aforesaid Action and Plea of the P. John.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. John do  
recover against the P. Robert forty three Pounds sixteen  
Shillings & ten Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £ 3. 10. 6. Wherefore the P. Robert by Theodore Sedg  
wick Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the  
Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to  
be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire  
on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he recognises  
with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said  
Appeal with Effect as by P. recognizance on file doth appear.

Benajah M. Call of New Lebanon in the County of Albany &  
M. Call State of New York vs Gideon King of N. Y.  
Lebanon Gent<sup>l</sup> Debt in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore.  
King The Parties severally appear & Nahul Woodbridge Esq<sup>r</sup> & others  
Referees in this case heretofore mentioned come & bring into  
Court their Award as follows viz. All the Subscribers Referees  
in the case M. Call vs King having met together heard the  
heard the Parties their several Pleas, Proofs & Allegations & mature  
ly considered the same do finally Judge & determine that the  
Benajah do recover against the P. Gideon the Sum of Ten  
Pounds one Shilling & four Pence of lawful Money Damages  
& Cost of Court to be taxed by the Court & Cost of this  
Reference taxed at twenty nine Shillings & six Pence all  
which is humbly submitted by Nahul Woodbridge Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Dwight. Eli Cook Referees. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the P. Benajah do recover against the P.  
Gideon ten Pounds one Shilling & four Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £ 1. 17. 6.  
and there of &c.

Done at Sep. 19 1793.

12

The Inhabitants of the Town of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Petrs vs Samuel Fowler of the same Westfield Gentle Inhabitants  
Defrs in a Plea &c as of Record heretofore The Parties of Westfield  
severally appear & agree that this Case be continued to the  
next Term Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of J. Fowler  
November next

Robert Hamilton of Conway in the County of Hamp  
shire Gentle Petrs The Inhabitants of the Town of Conway  
aforesaid Defrs in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the Hamilton  
Inhabitants on the fourth Day of July seventeen hundred & seventy eight being justly indebted to the S<sup>r</sup> Robert of Conway  
in the Sum of two hundred & forty nine Pounds eight Shil  
lings & seven Pence of lawful Money for the like Sum of  
Money then before that Time paid, laid out, expended &  
advanced by him the S<sup>r</sup> Robert for the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants at their  
special Instance & Request & being so indebted the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants  
in Consideration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day and  
Year aforesaid at Conway aforesaid undertook & to the said  
Robert then & there faithfully promised that they would pay  
to the S<sup>r</sup> Robert the same Sum when they should be thereto after  
wards requested Also for that the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants afterwards  
to wit the same Day and Year last aforesaid at Conway were  
justly indebted to the S<sup>r</sup> Robert in another Sum of two hundred  
& fifty Pounds, for the like Sum of Money by the S<sup>r</sup> Robert to  
the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants at their special Instance & Request before that  
Time last & advanced & being so indebted the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants  
in consideration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day and  
Year aforesaid at Conway aforesaid took upon themselves  
to the said Robert then & there faithfully promised to pay the  
Robert the same Sum when they should be thereto afterwards requested  
Also for that the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants of Conway afterwards to wit  
on the tenth Day of August seventeen hundred & seventy eight  
at Conway aforesaid were indebted to the S<sup>r</sup> Robert in another  
Sum of two hundred & fifty Pounds of lawful current Money  
for the like Sum of Money of the S<sup>r</sup> Robert & for his use by  
the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants before that Time last & received & being so  
indebted the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants in Consideration thereof afterwards  
to wit the same Day & Year aforesaid at Conway aforesaid  
assumed on themselves & then & there faithfully promised  
the S<sup>r</sup> Robert to pay him the same Sum when they should  
be thereto afterwards requested Yet the S<sup>r</sup> Inhabitants  
of Conway tho often requested have not nor hath any of  
them paid either of the Sums aforesaid to the S<sup>r</sup> Robert or  
any Part thereof but have unjustly neglected & refused  
to do it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Robert the Sum of sixty Pounds  
The Parties severally appear & agree to refer this Case to the  
award & final Determination of Oliver Partridge, Israel  
Chapin & Hugh Mc Lellan Esqrs who are to hear the Parties & the  
award of them or any two of them is to be final to be  
turned into this Court Judgement to be made up & Econ.  
to issue accordingly Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday  
of November next

Phelps  
Sillabon  
No 2

William South of Palmer in the County of Hampshire Gentl  
Plff v Gideon Morgan of S. Palmer Yeoman Deft in a Plea of Scath  
Covenant broken & when on this<sup>d</sup> William says that whereas by a Morgan  
certain Deed of the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon made at Belcherstown in the said No  
County of Hampshire on the seventh Day of March seventeen  
hundred & eighty sealed with the Seal of the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon & in Court to  
be produced the Date whereof is the same Day & Year aforesaid in  
Consideration that the S<sup>d</sup> William then & there had leased and to  
farm let to the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon one certain Tract of Land in Bel  
cherstown aforesaid with a House & Barn thereon containing about  
thirty seven Acres bounding easterly on Swift River South on a  
Fifty Acre Lot which the S<sup>d</sup> William had before that Time sold  
to John Plummer With an M<sup>o</sup> Chapin's Land for & during the Term of  
one Year from the first Day of April then next, reserving to him  
the S<sup>d</sup> William the Winter Grain then sowed on the same Land  
with a Liberty of reaping & conveying off the same when it should  
be fit for harvesting, he the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon fulfilling the Conditions  
on his Part enjoined & agreed on, He the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon did then & there  
covenant, promise, agree & engage to & with the S<sup>d</sup> William by the same  
Deed that he the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon would improve S<sup>d</sup> Land in a good  
husbandlike Manner & make & repair the Fences on S<sup>d</sup> Lands and  
would secure the Crop of Grain then growing on S<sup>d</sup> Land so  
that it should not be hurt or destroyed by Cattle or otherwise  
untill it should come to maturity & that he the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon  
would pay all the Rates & Taxes lawfully assessed on S<sup>d</sup> Lands  
during the S<sup>d</sup> Term & at the expiration of S<sup>d</sup> Term would leave  
the Buildings in as good Repair as they were at the Time of  
making the Deed aforesaid & would leave the Fences in good  
Repair as they might be made for the security of the S<sup>d</sup> Crop in  
the Summer then next ensuing & at the End & Expiration of S<sup>d</sup> Term  
would yield up to the S<sup>d</sup> William his Heirs & assigns, Agents or Attorneys  
quiet & peaceable Possession of the S<sup>d</sup> Premises without the least  
Let or Hindrance as by the same Deed among other things is more  
fully manifest & doth appear And altho he the S<sup>d</sup> William doth  
lease & farm let to the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon as aforesaid, the Tract of Land  
aforesaid with the House & Barn thereon & permitted him the said  
Gideon to occupy possession by the same for the S<sup>d</sup> Term of  
one Year by protesting that the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon hath not performed  
or fulfilled any Covenant in the same Deed contained to be  
performed by the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon in fact the S<sup>d</sup> William says that the  
S<sup>d</sup> Gideon did not pay one Rate or Tax of forty nine Shillings & 8  
ten Pence three Farthings lawfully assessed on the S<sup>d</sup> Lands by  
the Assessors of the S<sup>d</sup> Town of Belcherstown within the Term aforesaid  
said to wit on the twenty ninth Day of March in the Year  
seventeen hundred & eighty one by reason of which neglect and  
default of the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon the Tract of Land aforesaid afterwards  
to wit on the tenth of October seventeen hundred & eighty one  
was sold for the payment of S<sup>d</sup> Tax by Elijah How one of the  
Collectors of Taxes for the same Town, nor did the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon  
secure the Crop of Grain growing as aforesaid on the S<sup>d</sup> Land  
so that it should not be hurt or destroyed by Cattle untill  
the same came to maturity but the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon utterly neglected  
to repair the Fences enclosing the same Grain by reason whereof  
divers Colvers, Horses, Cattle & Sheep as well of the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon as other  
Persons then went upon & trod down & consumed the same Grain  
at divers Days & Times between the fifth Day of April seventeen  
hundred & eighty & the tenth Day of July then next following and  
the S<sup>d</sup> William further says that during the Term aforesaid to wit  
on the first Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty one divers  
Boards were broke spoiled & taken from the Barn standing on  
the S<sup>d</sup> Tract of Land & the S<sup>d</sup> Gideon the same Day there suffered  
the same Barn to stand uncovered & in decay for want of

Scath  
y  
Morgan  
boarding the same & the said Gideon did not repair the said Barn during the term aforesaid, but the same is being in Decay without repairs or amendment thereof left. And so the said William says that the said Gideon at the often requested his covenants with the said William hath not kept but hath unjustly broke the same & hath hitherto altogether denied & yet doth deny to keep the same with him to the Damage of the said William the Sum of ten Pounds. The Plf. appears in his own proper Person & the Def. the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said William do recover against the said Gideon four Pounds nine Shillings & ten Pence three Farthings of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court Taxed at L<sup>y</sup>. 2. 4. & three of 8c. Leon J<sup>r</sup>. Sep<sup>r</sup>. 27. 1703.

Bowen  
Mitchell  
No 5  
Valentine Bowen of Adams in our County of Berkshire Gentle. Plf. is David Mitchell of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire Gentle, otherwise called David Mitchell of South Hadley Yeoman Def. in a Plea of Trespas on the Case for that the said David at New Providence to wit at Northampton in the County aforesaid Hampshire on the fourteenth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty by his Note for Value received promised the said Valentine to pay him in one Fortnight from that Date twenty five hundred Continental Dollars (equal to seven hundred & fifty Pounds of our then lawful Money) with Interest from that Time till paid, if not then paid to make good the fall of Money. Yet the said David tho he has been often thereto requested since the said time of payment which has long since elapsed has never performed his promise but unjustly refuses to do it to the Damage of the said Valentine thirty Pounds. ~~Therefore~~ The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement therein to be final. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Smith  
Hoane  
No 6  
Chileab Smith of Amherst of the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf. is Peter Hoane of Belcherstown in said County Yeoman Def. in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Chileab at Amherst on the last Day of June Anno Domini seventeen hundred & eighty one had delivered to the said Peter twenty Dollars of the New Emission Currency at his special Instance & Request he the said Peter in Consideration thereof promised the said Chileab to pay & deliver the same Sum or the value thereof in Brandy to Elisha Ingram of Amherst within a few Days meaning within six Days then next following, and the said Elisha Ingram was always ready to receive the same of the said Peter, also for that the said Peter at Amherst on the same Day & Year was indebted to the said Chileab in another Sum of twenty Dollars in like Bills for so many other Dollars in like Bills by the said Chileab to the said Peter there before that Time lent & delivered at his special Instance & Request & then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said Chileab to pay him the same Sum on Demand. Also for that the said Peter at Amherst on the same last Day of June aforesaid owed the said Chileab twenty Dollars of the said Currency for so many other Dollars of the same Currency by the said Peter to the said Chileab use there before that Time had received & then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said Chileab to pay him the same Sum on Demand. Yet the said Peter tho often requested the often requested hath never performed either of the promised but neglects to the Damage of the said Chileab nine Pounds. The Plf. appears by himself & his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def. the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Chileab do recover against the said Peter ~~four~~ <sup>one</sup> Pound one Shilling & 7 lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court Taxed at L<sup>y</sup>. 5. 8. & three of 8c. Leon J<sup>r</sup>. Sep<sup>r</sup>. 22. 1703.

Edmond Hubbard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Gentle  
Plff vs Noah Smith of Hadley Yeoman Dft in a Plea that the  
P. Noah render to the P. Edmond his reasonable Account for the  
Time that he was Baliff to him the P. Edmond at Hadley in the  
same County and there likewise receiver of the Monies of the P.  
Edmond; Whereon the P. Edmond says that whereas the aforesaid  
Noah from the first Day of May seventen hundred & seventy  
six to the first Day of May seventen hundred & seventy nine  
was Baliff of the P. Edmond of all Matters and Contracts relat-  
ing to the Common Benefis and Profits of the P. Edmond and  
Noah & of one Warham Smith Smith (the P. Edmond, Noah &  
Warham being) Partners & joint dealers in Trade) and for all  
that Time had the Care & Administration of divers Goods &  
Chattels of the P. Edmond, to wit, of one Barrel of Rum &  
of one Hogshead of Salt to the value of twenty Pounds to wit  
at Hadley aforesaid to merchandize & make Profits thereof for the  
Common Benefis of him the P. Edmond & of P. Noah & Warham  
and a reasonable Account thereof to render whenever he should  
be thereto requested and Receiver of the Monies of the P. Edmond  
for the whole Time aforesaid, in the manner & for the Purpose  
aforesaid & for the same Time did receive of the Monies of the  
P. Edmond by the proper Hands of the P. Edmond two hundred  
Pounds to trade and merchandise therewith for the Common  
Profits of the P. Edmond Noah and Warham to render a  
reasonable Account thereof when he should be thereto requested  
Nevertheless the P. Noah has often requested hath not ren-  
dered his reasonable Account thereof, but altogether neglects  
and wholly refuses to do it to the Damage of the P. Edmond  
the Sum of three hundred Pounds. The Parties severally  
appear & agree that this Case together with all demands in  
Law or Equity be referred to the Award & final Determination  
of Ruggles Woodbridge, Elijah Norton & Thomas Lyman who  
are to hear P. Parties & the Award of them or any two of them  
is to be final to be returned into this Court Judgement to  
be made up & Execution to be made accordingly. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the P. Parties have Day here  
untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Daniel Marsh of Hartford in the County of Hartford and  
State of Connecticut Gentle Plff vs Joel Strong of Granville in  
our County of Hampshire Yeoman Dft in a Plea of the Case  
for that the P. Joel at Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
on the fifth Day of November in the Year of our Lord  
one thousand seven hundred & seventy one by his promisory  
Note for value received promised to pay the P. Daniel the  
Sum of Fifty Pounds, meaning thirty Pounds lawful Money in  
Beast Cattle or Grain at the Market Price to be delivered at  
Hartford Ferry by the first of May in the Year seventen  
hundred & seventy five with the lawful Interest after the first  
Day of May seventen hundred & seventy three till paid, which Time  
of payment is long since past & the P. Joel hath never fulfilled his  
P. Promise, altho the P. Daniel (as he saith) hath always stood ready  
and now stands ready to receive the Cattle & Grain according to the  
tenor of P. Note but the P. Joel wholly & unjustly neglects to do  
it to the Damage of the P. Daniel fifty Pounds. The Parties seve-  
rally appear & agree that this Case be continued to the next Term.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that P. Parties have  
Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next.

Chapman Levi Chapin of Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Geoman J. is John Bridges of the same Springfield Geoman Dep.  
in a Plea of Trespass wherein the P. Levi complains that the P. John  
Bridges at Springfield on the thirtieth Day of July last past, with Force  
No 9 and Arms an Assault made on the Body of the P. Levi being then  
and there in the Peace of God & our Peace & him did beat wound  
willy intreat & without any lawful Cause, imprisonment and  
restrain & deprive of his lawful Liberty for the Space of one Hour  
contrary to Law & against our Peace & also that the P. John at P.  
Springfield on the first Day of August last past one Saw called a  
Sawmill Saw work three Pounds, one Pine Log worth three  
Shillings, one Saw-mill Log worth twelve Shillings all the Goods  
and Chattels of the P. Levi with Force & Arms took & carried away  
contrary to Law & against our Peace & also that he said John  
at P. Springfield afterwards to wit on the same Day and Year  
the P. Levi's Close in Springfield of our said Peace & entered and  
then & there with Force & Arms an Assault made on the Body of  
the P. Levi then & there in the Peace of God & our Peace & pursuing  
his lawful Business of sawing within his Saw-mill there and  
the P. Levi's pine Log which he was then & there sawing from the  
Carriage rolled off & carried away & the P. Levi's Saw which was  
hung in the same Mill & with which he was then & there sawing  
took out from P. Mill & carried away by means whereof the P.  
Levi could not follow his P. Business there, all which is contrary  
to Law & against our Peace & to the Damage of the P. Levi the  
Sum of thirty Pounds & The P. appears by Simon Strong Esq.  
his Att. & the Def. by Justin Ely Esq. his Att. comes & defends &  
and for Plea says he is not guilty in such Manner & Form as the  
P. hath within alledged against him, and thereof he puts himself  
on his Country for Trial & the P. does the same &  
Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to Form & Effect  
of the Statutes in such Case made & provided at this returned &  
the Impannelled being likewise demanded come here to say the  
Truth concerning the Premises being duly sworn declare upon  
their Oath by John Cotton their Foreman that they find the Def.  
guilty & assess Damages for the P. at fifty Shillings &  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Levi do recover  
against the P. John two Pounds ten Shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Cost of Court taxed at six Pounds five Shillings & eight  
Pence & Thereupon the Def. by Justin Ely Esq. his Att. comes  
into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for  
County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September  
next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his  
prosecuting his P. Appeal with Effect as by P. Recognizance  
on File here appears

Whitney Aaron Whitney of Northfield in the County of Hampshire  
shire Shop-keeper J. is Robert Puckham of P. Puckham in the  
County of Worcester Geoman & Deputy Sheriff of P. County Dep.  
Puckham in a Plea of Trespass for that P. Robert at P. Northfield on the  
No 10 twenty third Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty two  
with force & Arms did take & carry away thirty five oxen of  
the value of eight hundred Pounds, ten Cows of the value of  
seventy Pounds, four Horses of the value of twenty five Horses of  
the value of twenty Pounds, eight Calves of the value of twelve  
Pounds & one Bull of the value of four Pounds seven Corn of  
Hay of the value of fourteen Pounds & two Tons of Corn stalks  
of the value of five Pounds of him the P. Aaron did take and

carried away, against the Peace and for that the <sup>1</sup> Robert there afterwards, on the sixteenth Day of September last with Force Whitney and Arms did make an Assault on him the <sup>1</sup> Aaron then the Representative of the <sup>1</sup> Town of Northfield & Member of the <sup>1</sup> General Court & Assembly for the same Year, and then going to attend and him the <sup>1</sup> Aaron did arrest & imprison for the space of three Weeks and other enormities the <sup>1</sup> Robert to the <sup>1</sup> Aaron then and there did against the Peace — And for that the <sup>1</sup> Robert there afterwards on the sixteenth Day of October last one other Assault on him the <sup>1</sup> Aaron with Force & Arms did make he the <sup>1</sup> Aaron then also being a Representative as aforesaid & a Member of the <sup>1</sup> General Court & Assembly as aforesaid returning from his attendance on the same, and him the <sup>1</sup> Aaron did then & there arrest & imprison for the space of six Hours & untill he paid the Sum of thirty <sup>1</sup> Pound and other enormities the <sup>1</sup> Robert to the <sup>1</sup> Aaron then there did against the Peace and to the Damage of the <sup>1</sup> Aaron the Sum of one Thousand Pounds — The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term with a Saving of all advantages — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next —

Clark Lawton of Hardwick in our County & <sup>1</sup> Worcester Geo Lawton man Pleff is Samuel Tinton of Greenwich in the County of Tinton Hampshire Yeoman Executor of the last Will & Testament of Joseph Tinton late of <sup>1</sup> Greenwich Yeoman deceased and in said Capacity Deft in a Plea of the <sup>1</sup> Court for that <sup>1</sup> Joseph at said Greenwich in his Life time to wit on the twentieth Day of August seventeen hundred & seventy four by his Note for value received promised <sup>1</sup> Clark to pay him or Order three Pounds fifteen Shillings & six Pence lawful Money within six Months then next following with Lawful Interest for the same to be paid; Yet <sup>1</sup> Joseph tho. after requested never paid the same or any part thereof in his Life time; nor hath the <sup>1</sup> Samuel ever paid the same or any part thereof since the <sup>1</sup> Josephs Death but in justly neglect & refuses to do it to the Damage of the <sup>1</sup> Clark the Sum of Ten Pounds — The Parties severally appear and agree that this Case be continued to the next Term — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next —

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State of Apthorp New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & Gizeel Apthorp of Boston in the County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administrators on the Estate of Charles Apthorp Esq<sup>r</sup> late of <sup>1</sup> Boston deceased & in <sup>1</sup> Capacity Pleff is Roger Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Clothier Deft in a Plea of Ejectment wherein the <sup>1</sup> Charles Ward & Gizeel demand against the <sup>1</sup> Roger Parks the several Tracts or Parcels of Land here after mentioned bounded & described with the appurtenances lying and being in Blanford aforesaid to wit twelve acres & one hundred Rods path & Lot Number forty one bounded as follows beginning at the North East Corner of <sup>1</sup> Lot at a Birch Tree hence north & easterly one hundred thirty Minutes North twenty three Rods & one fourth of a Rod to a Birch Tree then South eighteen Degrees thirty Minutes North eighty seven Rods to a Stake thence South seventy one Degrees thirty Minutes East twenty one ~~Degrees~~ three Rods & one fourth of a Rod to a Stake & thence thence North eighteen Degrees thirty Minutes East eighty seven Rods to the fifth mentioned Bounds — Also thirty four Acres & one hundred

and seven Rods of the 3<sup>d</sup> Lot number forty one bounded as follows  
beginning at a Beach the north west Corner of ten Acre and m<sup>ore</sup> Rods  
which one James Linneth heretofore undertook to lay out to the 3<sup>d</sup> Roger  
Parks hence running North twenty one Degrees thirty Minutes West sixty  
three Rods & three fourths of a Rod to a Beach the <sup>eighteen</sup>  
Degrees thirty Minutes North eighty seven Rods to a Stake hence <sup>South</sup>  
Degrees thirty East sixty three Rods & three fourths of a Rod to a  
Stake thence North eighteen Degrees thirty Minutes East thirty seven  
Rods to the first mentioned Bound, which said several Tracts of Land  
above described with the appertinances the 3<sup>d</sup> Charles Ward Apthorp  
and General Apthorp claim as their Right & Inheritance & sheweth  
the 3<sup>d</sup> Roger Parks hath not Entry but by Deceit by him unjustly &  
without Judgement committed within twenty Years now last  
past & where upon the 3<sup>d</sup> Charles Ward & General the Demandants  
say that they within the Term of twenty Years now last past  
in a Time of Peace were seized of the Lands above described with  
the appertinances in their Demesne as of Fee & Right taking the  
Profits thereof to the value of five Pounds by the Year & whereunto  
the 3<sup>d</sup> Roger Parks hath not Entry but by the Deceit aforesaid by  
him unjustly & without Judgement committed within the Term  
of twenty Years now last past & whereof they complain & say that  
the 3<sup>d</sup> Roger Parks still depriveth them & holdeth them out therefrom  
and thereof they bring this Sub & good Proof which is to the  
Damage of the 3<sup>d</sup> Charles & General fifty Pounds The Parties severally  
appear and it is considered that this Case be continued to the next  
Term with a saving of all advantages There upon it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next

Shaw

Chadwick Jun<sup>r</sup>

No 13

Nahum Eager of Worthington in the County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>ff</sup> & John Chester Williams of Hadley in the County of Essex  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> John Eager Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Chester at Northampton in S<sup>r</sup> County on the tenth Day of April  
seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received Williams &  
promised the S<sup>r</sup> Nahum to pay him the sum of thirty two pounds  
eight shillings & two pence half penny on Demand with Interest N<sup>o</sup> 14  
till paid - Yet the S<sup>r</sup> John Chester tho. often requested hath  
not paid the Contents of the S<sup>r</sup> Note to the Pl<sup>ff</sup> or any part  
thereof but unjustly neglects & refuses to do it to the Damage  
of the S<sup>r</sup> Nahum the sum of forty Pounds - The Parties  
several appear & agree that this Case be continued to the next Term  
Judgement then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here until the ~~next~~ Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup>  
next -

Samuel Clark of Northampton in the County of Hamp  
shire Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>ff</sup> & Joseph Hawley of S<sup>r</sup> Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> Clarke  
in a Plea wherein he the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel demandeth against him the S<sup>r</sup>  
Joseph Hawley the Lands & Tenements hereinafter described which Hawley Esq<sup>r</sup>  
were the Estate of Ebenezer Hawley of the S<sup>r</sup> Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup>  
man deceased at the Time of his Death that is to say a certain N<sup>o</sup> 15  
House Lot in Northampton aforesaid bounded Northeastly  
partly by Quartus Somers's Land and partly by a tree called  
Pudding-lane Southwesterly partly by the Commons & partly  
by William Budd's Land, Southwesterly partly by William Budd's  
Land & partly by Jeremiah Ruffe's Land & partly by Eliza  
Mathers Northeastly partly by S<sup>r</sup> Markers Land & partly by the S<sup>r</sup>  
Quartus Somers's Land & also the Old Mansion House standing  
on the same House Lot - Also the following Pieces of Land  
being in the general Field in Northampton aforesaid to wit  
a Piece of Land which was formerly granted by the Town of  
Northampton out of the highway to the S<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer Hawley's  
Father called the Pasture in quantity about three acres - Also  
a Piece of plowing Land touching the S<sup>r</sup> Pasture called the

Clarke. Gille flowers in quantity about three acres, one Piece of  
Mowing Land in Middle-meadow in quantity about four acres.  
Hawley Es. bounded by the Brow of Middle-meadow Hill Northwesterly by the  
P. Joseph Hawley's Land Northwesterly by the great River South  
easterly and by the Land of Robert Buck Esq. Southwesterly.  
One Piece of Land in the second Square called Judges Lot in quantity  
about two acres, one Piece of Land in the third Square called Salmon's  
Lot in quantity about four acres, also a Piece of Land in  
Young Rain-bow bounded easterly by the way on Old rainbow-hill  
southerly by Joseph Buck's Land partly & partly by Elixes Roots  
Land and Westerly by the brow of young Rain-bow Hill & southerly  
by the Land of Ephraim Wright Esq. in quantity about six acres.  
Also the following Lots & quantities of Land in the Northampton  
to wit a Lot of Wood Land at a Place called Bakers Hill granted  
to the P. Ebenezer Hawley by the Town of Northampton about  
four Acres in quantity, one third Part in common with others  
of the whole twelfth Original Lot in that division of sub-Lands  
called the little Division lying between the old Road & the Common  
since, one third Part in common with others of about four acres  
of Land below Parkham-much-brook being the easterly Part of  
the fifth Original Lot in the Mountain Division. Also one third  
Part in common with others of the whole twenty third Original Lot  
in that Division of sub-Lands called the first Division lying partly  
in that Part of Land which constitutes the present Township of  
Northampton & partly within that Tract of Land which constitutes  
the present Township of Westhampton. Also one third part in com-  
mon with others of all the inward common Lands in the said  
Northampton which were laid out on the Right of the Father of  
the P. Ebenezer Hawley. Also one third Part in common with  
others of all the Lands in Southampton in the County aforesaid  
which were laid out either on the Right of the Father of the said  
Ebenezer Hawley or on the Right of Lieutenant Hawley's Ance-  
Also the whole of the Land in that part of Southampton aforesaid  
called the Additional grant which was recorded to the P. Ebenezer  
Hawley deceased with the Appurtenances as his the Samuel  
Clarke's Right & Inheritance and into which the P. Joseph Hawley  
the Debt hath not entred unless after the Diffusion which the  
Court there of unjustly & without judgement hath made to the  
said Samuel Clarke within thirty Years now last past, and whereupon  
he saith that he himself was seized of the Tenements aforesaid  
with the Appurtenances in his Demesne as of Fee & Right in time of  
Peace by taking the Profits thereof to the value of five Pounds & more in  
Corn or Grass and into which the P. Joseph the Debt hath not entred  
unless as aforesaid and whereupon the P. Samuel complains that  
the P. Joseph the Debt deforceth him which is to the Damage of the P.  
Samuel Clarke the Sum of one hundred <sup>or thereabouts</sup> pounds. And the  
said Joseph Hawley in his own proper Person comes & defends  
his Right when & where it shall behave him, & thereupon saitheth  
to Warranties Elixes Root of Northampton aforesaid Geoman who  
is present here in Court in person in his own proper Person &  
the Tenements aforesaid with the Appurtenances to him the  
said Hawley the Debt freely warranted and prays that the said  
Samuel Clarke may count against him and thereupon  
the P. Samuel demandeth against the P. Clarke & tenant by  
his own Warranty the Tenements aforesaid with the Appur-  
tenances as his Right & Inheritance & And whereupon he saith  
that he himself was seized of the Tenements aforesaid with  
the Appurtenances in his Demesne as of Fee & Right

17  
within thirty Years now last past in a time of Peace by taking  
the Profits thereof to the value of five Pounds & more in Court  
Grass and into which the <sup>sd</sup> Hawley the Deft hath not Entry, says Clarke  
as aforesaid & thereupon he brings the Suit & good Pross &c. And  
the aforesaid Elarer <sup>by</sup> his own Warranty defend <sup>Hawley Esq</sup>  
his Right when he and saith that the aforesaid Hugh did  
not deprive the aforesaid Samuel of the Tenements aforesaid  
as the aforesaid Samuel by his Writ & Count aforesaid above  
doth suppose and of this he puts himself upon the Country  
and the aforesaid Samuel thereupon craveth leave to impair  
and he hath it and afterwards the aforesaid Samuel cometh  
again here into Court in this Session in his proper Person  
and the aforesaid Elarer <sup>the</sup> solemnly called cometh not  
again, but hath departed in contempt of the Court & maketh  
default <sup>by the Court</sup> and thereupon it is considered that the <sup>sd</sup> Samuel  
do recover his Lysin against the said Hawley the Deft of the  
Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances and that the  
said Hawley have of the Land of the aforesaid Elarer  
to the value of the Tenements aforesaid and the <sup>sd</sup> Elarer  
in Mery and hereupon the <sup>sd</sup> Samuel prays a Writ  
of the Commonwealth to be directed to the Sheriff of the  
County aforesaid to cause him to have full Lysin of the  
Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances as also for his  
Costs taxed at one Pound one Shilling & two Pence of lawful  
Money and it is granted unto him returnable into this Court  
without delay ~~at the~~ Writ of Tac. hab. <sup>sup</sup> Sep. 5. 1703

Afterwards to wit on the eighth day of September in the Year 1703  
of our Lord Seventeen hundred & Eighty three Simon Parsons  
a Deputy Sheriff certifieth that by Virtue of the Writ above  
mentioned he did cause the said Samuel Clarke to have full  
Lysin of the Tenements mentioned in said Writ with the  
appurtenances as he was commanded

N<sup>o</sup> 2  
in  
Prague  
17. 16

Holloway Samuel Holloway of Middleborough in our County of  
Hampshire Plaintiff vs William de Tall of Belham in our  
County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of  
Debt for Rent whereas the S<sup>d</sup> Samuel at Brimfield in our  
County of Hampshire aforesaid on Monday the third Day  
of June in the Year of our Lord seven hundred &  
Eighty two, before John Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> one of the Justices of  
the Peace within & for the County of Hampshire aforesaid by  
the Consideration of the S<sup>d</sup> Justice recovered Judgement against  
the S<sup>d</sup> William for the Sum of forty Shillings Damage & one  
Pound one Shilling & six Pence for Costs of Suit as by the Record  
more fully appears & which Judgement remains in full  
Force nor way reversed, annulled or satisfied and the S<sup>d</sup> William  
is thereby rendered liable to pay those Summs, amounting in the  
whole to three Pounds one Shilling & six Pence lawful Money  
with Interest to the Plf, an Action hath accrued according to  
the Plf to have & demand & recover the aforesaid Summs & yet  
the S<sup>d</sup> William hath not paid them or any of them tho requested  
but detains them to the Damage of the said Samuel the Sum  
of seven Pounds — The Plf appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Samuel do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> William  
three Pounds & six Shillings as lawful Money Damages & Costs  
of Court taxed at £2-15-2 & therefore Exon<sup>r</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1703

Bridgham James Bridgham of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Plf vs James Lammon of Ware in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> Lammon at  
Northampton in our County on the twenty fourth Day of January  
last past by his Note for value received promised the Plf to pay  
him or his Order thirteen Pounds & ten Shillings lawful Money  
on Demand with Interest till Paid — Yet the S<sup>d</sup> Lammon  
tho often requested has never paid the same but refuseth to the  
Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Bridgham the Sum of twenty Pounds —  
The Plf appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
tho three Times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the S<sup>d</sup> Bridgham do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Lammon the  
Sum of fourteen Pounds & ten Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £2-14-6 & therefore Exon<sup>r</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1703

Wicker James Wicker of Farnwick in our County of Worcester Painter Plf  
vs Jonathan Bowdell of Belchertown in our County of Hampshire  
Blacksmith Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan at  
Belchertown aforesaid on the twentieth Day of November last past  
by his Note for value received promised the Plf to pay him  
seven Pounds six Shillings & three Pence lawful Money on Demand  
with Interest till paid — Yet the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan tho requested has  
never paid the same but do as it refuseth to the Damage of the  
S<sup>d</sup> James twelve Pounds — The Plf appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> James do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan seven  
Pounds twelve Shillings and nine Pence of lawful Money Damages  
& Costs of Court taxed at £12-0-0 & therefore Exon<sup>r</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1703

Isaac Wood of Lincolne in our County of Berkshire Gentle Pleff is  
Ismael Bowker of Ware in our County of Hampshire Yeoman  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>sd</sup> Ismael at Ware aforesaid on  
the twenty sixth Day of March last past by his Note for value received  
promised the Pleff to pay him eight Pounds eight Shillings on Demand  
with Use meaning Interest till Paid Also for that the <sup>sd</sup> Ismael  
at Ware aforesaid on the fourth Day of March last past by his  
after Note for value received promised the Pleff to pay him Twenty six  
Pounds three Shillings & six Pence lawful Money on Demand with  
Interest till paid Yet the <sup>sd</sup> Ismael tho' often requested hath  
never paid either of the Sums aforesaid or the Interest or any part  
thereof but to do so wholly neglects & refuses to the Damage of the  
Pleasae the Sum of fifty Pounds The Parties severally appear  
and agree that this Case be continued to the next Term Judgement  
then to be final Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
<sup>sd</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next

Wood  
Bowker  
N<sup>o</sup> 20.

James Bridgman of Bicester in our County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Bridgman  
Pleff is Thomas King & Daniel King Yeomen both of Palmer in  
our County of Hampshire Defts in a Plea of the Case for that King &c  
the <sup>sd</sup> Daniel & Thomas at Northampton in <sup>sd</sup> County of Hampshire  
on the twenty fifth Day of January seventeen hundred & twenty N<sup>o</sup> 21  
given by their Note for value received promised the Pleff to pay  
him thirty six Pounds (meaning lawful Silver Money) on Demand with  
Interest it being the Balance of Accounts due to the <sup>sd</sup> James Bridgman  
as adjusted on the said twenty fifth Day of January Yet the  
said Thomas & Daniel or either of them tho' requested have never paid  
the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>sd</sup> James forty Pounds  
The Pleff appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defts tho'  
three times called to come into Court make default, appear  
and here Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the <sup>sd</sup> James do recover against the <sup>sd</sup> Thomas & Daniel twenty nine  
Pounds, nineteen Shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages  
& Costs of Court taxed at 2s 16d & thereof 2s  
upon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 27 1703

Silas Farr of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Farr  
Pleff is Ebenezer Beal Yeoman Ruben Beal Yeoman & Abraham  
Beal Yeoman all late of Cammington in <sup>sd</sup> County Yeoman Beal &c  
Defts in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>sd</sup> Ebenezer Ruben & the  
ham at Number five to wit in Northampton in <sup>sd</sup> County on the  
eighteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand  
seven hundred & seventy two by their Note for value received  
promised the <sup>sd</sup> Silas to pay him the sum of fourteen Pounds  
thirteen Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money at or before  
the eighteenth Day of December then next after the Date of  
<sup>sd</sup> Note with Interest till paid Also for that the said  
Defts at Northampton aforesaid on the same Day & Year

Tarr  
Beal & al.  
aforesaid being justly indebted to the <sup>d</sup> Silas in another  
Sum of fourteen pounds thirteen shillings & four pence lawful  
Money for the like Sum of Money then before that Time had  
received by the <sup>d</sup> Deft for the <sup>d</sup> Silas & to the Use of the <sup>d</sup> Silas  
at the special Instance & request of the <sup>d</sup> Deft in Consideration  
thereof the <sup>d</sup> Deft assumed on themselves & to the <sup>d</sup> Silas then & there  
faithfully promised to pay him the same Sum ~~over~~ at or before  
the eighteenth Day of December then next with Interest till  
Paid. Yet the <sup>d</sup> Deft tho often requested have not nor  
paid either of them paid either of the Sums aforesaid to the <sup>d</sup> Silas  
or any Part of either of them but unjustly neglects to do so to the  
Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Silas forty pounds. The <sup>d</sup> Deft appears in his  
own proper Person & the <sup>d</sup> Deft tho. three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con  
sidered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Silas do recover against the  
<sup>d</sup> Deft the Sum of twenty four pounds viz. the Sums of four Pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at one  
pound six shillings & ten Pence. Three of Decr. Exon. 1700.

Meach  
Governor  
No 23.  
Timothy Meach of Worthington in our County of Hampshire  
gentleman Plaintiff is Licetor Governor of Windsor in our  
County of Berkshire gentleman deft. In a plea of the case for that  
the said Licetor at Windsor aforesaid on the tenth day of July in  
the year of our Lord seventeen hundred eighty one by his  
promissory note in writing under his hand of that date for value  
received promised the said Timothy to pay him eleven pounds  
fifteen shillings in Gold or silver at six shillings & eight pence  
per ounce in one year from the date, with Interest until paid  
yet the said Licetor tho often requested, hath never paid said Note  
or any part thereof or the Interest, but to do it unjustly neglects  
and refuses. so the damage of the said Tim. Twenty pounds.  
The <sup>d</sup> Deft appears by Tho. Gold his Att. & the deft tho three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Tim. do recover agt. the said Licetor thirteen pounds five  
shillings and four pence of lawful money damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at one pound thirteen shillings & two pence & therefore  
Exon. 19<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1700.

Clark  
Green  
No 24.  
John Clark of Greatfield in our said County of Hampshire  
gentleman Plaintiff is Clerk Green of Lambborough in our County of  
Berkshire gentleman deft. In a plea of the case for that the said  
Clark Green on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Northampton in our County of Hampshire on the  
nineteenth day of March in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred  
and eighty two in consideration that the said John at the special  
instance and request of him the said Clark Green had before that  
Time done & performed certain work labour and service in his said  
business of a new paper Carrier, and in purchasing paving  
and carrying delivering to the said Clark Green & to his wife,

one thousand & twenty three News-Papers also other Work & Labour  
for the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green at his like instance & request undertook & took  
<sup>d</sup> John then and there faithfully promised to pay him so much  
Money as he had reasonably deserved to have from the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green for the  
same: And the <sup>d</sup> John doth now that he reasonably deserved to have  
from the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green for the same four Pounds thirteen Shillings & four  
Pence lawful Money to wit at Northampton aforesaid when of the <sup>d</sup>  
Clark Green afterwards that is to say on the same Day & Year aforesaid  
had Notice: Also for that the <sup>d</sup> John at Northampton aforesaid on  
the same thirtieth Day of March & Year aforesaid having thereupon  
that some sold & delivered unto the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green divers Goods, Wares  
and Merchandise at the special instance & request of him the <sup>d</sup> Clark  
Green then & there in Consideration thereof the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green promised  
the <sup>d</sup> John to pay him therefore on Demand so much Money as the  
same Goods, Wares & Merchandise at the time of the Sale & Delivery  
thereof And the John in fact the Goods, Wares & Merchandise sold  
at the time of the sale & delivery thereof were reasonably worth four  
Pounds, thirteen Shillings & four Pence lawful Money to wit at  
Northampton aforesaid on the Day & Year last aforesaid. whereof  
the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green immediately afterwards there had Notice  
Yet the <sup>d</sup> Green altho often thereto requested hath never paid <sup>d</sup> Sums  
or either of them or any part thereof but neglects to do so to the  
Damage of the <sup>d</sup> John the sum of ten Pounds. The <sup>d</sup> Plea appears  
by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the <sup>d</sup> Deft the three Times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> John do  
recover against the <sup>d</sup> Clark Green five Pounds four shillings  
and six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed  
at one Pound sixteen Shillings & four Pence & there of &c  
Done at Sep<sup>r</sup> 19. 1783.

John Botte of Claverack in the County of Albany  
and State of New York Trader. Plea is Giles Richards Boston Botte  
in the County of Suffolk Lord makes Deft in as <sup>d</sup> of the  
Case for that the <sup>d</sup> Giles at Claverack aforesaid to wit in  
Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the tenth Day  
of September seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for  
Value received promised the <sup>d</sup> John to deliver him at Benjamin  
Hanks in Litchfield meaning Litchfield in the County of Litch-  
field & State of Connecticut sixteen Pounds & three Quarters of a Pound  
of good Indigo in three Weeks (meaning three Weeks next ensuing  
the date of this Note) and the <sup>d</sup> John in fact says sixteen  
Pounds & three Quarters of a Pound of good Indigo to have been  
delivered at Benjamin Hanks in Litchfield aforesaid within three Weeks  
after the Date of <sup>d</sup> Note was reasonably worth twelve Pounds lawful Money.  
And the <sup>d</sup> John avers that he always stood ready to receive <sup>d</sup> quantity of  
Indigo according to the tenor of <sup>d</sup> Note when & where it should have  
been delivered to wit at Benjamin Hanks in Litchfield on the first  
Day of October last past or three Weeks after the making and delivery  
of the aforesaid promissory Note. Yet the <sup>d</sup> Giles tho. often requested  
hath never delivered <sup>d</sup> Indigo or any Part thereof to the <sup>d</sup> John but  
neglects & refuses to do so to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> John twenty Pounds.  
The <sup>d</sup> Plea appears by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the <sup>d</sup> Deft the three Times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> John do recover against  
the <sup>d</sup> Giles seven Pounds eighteen Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £2.12.6 & there of &c  
Done at Sep<sup>r</sup> 15. 1783.

Lindsey - David Lindsey of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Gentle.  
 Plaintiff vs Jesse Thayer of New Salem in our County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Thayer - Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Jesse on the first Day of September  
 No 26 - received promised the<sup>d</sup> David to pay him the Sum of fifty Pounds &  
 ten shillings lawful Money to be paid, the Old way meaning that  
 Value either in Gold or Silver Coin at the current Value to be paid &  
 satisfied to him the<sup>d</sup> David) on Demand with the use meaning the  
 lawful Interest for the same till paid. Yet the<sup>d</sup> Jesse the often  
 requested hath never paid the Sum or any part thereof or the Interest  
 (except thirteen Shillings & three Pence) but he to do it  
 unjustly neglects & refuses to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> David the Sum of  
 eighty five Pounds. The Parties severally appear & on a Motion  
 of the Deft agree that this Case be continued to the next Term  
 Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November  
 next.

Sawyer - Ephraim Sawyer of Faringfield in our County of Berkshire Gentle. Plaintiff vs Daniel Robbins of Wilbraham in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Robbins - Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Daniel on the eighth  
 No 27 - Day of October swintun hundred & twenty two at Lancaster in our County  
 of Worcester to wit in Northampton aforesaid by his Note for value  
 received promised the<sup>d</sup> Ephraim to pay him six Pounds fourteen shillings  
 and two Pence on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the<sup>d</sup> Daniel  
 tho often requested hath never paid said Sum or any Part thereof  
 except seven Pennies eight shillings & four pence as by Indorsement on  
 the<sup>d</sup> Note made May (last past) or the Interest, but he to do it  
 neglects to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Ephraim the Sum of ten Pounds.  
 The Plaintiff appears by Thomas Gold Gentle his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft tho three  
 Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Ephraim do sue  
 over against the<sup>d</sup> Daniel Two Pounds fifteen shillings & three Pence  
 of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10 shillings  
 and thereof &c. Upon i<sup>ss</sup>. Sep<sup>r</sup>. 17 1783.

Wood - Isaac Wood of Lenox in our County of Berkshire Gentle. Plaintiff vs Richard Mason of Merrifield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Mason - Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Richard at Merrifield on the  
 No 28 - Twenty eighth Day of December swintun hundred & eighty one, or value  
 of him the<sup>d</sup> Isaac then & there received promised him to pay him  
 eighty Pounds Silver Money on Demand with Interest till paid  
 (meaning with the lawful Interest for the same till paid). Yet the<sup>d</sup>  
 Richard tho often requested hath never paid the same or any  
 part thereof but unjustly neglects & refuses to do it to the  
 Damage of the Isaac One Hundred Pounds. The Parties  
 severally appear & on a Motion of the Debt's Council agree  
 that this Case be continued to the next Term. Therefore it  
 is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill  
 the second Tuesday of November next.

Elijah Crofoot of Pittsfield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Plea is John Bordwell of Belchertown in our S. County of  
 Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S. John Crofoot  
 on the fifteenth Day of July seventeen hundred and twenty nine  
 at Belchertown aforesaid by his Note for value received promised Bordwell  
 the said Elijah to pay him the sum of five Pound twelve Shillings  
 Lawful Money in Smalls two thousand of lbs, four thousand of  
 eight, eight thousand of four, by the first of September next  
 delivered (meaning to be delivered) at Landlord Warners (mean-  
 ing Landlord Warners in S. Belcher. And the S. Elijah avers that  
 he always stood ready to receive S. quantity of Nales according  
 to the Tenor of S. Note when & where they should have been delivered  
 and in particular at Landlord Warners in S. Belcher: and the  
 S. Elijah avers that he still is ready to receive S. Nales according  
 to the several quantities specified in S. Note. Yet the said  
 John tho. often requested hath never paid S. five Pound & twelve  
 Shillings or any part thereof or S. quantity of Nales or any part  
 thereof except five Thousand Shingle Nales, one Thousand Ten &  
 seventeen hundred & ninety of eight, two thousand & one hundred  
 of four, but he to pay S. Simon fulfill his S. promise hitherto hath  
 and still doth unjustly neglect & refuse to the Damage of the  
 S. Elijah the sum of ten Pound. The Plea appears by Thomas  
 Gold Gentle his Atty & the Deft tho. three times called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that the S. Elijah do recover against the said  
 John three Pound, three Shillings & eight Pence of Lawful Money  
 Damages & Cost of Court taxed at 1 sh. 6 s. & 3 d. thereof &c.  
 Exec. 15<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1703.

Stephen Warren of Pittsfield in our County of Buck-  
 shire Yeoman Plea is John Beglow of Chesterfield in our Warren  
 County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S. John  
 on the eleven<sup>th</sup> Day of July seventeen hundred & eighty one at Pittsfield a  
 fforesaid to wit in S. Northampton by his Note for  
 value received promised the S. Stephen to pay him the sum  
 of four Pound, eleven Shillings old Coin & old way to be  
 estimated at Whreak at four Shillings by the Bushel with  
 due (meaning the Interest thereon) by the last Day of September  
 now next to come. Yet the S. John tho. often requested  
 hath never paid S. sum or any part thereof to the Plea  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Stephen nine Pound.  
 The Plea appears by Thomas Gold Gentle his Atty & the Deft  
 tho. three times called to come into Court makes default  
 of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the S. Stephen do recover against the said  
 John three Pound nineteen Shillings & one Penny of  
 Lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at one  
 Pound six shillings & six Pence & thereof &c.  
 Exec. 19<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1703.

Sonab Dickinson of Northampton in the County of Hamp-  
 shire Hatter Plea is Thomas Farrington of Tareock in our Tareock  
 County of Berkshire Gentle Deft in a Plea of the Case No 31

Dunmow for that the <sup>v</sup> Thomas in the thirty fifth Day of August  
seventeen hundred & eighty one at Northampton in the County  
of Hampshire by his Note for value received promised that  
Josiah to pay him forty five Shillings hard Silver Money on  
Demand. Yet the <sup>v</sup> Thomas tho often requested hath never  
paid <sup>v</sup> Sum or any part thereof but refuses to do so to the  
Damage of the <sup>v</sup> Josiah six Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
by Thomas Gould Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three  
times called to com<sup>er</sup>ity Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
<sup>v</sup> Josiah do recover against the <sup>v</sup> Thomas  
Two Pounds & Two Shillings of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at One Pound nine Shillings & six Pence  
and thereof &c.

Simuch Ex<sup>r</sup>  
Belding  
N<sup>o</sup> 32  
Margaret Simuch of Blanford in the County of Hampshire  
Widow & Executrix of the last Will & Testament of John Simuch  
late of the same Blanford Yeoman deceased & in <sup>v</sup> Capacity  
Pl<sup>y</sup> is Phineas Belding of Pittsfield in our <sup>v</sup> County of  
Berkshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> for that whereas the <sup>v</sup> John in his life  
time to wit on the second Day of July of the Year of our Lord  
seventeen hundred & seventy two at said Pittsfield having before  
that time sold & delivered unto him the <sup>v</sup> Phineas divers Goods  
Wares & Merchandises at his special Instance & Request then & there  
in consideration thereof the said Phineas promised the <sup>v</sup> John  
then in full life to pay him therefor on demand so much Money  
as the same Goods Wares & Merchandises at the Time of the sale &  
delivery thereof were reasonably worth. And that Margaret in her  
<sup>v</sup> Capacity doers & in fact says the Goods Wares & Merchandises aforesaid  
at the Time of the sale & delivery thereof to wit in the <sup>v</sup> John's life  
time at <sup>v</sup> Pittsfield on the Day & Year aforesaid were reasonably  
worth forty Shilling lawful Money with the lawful Interest for the  
same whereof the <sup>v</sup> Thomas afterwards had Notice. Also for that  
the <sup>v</sup> Phineas on the same second Day of July aforesaid at <sup>v</sup>  
Pittsfield to wit in Northampton was justly indebted unto  
the <sup>v</sup> John then in full life in the further Sum of forty  
Shillings lawful Money for so much Money there before that  
Time by the <sup>v</sup> Phineas to the use of the <sup>v</sup> John had & received; then &  
there in consideration thereof the <sup>v</sup> Phineas promised the <sup>v</sup> John  
then in full life to pay him the same Sum on demand. Yet the <sup>v</sup> Phineas tho often requested hath never paid <sup>v</sup> Sum or  
any Part thereof but hitherto hath & still doth neglect to do so to the  
Damage of the <sup>v</sup> Margaret five Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
by Thomas Gould Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it  
is considered by the Court that the <sup>v</sup> Margaret do recover against the  
<sup>v</sup> Phineas three Pounds & four Shillings of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £. 18. s. 6. & thereof &c. Done at <sup>v</sup> of 1781.

Adams  
Brown's Adm<sup>r</sup>  
N<sup>o</sup> 33  
Elijah Adams of Chesham in our County of Hampshire Plaintiff  
Pl<sup>y</sup> is John Brown of Windsor in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>l</sup> and  
Administrator of all & singular the Goods Chattels Rights & Credits  
which were of Eleazer Brown late of the same Windsor Yeoman deceased  
and Intestate in <sup>v</sup> Capacity Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Tresp<sup>ass</sup> on the Case  
for that the <sup>v</sup> Eleazer in his life time to wit on the second  
Day of November seventeen hundred and seventy seven at  
Northampton in consideration that the <sup>v</sup> Elijah at the special

Instance & Request of the <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer had before that time done and performed for the <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer divers small, Labour & Work in attendance & administering of Medicine in the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs Profession of Physick & also for Drugs & Medicines administered to <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer & for his late undertook & their & these taken in his Life Time on the same second Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> & a Year Cash aforesaid promised the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs to pay him so much Money as he had reasonably deserved to have for the same, when he the said Elazer should be thereto requested: And the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs avers & in fact says that he reasonably deserved to have for the same from the said Elazer thirty nine shillings lawful Money with the lawful Interest of <sup>Dr</sup> Summ untill the purchase of this which to wit at <sup>Dr</sup> Northampton whereof the <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer afterwards to wit the same Day & Year aforesaid at <sup>Dr</sup> Windsor to wit in <sup>Dr</sup> Northampton in his Life Time had Notice. Also for that the <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer Brown on the same second Day of November & in the Year aforesaid at Northampton aforesaid in his Life Time, was justly indebted unto the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs in the further Sum of Thirty Nine shillings lawful Money for so much Money due before that Time by the <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer in his Life Time to the use of the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs had & received, then & there in Consideration thereof the <sup>Dr</sup> Elazer promised the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs to pay him the same Sum of Money on Demand. Yet the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs altho after requested hath never paid <sup>Dr</sup> Summ or any Part thereof or the interest but to do it neglects & refuses to the Damage of the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs five Pounds. The Juf appears by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs do recover against the <sup>Dr</sup> John Two Pounds Ten shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at 1.9.6. & thereof Re. 1.9.6. 19.7.83

Eliahs Adams of Chesham in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> shire Physician. All a Jeremiah Cady of the Ashuelot Equivalent adjoining to Pittfield in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Bill of the Case for that the <sup>Dr</sup> Jeremiah in the Ninth Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty two at Ashuelot Equivalent to wit in Northampton in our County of Hampshire in writing under his hand promised the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs as follows viz. Received of Doctor Eliahs Adams two Executions which issued from the Inferior Court of Common Pleas in the County of Berkshire holden at Great Barrington the second Tuesday of September last & which are dated October seventeen hundred & eighty two & one of them for the Sum of eight Pounds, two shillings & two Pence lawful Money against William Cady the third of Windsor & in favour of one Sterling Daniels who assigned the same to the <sup>Dr</sup> Adams & appointed him for value received to receive & convert to his own use the <sup>Dr</sup> Sum aforesaid. Also one other Execution in favour of the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs against the <sup>Dr</sup> William obtained at the same time and place & issued at the same Time and both made returnable at <sup>Dr</sup> Court in February next for the Sum of five Pounds fourteen shillings & a half pence lawful Money. In Consideration that I was bail for the <sup>Dr</sup> William on the two Executions aforesaid & am now liable by my agreeing for his appearance and not answering him to appear to pay & satisfy unto the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs the aforesaid Sum & in Consideration that the <sup>Dr</sup> Eliahs will let me have the advantage of <sup>Dr</sup> Executions to save myself for what I am bound for <sup>Dr</sup> William I do hereby acknowledge

Adams  
 To have received the aforesaid Sum being thirteen Pounds sixteen  
 shillings & two pence half penny lawful Money to the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah's use  
 and do here by hand obliged to pay P<sup>r</sup>. Sum to the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah at all times  
 To Gady & I also engage to maintain & save the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah harmless from all  
 Costs & Damages whatsoever by reason of the Crying of the  
 aforesaid Execution, and the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah avers that hereupon he did  
 deliver up to the Custody of the P<sup>r</sup>. Jeremiah the two Executions  
 aforesaid to his use, benefit & advantage, to wit ~~at P<sup>r</sup>. Ashwell~~  
~~Equivalents on the same month Day of November & Year last~~  
~~at P<sup>r</sup>. Ashwell Equivalents to wit in Northampton aforesaid~~  
~~also for that the said Jeremiah said Northampton on the said month Day of November~~  
 was justly indebted unto the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah in the further Sum of  
 thirteen Pounds sixteen shillings and two Pence half penny of  
 lawful Money, for so much Money there before that Time by  
 the P<sup>r</sup>. Jeremiah to the use of the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah had received: then & there  
 in Consideration thereof the P<sup>r</sup>. Jeremiah promised the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah  
 to pay him the same Sum on Demand. Yet the P<sup>r</sup>. Jeremiah  
 tho' often requested hath never paid P<sup>r</sup>. Sum or any part thereof  
 but unjustly neglects to do it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup>. Elijah  
 Twenty eight Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree  
 that this Case be continued to the next Term. Therefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup>. Parties have Day here until  
 the second Tuesday of November next.

James  
 Barms  
 No 35  
 John James of Goshen in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Plaintiff vs Asa Barns of Lamberborough in the County of Berkshire  
 Gentle Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the P<sup>r</sup>. Asa on the fifth  
 Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty three at Lamberborough  
 to wit in Northampton in the County of Hampshire by his Note  
 for value received promised the P<sup>r</sup>. John to pay him the Sum of  
 sixteen Pounds fourteen shillings & three Pence in one Month from  
 the Date with Interest. Yet the P<sup>r</sup>. Asa tho' often requested hath  
 never paid P<sup>r</sup>. Sum or any part thereof (except forty nine shillings)  
 or the Interest but neglects to do it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup>.  
 John Thirty Pounds. The P<sup>r</sup>. Plaintiff appears by Thomas Gold  
 Gentle his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho' three Times called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup>. John do recover against the  
 P<sup>r</sup>. Asa fourteen Pounds fourteen shillings & two Pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £11-0-0 & thereof 6d  
 Given in Sep<sup>r</sup> 19 1783

Allen  
 Wrights  
 No 36  
 Elisha Allen of Northampton in the County of  
 Hampshire Plaintiff vs Matthew Wright of Pittfield in the  
 County of Berkshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
 P<sup>r</sup>. Matthew on the ninth Day of October seventeen hundred & eighty two  
 at Northampton by his Note for value received promised that Elisha  
 to pay him thirty Bushels of Wheat within one Month from the Date  
 hereof, and that Wheat to be delivered at Mr Thomas Allen of Pitt-  
 field. And the P<sup>r</sup>. Elisha in fact says the P<sup>r</sup>. thirty Bushels of Wheat to  
 have been delivered according to the Tenor of P<sup>r</sup>. Note & which it  
 should have been delivered was reasonably worth nine Pounds  
 lawful Money, to wit at P<sup>r</sup>. Pittfield at the Rate of Thomas Allen  
 aforesaid in one Month from the Date of P<sup>r</sup>. Note: And the P<sup>r</sup>.  
 Elisha avers that he always stood ready to receive P<sup>r</sup>. Wheat

where where it should have been delivered to wit at St Thomas.  
 Allen in Pittsfield aforesaid in one month from the Date of sd Note  
 Yet the sd Mathew altho often requested hath never paid sd Sum or  
 any part thereof, or the Wreck, except twenty Bushels but neglects  
 to do it to the Damage of the sd Elisha twelve Pounds — The  
 Parties severally appear & it is considered by the Court that this  
 Case be continued to the next Term that the sd Sheriff may have  
 a chance to alter his Return on the Writ — Therefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday  
 of November next —

Allen  
 Wright

Joseph Packard of Pelham in our County of Hampshire  
 Gent<sup>r</sup> vs Abraham Cutler late of Laverth in sd County German Def<sup>t</sup>  
 in a Plea of the Case for that the sd Abraham at Northampton in  
 the County of Hampshire on the twelfth Day of February 1772  
 Issued & eighty two by his Note for value received promised one  
 Chequer Packard to pay him four Pounds eight Shillings in  
 lawful Money the value thereof to be received at Paye at three  
 Shillings by the Bushel on demand with lawful Interest  
 for the same till paid: And afterwards to wit on the thirtieth  
 Day of May last past at Northampton aforesaid the said  
 Chequer by his Indorsement in writing on sd Note with his own  
 proper hand subscribed ordered the Content of the same Note  
 then due & unpaid to be paid to the sd Joseph for value received  
 whereof the sd Abraham then & there had Notice &c &c became liable  
 to pay the same Content to the sd Joseph according to the tenor  
 of the same Note & of the said Indorsement thereon & then & there  
 in Consideration thereof promised sd Joseph to pay him the same  
 accordingly — Yet the sd ~~Joseph~~ <sup>Abraham</sup> tho often requested hath never paid  
 the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of  
 the sd Joseph ten Pounds — The Plff appears in his own proper  
 Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the sd Joseph do recover against the said  
 Abraham  
 Damages & Cost of Court taxed at L  
 of Lawful Money  
 & thereof &c

Packard  
 Cutler  
 No 37

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Rights & Credits of Elisha  
 Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Westfield deceased & in sd Capacity Plff in Rem  
 Gildet a Wilbraham in sd County German Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the  
 Case for that the sd John at Westfield aforesaid on the eleventh  
 Day of June 1772 by his Note for value received promised the  
 sd Elisha in his life-time to pay him upon Interest the sum of four  
 ten Pounds seven shillings & nine Pence within three Month  
 from the Date of sd Note — Likewise the sd John at Westfield aforesaid on the  
 thirtieth Day of December seventeen hundred & seventy three by his Note  
 for value received promised the sd Elisha in his life-time to pay him  
 upon Interest fourteen Pounds fifteen shillings & two Pence within one  
 year from the Date of the last mentioned Note — Likewise the sd  
 John at Westfield aforesaid on the third Day of February 1774

Park Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Gildet  
 No 38

by his Note upon Interest or value received promised the <sup>sd</sup> Elisha  
in his Life-time to pay him the Sum of Twelve Pounds two shillings  
and one Penny by the first Day of the then next November which Sums  
altho the <sup>sd</sup> Elisha in his Life-time & <sup>sd</sup> Warham since the Death of the <sup>sd</sup>  
Elisha have often him thereto requested yet the <sup>sd</sup> John hath wholly  
neglected & refused to do it to the Damage of the <sup>sd</sup> Warham in his said  
Capacity Eighty three Pounds The P<sup>ty</sup> appears in his own  
proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the <sup>sd</sup> Warham do recover against the <sup>sd</sup> John  
of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at  
and three of &c

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>

4  
Sackett

N<sup>o</sup> 39

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> is Answer Sackett of Westfield Springfield in <sup>sd</sup> County  
Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>sd</sup> Answer at Blanford  
aforesaid on the twenty seventh Day of June seventeen hundred &  
eighty three by his Note for value received promised the <sup>sd</sup> Warham to  
pay him the Sum of fourteen Pounds six Shillings & six Pence upon  
Demand with Interest Yet the <sup>sd</sup> Answer tho often requested by the  
<sup>sd</sup> Warham hath never paid the aforesaid Sum or any Part thereof  
or any way ~~performed~~ his <sup>sd</sup> Promise but unjustly neglected it to the Damage  
of the <sup>sd</sup> Warham Twenty Pounds The P<sup>ty</sup> appears in his own proper  
Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>sd</sup>  
Warham do recover against the <sup>sd</sup> Answer fourteen Pounds nine shil-  
lings & nine Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed  
at £10-10-0 & thereof &c Exon j<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 2. 1783

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>

Jones

N<sup>o</sup> 40

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Administrator of all & singular the Goods & Chattels Rights & Credits  
of Elisha Parks late of Westfield in <sup>sd</sup> County Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased & in said  
Capacity P<sup>ty</sup> is William Jones of Great Barrington in our  
County of Berkshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that  
the <sup>sd</sup> William at Westfield aforesaid on the twenty seventh Day of  
October seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note of hand for  
value received promised the <sup>sd</sup> Elisha in his Life-time to pay  
him the Sum of five Pounds seventeen Shillings & eleven Pence upon  
Demand with Interest Yet the <sup>sd</sup> William tho often requested by the  
<sup>sd</sup> Elisha in his Life-time & by the <sup>sd</sup> Warham since the Death of the said  
Elisha hath never paid <sup>sd</sup> Sum or any part thereof or any way performed  
his <sup>sd</sup> Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the <sup>sd</sup> Warham in his  
<sup>sd</sup> Capacity fourteen Pounds The P<sup>ty</sup> appears in his own proper  
Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
<sup>sd</sup> Warham do recover against the <sup>sd</sup> William five Pounds thirteen  
Shillings & nine Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed  
at £1-10-0 & thereof &c Exon j<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 2. 1783

Jones

Sackett

N<sup>o</sup> 41

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Rights & Credits of Elisha Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>  
late of Westfield deceased in <sup>sd</sup> Capacity P<sup>ty</sup> is Eastus Sackett of  
<sup>sd</sup> Westfield in the County of Berkshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the  
Case for that the <sup>sd</sup> Eastus at Westfield aforesaid on the seventh Day of  
January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received  
promised the <sup>sd</sup> Elisha in his Life-time to pay him the Sum of twenty one  
Pounds sixteen Shillings & two Pence on Demand with Interest  
Which Sum tho the <sup>sd</sup> Elisha in his Life-time & the <sup>sd</sup> Warham since

the Death of the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha have often him thereto requested yet  
 he S<sup>r</sup> Erastus hath wholly neglected and refused to pay and perform  
 his S<sup>r</sup> Promise to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Warham in his S<sup>r</sup> Capacity the  
 Sum of Forty five Pounds The Parties severally appear  
 agree that this Case be continued to the next Term Therefore  
 it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill  
 the second Tuesday of November next

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Goods & Chattels  
 Rights & Credits which were of Elisha Parks late of Westfield  
 in the S<sup>r</sup> County Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in S<sup>r</sup> Capacity Plf is Gideon  
 Smith of West Springfield in the County aforesaid Yeoman  
 Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Gideon aforesaid  
 Westfield on the twentieth Day of January seventeen  
 hundred & seventy three by his Note for value received  
 promised the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha in his Life-time to pay him the Sum  
 of Seven Pounds eleven Shillings & two Pence upon Demand  
 with Interest Yet the S<sup>r</sup> Gideon has often requested by  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha in his Life-time & by the S<sup>r</sup> Warham after the  
 Death of the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha hath not paid the aforesaid Sum or  
 or any part thereof or any were performed his S<sup>r</sup> Promise but  
 wholly neglected it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Warham in his  
 Capacity twenty five Pounds The Plf appears in his own  
 proper Person & the Deft has three Times called to come in  
 to Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Warham do recover against the  
 S<sup>r</sup> Gideon eighteen Pounds seven shillings & three Pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 4s 2d & thereof &c  
 Given in Obedt 2 1783

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Smith  
 No 42

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Rights & Credits of Elisha  
 Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Westfield in S<sup>r</sup> County deceased in S<sup>r</sup> Capacity Williams  
 Plf is Lebadiah Williams of Westfield in S<sup>r</sup> County of Hampshire  
 Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Lebadiah at  
 Westfield aforesaid on the twenty ninth Day of March seventeen  
 hundred & seventy three by his Note for value received promised  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha in his Life-time to pay him the Sum of twelve  
 Pounds sixteen Shillings and six pence of lawful Money on  
 Demand with Interest Which Sum at the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha in  
 his Life-time the S<sup>r</sup> Warham since the Death of the said Elisha  
 have often him thereto requested Yet the S<sup>r</sup> Lebadiah hath  
 wholly neglected & refused to pay and perform his S<sup>r</sup> Promise to the  
 Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Warham in his S<sup>r</sup> Capacity thirty Pounds  
 The Plf appears in his own proper Person & the Deft the three  
 times called to come into Court makes default as appear  
 here Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Warham do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Lebadiah twenty  
 Pounds sixteen Shillings & one Penny of lawful Money Damages  
 and Costs of Court taxed at 4s 2d & thereof &c  
 Given in Obedt 2 1783

Idem  
 Williams  
 No 43

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Goods & Chattels Rights  
 and Credits of Elisha Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Westfield in S<sup>r</sup> County  
 and

Town  
 Drake  
 No 44

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> Deceased & in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plf is Moses Drake of Westfield in  
and s<sup>d</sup> County Yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup>  
Drake - Moses at Westfield aforesaid on the eighteenth Day of December seventeen  
hundred & seventy three by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup>  
Elisha in his Life-time to pay him the Sum of Twelve Pound four  
Shillings & four Pence on Demand with Interest - Yet the said  
Moses tho<sup>t</sup> often requested by the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha in his Life-time & by the  
said Warham since the Death of the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha hath never performed  
his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Warham in his  
s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Twelve & six Pounds - The Plf appears in his own  
proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three Times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Warham do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Moses  
seventeen Pounds eight shillings & seven Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £14. 0. 0 & thereof &c  
Done in s<sup>d</sup> Oct. 2. 1783

Warham Parks of Blandford in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup>  
Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Goods & Chattels Rights  
Bigelow - and Credits which were of Elisha Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Westfield  
in s<sup>d</sup> County Deceased & in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plf is Titus Bigelow of  
No 45 - Southwick in our s<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Yeoman Debt in a Plea  
of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Titus at Westfield aforesaid on the twenty  
ninth Day of January seventeen hundred & seventy two by his  
Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha in his Life-time to  
pay him the Sum of six Pounds four Shillings & one Penny lawful  
Money on demand with Interest - Likewise the s<sup>d</sup> Titus at  
the aforesaid Westfield did on the thirtieth Day of May seven  
teen hundred & seventy three by his Note for value received  
promise the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha to pay him the Sum of thirty three Shillings  
and three Pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest -  
Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Titus tho<sup>t</sup> often requested by the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha in his Life-  
time & by the s<sup>d</sup> Warham since the Death of the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha hath  
not paid either of s<sup>d</sup> Sums or any part thereof or any way  
performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects to do it to the Damage  
of the s<sup>d</sup> Warham Twelve Pounds - The Plf appears in  
his own proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three Times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Warham recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Titus four Pounds  
fifteen Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £14. 0. 0 & thereof &c  
Done in s<sup>d</sup> Oct. 2. 1783

Warham Parks of Blandford in the County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Goods and  
Noble Chattels Rights & Credits which were of Elisha Parks late of West-  
field Esq<sup>r</sup> Deceased & in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plf is Mark Noble of Westfield  
No 16 in our s<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case  
for that the s<sup>d</sup> Mark at s<sup>d</sup> Westfield on the twenty second Day of  
June seventeen hundred & seventy three by his Note for value  
received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha in his Life-time to pay him the  
Sum of seven Pounds thirteen Shillings and six Pence on Demand  
with Interest - Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Mark tho<sup>t</sup> often requested by the said  
Elisha in his Life-time & by the s<sup>d</sup> Warham since the Death of the  
s<sup>d</sup> Elisha hath not paid the same Sum or any part thereof or any  
ways performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but unjustly neglects & refuses to do it

To the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Warham eighteen Pounds The  
Plf appears in his own proper Person & the Deft has three Times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Warham do  
recover against the <sup>d</sup> North Twelve Pounds six Shillings & eight  
Pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
one Pound four Shillings & ten Pence & thereof &c

Given at the Court of B. 1783

James Jacob of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
shire Gentleman Plf vs Abraham Page Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in <sup>d</sup> Ract  
County Gentleman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
on the fourth day of September seventeen hundred & seventy  
three by his Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup> James to  
pay him the sum of five Pounds on the fifth day of May then  
next & likewise the <sup>d</sup> Abraham at Granville in aforesaid  
on the <sup>d</sup> fourth day of September seventeen hundred &  
twenty three by his other Note for value received promised  
the <sup>d</sup> James to pay him the sum of five Pounds lawful  
Money by the first day of January then next & yet the  
<sup>d</sup> Abraham has often requested to have never paid the same  
or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup>  
James twenty six Pounds The Plf appears by Warham  
Jacks Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft has three Times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> James do recover against the <sup>d</sup>  
Abraham  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
of Lawful Money

Abner Mitchell of Turfield in the County of Hampshire  
shire Gentleman Plf vs Samuel Allen of Ashfield in our said  
County Gentleman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Samuel at Ashfield aforesaid by his Note for value received  
promised the <sup>d</sup> Abner to pay him eight Pounds & ten Shillings  
to be paid in the Year twenty one meaning some time in  
the Year seventeen hundred & seventy one with Interest meaning  
lawful Interest for the same till paid & yet the said Samuel  
has often requested to have never paid the Contents of <sup>d</sup> Note or  
in any way fulfilled his <sup>d</sup> promise to the Plf but neglects it  
to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Abner sixteen Pounds The Plf ap-  
pears by ~~the~~ Samuel Field his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft has three Times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Abner do recover  
against the <sup>d</sup> Samuel five Pounds three Shillings & nine Pence of  
Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>d</sup> 10/-  
and thereof &c

Given at the Court of B. 1783

Gads  
 11  
 M. Clinton  
 No 17  
 Justin Gady of Athol in our County of Worcester Husbandman  
 Plff is Joseph M. Climbok of Ware in S. County Gentle Person  
 in a Plea of the Case for that the S. Climbok at a Slave called  
 Verplank's hired to work at Northampton in the County  
 of Hampshire on the Twenty sixth Day of August seventeen hundred &  
 eighty one by his Note for value received promised the S. Joseph  
 to pay him fourteen pounds lawful Money by the first Day of January  
 then next with Interest till paid. Yet the S. Joseph tho often  
 requested by the time of payment long since passed has never paid  
 the same but refuses to do so to the Damage of the S. Justin twenty  
 pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree that this Case be  
 continued to the next Term in Judgement there to be final.  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day  
 here until the next second Tuesday of November next.

Paddock  
 11  
 Patterson  
 No 48

Atherton  
 Whetten  
 No 51  
 Jonathan Atherton of Greenfield in our  
 County of Hampshire Yeoman. Plff is Robert Whetten of  
 of the same Greenfield Yeoman. Deth in a Plea of the Case  
 for that the S. Robert at a Grant on the eighth Day of  
 January last past by his Note for value received promised the  
 S. Jonathan to pay him Two Pounds five Shillings & four Pence  
 lawful Money on Demand with Interest meaning lawful Interest  
 for the same until paid. Yet the S. Robert tho often requested  
 hath never paid the Content of the S. Note nor any part thereof  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Jonathan four Pounds.  
 The Plff appears by Samuel Child his Att. & the Deth tho three Times  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S. Jonathan do recover against the S. Robert the sum of four pounds  
 & four pence & costs of Court & do at 7. 2. & the next 24. Upon 24. Feb. 11. 1783.

Abner Smead of Granfield in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Coramoner. Plf vs Oliver Shattuck of Deerfield in our Smead  
 County Geo. Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
 Oliver abt Granfield on the thirtieth Day of July last past by Shattuck  
 his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> Smead to pay him No 32  
 Two Pounds & four shilling lawful Money on Demand with  
 Interest (meaning lawful Interest for the same till paid) yet  
 the said Oliver tho often requested hath never paid the sum or any  
 part thereof but wholly neglects to do it to the Damage of the  
 said Abner Four Pounds The Plf appears by Samuel Tull  
 his atty and the Deft tho three Times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance etc Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Smead do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Oliver Two Pounds  
 fourteen shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
 of Court taxed at £1.4.0 & thereof Exon. 15<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 25<sup>th</sup> 1783

David Tild of Deerfield in our County of  
 Hampshire Esq Plf vs Nathan Gold of Charlemonk in Tild Esq  
 S<sup>r</sup> County Geo. Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Nathan  
 abt Deerfield on the fourth Day of June Gold  
 last past by his Note for value received promised the said No 33  
 David to pay him the sum of three Pounds seven shillings &  
 four pence solded Coin on Demand with the Interest (meaning  
 for the same) untill paid yet the S<sup>r</sup> Nathan tho often requested  
 hath never paid the Contents of the Note nor any part thereof but  
 neglects to do it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> David Four Pounds The  
 Plf appears by Samuel Tull his atty & the Deft tho three Times  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup>  
 David do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Nathan three Pounds eight  
 shillings & three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £1.8.3 & thereof Exon. 15<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 25<sup>th</sup> 1783

Walter Tobes of Norwich in our County of Hampshire  
 Geo. Deft vs Taber Tracy of Chester in S<sup>r</sup> County Geo. Deft  
 called Gentlemen Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Tracy  
 abt Norwich on the sixteenth Day of August sixteen hundred  
 hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Walter to pay him the sum of thirteen Pounds in Silver  
 Money at or before the first Day of March next (meaning next  
 ensuing the Date of the Note) with lawful Interest for the same  
 untill paid yet the S<sup>r</sup> Tobes tho often requested hath never  
 paid the S<sup>r</sup> Walter the aforesaid sum or any part thereof but  
 neglects to do it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Walter Twenty  
 Pounds The Plf being three Times called to come into  
 Court is non-suit & the Deft default & the Action dismissed

Stephen Fowler of Westfield in the County of Hamp-  
 shire Geo. Deft vs Hildad Fowler of the same Westfield Geo  
 Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Hildad abt Fowler  
 Westfield on the Twenty second Day of September sixteen hundred  
 and seventy four by his Note for value received promised  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Stephen to pay him ninety three Pounds nineteen shillings

Fowler

Fowler

and nine Pence Lawful Money within eight Months from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with lawful Interest for the same till Paid & yet the s<sup>d</sup> Biddad tho often requested hath never paid the same to the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen Two hundred Pounds The Plf appears by a middle Lawler Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes & defends the Force and Injury which he and says that he never promised the Plf in Hammon & Form as the Plf within hath alleged against him & thereof puts himself on the Country And the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen reserving Liberty upon the Trial of the Appeal to waive his Plea & plead anew says that the Plea above pleaded by the s<sup>d</sup> Biddad & the Matter therein contained is insufficient & the Lib<sup>r</sup> he is not bound by the Law of the Land to make any answer thereto & that he ready to verify therefore prays Judgement & Judgement for his Costs And the s<sup>d</sup> Biddad consenting says his Plea is sufficient & thereof prays Judgement Thereupon all and singular the Premises were sworn by the Court here <sup>fully</sup> understood for that it appears to the Court that the Plea aforesaid of the s<sup>d</sup> Biddad by him above pleaded and the Matter therein contained is a good & sufficient answer in Law to the declaration aforesaid of the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen & that he ought not to recover any thing upon the Plea aforesaid Therefore it is considered that the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen by his Plea afores<sup>d</sup> recover nothing but that for his groundless Claim he be in Mercy And it is also considered that the s<sup>d</sup> Biddad do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen Costs for defending the Suit of the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen & wherefore the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen by his Att<sup>r</sup> James into Court & appeals from the Court Judgement of this Court to the supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in the County of Hamp shire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he recognizes to the Common or with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his s<sup>d</sup> Appeal with Effect as by s<sup>d</sup> Recognizance & Affidavit appears

Black

Jaggard

N<sup>o</sup> 56

Stewart Black of Putney in the State called Vermont Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf is James Jaggard of Middlefield in the County of Hampshire shire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> is a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> James at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the third Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seven hundred & seventy seven by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Stewart (by the name of Stewart Black) to pay him six Pounds meaning lawful Money in one Year from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with lawful Interest for the same till paid & yet the s<sup>d</sup> James tho often requested & time & pay ment have since passed hath never paid the s<sup>d</sup> Stewart the same but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Stewart Nine Pounds The Plf appears by a middle Lawler Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Stewart do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> James of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed and <sup>thereof</sup>

Campbell

Sharmann

N<sup>o</sup> 57

Thomas Campbell of Southwick in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf is Isaac Sharmann of ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~borough~~ <sup>borough</sup> in our County of Middlesex Baker Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case & whereupon the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas complains for this to wit that whereas the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac at Northampton on the last Day of June last past being justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas in the sum of Nine Pounds five Shillings & eight Pence lawful Money for two Barrels of Wheat Flour before that Time sold & delivered to him the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac according to the annexed Account then & there in Consideration thereof the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac

promised the <sup>Sr</sup> Thomas to pay him the same Sum on Demand. And also for that whereas the <sup>Sr</sup> Isaac at Northampton a forerice Campbell afterwards to wit on the same last Day of June in Consideration that the <sup>Sr</sup> Thomas had then before that Time sold & delivered to the said Sherman Isaac at his special Instance & Request two other Barrels of Wheat Flour assumed on himself and think there faithfully the <sup>Sr</sup> Thomas to pay him therefor on Demand so much Money as the <sup>Sr</sup> Flour at the Time of sale & Delivery thereof was reasonably worth & the said Thomas in fact with the <sup>Sr</sup> two Barrels of Flour at the <sup>Sr</sup> Time of sale & Delivery thereof were reasonably worth the other sum a nine Pounds five Shillings & eight Pence lawful Money, of which the said Thomas then immediately gave the <sup>Sr</sup> Isaac Notice. Yet the <sup>Sr</sup> Isaac tho often requested hath never paid the Sum aforesaid or any part thereof but unjustly neglects to do it to the Damage of the <sup>Sr</sup> Thomas Eighteen Pounds. The Parties severally appear & it is considered by the Court that the <sup>Sr</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Samuel Patterson of Marna Gotten - prisoner in the County & State of New York Yeoman Plaintiff is Samuel Blair late of Rutland in our County of Worcester Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Trespas on the Case for that whereas the <sup>Sr</sup> Blair at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the Thirtieth Day of July last by his Note for value received promised the <sup>Sr</sup> Patterson to pay him one hundred & five Pounds sixteen Shillings and eight Pence lawful Money on Demand with Lawful Interest for the same till paid. Yet the <sup>Sr</sup> Blair tho often requested hath never paid the <sup>Sr</sup> Patterson the same but neglects to do it to the Damage of the <sup>Sr</sup> Patterson Two hundred Pounds. The Parties severally appear & on a Motion of the Plaintiff that this Case be continued to the next Term. There upon it is considered by the Court that the <sup>Sr</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Jonathan Dwight of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff Noble Dewey of Westfield in our County Inhabitant Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>Sr</sup> Noble at Springfield on the Twenty first Day of January 1799 seventeen hundred & eighty Two by his promissory Note for value received promised the <sup>Sr</sup> Dewey to pay him four Pounds nine Shillings in Spanish Milled Dollars at six Shillings each or in Silver at six Shillings & eight pence per ounce on Demand with lawful Interest for the same untill paid. Yet the <sup>Sr</sup> Noble tho often requested hath never paid the same but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>Sr</sup> Dewey Nine Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Towler Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>Sr</sup> Dewey do recover against the <sup>Sr</sup> Noble four Pounds sixteen Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £1. 10. 0 & thereof &c. Done at West Sept<sup>r</sup> 10 1793.

Fowler  
Easton Esq  
No 60  
John Fowler the second of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Esq is Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Shimmae at S<sup>r</sup>  
Westfield on the fifteenth Day of February seventeen hundred & seventy  
four by his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> John to pay him  
one Pound seventeen Shillings lawful Money in six Months from the  
Date of S<sup>r</sup> Note with lawful Interest, for the same from time of  
payment till paid. Also for that the S<sup>r</sup> Shimmae at Westfield  
foresaid on the same fifteenth Day of February seventeen hundred &  
seventy four by his other Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> John  
to pay him another sum of one Pound & ten Shillings lawful Money in  
six Months from the Date of S<sup>r</sup> Note with lawful Interest from time  
of payment till paid. Also for that the S<sup>r</sup> Shimmae at Westfield  
on the Twenty sixth Day of March seventeen hundred & seventy four by  
his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> John to pay him another  
sum of Fifteen Shillings & ten Pence lawful Money in six Months from  
the Date of S<sup>r</sup> Note with lawful Interest for the same from the  
time of payment till paid. Yet the S<sup>r</sup> Shimmae tho often request  
ed & time of payment long since past hath never paid the S<sup>r</sup> John  
the S<sup>r</sup> John Ten Pounds. The Plt appears by Samuel Fowler  
Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is consi  
dered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> John do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Shimmae  
six Pounds nine Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Cost of Court taxed at £1. 10. 7 & thereof & upon if. Sep<sup>r</sup> 10 1783

Noble  
Hoch  
No 61  
Stephen Noble of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Esq is Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> James at S<sup>r</sup>  
Westfield on the fifteenth Day of July  
seventeen hundred & seventy eight by his Note for value received  
promised the S<sup>r</sup> Stephen to pay him Two hundred & ten Pounds  
lawful Money on demand with lawful Interest for the same  
sum until paid. Yet the S<sup>r</sup> James tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Stephen  
eighty Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree that this Case  
be continued to the next Term Judgement there to be final. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the  
second Tuesday of November next.

Soth  
Rhodes  
No 62  
David Soth of Dorset in the County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup>  
Esq is Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> John at S<sup>r</sup>  
Dorchester on the fifth Day of August seventeen hundred & seventy three  
by his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> David to pay him or  
order six Pounds lawful Money at or before the twenty first Day  
of December then next ensuing the Date of S<sup>r</sup> Note with lawful Interest  
for the same till paid. Yet the S<sup>r</sup> John tho often requested & time  
of payment long since past hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> David nine Pounds. The Plt appears  
by Samuel Soth Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three Times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> David do recover against the S<sup>r</sup>  
John four Pounds twelve Shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages &  
Cost of Court taxed at £1. 10. 7 & thereof & upon if. Sep<sup>r</sup> 10 1783

John Fowler <sup>the plaintiff</sup> of Wiffeld in the County of Hampshire  
 Yeoman & Jonathan Bacon late of Chester in the County of Devon  
 Esqrs in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jonathan and J. Wiffeld in the  
 last Term of June last past in consideration that the said John had before  
 that Time at the special Instance & Request of the said Jonathan  
 delivered to him sundry Goods & Merchandise, he the said Jonathan  
 then and there assumed on himself & to the said John faithfully promised  
 that he the said Jonathan would well & truly pay & content so  
 much Money as should be due afterwards he should be thereto requested  
 as the same Goods & Merchandise at a Time & Place & Delivered were  
 reasonably worth & the said John says the said Goods, Wares & Merchandise  
 at a Time & Place & Delivered were worth the Sum of Four Pounds  
 ten Shillings lawful Money of which he gave the said Jonathan three  
 the same Sum, yet the said Jonathan after requested hath never  
 paid the said John the same out notwithstanding to the Damage of the  
 said John Eight Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Fowler  
 Gent. his Attorney & the Defendant three Times called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the said John do recover against the said Jonathan  
 Four Pounds, fifteen Shillings and six Pence half Penny lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 12s 6d  
 and three of the said Plaintiff's Expenses.  
 Given under the Seal of the Court the 10th of Sept. 1783.

Fowler  
 vs  
 Bacon  
 No 63

Abner Fowler of Southwick in the County of Hampshire  
 Yeoman & Abel Tilton of Gosport in the County of Hampshire  
 Yeoman & Esqrs in a Plea of the Case for that the said Abel Tilton  
 Southwick in the County of Hampshire in the last Term of June last past  
 by his Attorney delivered to him at the sign of the Lamb in Boston  
 at Noon Tavern at the sign of the Lamb in Boston  
 hundred & one quarters of good Wheat Flour within one Month  
 from the Date of the Plea, with lawful interest for the same until  
 paid, and the said Tilton says he has been always ready at the  
 sign of the Lamb in Boston to receive the said Flour & to pay  
 the said Flour would have been well worth the Sum of five  
 Pounds & fifteen Shillings lawful Money at the said Time of delivery  
 of the said Flour, yet the said Abel Tilton after requested by him of  
 the said Flour & to pay the same hath never paid or delivered  
 the said Flour to the said Abner or any way satisfied him but to  
 neglect & cause the Plaintiff to be damaged to the said Abner Nine Pounds  
 The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Fowler Gent. his Attorney & the  
 Defendant three Times called to come into Court makes default  
 of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Abner do recover against the said Tilton  
 Nine Pounds, five Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money  
 and Costs of Court taxed at 12s 6d thereof &c  
 Given under the Seal of the Court the 10th of Sept. 1783.

Fowler  
 vs  
 Tilton  
 No 64

above  
8  
implied  
1865

William Carter of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
commonly called the Plaintiff in the Court of Common Pleas  
doth in a Bill of Supplic in the Case for that the said William complains  
for that the said Abel Abell the Defendant on the last Day of  
June last paid being justly indebted to the said William in the sum of three  
Pounds six Shillings lawful Money to Balance Account according to  
the annexed Account. The said Abel then & there in Consideration thereof  
promised the said William to pay him the same on Demand &  
also for that the said Abel Abell on the last Day of June  
last in Consideration that the said William had before that Time  
at the special instance & request of the said Abel carried a Load of  
Cattle to Boston & brought them back from Boston to the said William  
according to the other Account annexed & then & there promised the said  
William to pay him therein Twelve Pounds lawful Money on  
Demand & also for that whereas the said Abel Abell & said William  
on the same last Day of June last paid in Consideration that the said  
William had before that Time at the special instance & request of  
the said Abel done & performed certain Labour & service in carrying a  
Load of Cattle from Boston for the said Abel according to the other Account hereto  
annexed, the said Abel then & there promised the said William to pay him  
therefor on Demand so much Money and Labour & service was reasonably  
worth by the said William the sum of one & six Shillings & service was reasonably  
worth Twelve Pounds lawful Money of which he gave the said Abel  
Notice then the same Day. Yet the said Abel then & after requested hath  
never paid either of the sums aforesaid or any part thereof but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said William seven Pounds.  
The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Gorton his Attorney & the Defendant  
has failed to come into Court & has default of appearance  
herein. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said William  
do recover against the said Abel three Pounds six Shillings of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at 10 Shillings  
and three of the  
Hereof 10 Shillings 10 p 10 10 10

Samuel Stockwell of Montgomery in the County of Hampshire German Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Stockwell  
 at Northampton on the twenty fifth Day of July seventeen hundred & eighty  
 Two by his Note for value received promised the said Samuel to pay Capley  
 him Twelve Pounds lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest  
 Interest for the same till paid. Yet the said Capley tho. often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
 said Samuel nineteen Pounds. The Plf appears by Samuel  
 Fowler Gent. his Att. & the Deft. tho. three Times called to come in to  
 Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the said Samuel do recover against  
 the said Capley Twelve Pounds fifteen Shillings & seven pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 9<sup>s</sup>. 6<sup>d</sup> & thereof he  
 Execution <sup>up</sup> Sep. 10. 1783

Malcom Henry of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Gent. Plf. v. Noble Deacey of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Henry  
 Noble Ironholder Debt in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Noble  
 at Northampton on the second Day of July seventeen hundred & eighty  
 Two by his Note for value received promised the said Malcom to pay him  
 or order Eighteen Pounds lawful Money to be paid in three Months  
 from the Date of said Note with the meaning lawful Interest for the  
 same till paid. Yet the said Noble tho. often requested hath never  
 paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Malcom  
 nineteen Pounds. The Plf appears by Samuel Fowler Gent.  
 his Att. & the Deft. tho. three Times called to come in to Court makes  
 default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Malcom do recover against the said Noble eleven  
 Pounds & eighteen Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
 Court taxed at £. 1<sup>s</sup>. 0<sup>d</sup> & thereof he Execution <sup>up</sup> Sep. 10. 1783

William Knox the second of Blandford in our County of Hampshire  
 Gent. Plf. v. Peter Bundy of Montgomery in our County of Hampshire  
 German Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Peter at  
 Northampton in said County on the eighth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty  
 and eight Two by his Note for value received promised the said William  
 to pay him the sum of three Pounds thirteen Shillings & one Penny  
 lawful Money to be paid by the first Day of November then next  
 ensuing the Date of said Note with lawful Interest for the same till  
 paid. Yet the said Peter tho. often requested ~~to~~ & time of  
 payment long since hath never paid the said William the  
 same but neglects it to the Damage of the said William four Pounds.  
 The Plf appears by Samuel Fowler Gent. his Att. & the Deft. tho. three  
 Times called to come in to Court makes default of appearance  
 here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
 William do recover against the said Peter Twenty five Pounds nine  
 Shillings & five Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £. 9<sup>s</sup>. 10<sup>d</sup> & thereof he Execution <sup>up</sup> Sep. 10. 1783

Israel Dwyer German & Iron Decey German both of Dwyer &  
 Westfield in the County of Hampshire Plf. v. Timothy Noble and  
 Thomas Chauncy German both of Southwicks in said County Debt in a Plea of the Case  
 Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Timothy & Thomas at said Westfield  
 on the twenty fourth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty  
 one by their Note for value received promised the said Israel &

Denny &c. Aaron to pay them Twenty six Pounds thirteen Shillings & six Pence  
 lawful Silver Money or North Baitle at Silver Money Price on or before  
 the first Day of November then next ensuing the Date of this Order with  
 Noble &c. lawful Interest on the same till paid. Yet the P. Timothy & Thomas nor  
 either of them tho often requested & some at payment long since past  
 have never paid the same but neglect & default to the Damage of the  
 P. Isaac & Aaron Twenty Pounds. The P. appears by Samuel  
 Fowler Gent. his Ltr. & the Def. to the three Times called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it  
 is considered by the Court that the P. Isaac & Aaron do recover against  
 the said Thomas & Timothy Fifteen Pounds & fourteen Shillings of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 11s 6d & there of &c  
 Exec. 15<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Gad Noble Yeoman & Katharine Noble Widow both  
 of Wotton in the County of Hampshire Administrators on all and  
 singular the Goods & Chattels of Samuel Noble late of the same Wotton  
 Gent. deceased in a Capricious P. of Libadiah Williams of the same  
 Wotton Deft in a Plea of Trespast on the part for that the said  
 Libadiah abt. Wotton on the third of April 1782 & two or his  
 Note for value received promised the P. Samuel & then living to pay  
 him Two pounds seven Shillings & eight Pence lawful Money in reason-  
 able Time or on Demand with due meaning lawful Interest for  
 the same till paid. Yet the P. Libadiah tho often requested hath  
 never paid the P. Sum to the P. Samuel while living nor he tho he ever  
 paid the P. Sum to the P. Gad & Katharine or either of them since the said  
 Samuels decease but he tho he hath & still doth neglect to pay him to  
 the Damage of the P. Gad & Katharine (w<sup>th</sup> Capricious) Nine Pounds  
 The P. appears by Samuel Fowler Gent. his Ltr. & the Def. to the three  
 Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Gad & Katharine do  
 recover against the P. Libadiah Four Pounds four Shillings & two Pence  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 6s 6d & there of &c  
 Exec. 15<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Fowler  
 11  
 Tenth Decem<sup>r</sup>  
 No 72

Barnabas Dean of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Merchant. Pls vs John Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Yeoman. Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> John at Wethersfield viz at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the first Day of August twentian hundred & eighty four by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Barnabas to deliver him or Order at Wethersfield in the County of <sup>Hartford</sup> Hampshire ~~eighty~~ twenty eight Pounds fourteen Shillings & five Pence half penny worth of good Merchantable pine Boards on Demand with lawful Interest on s<sup>d</sup> Sum untill paid And the s<sup>d</sup> Barnabas declares that he hath w<sup>er</sup> been ready to receive said Boards Yet the s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath never in any way satisfied the s<sup>d</sup> Barnabas or performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Barnabas Fifty Pounds The Pls appears by Alexander Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho Three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Barnabas do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> John forty two Pounds nine Shillings & one Penny half Penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at one Pound nine Shillings & two Pence & thereof &c Ex ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 22<sup>d</sup> 1783

John Burt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire and Francis Hibbens of West Springfield in s<sup>d</sup> County Merchants & Traders in Company Pls vs Elisha Williams of Stockbridge in the County of Berkshire Trader Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the first Day of July instant in Consideration that the s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis had before that time sold & delivered to the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha at his special Instance & request sundry goods, Wares & Merchandize promised the s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis to pay to them whenever he should be afterwards thereunto requested so much Money as the s<sup>d</sup> Goods, Wares & Merchandize at the Time of the sale & delivery aforesaid were reasonably and the s<sup>d</sup> John and Francis in fact say that the s<sup>d</sup> Goods, Wares & Merchandize at the Time of s<sup>d</sup> Sale & delivery were reasonably worth nine Pounds twelve Shillings & two Pence one Farthing whereof the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha had Notice and did then & there viz at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield promise the s<sup>d</sup> John and Francis to pay them the same Sum on Demand Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha tho often requested hath never performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis Twelve Pounds The Pls appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho Three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha nine Pounds Twelve Shillings & Two Pence one Farthing of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at s<sup>d</sup> 15<sup>s</sup> 6<sup>d</sup> and thereof &c Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 22<sup>d</sup> 1783

William Smith & Charles Sheldon both of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Merchants & Traders in Company Pls vs Robert Granger Jun<sup>r</sup> of Suffield in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Trader Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Robert at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the eighteenth Day of January twentian hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said

Smith & al said William and Charles to deliver to them within four ten  
Days from the Date of <sup>1st</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> forty two Pounds ten Shillings & two Pence  
worth of good Merchantable White Flour <sup>1st</sup> Flour to be estimated at  
eighteen Shillings for each hundred weight. And the <sup>1st</sup> William &  
Charles declare that they have ever been ready to receive <sup>1st</sup> Flour  
yet the <sup>1st</sup> Robert tho' often requested hath never in any way performed  
his <sup>1st</sup> Promise but refuses to do it to the Damage of the <sup>1st</sup> William & Charles  
the Sum of fifty five Pounds. The <sup>1st</sup> Robert appears in his own proper  
Person & moves that the Case be continued to the next Term, the Debt being  
out of the State & therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>1st</sup> Parties have Day  
here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Dean  
Taylor  
No 76  
Barnabas Dean of Hartford in the County of Hartford  
State of Connecticut Merchant Plaintiff vs Oliver Taylor of South Hadley in the  
County of Hampshire Geomun Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>1st</sup> Oliver  
at Wethersfield viz at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the  
fifth Day of October seventeen hundred eighty one by his Note for value  
received promised the <sup>1st</sup> Barnabas to pay to him twenty five Pounds &  
ten Shillings lawful Money on Demand with the lawful Interest thereon  
until paid. Yet the <sup>1st</sup> Oliver tho' often requested hath never performed  
his promise of aforesaid but refuses to do it to the Damage of the <sup>1st</sup> Barnabas  
thirty five Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Alexander Wolcott Gen<sup>l</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho' three Times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the <sup>1st</sup> Barnabas do recover against the <sup>1st</sup> Oliver twenty eight  
Pounds eight Shillings and eight Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Cost of Court taxed at <sup>1st</sup> 10. 2 and there of &c. <sup>1st</sup> 22. 17 03

Harris  
Bates  
No 77  
Daniel Harris of Springfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Merchant Plaintiff vs Thomas Bates of <sup>1st</sup> Springfield Geomun  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>1st</sup> Thomas at <sup>1st</sup> Spring  
field on the eleventh Day of August seventeen hundred eighty  
three being justly indebted to the <sup>1st</sup> Daniel in the Sum of  
thirty two pounds twelve Shillings seven Pence half Penny  
according to the account hereunto ~~the~~ annexed in consider  
ation thereof promised the <sup>1st</sup> Daniel to pay him the same Sum  
on Demand. Yet the <sup>1st</sup> Thomas tho' often requested hath  
never performed his <sup>1st</sup> promise but neglects it to the Damage  
of the <sup>1st</sup> Daniel thirty five Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by  
Alexander Wolcott Gen<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho' three Times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>1st</sup> Daniel do recover  
against the <sup>1st</sup> Thomas thirty two Pounds twelve Shillings seven  
Pence half penny of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court  
taxed at <sup>1st</sup> & there of &c.

Colton  
Day  
No 78  
Andrew Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Cordwainer Plaintiff vs Samuel Day late of Adams in the County of  
Berkshire Gen<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>1st</sup> Samuel at <sup>1st</sup>  
Springfield on the nineteenth Day of November last past, by his Note of  
Hand for value received promised the <sup>1st</sup> Andrew to pay him or order over the  
Pounds fifteen Shillings & eight Pence lawful Money on Demand with the  
Interest thereon until paid. Yet the <sup>1st</sup> Samuel tho' often thereto requested  
hath never paid the aforesaid Sum nor any part thereof but neglects to do it  
to the Damage of the <sup>1st</sup> Andrew eighteen Pounds. The Parties mutually appear &  
agree that this Cause be continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the <sup>1st</sup> Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
of November next.

John Burk the third of Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire & Francis Stebbins of West Springfield in the County of Berkshire  
Traders in Company Plf. vs Charles Eddy of Palmer in the County of Berkshire  
Geomans Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Charles at Law  
Springfield on the fifteenth Day of May seven hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said John & Francis  
to pay them the sum of Four Pounds & eleven shillings on or before the  
twentieth Day of June then next ensuing with lawful Interest thereon  
untill paid. Yet the said Charles tho. often requested & time of Payment  
long since elapsed hath never paid the sum as was performed his Promise  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said John & Francis six Pounds  
The Plf. appears by Alexander Walcott Gent. third time and the Deft. tho. often  
three times called to come in to Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John & Francis  
do recover against the said Charles four Pounds eight shillings & four Pence  
of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £13.2.6 there of  
Exec. 15<sup>th</sup> Sep. 23<sup>rd</sup> 1783.

Burk & al  
v  
Eddy  
No 79.

Elisha Adams of Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Geomans Plf. vs John Bedortha of Springfield Geomans Debt in a  
Plea of the Case for that the said John at Law Springfield on the fifth  
Day of March seven hundred & eighty two by his Note for  
value received promised the said Elisha to pay & deliver to him two  
Thousand Feet of good & merchantable white Pine Boards by the  
first Day of May then next ensuing. And the said Elisha declares  
that he yet hath been or still is ready to receive the said Boards. Yet the  
said John tho. often requested hath never performed his Promise  
aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said Elisha eight Pounds  
The Plf. appears by Alexander Walcott Gent. third time and the Deft. tho. often  
three times called to come in to Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Elisha do recover  
against the said John five Pounds seven shillings and six Pence of lawful  
Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £11.10.0 there of  
Exec. 15<sup>th</sup> Sept. 23<sup>rd</sup> 1783.

Adams  
v  
Bedortha  
No 80

Caleb Bull of Hartford in the County of Hartford & the  
of Connecticut Merchant Plf. vs Daniel Barker of Hartford in  
the County of Hampshire Geomans Debt in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Daniel at Hartford viz. at Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
shire on the first Day of March seven hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said Caleb to pay him or  
order thirty four Pounds lawful Money on Demand with the lawful  
Interest untill paid. Yet the said Daniel tho. often requested hath never  
performed his promise aforesaid, but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Caleb forty Pounds. The Plf. appears by Alexander Walcott Gent.  
his Att. & the Deft. tho. three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Caleb do recover against the said Daniel thirty seven  
Pounds one shilling & three Pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at £10.10 there of  
Exec. 15<sup>th</sup> Sept. 23<sup>rd</sup> 1783.

Bull  
v  
Barker  
No 81

John Wilson Langdon of Springfield in the County  
of Hampshire Geoman Plf. vs Enoch Chapin of the same  
Springfield Innholder Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Enoch at Springfield aforesaid on the twentieth Day of April Chapin  
last past by his Note for value received promised the said John  
to pay him seven Pounds and six shillings on Demand  
with the lawful Interest thereon untill paid. Yet the said  
Enoch tho. often requested hath never performed his

Langdon  
v  
Chapin  
No 82

Langdon. Promise aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said John  
Eight Pounds. The Plf appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the  
Chapin. Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> John do recover  
against the s<sup>d</sup> Enock seven Pounds eight Shillings & eleven Pence of  
lawful Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at s<sup>d</sup> 9s & thereof &  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 17<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Mary Alsop of Middletown in the County of Hartford  
Widow and Administratrix to the Estate of  
Note of Connecticut Richard Alsop late of s<sup>d</sup> Middletown Merchant deceased in s<sup>d</sup>  
Denio. Capacity Plf & Bath Denio late of Granfield in the County of  
Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup>  
No 83. Bath at Middletown viz at s<sup>d</sup> Bath in the County of Hampshire  
shire on the fifth Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty  
three by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Richard  
to pay him fifty one Shilling on Demand with Interest thereon untill  
paid. And for that the s<sup>d</sup> Bath at Middletown viz at said  
Northampton on the fifth Day of July seventeen hundred & eighty nine  
by his other Note for value received promised the said Richard to pay  
him within three Month from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note six Pounds & ten  
Shillings lawful Money & if not paid within the three Month  
aforesaid then with the lawful Interest on s<sup>d</sup> Sum from the expiration  
of s<sup>d</sup> three Month untill the Principal should be paid. Yet the said  
Widow the aforesaid hath never in any way performed his Promise  
aforesaid neither at them but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Mary in  
her s<sup>d</sup> Capacity eight Pounds. The Plf appears by Moses Bliss  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the s<sup>d</sup> Mary do recover against the said Bath fifteen Pounds  
nineteen Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages and Cost  
of Court taxed at s<sup>d</sup> 12s & thereof & Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 15 1783.

James Joseph of Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Physician Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup>  
Joseph at Springfield aforesaid on the first Day of June seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the  
said Solomon to pay him the Sum of twenty Pounds lawful  
Money on or before the first Day of April then next ensuing with use  
meaning lawful Interest untill paid. Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph the  
often requested hath not paid s<sup>d</sup> Sum or any part thereof & s<sup>d</sup> Interest  
but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Solomon twenty five Pounds.  
~~The Plf appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Deft the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Solomon do recover  
against the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph. The Parties severally appear & agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term. Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next.~~

Eli Bliss of Suffield in the County of Hartford & State of  
Connecticut Geoman Plf & Eldad Noble of Westfield in the County  
of Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Widow at s<sup>d</sup> Westfield on the twenty sixth Day of November seventeen  
hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
the s<sup>d</sup> Eli to pay him twenty Pounds ten Shillings lawful Money within  
one Month from the date of this Note with lawful Interest for the same  
till paid. Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Eldad the often requested hath never paid  
the same or any part thereof but unjustly neglects to do it to the  
Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Eli Bliss thirty Pounds.

The Plaintiff appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Pl<sup>t</sup> Billed Twenty Two Pounds thirteen Shillings and one Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at one Pound eleven Shillings & four Pence & thereof Ex<sup>ist</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783

31  
Bliss  
as  
Noble.

James Smith a Freeman Town in the County of Albany  
and State of New York Yeoman Pl<sup>t</sup> vs Ezra Clapp<sup>r</sup> Yeoman  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the Pl<sup>t</sup> Ezra Clapp<sup>r</sup> at Westfield agreed on the eleventh Day of January Anno Domini 1781 by their Note for value received promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> James to pay him one hundred and twenty five Pounds lawful Monies by the fifteenth Day of April Anno Domini 1782. with lawful Interest for the same till paid. Yet they nor either of them have never paid the same or any part thereof but neglect it to the Damage of the Pl<sup>t</sup> James two hundred Pounds. The Parties well appear & agree that this case be continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Smith  
Clapp  
No 86.

Thomas Brooks Jun<sup>r</sup> a Farmer Town in the County of Hamp<sup>r</sup>  
here Yeoman Pl<sup>t</sup> vs Ezekiel Foster Jun<sup>r</sup> a Farmer Town in the  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the Pl<sup>t</sup> Brooks at Westfield on the nineteenth Day of February last entered and signed three of his Note for value received, promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> Thomas to pay him the sum of eleven Pounds in Shillings in Great Britain the first Day of April next meaning on the first Day of April then next with use meaning the lawful Interest of the Pl<sup>t</sup> Thomas till paid and the Pl<sup>t</sup> Thomas says the was ready on the first Day of April to receive the aforesaid sum according to the Tenor of the Note. Yet the Pl<sup>t</sup> Ezekiel tho after thereto requested hath not performed his Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the Pl<sup>t</sup> Thomas eight Pounds. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Pl<sup>t</sup> Thomas do recover against the Pl<sup>t</sup> Ezekiel seven Pounds ten Shillings and four Pence of lawful Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at £1.0.9 & thereof Ex<sup>ist</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783

Brooks Jun<sup>r</sup>  
Foster Jun<sup>r</sup>  
No 87

Aaron Billings of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Pl<sup>t</sup> vs Elijah Baker Jun<sup>r</sup> of Amesbury in the County of New  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the Pl<sup>t</sup> Aaron at Conway foresaid on the Twentieth Day of August last entered and signed one of his Note for value received, promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> Aaron to pay him the sum of Twenty four Pounds in Silver or Gold by the first Day of January then next with the lawful Interest of the same sum after the first Day of January till paid. Yet the Pl<sup>t</sup> Elijah tho after thereto requested has not performed his Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the Pl<sup>t</sup> Aaron twenty seven Pounds. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Pl<sup>t</sup> Aaron do recover against the Pl<sup>t</sup> Elijah twenty six Pounds seven Shillings eight Pence of lawful Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at £1.0.9 & thereof Ex<sup>ist</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Billings  
Baker Jun<sup>r</sup>  
No 88

Amidon  
Spinnage  
No 89  
Isabel Amidon of Ashfield in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plff vs John Spinnage of the same Ashfield Yeoman Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the S. John at Ashfield upon the  
thirtieth Day of April seventeen hundred and eighty three was  
settled to the S. Isabel in the sum of three Pounds four Pence  
lawful Money to balance Book accounts according to the current  
amassed and being so indebted the S. John then & there in consideration  
thereof promised the S. Isabel to pay him the same sum ~~and~~ when  
required be thereto requested - Yet the S. John tho often requested  
has not yet returned to the S. Isabel but refuses to do it to the Damage  
of the S. Isabel three Pounds - The Plff appears by William Bellings  
Esq. his atty and the Deft tho three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the S. Isabel do recover against the S. John three  
Pounds and six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at 4s. 6d. and there of &c - Given up Sep<sup>r</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> 1793

Seale  
Pepper  
No 90  
Constantin Peppier of the same Ashfield living at a Place called Number  
seven in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plff vs Isaac Peppier of the same  
in the County aforesaid Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that  
the S. Isaac at Ashfield upon the twenty sixth Day of December  
seventeen hundred and eighty two by his Note of that Date for value  
received promised the S. Constantin to pay him or order within  
four Months eight Shillings and six Pence one farthing meaning lawful  
Money & Money or Species sent in the year seventeen hundred &  
eighty four on Demand with Interest meaning the lawful  
Interest for the same till paid - Yet the S. Isaac tho often requested  
has not paid the Constantin of this Note but neglects it to the Damage  
of the S. Constantin ten Pounds - The Plff severally appears &  
agrees by a Motion of the S. Peppier to this Case be continued to the  
next Term Judgement to then be final - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
of November next

Bond  
Hubbard  
No 91  
John Bond of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plff vs Reuben Hubbard Yeoman and Benjamin Howe  
Yeoman both of the County of Worcester Deft in a Plea  
of the Case for that whereas the S. Reuben and Benjamin at Northampton  
in the County of Hampshire on the eleventh Day of April seventeen  
hundred and eighty three by their Note for value received promised  
severally promised the S. John to pay him or order the sum of sixty pounds  
lawful Money on Demand with Interest meaning the lawful Interest  
at the same till paid - Yet the S. Reuben and Benjamin or either of them  
tho often there to requested have not paid S. Bond the sum with the Interest thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the S. John twenty Pounds - The Parties  
severally appear & on a Motion of the Deft agree that this Case be continued  
to the next Term - Therefore by the Court it is considered that the  
Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next

Harvey  
Baker  
No 92  
Moses Harvey of Montague in our County of Hampshire  
Gentl. Plff vs Timothy Baker of a Plantation called Number seven  
in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S. Tim.  
at Montague upon the twentieth third Day of December seventeen  
hundred and eighty four by his Note for value received promised the  
S. Harvey to pay him the sum of three Pounds meaning lawful Money  
at or before the sixth Day of May then next with the lawful Interest  
in the same till paid - Yet the S. Timothy tho often requested has not paid  
said sum to the S. Harvey but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Harvey five Pounds  
The Plff appears by William Bellings Esq. his atty and the Deft tho three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the S. Harvey do recover against the S. Timothy  
two Pounds thirteen Shillings and ten Pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at 4s. 6d. & there of &c - Given up Sep<sup>r</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> 1793

David Sprague of Sunderland in the County of Ham's  
 there Geoman Plt & James Henton of Shelburn in the County aforesd  
 Geoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> James Henton  
 aforesaid on the twenty fifth Day of October seventeen hundred & seventy  
 six by his Note for value received promised the S<sup>d</sup> David to pay him the  
 sum of Twelve Pounds lawful Money at or before the first Day of Oct<sup>r</sup>  
 then next with Interest till paid. Yet the S<sup>d</sup> James the often requested  
 has never paid a Sum or any Part thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the S<sup>d</sup> David eighteen Pounds. Therefore it is The Plt appears  
 by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Dft the three times called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> David do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> James  
 sixteen Pounds nineteen Shillings & nine Pence of lawful Money  
 Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £. 8. 10 & thereof &c.  
 Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Josiah Torrey of Chesterfield in the County of Cheshire in  
 the State of New Hampshire Geoman Plt & John Ward of Buckland Ince  
 in the County of Hampshire Geoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for  
 that the S<sup>d</sup> John at Buckland aforesaid on the Eleventh Day of October  
 seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
 the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah to pay him or order the Sum of six Pounds six Shillings lawful  
 Money to be paid by the fifteenth Day of February then next. Yet the  
 said John the often requested hath not paid said Sum to the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah eight Pounds.  
 The Plt appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft the three  
 times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah do recover  
 against the S<sup>d</sup> John six Pounds seventeen Shillings of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 11. 10 & thereof &c.  
 Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Solomon Fay a German & Moll's Fairbank Wife of the  
 S<sup>d</sup> Solomon Spinner both of Exeter in the County of  
 Hampshire Plt & Abraham Robbins of Buckland in the  
 County aforesaid Geoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for that  
 the S<sup>d</sup> Abraham at Buckland aforesaid on the second Day of March  
 seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received  
 promised the S<sup>d</sup> Moll then comorale by the Name of Widow Ward  
 (meaning Hans Ward) to pay her or order twenty one Bushels of good  
 Merchantable Wheat or the value thereof in neat Stock meaning neat  
 Cattle in fourteen Months from the Date meaning the Date of said Note with  
 Interest till paid which which the S<sup>d</sup> Solomon & Moll say was then worth the  
 sum of twenty four Pounds nineteen Shillings lawful Money at Buckland  
 aforesaid and the S<sup>d</sup> Solomon & Moll further say that they were always ready  
 to receive S<sup>d</sup> Wheat or the value thereof in neat Cattle according to the  
 Tenor of the S<sup>d</sup> Note. Yet the S<sup>d</sup> Abraham the often requested hath never  
 performed his Promise aforesaid to the S<sup>d</sup> Solomon and Moll nor to either of them  
 but has & still doth neglect it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Solomon & Moll  
 the sum of twenty six Pounds. The Plt appears by William Billings  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft the three times called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
 the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Solomon & Wife do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Abraham  
 twenty four Pounds twelve Shillings & nine Pence of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 11. 11 & thereof &c.  
 Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Bond Josiah Bond of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs. Pierce Bondwell of Williamstown in the County of  
Hampshire Defendant. Gentle Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
No 96 Plaintiff has not in our County on the eighteenth Day of April received promised the  
sum of eight & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the  
said to pay him six Pounds six Shillings in hand & hence meaning lawful  
silver Money by the last of April then next with Interest meaning lawful  
Interest of the same sum until paid yet this the often requested  
sum not paid. Sum with the Interest thereof nor in part as the same he has not  
neglected to do it to the Damage of the said Josiah eight Pounds. The Parties  
appeared on a Motion of the Deft. agreed to have this Case continued to  
the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Josiah to recover against the said Parties have Day here until the  
second Tuesday of November next.

William Billings of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs. Pierce Bondwell of Williamstown in the County of  
Hampshire Defendant. Gentle Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
No 97 Plaintiff has not in our County on the eighteenth Day of April received promised the said William to pay him a  
Note for value received promised the said William to pay him a  
sum of three Pounds eight Shillings & ten pence meaning on Demand  
yet the said Pierce the often requested to the never paid. Sum to the said  
William but he has not to the Damage of the said William four Pounds  
lawful Money. The Plaintiff appears in his own proper Person &  
the Deft. the three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said William do recover against the said Pierce three Pound  
eight Shillings & ten Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £. 0. 3 c. and therefore. Given at Sep. 10<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Solomon Steward of Charlton in our County  
Plaintiff vs. Abraham Robbins of Buckland  
in our County Defendant. Gentle Defendant in a Plea of Trespas on  
the Case for that the said Abraham at Buckland aforesaid ~~gentle~~  
No 98 ~~Defendant~~ on the seventeenth Day of May received promised the said Solomon to pay  
by his Note for value received promised the said Solomon to pay  
him on order six Pounds five Shillings silver Money meaning  
on demand with Interest until paid yet this Abraham  
the often requested has never paid the sum but neglects to do it to  
the Damage of the said Solomon nine Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by William Billings Esq. his Attorney & the Deft. the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is ~~considered~~ <sup>considered</sup> by the Court that the said Solomon do recover  
against the said Abraham six Pounds seven Shillings of Lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 10. 0 c. & therefore.  
Given at Sep. 10<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Jose Merick of Monson in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs. Jonathan Traph of Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Defendant. Gentle Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Jose  
No 100 Plaintiff on the fourth Day of April received promised the said Jose to pay him the  
sum of Two Pounds five Shillings above before the fourth Day of April  
next meaning next after the Date of the Note with Interest till paid  
yet the said Jose the often requested to the not paid the sum nor Interest  
but neglects to do it to the Damage of the said Jose ten Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Oliver Morgan  
Esq. his Attorney & the Deft. the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jose do recover  
against the said Jonathan three Pounds seven Shillings of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £. 10. 0 c. & therefore. Given at Sep. 14<sup>th</sup> 1783.

James Bridgman of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf is Shadrach Chapin of Merrifield in our County of Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach at Merrifield aforesaid on the first Day of May 1783 was justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> James in the sum of three Pounds eleven Shillings according to the Account hereto annexed in the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach then and there in consideration promised the said James to pay him the same Sum on demand. Also for that the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach afterwards to wit on the same first Day of May aforesaid at Merrifield aforesaid in consideration that the s<sup>d</sup> James had before that time of the special instance and request of the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach's Att<sup>y</sup> delivered to him the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach divers Goods Wares & Merchandise other than those mentioned in the Account annexed in the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach undertook & then & there expressly Promised the said James to pay him therefor so much Money as the said Goods last mentioned were reasonably worth & the s<sup>d</sup> James in discharge of the Goods last mentioned were reasonably worth one the sum of three Pounds eleven Shillings of which he there afterwards on the same Day gave the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach Notice. Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Shadrach & the after requested hath not paid to the s<sup>d</sup> James either of the sums but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> James Ten Pounds. The Plf appears by Amos Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three Times called to come into Court made default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said James do recover against the said Shadrach three Pounds and eleven Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.10.10 & thereof &c. Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 11 1783

Timothy Newell of Newbridge in our County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf is Caleb Rogers of Merrifield in our County of Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Caleb at Merrifield on the twenty fifth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last past his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Timothy to pay him or order the sum of nineteen Pounds two Shillings and six pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said Caleb the after requested hath not paid to the said Timothy the sum nor Interest but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Timothy Twenty Pounds. The Plf appears by Amos Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Timothy do recover against the said Caleb Twenty Pounds one Shilling eight Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.0.8 & thereof &c. Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 11 1783

James Bridgman of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf is Amos Chapin of Merrifield in our County of Hampshire Geoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Amos at Merrifield aforesaid on the first Day of May seventeen hundred eighty three was justly indebted to the said James in the sum of three Pounds five Shillings & some pence according to the Account hereto annexed in the said Amos then & there in consideration thereof promised the said James to pay him the same Sum on Demand. The Plf appears by Amos Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said James do recover against the said Amos three Pounds five Shillings & some pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £3.0.0 & thereof &c. Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 11 1783

Also for that that the said Abner afterwards to wit on the  
Bridgton. same first day of May aforesaid at the P<sup>re</sup>sumption in consider-  
ation that the said James had before that time at the special instance  
Chapin and Request of the P<sup>re</sup> Abner sold & delivered to him the P<sup>re</sup> Abner  
divers goods, wares & Merchandise other & then before mentioned in  
the account annexed he the P<sup>re</sup> Abner undertook & there  
faithfully promised the P<sup>re</sup> James to pay him on demand so much  
Money as the P<sup>re</sup> Goods last mentioned were reasonably worth & the P<sup>re</sup>  
James in fact says the P<sup>re</sup> Goods last mentioned were reasonably  
one other Sum of three Pounds five Shillings and seven Pence of which  
in there afterwards on the same Day gave the P<sup>re</sup> Abner Notice  
yet the P<sup>re</sup> Abner tho after requested hath not paid to the P<sup>re</sup> James  
either of the said Sum but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>re</sup> James ten Pounds  
The P<sup>re</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the P<sup>re</sup> hath three  
times called to come into Court make default or appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>re</sup> James do recover  
against the P<sup>re</sup> Abner the Sum of five Shillings and seven Pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £1.10.0 & there of  
Exon<sup>is</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

David M<sup>r</sup> Glue of Stafford in the County of Stafford &  
McGlue State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff Jasper Matham of South  
Brimfield in our County of Hampshire German Dy<sup>st</sup> in a Plea  
N<sup>o</sup> 104 the Case for that the P<sup>re</sup> Jasper at South Brimfield on the sixth  
Day of April sweeten hundred & twenty five by his Note for  
value received promised one Daniel Winibster to pay him or order  
the Sum of eighteen Pounds five Shillings and eight pence half penny  
lawful Money upon demand with Interest till paid and the P<sup>re</sup>  
Daniel there afterwards on the same Day by his Indorsement on  
the back of said Note for value received ordered the Cont<sup>nt</sup>  
of said Note then unpaid to be paid to the P<sup>re</sup> whereof the said  
Jasper had due notice and thereupon became chargeable to pay  
the Cont<sup>nt</sup> to the P<sup>re</sup> on Demand & there in consideration there of  
promised the P<sup>re</sup> to pay the Cont<sup>nt</sup> accordingly yet the P<sup>re</sup> Jasper  
tho after requested hath not paid to the P<sup>re</sup> Cont<sup>nt</sup> but neglects  
it to the Damage of the P<sup>re</sup> David thirty five Pounds The P<sup>re</sup>  
appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Dy<sup>st</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court make default or appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>re</sup> David do  
recover against the P<sup>re</sup> Jasper the Sum of seven Pounds nine Shillings  
and four Pence two Farthings of lawful Money Damages and Costs  
of Suit taxed at £1.19.6 & there of Ex<sup>on</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

David M<sup>r</sup> Glue of Stafford in the County of  
Idem State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff Joseph Buller of  
Charlton in our County of Worcester German & Simineus Davidson  
Buller both Brimfield in our County of Hampshire German Dy<sup>st</sup> in  
a Plea of the Case for that the P<sup>re</sup> Dy<sup>st</sup> at South Brimfield aforesaid  
N<sup>o</sup> 105 on the twenty fifth Day of March last past by their Note for value  
received promised one Daniel Winibster to pay him or order the  
Sum of Forty two Pounds lawful silver Money on demand with  
Interest till paid & the P<sup>re</sup> Daniel there afterwards on the same Day  
by his Indorsement on said Note for value received ordered the  
Cont<sup>nt</sup> of said Note then unpaid to be paid to the P<sup>re</sup> whereof the  
said Dy<sup>st</sup> had due notice & thereupon became chargeable to pay  
the Cont<sup>nt</sup> to the P<sup>re</sup> on Demand & there in consideration  
there of promised the P<sup>re</sup> to pay the Cont<sup>nt</sup> accordingly yet  
the P<sup>re</sup> Dy<sup>st</sup> tho after requested hath not nor have either of them  
paid to the P<sup>re</sup> Cont<sup>nt</sup> but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>re</sup>  
David sixty Pounds The Parties we are appear and

agree that this case be continued to the next Term, Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the P. Parties have Day here  
untill the second Tuesday of November next

Ephraim Bond of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire  
vs The Inhabitants of South Brimfield in our County Bond  
aforesaid Defd in a Plea of the Case for that the P. Inhabitants  
of South Brimfield aforesaid on the twenty ninth Day of Inhabitants  
October last past by a Provisory Note subscribed by ~~James~~ How of South  
Treasurer for the Town of South Brimfield & in the half of P. Town pro  
mised the P. Ephraim to pay him or order the sum of fifteen  
Pounds eleven shillings in silver Money by the tenth Day of April  
next (meaning next after the Date aforesaid Note) with Ten pence till  
paid. Also for that the said Inhabitants afterwards so with  
on the first Day of July Instant at South Brimfield aforesaid  
were justly indebted to the said Ephraim in one other sum of twenty  
Pounds for so much Money by the P. Ephraim before that Time lent  
and advanced to the P. Inhabitants & at the special Instance and  
request of the said Inhabitants and being so indebted they the said  
Inhabitants then and there in Court upon Trial, promised the said  
Ephraim to pay him the same last mentioned sum on Demand & yet  
the said Inhabitants tho often requested have not nor hath either of  
them paid to the P. Ephraim either of P. sum or the Interest but ne  
glect it to the Damage of the said Ephraim Thirtie Pounds  
The Parties severally appear and agree that this case be continued  
to the next Term. Therefore by the Court it is considered  
that the P. Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of  
November next

N. 106

Deborah Baker of Winton in our County of Winchester  
vs Jonathan Mutton Junr of South Brimfield in  
our County of Hampshire James Bond in a Plea of Defd  
for that the said Deborah by the Consideration and Justice of  
our then Superior Court of Common Pleas held at Southampton  
within and for our said County of Hampshire by agreement  
of the General Court on the second Tuesday of April seven ten  
hundred and eighty two recovered judgement against the said  
Mutton for the sum of eight Pounds twelve shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Cost of Court taxed at two pounds three shillings and six  
pence in that behalf expended as by the Records aforesaid Court  
there remaining reference thereto being had may appear, which Judge  
ment remains in full force, effect & virtue not reversed, annulled or  
satisfied and the said Deborah Baker hath not had Execution said  
Judgement whereby an action hath accrued to the said Deborah to  
demand & have at the P. Jonathan the sum aforesaid in the whole  
amounting to the sum of ten Pounds fifteen shillings & six pence,  
yet the said Jonathan tho often requested hath not paid to the P.  
Deborah P. last mentioned sum or any part thereof but neglect it  
to the Damage of the said Deborah eighteen Pounds. The Parties  
severally appear and agree to have this case continued to the  
next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Parties have Day here untill the next second Tuesday  
of November next

Baker  
Mutton  
N. 107

Inhabitant The Inhabitant of the Town of South Brimfield in our said  
 of South County of Hampshire Pls to Jacob B. New of Holland in our  
 Brimfield County of our said County of South Brimfield on the fifth Day of April last  
 past was justly indebted to the said Inhabitant of South Brimfield  
 aforesaid in the Sum of two hundred and twenty Pound lawful Money  
 N<sup>o</sup> 108 for so much Money by the said Jacob before the time due and  
 received of the said Inhabitant to the use of the said  
 Inhabitant & being so indebted to the said Jacob then & there in  
 Consideration thereof promised the said Inhabitant to pay them  
 the same Sum on Demand & yet the said Jacob has after requested  
 hath not paid to the said Inhabitant said Sum but neglected it  
 to the Damage of the said Inhabitant two hundred & forty Pound  
 The Parties severally appear and agree to refer this Case and  
 all demands to the Award & final Determination of Daniel Bush  
 Esq: Stephen Munn & Cap: Ralph Wheelock, who are to hear  
 the Proofs and Allegations of the said Parties & the Award of them or  
 any Two of them to be final, to be returned into this Court  
 Judgement to be made up & execution to issue accordingly  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day  
 here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Bishop  
 6664  
 N<sup>o</sup> 109 William Bishop of South Brimfield in the County  
 of Hampshire Gent. Pls to George Gools of Summers in the  
 County of Hampshire Esq: of Colman atherways under  
 George Gools of South Brimfield aforesaid Geoman Debt in a  
 Plea of the Case for that whereas the said George aforesaid Brimfield  
 aforesaid on the sixteenth Day of June last past by his Note for value  
 received promised the said William to pay him meaning to deliver to him  
 fifty five Bushels of good Merchantable Rye at the Value of Twenty  
 Pounds to be delivered to Stephen Seapors at Summers and James  
 Harringtons in Enfield on Demand & yet the said George has  
 after requested hath not delivered to the said William said Rye  
 altho the said William hath always been ready at said Peas and  
 Harringtons to receive the same but neglected & refused to do so to the  
 Damage of the said William Twenty five Pounds The Parties  
 severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next  
 Term Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have  
 Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Gleason  
 Fairbanks  
 N<sup>o</sup> 110 Jonathan Gleason of Wotton in our County of Worcester  
 Geoman Pls to Abel Fairbanks of Brimfield in our County of Hamp  
 shire Geoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Abel  
 of Brimfield aforesaid on the fifth Day of December hundred and  
 eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said Jonathan to  
 pay him the Sum of four Pounds within one Year from the Date meaning  
 from the Date of said Note with Interest till paid & yet the said Abel has after  
 requested hath not paid to the said Jonathan said Sum or said Interest but  
 neglected it to the Damage of the said Jonathan four Pounds The said Abel ap  
 pears by Abner Morgan Esq: his Att: and the said Jonathan three times called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan do recover  
 against the said Abel four Pounds eight Shillings and five Pence of  
 lawful Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at £1.15.6  
 and thereaft  
 Done at Sep: 21<sup>th</sup> 1783

Sabins  
 Inhabitant  
 of South  
 Brimfield  
 N<sup>o</sup> 111 John Sabins of Wotton in the County of Hampshire  
 Geoman Pls to The Inhabitant of South Brimfield in our  
 County of Hampshire aforesaid Debt in a Plea of the Case for  
 that whereas the said Inhabitant of South Brimfield aforesaid  
 on the twenty fifth Day of May seven hundred & eighty one

by their Note subscribed by Jacob Shaw Treasurer for the Town of South Brimfield and in behalf of P. Town for value Sabins received promised ~~the said one~~ <sup>one</sup> Jonas Lewis to pay him or order twenty six Pounds thirteen shillings and four Pence in silver Money or other Money equivalent at or before the tenth Day of April next <sup>Inhabitants of South Brimfield.</sup> seventeen hundred and eighty and if not paid then Interest untill paid, and the said ~~one~~ <sup>one</sup> Jonas Lewis there afterwards on the same Day by his Indorsement on said for value received ordered the contents of the same Note then unpaid to be paid to the Plf whereof the said Inhabitants had due Notice & thereupon became chargeable to pay said Contents to the Plf on Demand and then & there in consideration thereof promised the Plf to pay him said Contents according to <sup>but</sup> yet the said Inhabitants tho often requested have not nor hath either of them paid to the Plf said sum nor Interest but neglect and refuse to do it to the Damage of the P. Noa 4 forty Pounds The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Therefore it is considered by the Court that P. Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Joah Sabins of Monson in our County of Hampshire Geoman Plf vs the Inhabitants of South Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Defs in a Plea of Supra on the Case for that the P. Inhabitants on the twenty first Day of May seventeen hundred eighty one at South Brimfield aforesaid to their Note subscribed by Jacob Shaw Treasurer & by order & in behalf of P. Town of South Brimfield for value received promised <sup>one</sup> Josiah Redger to pay him or order the sum of twenty six Pounds thirteen shillings and four Pence in silver money or other Money equivalent at or before the tenth Day of April next (meaning next after the Date of Note) and if not paid by said Day then Interest till paid, and the said Josiah Redger then afterwards on the same Day by his Indorsement on P. Note for value received ordered the Contents of the same Note then unpaid to be paid to the Plf whereof the P. Inhabitants had due Notice and thereupon became chargeable to pay P. Content to the Plf on demand, & then and there in consideration thereof promised the Plf to pay him P. Contents according to yet the P. Inhabitants tho often requested have not nor hath either of them paid to the Plf P. Contents but neglects it to the Damage of the P. Noa 4 forty Pounds The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Therefore by the Court it is considered that P. Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Charles Bonney of Ware in the County of Hampshire Geoman Plf vs Ishmael Bowker of the same Ware Geoman Defs in a Plea of the Case for that the P. Ishmael at Ware aforesaid on the twenty second Day of April last past by his Note for value received promised the said Charles to pay him or order the sum of fifty one Pounds eleven shillings and five Pence on demand with Interest untill paid yet the said Ishmael tho often requested hath not paid to the P. Charles said sum nor said Interest but neglect and wholly refuses to do so to the Damage of the said Charles Seventy Pounds The Plf being now three times called to come into Court is Non suit & the Deft defaulted and the action dismissed

Larrell  
v  
Rogers  
No 114

Lunas Larrell of New Windsor in the State of Vermont German  
Plf v Daniel Rogers of Ware in our County of Hampshire German  
Dft in a Plea of Debt for that whereas on the twentieth Day of  
Sept last past at Ware aforesaid a certain discourse was had and  
moved by and between the said Daniel and Lunas of and concerning  
the said Lunas taking one John Smith's place who was a soldier in  
the Continental Army and upon that Discourse so had as aforesaid  
it was then & there agreed between them the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel & Lunas that  
the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas should go to the Continental Army & should endeavour  
to procure himself to be accepted as a soldier in S<sup>r</sup> Army in the room  
of the S<sup>r</sup> John Smith & upon condition that he said Lunas should not  
be accepted as a soldier in the room of the S<sup>r</sup> John after he had  
offered himself as a soldier in the room of the said John that  
the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel should pay to the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas upon his return to S<sup>r</sup> Ware  
the sum of Two Pounds eight Shillings for his Trouble and Expence  
and the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel then & there in consideration that the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas  
had promised him the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel to perform the agreement on his  
part to be done & performed under oath and faithfully promised  
the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas to perform the agreement on his part to be done &  
performed to wit to pay him the sum of two pounds eight  
Shillings upon his return to S<sup>r</sup> Ware upon condition he should not  
be received as a soldier <sup>in the S<sup>r</sup> Army</sup> after he had offered himself as such and  
the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas in fact says he went to the S<sup>r</sup> Army & offered himself as  
a soldier in S<sup>r</sup> Army in the room of the S<sup>r</sup> John Smith & that he was  
not accepted as a soldier in the S<sup>r</sup> John's room - Yet the said  
Daniel tho often requested has not paid to the said Lunas the sum  
but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas Ten Pounds - The  
Plf appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Lunas do  
recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel Two Pounds & eight Shillings of  
lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £. 17. 2  
and thereof &c  
Done at S<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

Russell  
v  
Tenney  
No 115

Abigail Russell of Brimfield in the County of  
Hampshire Widow Plf v Daniel Tenney of Brimfield aforesaid  
Dft in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the S<sup>r</sup> Abigail at said  
Brimfield on the first Day of April seven ten Thousand eight  
one by her certain Deed under her Hand and Seal of that Date in  
Court to be produced leased & let to the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel by the Name of  
Daniel Tenney of Brimfield in the County of Worcester & State of Massachusetts  
Bay her whole Farm lying in the North Part of Brimfield aforesaid  
for & during the Term of three years from the aforesaid Date and the  
said Daniel by his certain Deed under his Hand and Seal bearing  
date the same first Day of April aforesaid mounted & in Court to be  
produced at Brimfield aforesaid covenanted and agreed with the  
said Abigail to pay per Acre of twenty seven Pounds in Pay at  
three Shillings & six Pence per Bushell & for Indian Corn at two Shillings  
and six Pence per Bushell & Hay at eight Shillings per hundred or as  
much of the then circulating Money as had purchase nine pence  
worth of the aforesaid Articles at that price at the end of each Year from  
the date of said Date (meaning to pay to her the S<sup>r</sup> Abigail the sum of  
nine Pounds at the end of each Year from the Date of S<sup>r</sup> Deed) by force  
whereof the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel entered upon S<sup>r</sup> Farm & has held the same ever  
since the date of said Deed & nine Pounds the rent for the last Year  
is still due to the said Abigail tho often requested that he not  
Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Abigail twenty Pounds - The Plf appears by Abner Morgan  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the S<sup>r</sup> Abigail do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel nine Pounds of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 15. 11  
Done at S<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

James Bridgman of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> & William W. Master of Palmer in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said William at the Palmer on the seventeenth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said Palmer to pay him the sum of ten pounds fifteen shillings silver money on demand with Interest till paid - Yet the said William tho often requested hath not paid to the said James said sum nor said Interest but neglects it to the damage of the said James twenty Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next -

Mr. Master  
No 116

Abraham Charles of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & William Bishop of South Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said William at the Brimfield on the twenty fifth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty six by his Note for value received promised the said Abraham to pay him the sum of Ten Pounds sixteen shillings & ten Pence on demand with Interest till paid - Yet the said William tho often requested hath not paid to the said Abraham said sum nor said Interest but neglects it to the damage of the said Abraham Twenty Pounds - The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next -

Charles  
Bishop  
No 117

Jonathan James Jun<sup>r</sup> of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & Joel Moffath of the same Brimfield Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Joel at the Brimfield on the sixth Day of May last past by his Note for value received promised the said Jonathan to pay him the sum of nine Pounds on demand with Interest till paid - Yet the said Joel tho often requested hath not paid to the said Jonathan said sum nor said Interest but neglects it to the damage of the said Jonathan the sum of fifteen Pounds - The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Judgment then to be final - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next -

James Jun<sup>r</sup>  
Joel  
No 118

Abraham Charles of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & Robert Moulton of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & Benjamin Nelson of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Robert & Benjamin at the Brimfield on the twenty fifth Day of August seventeen hundred & eighty five by their Note for value received promised the said Abraham to pay him the sum of three Pounds to be paid by the first Day of August next ensuing the date thereof meaning next after the Date of said Note with Interest till paid - Yet the said Robert & Benjamin have not nor hath either of them paid to the said Abraham said sum nor said Interest but neglects it to the damage of the said Abraham ten Pounds - The Ref appears by Anne Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> & is called on the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes culpable appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Abraham do recover against the said Robert & Benjamin four Pounds nine shillings of lawful money damages & costs of Court taxed at £1.19.0 - On the 19<sup>th</sup> of Sept<sup>r</sup> 1788

Charles  
Moulton & Nelson  
No 119

John Andrews of Ware in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Andrews Plff & Samuel Bowker Yeoman & Job Watcombe Yeoman both of  
 Ware aforesaid Defts in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the  
 Bowker & Samuel & Job aforesaid Ware on the twenty-seventh Day of March last  
 past by their Note for value received promised the said Thomas  
 N<sup>o</sup> 120. Andrews to pay him or Order the Sum of Two hundred Twenty  
 five Pounds lawful Money to be paid in Silver or Gold in four  
 Months from the Date (meaning from the Date of said Note) and  
 Interest till paid. And the said Thomas Andrews there afterwards  
 on the fourth Day of August next with by his Indorsement on  
 said Note for value received ordered the Contents of the same  
 Note then unpaid to be paid to the Plff whereof the said Samuel  
 and Job had due Notice & the upon became chargeable to pay  
 said Contents to the Plff on demand & then & there in consideration  
 thereof promised the Plff to pay him said Contents accordingly  
 Yet the said Samuel & Job tho often requested have not nor  
 have either of them paid to the Plff the said Contents but neglected  
 it to the Damage of the Plff John Two hundred & sixty Pounds  
 The Parties severally appear and agree that this Action be  
 continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have  
 Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Jonathan Rogers of Ware in the County of Hampshire  
 Rogers Plff is Phillis Morfe of the same Ware Yeoman Deft  
 in a Plea of the Case for that the Plff Phillis aforesaid Ware on  
 Morfe the twenty fifth Day of October last past by his Note for value  
 received promised the said Jonathan to pay him the Sum  
 N<sup>o</sup> 121. of Thirty two pounds lawful Money to be paid in Silver or  
 Gold by the first Day of April next (meaning next after  
 the Date of said Note) with Interest till paid. Yet the said  
 Phillis tho often requested hath not paid to the Plff Jonathan  
 said Sum nor said Interest but neglected it to the Damage of  
 the said Jonathan fifty Pounds. The Parties severally appear  
 and agree that this Case be continued to the next Term  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have  
 Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

James Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq  
 Bridgham Plff is Samuel Bladget of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
 Bladget Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Plff Samuel aforesaid  
 Bladget on the eleven<sup>th</sup> Day of November last past by his Note  
 for value received promised the Plff James to pay him the Sum of  
 N<sup>o</sup> 122. fourteen Pounds six Shillings and three Pence Silver Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid. Yet the Plff Samuel tho often requested hath  
 not paid to the Plff James said Sum nor said Interest but neglected it  
 to the Damage of the said James twenty Pounds. The Parties  
 severally appear & agree that this Case be continued to the next  
 Term & Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day  
 here untill the second Tuesday of November next

William Webber L<sup>d</sup> of Brimfield in our County of  
 Webber Hampshire Husbandman Plff is Daniel Faulkner of Sturbridge in our  
 Faulkner County of Worcester Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea of Supra on the Case  
 for that whereas the Plff Daniel at Sturbridge aforesaid to wit at said  
 N<sup>o</sup> 123. Brimfield on the twelfth Day of July seven hundred &  
 eighty by his Note for value received the Plff William to pay



Warner  
1  
Johnson  
N<sup>o</sup> 126  
Ebenzer Warner of Belchertown in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plf vs Saiah Johnson of the same Belchertown  
Yeoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Saiah ab  
Belchertown aforesaid on the twenty fourth Day of February  
seventeen hundred and eighty three by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
promised the P<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer to pay him fourteen Pounds five  
shillings Lawful Money by the first of April next ensuing  
the Date of said Note with Interest till paid - Yet the said  
Saiah that often thereto requested hath never paid the contents  
of said Note or any part thereof to the P<sup>r</sup> but neglects it  
to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer Eighteen Pounds - The P<sup>r</sup>  
appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Dft tho three  
times publickly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the P<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer do recover against the P<sup>r</sup> Saiah fourteen  
Pounds thirteen Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £ 10 6 & thereof &c  
Cebu j<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783 -

Tillotson  
1  
Holcomb  
N<sup>o</sup> 127  
Jonathan Tillotson of Granville in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Plf vs Nathaniel Holcomb of the same Gran  
ville Yeoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for that the P<sup>r</sup> Nathaniel  
aforesaid Granville on the sixth Day of February last past by his  
Note for value received promised the said Jonathan to pay  
him or order the Sum of twenty good Merchantable Sheep which  
the P<sup>r</sup> avers to be worth eight Shillings each meaning to deliver  
to him twenty good merchantable Sheep by the first Day of June  
then next and the P<sup>r</sup> avers that he hitherto hath been ready to  
receive P<sup>r</sup> Sheep - Yet the P<sup>r</sup> Nathaniel tho often requested hath not  
performed his P<sup>r</sup> promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of  
the said Jonathan twelve Pounds - The P<sup>r</sup> appears by John  
Phelps Gunter his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft tho three times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan do recover against  
the P<sup>r</sup> Nathaniel five Pounds one Shilling and six Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 11 2 & thereof &c  
Cebu j<sup>r</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> 1783 -

Tillotson  
1  
Smith  
N<sup>o</sup> 128  
Jonathan Tillotson of Granville in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Plf vs John Smith of the same Granville  
Taylor Dft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that whereas the  
said Jonathan ab<sup>r</sup> Granville on the last Day of May last past at  
the special Instance and Request of the P<sup>r</sup> John had departed Horses  
Cows and Hogs for the P<sup>r</sup> John he in consideration thereof then & there  
assured of himself and faithfully promised the P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan to pay  
him therefor so much Money as he the P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan reasonably deserved  
to have for the same pasturing on Demand now in fact the P<sup>r</sup> Jon<sup>a</sup>  
avers that he reasonably deserved to have for the pasturing afores<sup>d</sup> from  
the P<sup>r</sup> John the sum of Ten Pounds seventeen Shillings & four Pence  
requested hath not performed his P<sup>r</sup> Promise but neglects it to the  
Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan Twelve Pounds - The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Judgement there<sup>r</sup> to be final - Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday  
of November next -

Ozias Pettibone of Simsbury in the County of  
Hartford & State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff vs Job Stiles Jun<sup>r</sup> of Gran  
ville in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Pettibone  
Case for that the said Job at St<sup>r</sup> Northampton on the eighth Day of April Stiles Jun<sup>r</sup>  
in the Year of our Lord seventeen hundred & seventy six by his  
Note for value received promised the said Ozias to pay him the sum  
of three Pounds thirteen shillings & six Pence lawfull Money on  
demand with the lawfull Interest till paid. Yet the said Job tho  
often requested hath not performed his Promise but neglected it  
to the Damage of the said Ozias twelve Pounds. The Plff appears  
by John Phelps Gentle his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Ozias do recover against  
the said Job five Pounds six shillings & two Pence of lawfull Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £13-0-0 & thereof &  
Done in Court Oct- 13. 1703.

Ozias Pettibone of Simsbury in the County of Hartford Town  
& State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff vs Abraham Page Jun<sup>r</sup> of  
Southwick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea Page Jun<sup>r</sup>  
Case for that the said Abraham at St<sup>r</sup> Northampton on the six  
fourth Day of October seventeen hundred & seventy seven by his  
Note for value received promised the said Ozias to pay him the sum  
of three hundred & seventeen Pounds & eight shillings lawfull  
Money on demand with the lawfull Interest thereof till paid.  
Yet the said Abraham tho often thereto requested hath not performed  
his Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said Ozias  
two hundred Pounds. The Plff appears by John Phelps Gentle  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Ozias do recover against the said Abraham  
one hundred & four Pounds eighteen shillings & four Pence of lawfull  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £112-0-0 & thereof &

Rebeck Hazard of Burlington in the County of Hartford  
Administrator on the Goods & Estate which were of Joel Clark late  
of Farmington in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Gentle  
Deceased Intestate in S<sup>r</sup> Capacity Plff vs Ephraim Pitton of Suden in Pitton  
our County of Berkshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea that the said Ephraim  
render to the Plff ten Pounds nine shillings & three Pence which from  
him he unjustly detains & whereas the Plff says that at an inferior  
Court of Common Pleas holden at Northampton on the second Tuesday  
of November seventeen hundred & seventy three by the Judgement  
of the same Court the said Joel then living recovered Judgement of  
the said Ephraim by the name of Ephraim Pitton late of Suden for the  
sum of eight Pounds one shilling and one Penny lawfull Money for his  
Damages by reason of the said Ephraim not performing his promise before  
that Time made to the said Joel & also two Pounds eight shillings & two  
Pence for his Costs & Charges by him about his suit in that behalf  
expended whereas the said Ephraim is convicted as by the Record  
thereof in our Court there remaining is fully manifest and

Black's Adm. and appears which Judgement yet remains in its full  
Force & Effect not satisfied nor reversed & altho the <sup>d</sup> Joel in his life  
afterwards sued out two Writs of Exon on the same Judgement yet the  
Pelton — Sum as aforesaid recovered were never paid thereby & the return Day of  
the last Exon hath long since passed & the same is wholly unsatisfied and  
the same sum wholly unpaid whereby an action hath accrued to the  
Pelton to have & demand of the said Pelton a Sum of ten Pounds nine  
shillings & three Pence nevertheless the <sup>d</sup> Ephraim tho often requested  
by the <sup>d</sup> Joel in his life & by the <sup>d</sup> J<sup>r</sup> since the Death of the <sup>d</sup> Joel hath  
never paid the same or any part thereof to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Robert  
in <sup>d</sup> Capacity twenty Pounds — The Parties severally appear & on a  
Motion of the <sup>d</sup> J<sup>r</sup> agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term — Therefore it is considered by the Court & the <sup>d</sup> Parties  
have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next —

Ingersoll &  
Have  
No 139 — John Ingersoll of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
Esq. Plaintiff Samuel Hare of Southwick in the County aforesaid Geoman  
Defendant in a Plea of Traps on the Case for that the <sup>d</sup> John at <sup>d</sup>  
Westfield on the last Day of September last at the special instance &  
request of the said Samuel had sold & delivered to him sundry Goods  
wares & Merchandizes, he in Consideration thereof then & there  
assumed on himself & faithfully promised the <sup>d</sup> John that he the  
said Samuel would well & truly content & pay him the <sup>d</sup> John  
so much lawful Money as the same Goods wares & Merchandizes were  
reasonably worth on demand now in fact the <sup>d</sup> John avers that  
the same Goods, wares & Merchandizes were reasonably worth at  
the time of the sale & delivery thereof the sum of four Pounds &  
sixteen shillings lawful Money of which the said Samuel hath  
Notice & promised pay accordingly — Yet the <sup>d</sup> Samuel tho often  
requested hath not performed his <sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects it to the  
Damage of the <sup>d</sup> John as he saith seven Pounds — The <sup>d</sup> J<sup>r</sup> appears  
by John Phelps Gent. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the <sup>d</sup> J<sup>r</sup> the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here — Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> John do recover against the  
Samuel four Pounds & sixteen shillings of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1-10-10 & thereof &c —

Mosley's Ex<sup>r</sup>  
Wadhams  
No 134 — Hannah Mosley of Westfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Gentlewoman Executrix of the last Will & Testament of John  
Mosley late of the same Westfield Gentle deceased in <sup>d</sup> Capacity Pl<sup>f</sup>  
Josiah Wadhams of the same Westfield Geoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the  
Case for that the said John at Westfield aforesaid on the last Day  
of August seven hundred & seventy nine while alive at the  
special instance & request of the <sup>d</sup> Josiah had sold & delivered to him  
sundry Goods, wares & Merchandizes he in Consideration thereof  
assumed on himself & faithfully promised the <sup>d</sup> John then living  
to pay him therefor so much Money as the same Goods, wares and  
Merchandizes were reasonably worth at the time of the sale & delivery  
thereof on demand now in fact the <sup>d</sup> Hannah avers that the same  
Goods, wares & Merchandizes sold & delivered as aforesaid were reasonably worth at  
the time of the sale & delivery thereof the sum of four Pounds two shillings &  
eleven Pence lawful Money of which the <sup>d</sup> Josiah hath Notice yet the <sup>d</sup> Josiah  
tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof to the  
said John while he lived or to the said Hannah since the Death  
of the <sup>d</sup> John but wholly neglects it to the Damage of  
the <sup>d</sup> Hannah fifteen Pounds —

The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Hannah do recover against the said Josiah twelve Pounds four Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  $\text{£} 10. 10. 0$  and thereof &c Exon  $\text{ip}^{\text{s}}$  Sept<sup>r</sup> 13<sup>th</sup> 1783.

Silas Fowler of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf v Matthew Lupton of Southwick Gent<sup>r</sup> & Stephen Lee of Westfield Yeoman both of our County Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass Lupton & Lee on the Case whereupon the P. Silas complains for this to wit that whereas the P. Matthew & Stephen at P. Southwick on the ninth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty by their Note for value received promised the P. Silas to pay him sixty Spanish Milled Dollars which the Plf avers to be equal to the sum of eighteen Pounds lawful silver Money on demand with the lawful Interest thereof till paid. Yet the said Matthew & Stephen or either of them tho' often requested have not performed their promise but they each of them wholly neglects it to the Damage of the P. Silas thirty Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Silas do recover against the P. Matthew & Stephen twenty one Pounds nine Shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  $\text{£} 11. 14. 0$  & thereof &c Exon  $\text{ip}^{\text{s}}$  Oct<sup>r</sup> 13<sup>th</sup> 1783. N<sup>o</sup> 135

Enoch Granger of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf v James Campbell of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the P. James at P. Southwick on the first Day of November last past by Campbell his Note for value received promised the said Gideon to pay him eight thousand of white pine shingles goodly merchantable and deliver the same at the dwelling House of the P. Enoch in P. Suffield by the first Day of May third next following the Date of P. Note and the Plf avers that the shingles aforesaid at the place of delivery aforesaid was worth twenty four Shillings lawful Money by the thousand & the Plf further avers that he has always been ready to receive P. Shingles yet the P. James tho' often requested hath not performed his promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Enoch twelve Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Enoch do recover against the P. James six Pounds ten Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  $\text{£} 10. 0. 0$  & thereof &c Exon  $\text{ip}^{\text{s}}$  Sep<sup>r</sup> 15<sup>th</sup> 1783. N<sup>o</sup> 136

Gideon Granger of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf v John Hunt of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the P. John at P. Southampton in P. County on the fifth Day of October seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the P. Gideon to pay him the sum of three Pounds fourteen Shillings & eight pence of lawful Money on demand with lawful Interest from the Date of P. Note till paid. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Gideon do recover against the P. John three Pounds fourteen Shillings & eight pence of lawful Money on demand with lawful Interest from the Date of P. Note till paid. Exon  $\text{ip}^{\text{s}}$  Sep<sup>r</sup> 15<sup>th</sup> 1783. N<sup>o</sup> 137

granger  
N<sup>o</sup> 138  
Get the S<sup>r</sup>. John tho often thereto requested hath not performed  
his S<sup>r</sup>. promise but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup>. Gideon nine  
Pounds The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the  
Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the S<sup>r</sup>. Gideon do recover against the S<sup>r</sup>. John five Pounds nine  
ten Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £12-6 & thereof Exon<sup>r</sup> if. Oct<sup>r</sup> 13. 1783.

Wilson  
N<sup>o</sup> 139  
John Wilson a. Southwick in the County of Hampshire  
Geman Plf v Roger Root of the same Southwick Geman Def<sup>t</sup> in  
a Plea of Trespass on the Case whereupon the said John complains  
for this to wit that whereas the S<sup>r</sup>. Roger a. Northampton on the first  
Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value  
received promised the S<sup>r</sup>. John to pay him the sum of twenty Pounds  
in manner following viz in wheat ~~at~~ at four Shillings by the  
Bushels & Rye at three Shillings by the Bushel & in neat Cattle as  
they were bought & sold in the year ~~seventeen~~ seventeen hundred & twenty  
four at or before the first Day of July then next following the Date  
of said Note with the lawful Interest for the same till paid & the Plf  
averts that at the Time of payment aforesaid wheat was of the  
value of seven Shillings & Rye of the value of four Shillings & six  
Pence by the Bushel and neat Cattle at the value of thirty  
shillings by the hundred and the Plf further avers that he always  
hath been ready to receive? wheat <sup>neat</sup> Cattle & Get the S<sup>r</sup>. Roger  
tho often requested hath not performed his S<sup>r</sup>. Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup>. John forty Pounds The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
then to be final Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the S<sup>r</sup>. Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November  
next

Austin  
N<sup>o</sup> 139  
Seth Austin of Suffield in the County of Hampshire  
Geman Plf v Jonathan Lyon of Wistfield in the County afores<sup>d</sup>  
Geman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case <sup>Trespass on</sup> ~~for this~~ whereupon the said  
Seth complains for this to wit that whereas the S<sup>r</sup>. Jonathan at said  
Wistfield on the nineteenth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty  
two by his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup>. Seth to pay  
him the sum of twenty five Pounds nine Shillings & one penny  
lawful silver Money on demand with the lawful Interest till paid  
Get the S<sup>r</sup>. Jonathan tho often requested hath not performed his  
said Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup>. Seth forty  
Pounds The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the  
Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of  
of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the S<sup>r</sup>. Seth do recover against the S<sup>r</sup>. Jonathan thirty three  
Pounds fourteen Shillings and ten pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £12-0 & thereof Exon<sup>r</sup> if. Oct<sup>r</sup> 13. 1783.

Fowler  
N<sup>o</sup> 140  
Silas Fowler of Southwick in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plf v Israel Perkins of the same Southwick Geman Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Perkins a. Southwick  
on the nineteenth Day of the January last past by his Note for value  
received promised the said Silas to pay him or order the  
sum of two Pounds two Shillings and four Pence of lawful silver  
Money ~~Damages~~ on Demand with the lawful Interest thereof  
till paid & Get the said. Israel tho often requested hath not

performed his said Promise but he wholly neglects it to the  
Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Towler seven Pounds — The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by  
John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here —  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Elias do recover  
against the s<sup>d</sup> Israel Two Pounds three Shillings Eight  
Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
one Pound twelve Shillings & six Pence & thereof &c  
Given i<sup>n</sup> Oct. 3. 1783.

Eldad Norton of Southwick in the County of Hampshire  
shire Yeoman Pl<sup>t</sup> & Thomas Gould of Granville in a<sup>d</sup> County  
aforesaid Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas a<sup>d</sup> Southwick on the twentieth Day of March  
seventeen hundred & eight to two by his Note for value received  
promised the s<sup>d</sup> Eldad to pay him the Sum of three Pounds three  
shillings & six Pence lawful Money within one Month from  
the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest till paid. Yet the  
s<sup>d</sup> Thomas tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath not performed his said  
Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Eldad  
seven Pounds — The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Eldad do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas  
three Pounds eight Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 12. 4 — & thereof &c  
Given i<sup>n</sup> Oct. 13. 1783.

Pliny Hillier of Simsbury in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>t</sup> is Experience Eggleston  
of Westfield in the County aforesaid Widow Def<sup>t</sup> in a  
Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Experience a<sup>d</sup> Westfield on  
the nineteenth Day of August seventeen hundred & seventy  
four by his Note for value received promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> to  
pay him or order thirty six Shillings & six pence lawful Money  
on demand with the lawful Interest thereof till paid —  
Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Experience tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath not performed  
his s<sup>d</sup> promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup>  
Pliny seven Pounds — The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by John Phelps  
Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Pliny do recover against the said Experience  
two pounds sixteen Shillings & nine Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 12. 4 — & thereof &c  
Given i<sup>n</sup> Oct. 13. 1783.

John Ingersoll of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>t</sup> is Isaac Milburn late of the same Westfield Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac a<sup>d</sup> Westfield on  
the twenty sixth Day of January seventeen hundred & seventy three by  
his Note for value received promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> to pay him six Pounds  
four Shillings and two Pence meaning lawful Money a<sup>d</sup> before the  
first Day of May then next following the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with lawful  
Interest till paid. Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath not performed his  
Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the Pl<sup>t</sup> twelve Pounds — The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by John  
Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac  
ten Pounds one Shilling eight Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1. 9. 0 — and thereof &c  
Given i<sup>n</sup> Oct. 13. 1783.

First Noble Gerard Prath of Granville in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs  
Mark Noble of Westfield in said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of  
the Case for that the said Mark at Westfield on the third Day of May last  
past by his Note for value received promised the said Gerard to pay him  
or Order the Sum of four Pounds two Shillings seven Pence lawful  
Money in Silver on demand with lawful Interest or the same till paid  
in the said Mark the after he had requested hath not performed  
his said Promise but he wholly neglected it to the Damage of the said  
Gerard seven Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> has three Times called to come into Court makes  
default at appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Gerard do recover against the said Mark four Pounds four  
Shillings and two Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.14.0 & thereupon. Exon 13. Oct. 13. 1783

Clark Samuel Clark of Granville in the County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff vs Samuel Threl of the same  
Granville Gent<sup>r</sup> Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that the said Samuel Threl a free Gentleman on the third Day of Oct<sup>r</sup>  
seventeen hundred and eighty by his promissory Note for value  
received promised to pay the said Samuel Clark six by Bushels  
of Wheat at the first Day of January then next & the said Samuel Clark  
saith that he hath always stood ready & now stands ready to receive  
said Wheat or Money sufficient to purchase the same now the said  
Samuel Clark further saith that the said Bushels of Wheat is  
reasonable worth the Sum of twenty four Pounds lawful Silver  
Money & the time of payment long since elapsed & passed  
that the said Samuel Threl hath not fulfilled his said Promise tho  
frequently thereto requested & demanded, but wholly & unjustly neglected  
and refused to do it to the Damage of the said Samuel Clark thirty  
Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to refer this Case and  
all demands to the award & final Determination of John Ingersoll Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Colo<sup>r</sup> Timothy Robinson & Oliver Phelps Esq<sup>r</sup> who are to hear said  
Parties and their Proofs & Allegations & the Award of them or any  
two of them to be final to be returned into this Court Judgement  
to be made up & Execution to issue accordingly. Therefore it  
is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill  
the second Tuesday of November next.

Dickinson Richard Dickinson of Granville in our County  
of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff vs John Smith the D<sup>r</sup> of the same Granville  
Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at  
Granville on the tenth Day of April last past by his promissory Note  
for value received promised to pay to the said Richard the Sum of  
ten Pounds eight Shillings in Silver Money meaning two Pounds  
eight Shillings lawful Silver Money on Demand with the  
lawful Interest until paid. Yet the said John the after requested  
hath never fulfilled his said Promise nor one Penny thereof  
but neglected it to the Damage of the said Richard eight Pounds.  
The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
being out of the State it is considered by the Court that this  
Case be continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next.

Pinckas Woolworth of Granville in the County of Hampshire German Plf & John Smith the second of the same Granville German Deft In a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the P. John aforesaid Granville on the twenty fifth Day of May last past by his promissory Note in writing for value received promised to pay unto him the said Pinckas the sum of seven Pounds two Shillings lawful Money to be paid in good sheeps Wool at the market Price, to be paid within six Weeks from the then Date with Interest till paid meaning the lawful Interest from the then Date till paid which time of payment hath long since elapsed & the said Pinckas aforesaid has a Lawyrs place ready & now stands ready to receive payment due in the Tenor of said Note, yet the P. John has often thereto requested and demanded by him the said Pinckas hath never fulfilled his said promise nor one penny thereof but wholly and unjustly neglects it to the Damage of the said Pinckas Twelve Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gentl<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft being out of the State it is considered by the Court that the said action be continued to the next Term. Therefore it is further considered that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Pinckas Woolworth of Granville in the County of Hampshire German Plf & John Smith the second of the same Granville German Deft In a Plea of the Case for that the P. John aforesaid Granville on the twenty fifth Day of May last past by his promissory Note for value received then received, promised to pay unto him the said Pinckas the sum of seven Pounds two Shillings lawful Money within six Weeks from the then Date with Interest till paid meaning the lawful Interest from the then Date until paid, which time of payment hath long since elapsed, yet the P. John has often thereto requested and demanded hath never fulfilled his said promise nor one penny thereof but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Pinckas Twelve Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gentl<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft being out of the State it is agreed that this Case be continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

David Black of Blanford in the County of Hampshire German Plf & David Campbell of Blanford aforesaid German Deft In a Plea of the Case for that the said Campbell aforesaid Blanford on the third Day of July last past by his Note for value received promised the said Black to pay him the sum of twelve Pounds lawful Money within three Month from the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest thereof till paid, yet the said Campbell has often thereto requested hath not performed his P. Promise but he wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Black fifteen Pounds. The Parties severally appear & it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is further considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Clap.  
Smith  
N<sup>o</sup> 149 - Sirs Clap of Southampton in our County of Hampshire  
Geoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Timothy Smith of Groser in the County  
foresaid Geoman D<sup>ft</sup> us a Plea of the Case for that the said Tim<sup>o</sup>  
at Southampton on the eighteenth Day of January seven hundred  
eighty two, he the said Timothy being justly indebted to the said Sirs in the  
Sum of two Pounds two shillings & four Pence lawful Money are whis  
Order or Bill on John Ashland Esq<sup>r</sup> of Norwich in the County  
thereby deriving the said John to pay for value received him the said  
Sirs the sum of two Pounds two shillings & four Pence within  
ten Days with Interest meaning the lawful Interest thereof till paid  
which Order or Bill on the Tenth Day of February then next  
following the Date of the said Order the said Sirs a trait Southampton  
presented to the said John for acceptance and payment & the said John  
then & there wholly refused to accept or pay the same of all which  
the said Timothy then & there had Notice where by the said Sirs hath by  
Law a right to recover back to the said Timothy and a recovery of him  
the said sum in said Order mention'd and the Interest thereof according  
to the Term of the said Order & in Consideration thereof the said Timothy then  
and there promised the said Sirs Clap to pay him the same accordingly  
yet the said Timothy tho' often thereto requested hath not performed  
his said Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Sirs three Pounds - The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> tho' three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Sirs do recover against the said Timothy  
Two Pounds six shillings and a third Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Cost of Court taxed at L<sup>ib</sup> 10<sup>/-</sup> 9<sup>/-</sup> & thereof  
upon j<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 13. 1783.

How  
Smith  
N<sup>o</sup> 150 - Benjamin How of Granville in the County of  
Hampshire Geoman P<sup>ly</sup> is John Smith of Granville aforesaid  
Geoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said  
said Granville on the seventeenth Day of June seven hundred  
and eighty by his Note for value received promised the said Benjamin  
to pay him the sum of one hundred Spanish Milled Dollars equal  
to thirty Pounds lawful Money on or before the first Day of May then  
next following the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest thereof  
till paid - And also for that the said John afterwards on the same  
seventeenth Day by one other Note of that Date for value received  
promised the said Benjamin to pay him the sum of twenty two Pounds  
in Spanish Milled Dollars or Gold Equivalents on or before the  
first Day of May one thousand eight hundred & eighty two (meaning on or before  
the Year one thousand seven hundred & eighty two) with the  
lawful Interest till paid - yet the said John tho' often thereto requested  
hath not performed either of his said Promises but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Benjamin fifty Pounds - The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by John  
Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> being out of the State it is considered  
and agreed that this Case be continued to the next Term -  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have  
Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next -

John Leonard  
N<sup>o</sup> 151 - Seth Loomis of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
Geoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Austin Leonard of West Springfield in the County  
aforesaid Geoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Austin at  
said Westfield on the thirteenth Day of May last past by his Note  
for value received promised the said Seth to pay him or order the sum  
of three Pounds three shillings seven Pence lawful Money on demand  
with lawful Interest till paid - yet the said Austin tho' often thereto  
requested hath not performed his said Promise but he wholly neglects  
and refuses to do it to the Damage of the said Seth seven Pounds -

The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come in to Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the said Austin three Pounds four Shillings & seven Pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £12-10 and thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Oct. 13. 1783

John Atwater of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
Trader Plf is Josiah Watkins of the same Westfield Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> Swater  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Josiah at Westfield aforesaid  
on the nineteenth Day of January twenty hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said John to pay him Watkins  
or Order the sum of twelve Pounds ten Shillings and one Penny  
in Silver or Gold Coin on demand with Lawful Interest till  
paid - Yet the said Josiah tho often thereto requested hath not  
performed his said Promise but he wholly neglects it to the  
Damage of the said John fifteen Pounds - The Plf appears  
by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said John do recover against the said  
Josiah thirteen Pounds thirteen Shillings and six Pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £14-10-10 and thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Oct. 13. 1783. No 152

John Phelps of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf is Thomas Dewey of Montgomery in the County aforesaid. Phelps  
Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said  
John at said Westfield had done & performed for the said Thomas Dewey  
at his special Instance and Request sundry Labours & Services and  
sold & delivered to him sundry Goods, Wares & Merchandizes he in con-  
sideration thereof then & there assumed on himself and faithfully pro-  
mised the said John to pay him the value of such much Money as the  
same Goods Wares & Merchandizes & Services were reasonably worth  
at the time of the sale & delivery thereof on demand - now in fact  
the said John avers that the same Goods, Wares & Merchandizes & Services  
were well worth at the time of the sale & delivery thereof the sum of  
six Pounds & ten Shillings Lawful Money of which the said John  
had notice - Yet the said Thomas tho often thereto requested hath  
not performed his said Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage  
of the said John nine Pounds - The Plf appears by John  
Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come in to Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said John do recover against the said Thomas  
six Pounds of Lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court  
taxed at £11-9-10 and thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Oct. 13. 1783. No 153

John Atwater of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
Trader Plf is Abner Sacket of West Springfield in the County aforesaid  
aforesaid Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that the said Abner at said Westfield on the sixth Day of  
February last past by his Note for value received promised the  
said John to pay him or Order the sum of five Pounds four Shillings  
& seven Pence Lawful Money in Gold or Silver Coin on demand with the Lawful  
Interest thereof till paid - Yet the said Abner tho often requested hath not performed  
his said Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said John seven Pounds -  
The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said John do recover against the said Abner five Pounds eight Shillings & seven Pence  
of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £10-2-7 and thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Oct. 13. 1783. No 154

Church James Church of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of  
Connecticut Gentle. Plea is Job Ailes Jun<sup>r</sup> of Granville in the  
Hills Jun<sup>r</sup> County of Hampshire Gentleman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Job Ailes Jun<sup>r</sup> at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the third  
N<sup>o</sup> 155 Day of May seven hundred & seventy six by his Note for value  
received promised the said James to pay him or Order the Sum of  
thirty five Pounds five Shillings & three pence lawful Money in  
three Months from the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest  
till paid. Yet the said Job Ailes Jun<sup>r</sup> after thereto requested hath not  
performed his said Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said James fifty Pounds. The P<sup>y</sup> appears by John  
Phelps Gen<sup>l</sup> to his W<sup>t</sup> and the Debt tho' three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said James recover against the said Job  
fifty Pounds seven Shillings & three pence lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the W<sup>t</sup> of the Court of Dec<sup>y</sup>  
Quoniam 13<sup>th</sup> Oct 1783

Towler David Towler Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in the County of  
Hampshire Gentleman Plea is Daniel Fodges of Western in our County  
Hester Gentle Debt in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Daniel at said Southwick on the twenty fourth Day of  
N<sup>o</sup> 156 April last by his Note for value received promised the said  
David to pay him or Order fourteen Pounds & eight Shillings  
lawful Money on demand with the lawful Interest thereof  
till paid. Yet the said Daniel tho' often thereto requested  
hath not performed his said Promise but wholly neglects it  
to the Damage of the said David twenty Pounds. The  
Parties severally appear & on Motion of the Debt<sup>r</sup>'s Att<sup>y</sup> it is  
agreed and Ordered that this Case be continued to the next Term  
Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of  
November next.

Atwater John Atwater of Westfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Gentleman Plea is Simon Smith late of West Springfield in  
Smith P<sup>r</sup> County Gentleman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Simon at said Westfield on the fourth Day of February last past  
N<sup>o</sup> 157 by his Note for value received promised the said John to pay him  
or Order the Sum of five Pounds eight Shillings and six Pence of  
lawful Money in Gold or Silver Coin on demand with the lawful  
Interest thereof till paid. Yet the said Simon tho' often thereto requested  
hath not performed his said Promise but wholly neglects it to the  
Damage of the said John nine Pounds. The P<sup>y</sup> appears by  
John Phelps Gen<sup>l</sup> to his W<sup>t</sup> and the Debt tho' three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover  
against the said Simon five Pounds twelve Shillings and six Pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the W<sup>t</sup> of the Court of Dec<sup>y</sup>  
Quoniam 13<sup>th</sup> Oct 1783

Suvarance Joseph Suvarance of Shelburn in the County of  
Hampshire Gentleman Plea is Ebenezer Hayward of Ashford  
Hayward in the County of Windham & State of Connecticut Gentleman  
Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Ebenezer at Springfield  
in our P<sup>r</sup> County on the twenty seventh Day of June last past  
N<sup>o</sup> 158 by his promissory Note for value received promised the said  
Joseph to pay him the Sum of six Pounds in hard Money

by the fourteenth Day of November then next with Interest  
 (meaning lawful Interest) for the same till paid & yet the  
 said Ebenezer tho often requested & line of payment long  
 since paid hath never paid the aforesaid sum with Interest  
 or any part thereof but unjustly neglect it to the Damage  
 of the said Joseph to the sum of £100. The P<sup>l</sup> appears in his  
 own proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it  
 is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Joseph do recover  
 against the said Ebenezer six Pounds eight Shillings & five  
 Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
 one Pound nine Shillings and ten Pence & thereof Re-  
 ceive is<sup>d</sup> Oct 10. 1783.

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Dorset  
 Shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Goods & Chattels  
 Rights & Credits which were of Elisha Parks late of Westfield in  
 the same County Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in P<sup>r</sup> Capacity of John Chadwick  
 wife of Tynningham in our County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in N<sup>o</sup> 159  
 of the said Tynningham on the Case for that the said John at Tynningham  
 to wit at Northampton in said County on the fourth Day  
 of November seventeen hundred & seven to two made his certain  
 Memorandum or Order in writing under his Hand of that Date  
 addressed & directed to the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha in his Life time in the Words  
 following to wit "I<sup>n</sup> Capt Spring meaning one Henry Spring has  
 been with me to recommend him to you for a Hogshead of Rum  
 if you agree with him for a Hogshead of Rum or a Barrel or two  
 Barrel if he do not pay you according to his Agreement with you.  
 I will engage you will have your Money when the Rum is  
 drawn out from yours to serve John Chadwick Tynningham  
 Nov<sup>r</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> 1772 To wit Elisha Parks at Westfield" And the said  
 Warham avers that the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha giving Credit to the Promise and  
 Assumption of the said John made as afores<sup>d</sup> afterwards to wit on the  
 twelfth Day of the same November at Westfield aforesaid agreed  
 with the said Henry to deliver to the same Henry a Hogshead of  
 Rum for and at the Price of fourteen Pounds fourteen Shillings & six  
 Pence lawful Money & that he the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha then and there delivered  
 the same Hogshead of Rum to the P<sup>r</sup> Henry at & for the Price afores<sup>d</sup>  
 and that the P<sup>r</sup> Henry then & there agreed with & promised the  
 P<sup>r</sup> Elisha then alive to pay him the same sum for the the said  
 Hogshead of Rum within three Months from the P<sup>r</sup> twelfth Day of  
 November & if not paid then to pay Interest till paid and  
 the said Warham further avers that the said Henry tho often  
 requested did not pay the same sum or Interest to the said Elisha  
 within the P<sup>r</sup> three Months or of any Time afterwards or any part  
 thereof during the Life time of the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha or to the P<sup>r</sup> Warham after  
 his Death & also for that the said John at Northampton aforesaid on  
 the same twelfth Day of November being justly indebted to the said  
 Elisha in his Life time in the sum of fourteen Pounds fourteen Shillings  
 & six Pence lawful Money for the said sum of Money & then before that  
 time had & received by the P<sup>r</sup> John for the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha and to the use of  
 the said Elisha at his the P<sup>r</sup> John's special Instance & request in consi-  
 deration thereof the said John then & there understood and to the said  
 Elisha in his Life time faithfully promised to pay him the same  
 sum in three Months & if not paid then to pay the lawful Interest  
 thereof till paid & yet the said John tho often requested of the said  
 Elisha in his Life time & the said Warham after the Death of the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha hath  
 not paid either of the sums afores<sup>d</sup> or any way performed either of his P<sup>r</sup> Promises  
 to the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha in his Life time or to the P<sup>r</sup> Warham (to whom Administration

Parks 29<sup>th</sup> as aforesaid was committed in due form of Law after the Death of the said Elisha but unjustly neglected and put to rest of the Damage of the said Warham Parks in his Capacity the Sum of thirty five Pounds. The Parties severally appeared & agree that this case be continued to the next Term of Judgment then to be final. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have lay here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Colman & Colman Eliza Eastings Geoman and Elisha Smith Geoman all of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Defs & Ebenezer Wells of Greenfield in the County aforesaid Gent. Plff. in a Plea of the Case for that the said Ebenezer Wells on the twenty second Day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and eighty one by his Note for value received promised the Plffs to pay them sixteen Pounds twelve Shillings & nine Pence lawful Money on the twenty second Day of November then next with lawful Interest for the same untill paid. Yet the said Ebenezer Wells hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglected it to the Damage of the Plffs. Eliza & Elisha twenty Pounds. The Plffs appears by Sir Wm Strong Esq. his Att. & the Defs the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plffs do recover against the Defs eight Pounds nine Shillings and two pence as lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at one Pound eight Shillings & ten Pence & thereof &c. Exon. i. p. 22. 1703

Smith Peter Smith of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Geoman Plff. & Ebenezer Wells of Greenfield in the County aforesaid Gent. Defs in a Plea of the Case for that the said Ebenezer Wells on the twenty second Day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised the Plff Peter to pay him eight Pounds ten Shillings and six Pence in hard Money meaning lawful Money Silver or Gold on the twenty second Day of November then next with the lawful Interest for the same from the Date of the same Note till paid. Yet the said Ebenezer Wells hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglected it to the Damage of the Plff Peter twelve Pounds. The Plff appears by Sir Wm Strong Esq. his Att. & the Defs the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plff Peter do recover against the said Ebenezer nine Pounds nine Shillings & three Pence as lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the said &c. & thereof &c. Exon. i. p. 23. 1703

Eastman Joseph Eastman of Cranbury in the County of Hampshire Geoman Plff. & is a Montague of the same Montague Geoman Defs in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the said Joseph Eastman on the last Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty two having been duly appointed the Guardian of one of not Crutch a Minor of seven Years old, in Consideration that said Joseph then and there promised to give, provide & afford for the said Minor Meat Drink Apparel &c. the necessaries for the said Minor until he the said Minor should arrive to the age of sixteen Years;

undertook and promised the <sup>d</sup> Joseph that the <sup>d</sup> Jonas should  
 live with and serve the <sup>d</sup> Joseph from that time until he d.  
 Jonas should arrive to the age last aforesaid or otherwise that the <sup>d</sup> Eastman  
 Josiah would pay the <sup>d</sup> Joseph for it in <sup>1</sup> Year or more in  
 other necessary as the <sup>d</sup> Joseph should find & provide for <sup>2</sup> years much  
 Money as the said <sup>d</sup> Joseph should reasonably deserve to have of <sup>3</sup> Great  
 thereof on Demand; and said <sup>d</sup> Joseph says that received <sup>4</sup> Promise  
 of <sup>d</sup> Josiah re <sup>d</sup> Joseph found provided & afforded to said <sup>d</sup> Jonas  
 Meat, Drink, Apparel and other necessaries to the value of ten  
 Pounds & for which he reasonably deserved to have the same sum  
 of said <sup>d</sup> Josiah to wit at Granby aforesaid on the same Day Year  
 and <sup>d</sup> Joseph in fact says that at <sup>d</sup> Granby on the 1<sup>st</sup> of the 1<sup>st</sup> Day  
 Current the <sup>d</sup> Josiah his Promise aforesaid notwithstanding  
 intending the <sup>d</sup> Joseph in this behalf to default, the <sup>d</sup> Josiah  
 out of the <sup>d</sup> Joseph's Service & Detention did take away & the  
 then and there & after since required the <sup>d</sup> Josiah hath never paid  
 the said sum of ten Pounds or any sum thereof & also for that  
 whereas the <sup>d</sup> Joseph at the special Instance & Request of <sup>d</sup> Josiah  
 had found, provided and afforded to the <sup>d</sup> Josiah other Meat  
 Drink Apparel & other necessaries during the Term of fifteen Months  
 he the said <sup>d</sup> Josiah in Consideration thereof to wit at <sup>d</sup> Granby on  
 the eighteenth Day of May Current undertook and promised said  
 Joseph to pay him so much Money as the <sup>d</sup> Joseph reasonably deserves  
 to have thereof on Demand and <sup>d</sup> Joseph says he reasonably deserves  
 to have thereof another sum of ten Pounds lawful Money whereof  
<sup>d</sup> Josiah then had Notice & also for that whereas the <sup>d</sup> Joseph  
 at the <sup>d</sup> Josiah's special Instance & Request to wit on the same  
 eighteenth Day of May aforesaid, had eight, Boarded and  
 supplied with Cloathing the <sup>d</sup> Josiah's Church for other fifteen  
 Months then those aforesaid mentioned to wit at <sup>d</sup> Granby aforesaid  
 he the <sup>d</sup> Josiah then & there in Consideration thereof promised  
 said <sup>d</sup> Joseph to pay him thereof the sum of six Pounds lawful Money  
 on Demand; yet <sup>d</sup> Josiah tho' after requested hath never performed  
 his <sup>d</sup> Promise or either of them but neglects & refuses to do it to the  
 Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Joseph thirty Pounds & The <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff appears  
 in Person Strong by his Att<sup>y</sup> and the <sup>d</sup> Josiah comes & defends &  
 and says that he never promised in Manner & Form as the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff  
 hath alleged and thereof puts himself on the Country  
 and the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff likewise & Whereupon the Jurors the <sup>d</sup> Jurors used  
 into for the Form & Effect of the Statute in such Case made & provided at  
 this time returned & impannelled being lawfully demanded come here  
 who to say the <sup>d</sup> Josiah concerning the <sup>d</sup> Josiah's time due or owing to him  
 their Oath by John Colton their Foreman that they find the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff  
 entitled & assess Damages for the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff to one Pound ten Shillings lawful  
 Money & therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Joseph  
 do recover against the said <sup>d</sup> Josiah one Pound ten Shillings lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ and thereat &c

Botwood Es<sup>r</sup>Tupper Es<sup>r</sup>N<sup>o</sup> 163.

Wheeler  
Baker Jun.  
No 164.  
Ephraim Wheeler of Sherburne in the County of  
Hampshire Geoman Plaintiff vs Elijah Baker Jun<sup>r</sup> of Amherst in the  
County aforesaid Geoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the said  
Elijah at Amherst aforesaid on the twentieth Day of August twentieth  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said  
Ephraim to pay him six Pounds & eleven shillings lawful Money on or before  
the first Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> then next; yet said Elijah the aforesaid requested hath  
never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of  
the said Ephraim ten pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Counsel then by  
his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> three times called to come into Court to make  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Ephraim do recover against the said Elijah six Pounds  
and eleven shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at one Pound & eight shillings & ten Pence. After all which the Def<sup>t</sup>  
by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on  
the fourth Tuesday of September next and he recognises with  
Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting & Appealing with Effect  
as by his Recognizance on File does appear.

Jonathan Leach  
Benjamin Leach  
at 165.  
Jonathan Leach of Pelham in the County of Hampshire  
Geoman Plaintiff vs Benjamin Leach of Amherst in the County aforesaid  
Geoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Benjamin at  
Pelham on the twenty fourth Day of August last past by his Note  
for value received promised said Jonathan to pay him ten  
Pounds & five shillings in lawful Silver Money with  
lawful Interest for the same till paid.

yet said Benamuel tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan Eighty Pounds. The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Jonathan do recover against the said Benamuel fifty four Pounds and four shillings of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.6.0 & thereof &c. Exon 15<sup>th</sup> Oct. 13. 1783.

Ebenezer Bottwood of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Gentle. Plf v. John McTear of Pelham in the County of aforesaid Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at s<sup>d</sup> Amherst on the fourteenth Day of May Anno Domini seventeen hundred and eighty one by his Note for value received promised the P. Ebenezer to pay him or order three Pounds seven shillings in Spanish milled Dollars on Demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid. Yet said John tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Ebenezer eight Pounds. The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Ebenezer do recover against the P. John four Pounds six shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.6.0 & thereof &c. Ex. 15<sup>th</sup> Sep. 1785.

Ebenezer Bottwood of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Gentle. Plf v. Josiah Wilson of Granwich in the County of aforesaid Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the P. Josiah at said Amherst on the ninth Day of January seventeen hundred eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Ebenezer to pay him or order forty five Pounds fifteen shillings in lawful Silver Money on Demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid; yet s<sup>d</sup> Josiah tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the P. Ebenezer sixty Pounds. The Parties severally appear <sup>for a Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup></sup> & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P. Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Levi Smith of South Hadley in the County of Hampshire Gentle. Plf v. Isaac Shearman of Marlborough in our County of Middlesex Baker Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that said Isaac at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the fifth Day of March last past by his Note for value received promised said Levi to pay him twenty eight Pounds lawful Money within one week then next following. Yet said Isaac tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the P. Levi thirty Pounds. The Parties severally appear & it is considered by the Court that they have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next.

Jacob McDaniell of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Gentle. Plf v. John McTear of Pelham in the County of aforesaid Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at said Amherst on the fourteenth Day of May seventeen hundred eighty one by his Note for value received promised said Jacob to pay him or order one Pound nine shillings

in Spanish milled Dollars on demand with lawful Interest  
M<sup>d</sup> Daniel for the same till paid - also for that said John at <sup>d</sup> Amherst  
on the seventeenth Day of December seventeen hundred & eighty one  
M<sup>d</sup> John by his Note for value received promised said Jacob to pay him  
or order two pounds seven Shillings & eight Pence in lawful  
Money & in Spanish milled Dollars on Demand with the lawful  
Interest for the same till paid - Yet <sup>d</sup> John tho often requested  
hath never performed his said Promises or either of them but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob Eight Pounds -  
The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho  
three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Jacob do recover  
against the said John four Pounds five Shillings  
and three Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at <sup>£</sup> 6. 6. 3 and thereof <sup>£</sup> 3. 9. 1783.

Idem  
Montgomery  
1780  
Jacob M<sup>d</sup> Daniel of Amherst in the County of Hampshire  
Comand<sup>r</sup> vs Thomas Montgomery of <sup>d</sup> Charn in the County aforesaid  
Coman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Thomas at <sup>d</sup> Amherst  
on the fifth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised said Jacob to pay him or Order three  
Pounds & nine Shillings in Spanish milled Dollars on Demand  
with lawful Interest for the same till paid; Yet said Thomas tho often  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Jacob nine Pounds - The Plf appears  
by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> Jacob do recover  
against the <sup>d</sup> Thomas three Pounds eight Shillings & five Pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup> 5. 9. 3 and thereof <sup>£</sup> 3. 9. 1783.

Prool  
Benson's Adm<sup>r</sup>  
No 171  
Ezra Prool of Amherst in the County of Hampshire  
Gente Plf vs Nathan Perkins of the same Amherst Blacksmith  
Administrator on the Estate of Benj<sup>a</sup> Benson late of <sup>d</sup> Amherst  
Coman deced<sup>d</sup> in <sup>d</sup> Capacity Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for  
that <sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>a</sup> in his Life time to wit on the fifth Day of December  
seventeen hundred & seventy three at Amherst aforesaid by his  
Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup> Ezra to pay him or Order  
two Pounds & one Shilling in lawful Money on Demand with  
the lawful Interest for the same till paid; Yet the <sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>a</sup>  
tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part  
thereof in his Life time nor hath the <sup>d</sup> Nathan tho often requested  
ever paid the same or any part thereof since the Death of the  
said Benj<sup>a</sup> but neglects to do it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Ezra  
six Pounds - The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Ezra do recover against the <sup>d</sup> Nathan two  
Pounds four Shillings & ten Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup> 6. 6. 3 and thereof <sup>£</sup> 3. 9. 1783.

Nathan Adams of Liversh in the County of Hampshire  
Coman. Plea is The Inhabitants of the Town of Liversh in the  
County aforesaid Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said  
Liversh on the twenty fourth Day of October seventeen hundred &  
eighty two Moses Graves, Stephen Ash & William Bowman a  
Committee of the same Town duly appointed (being empowered  
and authorized thereto by the said Inhabitants at a Legal Meeting  
duly warned convened & holden thier for that purpose) by a  
certain Note promissory under the Hands of the D<sup>r</sup>. Moses, Stephen  
and William for value received (in behalf of the D<sup>r</sup>. Town of  
Liversh) promised said. Nathan to pay him or Order nine ty two  
Pounds seven shillings and three Pence in lawful Silver Money  
on Demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid; whereof  
the D<sup>r</sup>. Inhabitants think there had Notice &c so became chargeable  
in Law to pay the D<sup>r</sup>. Nathan the whole Contents of the same Note  
according to the Tenor thereof & then & there in Consideration thereof  
promised the D<sup>r</sup>. Nathan to pay him the same accordingly &c. Yet  
said Inhabitants tho often have never paid the same or any part  
thereof but neglect & refuse to do it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup>.  
Nathan one hundred & twenty Pounds. The Plea appears by  
Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho three times called to  
into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup>. Nathan do recover against  
this D<sup>r</sup>. Inhabitants of Liversh ninety six Pounds nine shillings  
and seven Pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court  
taxed at 10/-, and thereof &c.

Adams  
Inhabitants  
of Liversh  
No 172

Rebecca M<sup>r</sup>. Thum of Pilham in the County of Hamp  
shire Widow Plea is Josiah Henry late of South Haddley in our  
said County Coman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said  
Josiah at said Pilham on the fourth Day of March seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
the said Rebecca to pay her or order eight Pounds sixteen shillings  
and three pence lawful Money in Silver or Gold on Demand  
with lawful Interest for the same till paid; yet the said Josiah  
tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Rebecca twelve Pounds.  
The Plea appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft tho  
three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup>. Rebecca  
do recover against the said Josiah nine Pounds twelve shillings  
and three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at 10/-, and thereof &c.

M<sup>r</sup>. Thum  
Henry  
No 173

Daniel Kellogg Jun<sup>r</sup> of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
Coman Plea is Silas Ball of Liversh in the County aforesaid  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the D<sup>r</sup>. Silas at D<sup>r</sup>. Amherst on the third  
Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised the D<sup>r</sup>. Daniel to pay him eight Pounds & four  
shillings in lawful Silver Money on demand with lawful Interest for the  
same till paid; yet said Silas tho often requested hath never paid the  
same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup>. Daniel ten  
Pounds. The Parties severally appear & on a Motion of the Deft agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day  
here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Kellogg Jun<sup>r</sup>  
is Ball.  
No 174

Bolthwood  
Blair  
No 175

Ebenezer Bolthwood of Amherst in our County of Hampshire Gentl  
Plff vs William Blake late of Pelham in our sd County Geoman Debt  
in a Plea of the Case for that William at Amherst on the sixth  
Day of October seven hundred & eighty one by his Note for  
value received promised said Ebenezer to pay him or Order  
three Pounds nineteen shillings and six Pence in Spanish Milled  
Dollars or before the first Day of March then next with the lawful  
Interest for the same till paid; Yet said William the often  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Ebenezer eight Pounds  
The Plff appears by Simon Strong Esqr his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that Ebenezer  
do recover against the said William four Pounds and five shillings  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at L<sup>ts</sup> 9  
and thereof &c

Idem  
vs  
Gould  
No 176

Ebenezer Bolthwood of Amherst in the County of  
Hampshire Gentl Plff vs Jeremiah Gould of Chatham in  
our County of aforesaid Geoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for  
that said Jeremiah at said Amherst on the fourteenth Day of  
June seven hundred and eighty one by his Note for value  
received promised said Eben<sup>r</sup> to pay him as order eight Pounds  
three shillings in Spanish Milled Dollars on Demand with lawful  
Interest for the same till paid; Yet said Jeremiah the often  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Eben<sup>r</sup> ten Pounds  
The Plff appears by Simon Strong Esqr his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Eben<sup>r</sup> do  
recover against the said Jeremiah nine Pounds three shillings  
and ten Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at L<sup>ts</sup> 10 and thereof &c Ex<sup>te</sup> is Sep<sup>r</sup> 12. 1783

Idem  
vs  
Bridge  
No 177

Ebenezer Bolthwood of Amherst in our County of  
Hampshire Gentl Plff vs Joseph Bridge of Newbury in our  
sd County Geoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that  
at said Amherst on the twenty second Day of June seven hundred  
& eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
said Ebenezer to pay him or Order three Pounds ten shillings & nine  
Pence in lawful Silver Money on Demand with lawful Interest  
for the same till paid; Yet said Joseph the often requested hath  
never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
of this Ebenezer eight Pounds  
The Plff appears by Simon Strong  
Esqr his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Ebenezer do recover against the said Joseph three  
Pounds fifteen shillings and nine Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at L<sup>ts</sup> 9 and thereof &c Ex<sup>te</sup> is Sep<sup>r</sup> 12. 1783

Field  
vs  
Hacynne  
No 178

Robert Child of Granwich in the County of Hampshire  
Gentl Plff vs Isaac & Isaac the husband and wife of Isaac Hacynne  
of Belchertown in our sd County Debt in a Plea of the Case for that  
Isaac & Isaac Junrs at said Granwich on the nineteenth Day of April  
seven hundred & eighty three by their Note for value received promised  
said Robert to pay him or order one hundred & thirty two & ten shillings  
in lawful Silver Money on or before the fifteenth Day of June then  
next with lawful Interest for the same till paid; Yet said Isaac & Isaac  
the often requested have never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Robert one hundred & forty Pounds  
The Plff appears by  
Simon Strong Esqr his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> request to have this Case continued to the next term  
therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until  
the second Tuesday of November next

Darius Rice of Granwick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
 Plaintiff James Cleland of Northfield in our County Yeoman Defendant  
 in a Plea of the Case for that said James Cleland Greenwick on  
 the twenty ninth Day of September seven then hundred & twenty  
 nine by his Note for value received promised the said Darius  
 to pay & deliver to him twenty good Store Sheep worth eight  
 Pounds on or before the first Day of June then next. Also for  
 that said James Cleland Greenwick afterwards on the same  
 Day of Sep<sup>r</sup> & Year aforesaid in consideration that the said Darius  
 at the special and Request of the said James had before that time  
 there Exchanged Horses with him the said James, undertook and  
 promised said Darius to pay & deliver to him other twenty good  
 Store Sheep worth eight Pounds on or before the first Day of June  
 then next — and said Darius says he was always ready to receive  
 the same Sheep of said James — yet said James tho often  
 requested hath never paid the same or any way performed  
 either of his Promises but neglected it to the Damage of  
 the said Darius sixteen Pounds — The Plaintiff appears by  
 Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
 said Darius do recover against the said James seven Pounds  
 three Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
 of Court taxed at £1.10.6. & thereof &c

Rice  
 v  
 Cleland  
 No 179

Jacob M<sup>r</sup> Danill of Amherst in the County of Hamp  
 shire Yeoman Plaintiff James Taft of Shelburne in the County  
 of aforesaid Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
 James Taft Amherst on the fourteenth Day of June seven then  
 hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
 the said Jacob to pay him or order three hundred & one half  
 an hundred Weight of good merchantable Wheat Flour worth  
 twenty Shillings by the hundred & to deliver the same to him  
 at the said Jacob's Store in s<sup>d</sup> Amherst on or before the first Day  
 of October then next or to pay him the value thereof in  
 Spanish milled Dollars on or before the same time & said  
 Jacob avers that he was there always ready to receive said  
 Flour of said James — yet s<sup>d</sup> James tho often requested  
 hath never paid or delivered all the same Flour or any part  
 thereof nor ever paid said value or any part thereof but neglected  
 it to the Damage of the said Jacob eight Pounds — The Plaintiff  
 appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three  
 times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
 Jacob do recover against the said James three Pounds and  
 eight Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 Taxed at £1.7.7. & thereof &c — Given at Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

McDanilla  
 v  
 Taft  
 No 180.

Jacob M<sup>r</sup> Danill of Amherst in our County of Hamp  
 shire Yeoman Plaintiff Daniel Smith of Swerth in our said County  
 Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Daniel  
 on the tenth Day of October seven then hundred and eighty one by  
 his Note for value received promised the said Jacob to pay him  
 or order the Sum of thirteen Pounds & eighteen Shillings in

No 181

11  
Smith  
M<sup>rs</sup> Daniel Spanish milled Dollars on Demand with lawful Interest  
for the same till paid - Yet the said Daniel tho often  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects  
to do it to the Damage of the said M<sup>rs</sup> Daniel eighteen Pounds -  
The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho  
three times called to come into Court makes default of appear-  
ance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Jacob do recover against the said Daniel fifteen Pounds eight  
shillings & eight Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £6. 11. & thereof - Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Idem  
Hubbard  
e<sup>d</sup> 182  
Jacob M<sup>rs</sup> Daniel of Amherst in the County of Hamp-  
shire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Jonathan Hubbard of Lavereth in the  
County Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Jonathan a said Amherst on the twenty four<sup>th</sup> Day  
of December seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value  
received promised said Jacob to pay him or order six Pounds  
nineteen shillings & six Pence lawful Money in Spanish milled  
Dollars on demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid  
Yet Jonathan tho often requested hath never paid the same or  
any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob ten  
Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Jacob do recover against the said Jonathan four Pounds  
eight shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at £5. 11. & thereof - Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Bellwood  
Jo. Dash  
e<sup>d</sup> 183  
Ebenezer Bellwood of Amherst in our County  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> is Joseph Dash of Litchley in our County  
aforesaid Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that said Joseph at  
Northampton in the County of Hampshire in the twenty third Day  
of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised said Ebenezer to pay him or Order two Pounds  
four shillings & six Pence lawful Money on Demand with the  
lawful Interest for the same till paid Yet said Joseph tho often  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Ebenezer six Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Ebenezer do recover against the  
said Joseph two Pounds eight shillings & five Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £4. 11. & thereof -  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 12. 1783.

M<sup>rs</sup> Daniel  
Russell  
e<sup>d</sup> 184  
Jacob M<sup>rs</sup> Daniel of Amherst in the County of Hamp-  
shire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Jonathan Russell of Sunderland in our  
said County Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Jonathan a said Amherst on the twenty third Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seven  
teen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
the said Jacob to pay him or Order three Pounds ten shillings & four Pence  
lawful Money in Spanish milled Dollars on Demand with the lawful Interest  
for the same till paid - Yet Jonathan tho often requested hath never paid the  
same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob  
eight Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
- Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jacob do recover against the  
said Jonathan three Pounds & eighteen shillings & lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £4. 6. 11. & thereof -  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Jacob M. Daniell of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff  
vs Barnard Wilde of Lwisth in our said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea  
of the Case for that <sup>the</sup> Barnard at said Amherst on the seventh Day of  
June seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received  
promised the said Jacob to pay him or order two Pounds fourteen  
shillings & four Pence lawful Money in Spanish milled Dollars on  
Demand with lawful Interest of the same until paid, yet said  
Barnard tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part  
thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob six Pounds  
The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Jacob do recover against the said Barnard three Pounds one  
shilling & six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £ 5-9 & thereof &c Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 16. 1783

40

M Daniell  
vs  
Wilde  
N<sup>o</sup> 185.

Jacob M. Daniell of Amherst in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs John Thub of Lwisth in our  
said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said John at said Amherst on the first Day of October seventeen  
hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised said  
Jacob to pay him or order five Pounds nine shillings and six  
Pence lawful Money in Spanish Milled Dollars on Demand  
with lawful Interest for the same till paid, yet said John  
tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob eight Pounds  
The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jacob do recover  
against the said John six Pounds one shilling & six Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 5-9 & thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783

John  
Thub  
vs  
Jacob  
N<sup>o</sup> 186.

James Cowen of Plham in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plf vs Ephraim Woodward Yeoman & Joseph Hooker  
Defts both of Greenwich in said County Defts in a Plea of the Case Cowen  
for that said Ephraim & Joseph at said Greenwich on the twenty  
first Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty two by their Note for  
value received promised one William Thaw to pay to him or  
Order thirty Pounds in lawful silver Money within three Month  
from the same Date with lawful Interest for the same till paid;  
and afterwards to wit on the thirtieth Day of June last past the said  
William by his Indorsement in writing on the same Note with his  
own hand subscribed ordered the Contents of the same Note then wholly  
due and unpaid to be paid to one David Sloan or his Order for  
value received & afterwards to wit on the first Day of July current  
the said David at said Greenwich by his Indorsement in writing on the  
same Note with his own proper hand subscribed ordered the Contents  
of the same Note then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the said  
James for value received of all which the said Ephraim & Joseph  
had Notice and so became chargeable in Law to pay the Contents to  
James according to the Tenor of the same Note and of said Indorsement  
thereon & then the three in consideration thereof promised said James to pay  
him the same accordingly yet the said Ephraim & Joseph tho often requested have never  
paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said James five  
Pounds The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dfts the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is con  
sidered by the Court that the said James do recover against the said Ephraim & Joseph  
thirteen Pounds two shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £ 5-9 & thereof &c Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783

Woodward  
vs  
Hooker  
N<sup>o</sup> 187.

Eastman  
Billings  
No 188

William Eastman of Granby in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>t</sup> & Benjamin Billings of Belcherstown in the County  
aforesaid Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>the</sup> Benj<sup>a</sup>  
at said Belcherstown on the sixteenth Day of January seventeen  
hundred & twenty five by his Note for value received by the name  
of Benj<sup>a</sup> Billings Jun<sup>r</sup> I promised one William Negus to pay to  
him or Order three pounds in lawful Money on demand with  
lawful Interest for the same till paid; and afterwards to wit on  
the eighteenth Day of January aforesaid the said William Negus  
by his Indorsement in writing on the same Note with his own  
proper hand subscribed ordered the Content of the same Note  
then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the <sup>the</sup> William Eastman  
for value received whereof the said Benj<sup>a</sup> then and there had  
Notice & so became chargeable in Law to pay the same Content  
to <sup>the</sup> William Eastman according to the Tenor of the same Note  
and of the <sup>the</sup> Indorsement thereon and there & there in Consideration  
thereof promised <sup>the</sup> William Eastman to pay him the same amount  
ingly. Yet <sup>the</sup> Benj<sup>a</sup> tho often requested hath never paid the  
same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>the</sup> William Eastman  
Eight Pounds. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>r</sup> and the D<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the <sup>the</sup> William do recover against the said Benj<sup>a</sup>  
four Pounds eleven Shillings & two Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at <sup>the</sup> 5<sup>s</sup> 11<sup>d</sup> & thereof &c. Given in Sep<sup>r</sup> 23<sup>d</sup> 1783

Clough  
Blair  
No 189

Benjamin Clough of Belcherstown in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>t</sup> & James Blair of Belcherstown aforesaid  
Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said James at said  
Belcherstown on the thirteenth Day of December seventeen hundred &  
eighty by his Note for value received promised said Benjamin  
to pay him the value of eighteen Pounds in lawful Money in  
Irish Cattle or Grain as Irish Cattle & Grain were commonly sold  
there in the Year seventeen hundred & seventy four; and to deliver  
the same at said Belcherstown within two years then next to come  
with lawful Interest for the same till paid; yet said James tho often  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof altho the <sup>the</sup>  
Benj<sup>a</sup> was always there ready to receive the same of said James  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Benjamin thirty Pounds.  
The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D<sup>t</sup> tho three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Benj<sup>a</sup> do  
recover against the said James Twenty Pounds seven Shillings  
and eight Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at <sup>the</sup> 7<sup>s</sup> 9<sup>d</sup> & thereof &c. Given in Sep<sup>r</sup> 10<sup>d</sup> 1783

Ried  
No 190

Peter Smith of Amherst in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Pl<sup>t</sup> & David Rich of the same Amherst Gent<sup>r</sup> Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said David at said Amherst  
on the thirtieth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty  
one by his Note for value received promised said Peter to pay  
him the sum of Eight Pounds twelve Shillings & five Pence  
lawful silver Money on Demand with the lawful Interest  
for the same till paid; yet the <sup>the</sup> David tho often requested

hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Peter twelve Pounds The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft<sup>r</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft<sup>r</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Peter do recover against the said David nine Pounds six shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £5-10 & thereof &c Exon ip<sup>a</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 22<sup>d</sup> 1783

Luce Hubbard of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Israel Hubbard of Sunderland in said County Defendant  
Husbandman & Israel Hubbard of Swereth in the County of said Husbandman Dft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that P<sup>r</sup> Israel of Sunderland & Hubbard &c and Israel of Swereth at said Sunderland on the first Day of July No 191  
seventeen hundred & eighty by their Note for value received promised said Luce to pay her eight seven Pounds thirteen shillings & ten Pence in Spanish milled Dollars at six shillings each or Gold Equivalent on demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid; yet P<sup>r</sup> Israel of Sunderland & Israel of Swereth tho often thereto requested have never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Luce ninety Pounds The Plf appears by P<sup>r</sup> Parties severally appears on a Motion of the Dft<sup>r</sup> agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Parties have D<sup>r</sup> here until the second Tuesday of November next

Jacob Mc Daniel of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf vs. Reuben Dickinson of Amherst M<sup>r</sup> Daniel of said County Dft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that P<sup>r</sup> Reuben at said Amherst on the twenty seventh Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note No 192  
for value received promised P<sup>r</sup> Jacob to pay him or order six Pounds three shillings & nine Pence lawful Money in Spanish milled Dollars on demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid; yet said Reuben tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob ten Pounds The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft<sup>r</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jacob do recover against the said Dickinson six Pounds sixteen shillings & seven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £5-10 & thereof &c Exon ip<sup>a</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 18<sup>d</sup> 1783

Jacob Mc Daniel of Amherst in the County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Idem  
shire Yeoman Plf vs. Nathan Dickinson of the same Amherst Defendant  
Yeoman Dft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that said Nathan at said Amherst on the tenth Day of October seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised said Jacob to pay to him No 193  
or Order eight Pounds two shillings & five Pence three farthings lawful Money in Spanish milled Dollars on Demand with

McDaniel lawful Interest for the same till paid; yet the said Nathan  
the often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob twelve Pounds  
Dickinson The Plf appears by Simeon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jacob do recover  
against the said Nathan nine Pounds & three Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £10 5 1 & thereof  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10 1703

Hastings Samuel Hastings of Amherst in our County of  
Belling Hampshire Yeoman Plf & Joel Billing of the same Amherst  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that Joel at said  
Amherst on the fifth Day of February sixteen hundred & eighty  
three by his Note for value received promised the said Samuel  
to pay him twenty five Pounds eleven Shillings & ten Pence of  
lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest for the same  
till paid; yet said Joel the often requested hath never paid  
the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of  
the said Samuel thirty Pounds The Plf appears by  
Simeon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Samuel do recover against the said Joel twenty six Pounds  
nine Shillings & eight Pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £10 10 & thereof  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 6 1703

McDaniel Jacob McDaniel of Amherst in our County of  
Dana Hampshire Yeoman Plf & Amariah Dana of the same  
Amherst Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Amariah at said Amherst on the twenty seventh Day  
of December sixteen hundred & eighty two by his Note for  
value received promised said Jacob to pay him or his  
Order three pounds three Shillings & six Pence lawful Money  
on Demand with lawful Interest for the same till paid  
Also for that said Amariah at said Amherst on the ninth  
Day of January sixteen hundred & eighty three by his  
other Note for value received promised promised said  
Jacob to pay him or order sixteen Shillings & eight Pence  
in lawful Silver Money on Demand with lawful  
Interest for the same till paid; yet said Nathan the  
often requested hath never performed either of his said Promises  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jacob eight Pounds  
The Plf appears by Simeon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Jacob do recover against the said Amariah  
four Pounds and seven Shillings of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £10 5 1 & thereof  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10 1703

Benamuel Leach of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Geo  
man Plf vs Isaac Townsend of New Salem in the County aforesaid  
Geomans Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Isaac at said  
Amherst on the Twenty third Day of January last past by his Note Leach  
for value received promised B Benamuel to pay him twenty five  
Pounds in lawful Silver Money on Demand with lawful Interest Townsend  
for the same till paid; yet said Isaac tho often requested hath never  
paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage N<sup>o</sup> 196  
of the said Benamuel Eighty pounds The Parties severally  
appear and on a motion of the Deft agree to have this Case  
continued to the next Term Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next

Nathaniel Dwight of Belchertown in the County of Dwight  
Hampshire Geo Plf vs Oliver Smith Geo & Nathaniel White  
Geomans Defts in our said County Defts in a Plea of the  
Case for that the said Oliver <sup>Smith</sup> & Nathaniel <sup>White</sup> at said Hadley on the  
thirtieth Day of January seventeen hundred and eighty two by  
their Note for value received promised said Nathaniel Dwight N<sup>o</sup> 197  
to pay him or order seventeen Pounds & ten Pence in lawful Silver  
Money on or before the first Day of May then next with lawful Interest  
for the same till paid ~~till paid~~ yet said Smith & White tho often  
requested have never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said Nathaniel Dwight twenty Pounds  
The Parties severally appear and on a motion of the Defts agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill  
the second Tuesday of November next

Benjamin Chandler of Petersham in the County of Chandler  
Worcester Geo Plf vs Josiah Henry of South Hadley in the  
County of Hampshire Geo Plf in a Plea of the Case for  
that said Josiah at Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
on the twenty ninth Day of November seventeen hundred and N<sup>o</sup> 198  
eighty one by his Note for value received promised B Benjamin  
to pay him or order twelve Pounds twelve ~~Pounds~~ Shillings and  
six Pence in lawful Money in Silver or Gold on Demand  
with lawful Interest for the same untill paid; yet said Josiah  
tho often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Benjamin fourteen  
Pounds The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> &  
the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the B<sup>y</sup> Ben<sup>y</sup> do recover against the said Josiah  
of lawful Money Damages and Costs  
of Court taxed at £1. 11. 6 & thereof &c

Thomas Shearer of Colrain in the County of Hampshire  
Geo Plf vs The Inhabitants of Colrain in the County aforesaid  
Defts in a Plea of the Case for that the Inhabitants of Colrain  
on the twenty first Day of February seventeen hundred & eighty  
one at Colrain aforesaid were jointly indebted to the said Thomas  
in the sum of twenty five Pounds in lawful Money for so much  
Money by the Inhabitants of Colrain to the said Thomas  
there before that time had received in Consideration whereof  
N<sup>o</sup> 199

Shares: the said Inhabitants then and there promised. Thomas to  
pay him the same Sum on Demand, yet the said Inhabitants to the  
often requested have never paid the same, or any part thereof but  
neglect it to the Damage of the said Thomas this to Down  
of Colrain. The Parties severally appear & on a Motion of the Deft agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that said Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next.

Field  
v  
Thing  
No 200  
Walter Field of Northfield in the County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plf v Haphni Thing of the same Northfield  
gentl & Deputy Sheriff under Elisha Foster Esq Sheriff of the  
same County Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Haphni  
absaid Northfield on the twelfth Day of April last past by  
his Note for value received promised that Walter to pay him  
or order the Sum of eighteen Pounds eleven Shillings & eight Pence  
lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest for the same till  
paid, yet said Haphni tho often requested hath never paid  
the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Walter nineteen Pounds ten Shillings. The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of  
November next.

Boff  
v  
Andrews  
No 201  
William Boff of Williamsburgh in our County of  
Hampshire Physician Plf v Samuel Andrews of Western in  
our County of Worcester yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case  
for that the said Samuel at Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
on the seventeenth Day of June in the year of our Lord  
seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note of Hand of that Date  
for value received promised William to pay him or order  
twenty seven Spanish milled Dollars (equal in value to eight  
Pounds & two Shillings in lawful Money) within three Months  
then next following with lawful Interest for the same untill  
paid, yet said Samuel tho often requested hath never  
paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said William ten Pounds. The Parties severally  
appear & it is considered by the Court that this action be continued  
to the next Term & that the Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next.

Judd Esq  
v  
Mister  
No 202  
Jonathan Judd Junr of Northampton in our  
County of Hampshire Esq Plf v Pinneas Mister late of  
Northwick in our County yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case  
for that the said Pinneas at Northampton in our County on the fourteenth  
Day of May seventeen hundred and eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised the said Jonathan to pay him the Sum of  
thirteen Pounds ten Shillings & eight Pence lawful Silver Money  
within one Month from the Date with the lawful Interest from that  
Time till paid, yet the said Pinneas tho often requested hath never paid the  
contents of the Note or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Jonathan sixteen Pounds. The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq his Att & the Deft  
being out of the State it is agreed & considered by the Court that  
this Case be continued to the next Term & that said Parties have  
Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

No 203 su  
interd  
No 346

Elisha Norton of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire groom  
 Ref. is Jonathan N. Mallory late of Williamsburgh in the County  
 aforesaid Possessor of a Debt in a Debt of Treasurers on the Case for that  
 S. Jon<sup>a</sup> at Northampton in the County aforesaid on the twentieth  
 Day of November last past owing & being justly Indebted to the said  
 Elisha in the sum of one Pound thirteen shillings & two pence lawful  
 Money for diverse Goods Wares & Merchandises of the S<sup>d</sup> Elisha & by him  
 the said Elisha to the said Jonathan at his special Instance & Request  
 before that time sold & delivered in consideration thereof the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan  
 undertook & to the S<sup>d</sup> Elisha then & there faithfully promised to pay  
 to pay him the same sum & the lawful Interest thereof on Demand.  
 Also for that the said Jonathan at Northampton aforesaid on the  
 same twentieth Day of November in Consideration that the said  
 Elisha at the special Instance & Request of the said Jonathan had  
 before that time sold & delivered to the said Jonathan divers other Goods  
 Wares & Merchandises assumed on himself & to the said Elisha then &  
 there faithfully promised to pay him so much Money as the Goods  
 Wares & Merchandises last mentioned at the time of the sale and  
 delivery of the same were reasonably worth & the lawful Interest of  
 such sum when he should be afterwards there so requested and the  
 said Elisha avers that the same Goods Wares & Merchandises at the  
 time of the sale & delivery thereof were reasonably worth another  
 sum of eight Pounds thirteen shillings & two pence lawful Money to wit  
 at Northampton aforesaid, of which the said Jonathan then afterwards  
 the same Day had notice. Also for that the said Jonathan on the  
 first Day of April last past at Northampton aforesaid in Consideration  
 that the said Elisha at the special Instance & Request of the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan  
 had then & there let to him the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan a certain of him the S<sup>d</sup> Elisha  
 to be rode by the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan about the Business of the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan from  
 Williamsburgh aforesaid to <sup>the County of New-Haven</sup> New-Haven in the State of Connecticut  
 and from thence back from New-Haven aforesaid to Williamsburgh  
 aforesaid assumed on himself & to the said Elisha then & there faithfully  
 promised to pay him so much Money for the hire of the same gelding  
 as the S<sup>d</sup> Elisha therefor reasonably deserved to have & the lawful Interest  
 of such sum on Demand and the S<sup>d</sup> Elisha avers that for the hire of  
 the same gelding he reasonably deserved to have of the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan  
 another sum of twenty shillings lawful Money to wit at Northampton  
 aforesaid of which the said Jonathan afterwards the same Day had notice  
 Also for that the said Jonathan on the twentieth Day of November last  
 past at Williamsburgh aforesaid in Consideration that the said Elisha at  
 the special Instance & Request of the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan had promised him the S<sup>d</sup>  
 Jonathan to have passage, occupy & enjoy the use & benefit of one Messuage  
 with its Appurtenances of him the S<sup>d</sup> Elisha situate & lying in Williamsburgh  
 aforesaid for a long time to wit for the space of three Months then last  
 past and in Consideration thereof in the said Elisha at the like Instance  
 and Request of the said Jonathan had then & there during the S<sup>d</sup> Term  
 of three Months done & performed for the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan & for the Family of him  
 the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan diverse Work, Labour & service assumed on himself &  
 to the said Elisha then & there faithfully promised to pay him so much  
 Money as the use of the S<sup>d</sup> Messuage and the Work Labor & Service aforesaid  
 were reasonably worth & the lawful Interest of such sum when he should  
 be there afterwards requested & the said Elisha avers that for the use of the  
 S<sup>d</sup> Messuage with its Appurtenances of him the said Elisha during the  
 said Term of three Months & for the Work Labor & Service of him the  
 S<sup>d</sup> Elisha done and performed as aforesaid he reasonably deserved to have  
 of the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan another sum of three Pounds lawful Money of

Morton  
1  
N. Mallory  
of which the said Jonathan then afterwards the same Day at Williamsburg  
aforesaid had Notice, yet the said Jonathan tho' often there requested  
hath not paid either of the Sums aforesaid to the said Elisha or any part  
of either of them or any contented him therefor but unjustly neglects &  
refuses to do it to the Damage of the said Elisha Morton twelve Pounds  
The S<sup>d</sup> appears by calculation to be in the Debt him out of the state  
it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next  
Term & that the said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday  
of November next

Progers  
Wright & al  
N<sup>o</sup> 205  
Jonathan Rogers of Ware in our County of Hampshire  
Coman S<sup>d</sup> is Jason Wright & al a Danfor the both of Western in our  
County of Worcester Gentlemen Defts in a Plea of Trespass on the  
Case for that the said Jason & al at Northampton in the County of  
Hampshire on the twenty fifth Day of September seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by their Note for value received promised the  
said Jonathan to pay him or Order the Sum of forty nine Pounds  
and three shillings to be paid in Silver Money with Interest till  
paid to be paid within six months from the Date of S<sup>d</sup> Note  
yet the said Jason & al tho' often there requested have not paid  
the Contents of the S<sup>d</sup> Note to the said Jonathan or any Part thereof but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan sixty Pounds  
The Parties severally appear & on a Motion of the Deft agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next

Jones  
Patten  
N<sup>o</sup> 206  
Cornelius Jones of Granville in the County of  
Charlotte & State of New York Com<sup>r</sup> S<sup>d</sup> is Ambrose Patten  
of a Plantation called Merrifield in the County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Ambrose  
at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the twenty fourth  
Day of January seventeen hundred and eighty two by his Note for  
value received promised the said Cornelius to pay him or order the  
Sum of fifty Pounds in Silver Currancy at or before the twelfth Day  
of October then next with lawful Interest till paid yet the said  
Ambrose tho' often requested hath not paid the Contents of the S<sup>d</sup>  
Note or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Cornelius thirty Pounds The Parties severally appear & agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties  
~~recover against the said Ambrose~~ Parties have Day here untill the  
second Tuesday of November next

Duquich  
N<sup>o</sup> 207  
Michel Duquich of Enfield in the County of Grafton &  
State of ~~Connecticut~~ New Hampshire Com<sup>r</sup> S<sup>d</sup> is Gad Root of  
Westfield in the County of Hampshire Innkeeper Deft in a Plea of  
Trespass whereon the said Michel complains that the said Gad Root  
at Westfield aforesaid on the seventeenth Day of July current with  
Force & Arms to wit with Clubs & Staves on him the said Michel did  
make an Assault on him the said Michel did then & there beat & wound & abuse  
imprison & ill treat & humiliate the said Michel then in Person without any  
reasonable Cause & against the Laws of the Commonwealth a long  
time to wit for the space of half an hour from thence next ensuing  
did detain & other Outrages on him the said Michel then & there  
committed contrary to Laws against the Peace and to the Damage of  
of the said Michel Duquich three hundred Pounds The Parties  
severally appear & agree to refer this Case & all Damages to the

Award, Judgement & final Determination of Doct<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer Hunt  
 Abner Barnard & Col<sup>t</sup> William Shephard who are to hear said  
 Parties & their Proofs & Allegations and the Award of them ~~on any two~~  
 or any two of them is to be final to be returned into this Court  
 Judgement to be made up & Execution to issue accordingly  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here  
 untill the second Tuesday of November next

John White of Southampton in the County of Hampshire  
 Coma<sup>n</sup> & Def<sup>t</sup> is A shill Clap of the same Southampton Coma<sup>n</sup> & Def<sup>t</sup> White  
 in a Plea of the Case for that the said White at Southampton aforesaid  
 on the thirtieth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty one by  
 his Note for value received promised the said John to pay him  
 the Sum of thirty one Pounds eleven Shillings and five Pence equal  
 Wheat at four Shillings each Bushel or one Spanish milled Dollar at six  
 Shillings Demand with Interest till paid. yet the s<sup>d</sup> White  
 tho often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the said  
 Note to the Plf or any part thereof but unjustly neglects it to the  
 Damage of the said John thirty five Pounds. The Plf appears  
 by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho. three times called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is consi  
 dered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> White  
 thirty five Pounds sixteen Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 10 10 0. After all which  
 the said White by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
 Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County  
 of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he the  
 cognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said  
 Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file do appear

Cornelius Jones of Granville in the County of Charlotte  
 and State of New Hampshire Coma<sup>n</sup> & Def<sup>t</sup> is Ephraim Hill  
 of a Plantation called Merryfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup>  
 Ephraim at Merryfield to wit at Southampton in the County of  
 Hampshire on the fourth Day of November seventeen hundred  
 & eighty by his Note for value received promised the said  
 Cornelius pay him on order the full Sum of one hundred & twenty five  
 Pounds five Shillings & eleven Pence in Spanish Milled Dollars  
 at six Shillings each within twelve Months after the Date of the said  
 Note with Interest till paid. yet the said Ephraim tho often  
 requested hath not paid the Contents of the s<sup>d</sup> Note or any Part thereof  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Cornelius one hundred & thirty  
 Pounds. The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup>  
 tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Cornelius  
 do recover against the said Ephraim one hundred & two Pounds four  
 Shillings & nine Pence two Farthings of lawful Money Damages and  
 Costs of Court taxed at £ 20 2 4 & thereof &c

Exon<sup>d</sup> 17<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Robert Buck of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff is Malcolme Henry late of Pittfield in the County of  
 Berkshire Gentle Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the  
 Henry Malcolme at Northampton aforesaid on the thirty first Day of March  
 No 210 seventeen hundred & twenty four being justly indebted to the Plaintiff  
 one sixth Hund now deceased whom the Plaintiff Robert hath in the sum  
 of three Pounds eighteen Shillings lawful Money for one Barrel of New  
 England Rum by him the said Robert & the Plaintiff in his life time & at the  
 special Instance and Request of the said Malcolme before that time  
 there sold & delivered in Consideration thereof the said Malcolme  
 assumed on himself & to the said Robert & the Plaintiff in his life time  
 then & there faithfully promised to pay them the same sum and the lawful  
 Interest thereof after three Months whenever after he the said Malcolme should  
 be thereto requested - Also for that the Plaintiff Malcolme at Northampton aforesaid  
 afterwards on the same thirty first Day of March in Consideration that  
 the Plaintiff Robert & the Plaintiff in the life time of the said sixth had then sold &  
 delivered to him the said Malcolme another Barrel of New England  
 Rum at his the Plaintiff Malcolme's special Instance & Request assumed on  
 himself & to the Plaintiff Robert & the Plaintiff in the life time of the same sixth third  
 there faithfully promised to pay them so much Money as the same  
 Barrel of Rum at the Time of the sale & delivery thereof was reasonably  
 worth & the lawful Interest thereof whenever after he the Plaintiff Malcolme  
 should be thereto requested - And the Plaintiff Robert avers that the same  
 Barrel of Rum at the time of the sale & delivery thereof was reasonably  
 worth another sum of three Pounds eighteen Shillings to wit at  
 Northampton aforesaid of which the said Malcolme there afterwards  
 the same Day had stolen - Yet the Plaintiff Malcolme tho often thereto  
 requested by the said Robert & the Plaintiff in the life time of the Plaintiff sixth & by the  
 Plaintiff Robert after the Death of the Plaintiff sixth hath not paid either of the sums aforesaid  
 to the Plaintiff Robert & the Plaintiff in the life time of the Plaintiff sixth or to the Plaintiff Robert  
 after the Death of the Plaintiff sixth but unjustly neglects & refuses to do it to the  
 Damage of the Plaintiff Robert ten Pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant tho three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the Plaintiff Robert do recover against the Plaintiff Malcolme six Pounds and  
 five Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at the Judge's & there of &c  
 Done Sept<sup>r</sup> 8<sup>th</sup> 1783

David Field of Dursfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Plaintiff is Seth Wait late of Ashfield in the County aforesaid Gentle Defendant  
 in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Seth at Dursfield  
 aforesaid on the thirty first Day of July seventeen hundred and  
 twenty three by his Note for value received promised the said David  
 to pay him or order the sum of nine Pounds eleven Shillings and  
 eleven Pence three Farthings with Interest on Demand -  
 Yet the said Seth tho often thereto requested hath not paid the contents  
 of said Note to the said David or any part thereof but unjustly neglects  
 & refuses to do it to the Damage of the Plaintiff David eighteen Pounds -  
 The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant tho three times  
 publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff David do recover  
 against the Plaintiff Seth  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the Judge's and there of &c  
 of lawful Money

David Field of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff is Stephen Cragg of Ashfield in the County aforesaid Gentle  
 Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the Plaintiff  
 Stephen at Dursfield aforesaid on the fourteenth Day of January  
 No 212

53  
Field &  
u  
Cross

Robinson  
A  
Adams

Kindred  
is  
Hanks  
No 214

Hinsdale  
A  
Field  
No. 215

Winslow Samuel Winslow of Montague in the County of Hampshire  
Hawes Plaintiff vs Beniah Hawes of New Brantree in our County of  
Hampshire Defendant Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Beniah  
No 216 on the eleventh Day of March last past by his Note for value received  
promised he would pay to the Plaintiff or Order the Sum of ten Pounds  
twelve Shillings & one penny on Demand with Interest till paid  
but the said Beniah has often requested hath not paid the Contents of  
this Note to the Plaintiff or any part thereof but unjustly neglects to do  
it to the Damage of the Plaintiff twelve Pounds The Plaintiff  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover  
against the said Beniah seven Pounds and four shillings & one penny  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1-11-6  
and thereof &c  
Exon j<sup>o</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 17- 1783

Johnson Isaac Johnson of Williamburgh in our County of  
Smith Plaintiff vs Thomas Smith late of Hatfield  
Hampshire Defendant Deft in a Plea of Trespass  
on the Case for that the said Thomas at Williamburgh aforesaid  
No 217 on the thirty first Day of March last past by his Note for value  
received promised the said Isaac to pay him the Sum of two  
Pounds twelve Shillings lawful Money on Demand (meaning to  
pay the lawful Interest of the same Sum from the time of demanding  
the Contents of the said Note till paid and the said Isaac avers that he  
demanded the Contents of the same Note of the said Thomas on the  
first Day of April last past but the said Thomas has often requested hath  
not paid the Contents of the said Note to the Plaintiff or any part thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff six Pounds  
The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times  
called to into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover  
against the said Thomas two Pounds & twelve Shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2-10-9 & thereof &c  
Exon j<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 6<sup>th</sup> 1783

Strong Peter Strong of Chesterfield in the County of Hampshire  
Thwing Jun<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff vs John Thwing Jun<sup>r</sup> of the same Chesterfield Defendant  
Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said John at Chesterfield  
No 218 aforesaid on the twelfth Day of November went ten hundred & eighty  
one by his Note for value received promised the said Peter to pay him  
four Pounds two Shillings & four Pence in Silver Money at six  
Shillings & Pence (meaning in Silver Money at the Rate of six Shillings and  
eight Pence & Pence) on Demand with Interest till paid but the said  
John has often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the said  
Note to the Plaintiff or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the  
Plaintiff seven Pounds The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> &  
the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Peter do recover against the said John four Pounds & twelve Shillings  
of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £4-11-  
and thereof &c  
Exon j<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 22<sup>th</sup> 1783

Pomeroy Quarters Pomeroy of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Hunt Plaintiff vs Samuel Hunt of Chesterfield in the County aforesaid  
No 219 Defendant Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Samuel  
at Northampton aforesaid on the twentieth Day of July went ten hundred &  
seventy three by his Note for value received promised the said Quarters  
to pay him or Order the Sum of four Pounds ten Shillings & ten pence

in Demand with Interest yet the said Samuel the after  
requested hath not paid the contents of the P. Note to the said  
Quartus or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the  
P. Quartus ten Pounds. The P. appears by Sale Strong  
by 2 his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said Quartus do recover against  
the said Samuel six Pounds fifteen Shillings & eight Pence of  
lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £ 3-10  
and thereof &c. Leon J<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 12<sup>th</sup> 1703

Ruth Damon of Chertisfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Single Woman P<sup>l</sup> vs George Bryant late of Chertisfield afore Damon  
said Geoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the P.  
George at Chertisfield aforesaid to wit at Northampton in P.  
County on the thirteenth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty Bryant  
three by his Note for value received promised the P. Ruth to pay her a V. 220  
or under the sum of Two Pounds five Shillings & seven Pence of lawful  
Silver Money on Demand with lawful Interest he paid, yet the  
said George the after requested hath not paid the contents of the P.  
Note to the said Ruth or any part thereof but neglects it to the  
Damage of the P. Ruth nine Pounds. The P. appears by  
Sale Strong by 2 his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the P. Ruth do recover against the P. George  
two Pounds six Shillings and four Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £ 8-0 and thereof &c. Leon J<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 16<sup>th</sup> 1703

Timothy Mather of Northampton in the County of  
Hampshire Geoman P<sup>l</sup> vs Joseph Lyman of Northampton afore Mather  
gentle Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the P. Joseph Mather  
at Northampton aforesaid on the fifteenth Day of May sixteen  
hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised Lyman  
the P. Timothy to pay him nineteen Pounds ten Shillings the one  
half to be paid in hard Money the other half to be paid in paper V. 221  
Currency at the Exchange by the twentieth Day of October then  
next if not then paid then to pay the lawful Interest from the  
said twentieth Day of October untill paid. yet the said Joseph  
the after requested hath not paid the contents of the P. Note to the P.  
as aforesaid or any part thereof or any way contented him therefor but  
unjustly neglects & refuses to do it. Also for that the said Joseph a P.  
Northampton on the first Day of August current being justly indebted to  
the P. Timothy in the sum of three Pounds eleven Shillings lawful Money  
for the like sum of Money then before that Time paid, laid out & expended  
and advanced for the P. Joseph by the P. Timothy at his the P. Joseph's special  
Instance & Request in consideration thereof the said Joseph assumed  
on himself & to the P. Timothy then and there faithfully promised to  
pay him the same on Demand. yet the P. Joseph the after requested  
hath not paid the same sum to the P. Timothy thirty eight Pound. The  
it to the Damage of the P. Timothy thirty eight Pound. The  
Parties severally appear appear & agree to have this Case continue  
to the next Term Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here  
untill the second Tuesday of November next

Allen  
v  
Lyman  
No 222

Thomas Allen of Pittsfield in the County of Berkshire Clerk  
Plf v Joseph Lyman of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gentl Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the P. Joseph at  
Pittsfield to wit at Northampton aforesaid on the fifteenth Day of  
November went on hundred & eighty by his Note for value received  
promised one Ebenezer Ball to pay him or order the Sum of thirty Pounds  
in Silver Money at an hundredling & eight pence by the first  
Day of July then next with lawful Interest till paid & the P. Ebenezer  
then afterwards the same Day by his Indorsement on the same Note with  
his proper hand thereto subscribed ordered the Contents of the same Note  
then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the P. Thomas for value of him received  
of which the P. Joseph then & there had notice & there by became chargeable  
to pay the Contents of the same Note according to the Tenor & Effect of the  
same Note & the Indorsement aforesaid to the P. Thomas & being so chargeable  
the said Joseph in Consideration thereof there afterwards the same Day  
undertook and to the said Thomas faithfully promised to pay him the  
Contents of the same Note according to the Tenor & Effect thereof & the  
Indorsement aforesaid - Yet the P. Joseph tho often requested hath  
not paid the Contents of the Note aforesaid to the P. Thomas or any or any  
part of the Contents but neglect it to the Damage of the P. Thomas  
Thirty four Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Parties have Day here untill the next second Tuesday of said next

Thondall  
v  
Lyman  
No 223

Jonathan Thondall of Hobson in the County of  
Middlesex Imholder Plf v Oliver Lyman of Northampton in  
the County of Hampshire Gentl Deft in a Plea of Trespass on  
the Case for that the P. Oliver at Northampton aforesaid on the  
twenty fifth Day of March sixteen hundred & eighty two by his  
Note for value received promised the P. Jonathan to pay him thirty  
Shillings lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid  
also for that the P. Oliver at Northampton aforesaid on the same  
twenty fifth Day of March by his other Note for value received promised  
the P. Jonathan to pay him thirty three Shillings lawful Money on  
Demand with Interest till paid - Also for that the P. Oliver at aforesaid  
Northampton on the same twenty fifth Day of March by his other  
for value received promised the P. Jonathan to pay him one Pound  
sixteen Shillings lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid -  
Yet the P. Oliver tho often thereto requested hath not paid the  
Contents of either of the Notes aforesaid to the P. Jonathan or any Part  
thereof but neglect it to the Damage of the P. Jonathan twelve  
Pounds - The P. Jonathan appears by Scale Strong Esq his Att & the Deft  
tho three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan  
do recover against the P. Oliver five Pounds seven Shillings & five Pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2s 6d  
Done in Sep: 17th 1783

Amey  
v  
Lyman  
No 224

Asahel Amey of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Plf v Silas Wright of Northampton in the County aforesaid  
Gentl Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the P. Silas  
at Northampton aforesaid on the fourteenth Day of December  
went on hundred & eighty by his Note for value received promised  
the P. Asahel to pay him or Order forty Spanish Milled Dollars by the  
fifteenth Day of March then next with the five Spanish milled  
or the value thereof in Continental Currency on or before the  
fifteenth Day of March aforesaid meaning the fifteenth Day of March then next  
unless the P. Asahel if not then paid the P. Silas then promised  
the said Asahel the same Note to pay him the lawful Interest  
for the above mentioned Sum till paid and the P. Asahel avers

that Spanish milled Dollars are a species of Silver Coin of the value of six shillings lawful Money each & yet the said Selah tho often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the said Note to the S<sup>r</sup> Asahel or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Asahel thirty four Pounds & The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the P<sup>ty</sup> has three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Asahel do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Selah Twenty five Pounds fifteen shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at Lib<sup>ty</sup> L & thereof &c

Done at Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1783

Quartus Pomeroy of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Gentle<sup>man</sup> is Selah Wright of Northampton in the County aforesaid Gentle<sup>man</sup> Dy<sup>ing</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Selah at Northampton aforesaid on the fourth Day of October seven hundred & twenty four by his Note for value received promised the said Quartus to pay him or Order the Sum of five Pounds ten shillings & two pence on Demand with Interest till paid & yet the said Selah tho often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the said Note to the P<sup>ty</sup> or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Quartus eleven Pounds & The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty and the P<sup>ty</sup> has three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Quartus do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Selah seven Pounds ten shillings & two Pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at Lib<sup>ty</sup> L & thereof &c

Wright  
p<sup>ty</sup> 22<sup>th</sup>

Samuel Worthington of Shelburn in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>man</sup> is Selah Wright of Northampton in the County aforesaid Gentle<sup>man</sup> Dy<sup>ing</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Selah at Northampton aforesaid on the first Day of April seven hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised ~~the~~ one Jonathan Webb to pay him or Order fourteen Pounds ten shillings & five Pence two Farthings lawful Money on Demand with the lawful Interest thereof at the end of every year from the tenth Day of May last past and afterwards to wit on the same first Day of April aforesaid at Northampton aforesaid the aforesaid Sum of Money in the Note aforesaid or any part thereof not being paid by a certain Indorsement in writing on the same Note subscribed with the proper hand of the said Jonathan he the said Jonathan ordered the aforesaid Selah to pay the Contents of the aforesaid Note to the aforesaid Samuel for value received of which S<sup>r</sup> Indorsement the same Selah afterwards to wit the same Day & Year aforesaid at Northampton aforesaid had Notice by reason whereof & by force of the Law in such Case the said Selah became liable & chargeable to pay to the said Samuel the Contents of the S<sup>r</sup> Note according to the Tenor & Effect of the same Note & Indorsement aforesaid & the S<sup>r</sup> Selah being liable & chargeable as aforesaid in consideration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day & Year aforesaid at Northampton aforesaid assumed on himself and to the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel then & there faithfully promised to pay him the Contents of the same Note according to the Tenor & Effect of the same Note and Indorsement aforesaid & yet the said Selah tho often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents

Worthington  
indeme  
p<sup>ty</sup> 22<sup>th</sup>

Washington  
D  
Wright  
of the State to the Pleas as any part thereof but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Samuel Thirty Pounds The Pleas appears  
by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> has three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel do recover  
against the said Samuel Twenty four Pounds nine Shillings & eleven  
pence two Farthings of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court  
taxed at the Pleas & there of &c. Exon<sup>t</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1793

Mathew  
D  
Wright  
N<sup>o</sup> 227  
Timothy Mather of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Coman<sup>d</sup> Pleas Joel Wright of Northampton aforesaid  
Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the  
said Joel at Northampton aforesaid on the eighteenth Day of  
February in the Year of our Lord seventeen hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said Timothy to  
pay him or Order twenty Pounds five Shillings & eight pence in  
Silver Money on Demand with Interest Also for that the said  
Joel at said Northampton on the first Day of April last past  
being justly indebted to the said Timothy in the Sum of sixteen  
Pounds fifteen Shillings lawful Money for four Tons and an half of  
Hay before that Time there sold & delivered to the said Joel by the  
said Timothy and being so indebted the said Joel in consideration  
thereof assumed on himself and to the said Timothy then and there  
faithfully promised ~~to~~ <sup>him</sup> to pay the same Sum whenever after  
he the said Joel should be thereto requested Also for that the  
said Joel at said Northampton on the same first Day of April in  
consideration that the said Timothy at the special Instance & Requested  
of the said Joel had before that Time sold and delivered to the said Joel  
other four Tons and an half of Hay assumed on himself & to the said  
Timothy then there faithfully promised to pay him so much  
Money as the same Hay at the Time of the sale & delivery thereof  
was reasonably worth whenever after he the said Joel should be  
thereto requested and the Pleas avers that the same Hay at the  
Time of the sale & delivery thereof was reasonably worth another  
Sum of sixteen Pounds fifteen Shillings lawful Money of which the  
said Joel then afterwards the same Day had Notice Yet the  
said Joel has after Requested hath not paid the Contents of the  
said Note or either of the Sums aforesaid to the Pleas or any way  
performed either of his said Promises or any way contented the Pleas  
therefor but neglects it to the Damage of the said Timothy fifteen Pounds.  
The Pleas appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> has three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Timothy do recover against the said Joel thirty eight Pounds sixteen  
Shillings and eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at the Pleas & there of &c. After all which the said Joel by  
Ashbel Strong Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court and appeals  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial  
Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of  
Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he recog  
nizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his  
Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file does appear

Wait  
D  
Wright  
N<sup>o</sup> 228  
Elisha Wait of Attfield in the County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Pleas Erastus Wright of North  
ampton in the County aforesaid Coman<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of  
Trespass on the Case for that the said Erastus at Northampton

Wait  
Dwight

on the third Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty two by his  
 Note for value received promised the said Elisha to pay him the sum  
 of twenty three Pounds ten Shillings lawful Money in Silver & Gold  
 by the fifteenth Day of June then next meaning to pay the lawful  
 Interest of the same Sum from the said Time of Payment till paid -  
 Also for that the said Erastus a b b of Hampton aforesaid on the same  
 third Day of April aforesaid by his other Note for value received  
 promised the said Elisha to pay him the Sum of nineteen Pounds  
 ten Shillings lawful Money in Silver & Gold by the fourteenth Day  
 of June then next meaning to pay the lawful Interest of the Contents  
 of the same Note last aforesaid from the said Time of Payment  
 till paid - Yet this said Erastus tho often thereto requested hath  
 not paid the Contents of either of the sums aforesaid to the said Elisha  
 or any part of either of them but unjustly neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said Elisha for the five Pounds - The Self appears by  
 Caleb Strong Esq. in dff & the Self the three times called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it  
 is considered by the Court that the said Elisha do recover against the  
 Erastus the five Pounds nineteen Shillings & eight Pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £12 2s 0d & thereof 4s  
 upon d. O. to 31. 1782.

Ebenezer Botthwood of Amherst in the County of Hamp  
 shire Gent. Self & John Stash of the same Amherst Gent. Dftr  
 in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said John at Amherst  
 aforesaid on the twelfth Day of July seventeen hundred & seventy  
 five by his Note for value received promised the said Eben<sup>r</sup> to pay  
 him or Order the Sum of four Pounds eight Shillings on Demand with  
 Interest - Also for that the said John on the second Day of July  
 seventeen hundred & eighty one at Amherst aforesaid was indebted  
 to the said Ebenezer in the Sum of fifteen Shillings & ten Pence  
 lawful Money for divers Goods Wares & Merchandises of the said Ebenezer  
 and by him the said Ebenezer to the said John at his special Instance and  
 Request then before that Time sold and delivered and the said John being  
 so indebted afterwards to wit the same Day and Year aforesaid  
 at Amherst aforesaid in Consideration thereof assumed on himself and  
 to the said Ebenezer then & there faithfully promised to pay him the same  
 Sum and the lawful Interest thereof on Demand - Also for  
 that the said John on the same second Day of July aforesaid at  
 Amherst aforesaid in Consideration that the said Ebenezer at the  
 special Instance & Request of the said John had sold & delivered to the  
 said John divers other Goods Wares & Merchandises of him the said  
 Ebenezer understood and to the said Ebenezer then & there faithfully  
 promised to pay him so much Money as the same Goods Wares and  
 Merchandises at the time of the sale & delivery thereof were reasonably  
 worth and the lawful Interest of such Sum on Demand & the said  
 Ebenezer avers that the Goods Wares & Merchandises last aforesaid  
 at the time of the sale & delivery thereof were reasonably another Sum  
 of fifteen Shillings & ten Pence to wit at Amherst aforesaid to which  
 the said John then afterwards the same Day had notice - Yet the  
 John tho often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the said  
 Note to the said Ebenezer or any part thereof or either of the sums aforesaid  
 or any part of either of them or answered contented him therefor  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the said Ebenezer nine Pounds -

Botthwood  
Stash  
E. 227

Boltwood.  
1  
2 Kash

The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong, Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called. & comes into Court makes default of appearance here & therefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> Ebenezer do recover against the P. John seven Pounds eight Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the Pleas & thereof &c. Exon. p. C. 27. 1783

Simon Strong, Esq. Mary Boltwood Widow

Simon Strong, Esq.  
Boltwood  
Eudem  
at 20

and Ebenezer Boltwood Gent<sup>l</sup> & Esq. Amherst in the County of Hampshire Executors of the last Will and Testament of Solomon Boltwood late of said Amherst Gent<sup>l</sup> deceased & in said Capacity of Esq<sup>s</sup> & John Kash of the same Amherst Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Supplic<sup>n</sup> on the Part for that the said John at Amherst aforesaid on the fifteenth Day of August seventeen hundred & twenty by his Note for value received promised the said Solomon Boltwood then alive to pay him or order twelve Pounds eight Shillings and nine Pence with Interest till paid & also for that the said John on the fourth Day of October seventeen hundred and twenty five was indebted to the P. Solomon in the sum of Thirty five Pounds six Shillings and nine Pence lawful Money for divers Goods Wares & Merchandises of the P. Solomon & by him the P. Solomon to the P. John at his special Instance & Request then before that time sold & delivered & the P. John being so indebted afterwards to wit on the same Day & year above said at Amherst aforesaid in Consideration thereof undertook and to the P. Solomon then & there faithfully promised to pay him the same sum and the lawful Interest thereof on Demand & also for that the P. John on the fourth Day of October seventeen hundred & twenty six at Amherst aforesaid in Consideration that the said Solomon at the special Instance and Request of the P. John had sold & delivered to the P. John divers other Goods Wares & Merchandises of him the P. Solomon undertook and to the P. Solomon then and there faithfully promising to pay him so much Money as the same Goods Wares & Merchandises last mentioned at the Time of the sale & delivery of the same were reasonably worth and the lawful Interest of such sum when he should be afterwards thereto requested and the P. Simon, Mary & Ebenezer Executors as aforesaid avow that the Goods Wares and Merchandises last mentioned at the Time of the sale & delivery of the same were reasonably worth no more than the sum of Forty three Pounds fifteen Shillings & eleven Pence lawful Money to wit at Amherst aforesaid & of which the P. John then afterwards the same Day had Notice & also for that the said John on the same fourth Day of October above said at Amherst aforesaid was indebted to the P. Solomon in another sum of six Pounds of lawful Money for the like sum of Money by the aforesaid Solomon to the P. John at his special Instance and Request before that Time lent advanced disbursed and paid and being so indebted the P. John in Consideration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day and year above said at Amherst aforesaid took upon himself and to the P. Solomon then & there faithfully promised to pay him the said sum of six Pounds and the lawful Interest thereof when he should be thereto afterwards requested & yet the said John tho' often thereto requested by the P. Solomon in his life time & by the P. Executors since the Death of the P. Solomon have not paid either of the sums above said to the P. Solomon in his life time or any part of either of them

or to the Executors since the Death of the said Solomon or any way  
contented him or them therefor but he & his heirs hath & still doth neglect  
to refuse to do it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Simmon Mary & Ebenezer  
fifty eight Pounds — and it appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Affidavit and the Deft<sup>r</sup> that three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Simmon Mary & Ebenezer do recover against the  
said John fifty seven Pounds eighteen Shillings & eleven Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £12.12.0 & thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Oct-27. 1703.

Levi Shephard of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup>. Pl<sup>ff</sup> v<sup>s</sup> John Walcott late of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
aforesaid Yeoman otherwise called John Walcott late of Northamp<sup>r</sup> is  
ton in s<sup>d</sup> County Yeoman Deft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of breach of Covenant Walcott  
for this to wit that whereas by a certain Indenture made at  
Northampton aforesaid on the fourth Day of September between  
hundred and twenty nine between the said John of the one part &  
him the said Levi of the other part one part of which said Indenture  
sealed with the Seal of the said John & is in Court to be produced the  
Date whereof is the same Day & year reciting that the said John did  
in the year of the said hundred & twenty seven give the s<sup>d</sup> Levi a Mortgage  
Deed of a certain Piece of Land lying in the Town of Williamsburgh  
for the Security of a certain Sum of Money lent him the s<sup>d</sup> John  
did Covenant with & promise the said Levi to pay him the s<sup>d</sup> Levi  
by the fourth Day of September seven hundred & eighty two one  
hundred & twenty Bushels of good merchantable Rye with Interest  
for the same till paid meaning to pay the Interest of the value of  
the said Rye until the same should be paid & the said Levi did  
Engage thereupon to release to the same John all Rights and Title  
for himself & his Heirs to the aforesaid Land and the said  
Levi in fact says that the said John before the making of the s<sup>d</sup>  
Indenture to wit on the ninth Day of June seven hundred &  
twenty seven did give the s<sup>d</sup> Levi a Mortgage Deed of a certain Piece  
of Land lying in the Town of Williamsburgh for the Security of  
a certain Sum of Money lent him the s<sup>d</sup> John by the said Levi to wit  
the Sum of twenty one Pounds lawful Money — And that he the s<sup>d</sup>  
John at the often required the s<sup>d</sup> One hundred & twenty Bushels of Rye  
or any part thereof or any part of the Interest thereof or the Value  
thereof to the same Levi hath not paid but hath refused to pay him  
the same — And also the said Levi says that the s<sup>d</sup> John at the often re-  
quired the Covenant of the s<sup>d</sup> John aforesaid in this Behalf with the s<sup>d</sup>  
Levi aforesaid made to the s<sup>d</sup> Levi hath not performed but hath wholly  
broke it & hath denied and still doth deny to perform it to him to the  
Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Levi Shephard forty Pounds — The Parties severally  
appear & on a Motion of the Deft<sup>r</sup> agree to have this Action continued  
to the next Term Judgement there to be final — Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the  
second Tuesday of November next —

Robert Bruck of Northampton in the County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Bruck Esq<sup>r</sup>  
shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>ff</sup> v<sup>s</sup> William Clark of Windsor in the County of Berks<sup>r</sup> Clark Esq<sup>r</sup>  
of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
the s<sup>d</sup> William at Northampton aforesaid on the thirtieth Day  
of June seven hundred & eighty one by his Note N<sup>o</sup> 232.

for value received promised the <sup>d</sup>. Robert to pay him eleven Pounds two shillings & twopence in Silver Money at six Shillings & eight pence per ounce on Demand with lawful.  
Clark Esq<sup>r</sup> Intere<sup>r</sup> till paid & the <sup>d</sup>. William tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the <sup>d</sup>. Note to the <sup>d</sup>. Robert or any Part thereof but unjustly neglects & refuses to do it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup>. Robert sixteen Pounds. The J<sup>dy</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the <sup>d</sup>. Robert three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup>. Robert do recover against the <sup>d</sup>. William twelve Pounds nine shillings & three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>d</sup>. 10s. 6d. & thereof &c.  
Given i<sup>d</sup>. Sep<sup>r</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> 1783.

Leonard Williams of Watham in the County of Middlesex  
William Esq<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Elijah Clap of Southampton in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the <sup>d</sup>. Clap  
Elijah at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the twenty third Day of April seventeen hundred & seventy nine by his Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup>. Leonard to pay him the sum of five Pounds eleven shillings & eight pence in the following Manner viz. one third (of the aforesaid sum) in Wheat at four shillings & Bushel, one third in Rye at three shillings & Bushel & one third in Indian Corn at two shillings & Bushel and deliver the same at the <sup>d</sup>. Stephen Williams in Springfield in one Year from the Date of <sup>d</sup>. Note with Interest untill paid And the <sup>d</sup>. Leonard avers that Wheat at the time of payment of the <sup>d</sup>. Note, was, w<sup>h</sup>er since hath been & now is at the value of eight shillings by the Bushel & Rye at the value of six shillings by the Bushel & Indian Corn at four shillings by the Bushel to wit at Springfield aforesaid & the said Leonard farther avers that he was ready at the Time & Place mentioned in the said Note for the delivery of the <sup>d</sup>. Grain to receive the <sup>d</sup>. Grain of the <sup>d</sup>. Elijah as aforesaid and that the <sup>d</sup>. sum of five Pounds eleven shillings and eight pence if it had been paid as aforesaid would have been of the value of eleven Pounds three shillings & four pence lawful silver Money to wit at Springfield aforesaid & the said Elijah tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of the <sup>d</sup>. Note to the <sup>d</sup>. Leonard in manner aforesaid or any part thereof or any way contented him therefor but neglects it to the Damage of the Leonard fourteen Pounds. The J<sup>dy</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the <sup>d</sup>. Leonard three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup>. Leonard do recover against the said Elijah nine Pounds nine shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>d</sup>. 10s. 6d. & thereof &c.  
Given i<sup>d</sup>. Sep<sup>r</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> 1783.

Shephard & Coe  
Livi Shephard Apothecary & Ebenez<sup>r</sup>. Hunt Physician  
both of Southampton in the County of Hampshire Pl<sup>ffs</sup>. & James  
Hunt & al Hunt of Williamsburgh in <sup>d</sup>. County. Tuskatman & Abel Thayer  
of the Williamsburgh Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the  
Case for that the said James & Abel at Southampton aforesaid on the tenth Day of December last past by their Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup>. Livi & Ebenez<sup>r</sup> by the

Name of Sheppard & Land/to pay them or Order the sum  
of twenty eight Pounds & four shillings in lawful Silver Money on  
Demand with Interest for the same till paid - yet the said James  
and Abel tho' after the said request have not paid the Content of the  
P. Note to the S. Levi & Ebenezer or any part thereof but neglect it to  
the Damage of the S. Levi & Ebenezer thirty eight Pounds -  
The Supplicant by Galabstrong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Levi & Eben<sup>r</sup> do recover against the S. James & Abel Twenty one  
Pounds & ten shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at L<sup>ts</sup> 10 - 6 - & there of &c - Given in J<sup>st</sup> 23<sup>rd</sup> 1783.

Benjamin Fairman of Wilbraham in the County of Hampshire  
Plf<sup>r</sup> vs Thaddeus atw<sup>n</sup> of Blanford in the County aforesaid  
Def<sup>r</sup> in action of the Case for that the S. Thaddeus at Wilbraham aforesaid on the fourth  
Day of March seventeen hundred & twenty five by his Promissory  
Note for value received promised the S. Benjamin to deliver  
to him nine Pounds & fifteen shillings worth of Good & Merchantable  
Wheat or Indian Corn or to pay him the aforesaid sum in  
lawful Silver Money with a reasonable time from the Date  
of P. Note together with the lawful Interest on said sum  
untill paid - and the said Benjamin declares that he ~~the~~ hath  
ever been ready to receive the same Wheat <sup>or</sup> Indian Corn aforesaid.  
yet the said Thaddeus tho' he hath been often there to request &  
altho' a reasonable time hath elapsed hath never in any way  
performed his promise aforesaid but utterly refuses to do it to the  
Damage of the S. Benjamin Twenty Pounds - The Supp<sup>r</sup> appears  
by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>r</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the S. Benjamin do  
recover against the S. Thaddeus  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at L<sup>ts</sup> 12 - 10 -  
and there of &c -

William Phillips latter of Wilbraham in the County of  
Hampshire Plf<sup>r</sup> vs Elisha Buckwith now of Wilbraham Phillips  
late of Lymington in the State of New Hampshire Def<sup>r</sup> in a  
Plea of the Case for that the S. Elisha at Wilbraham in the County  
fourth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised the S. William to pay him the first  
Day of May last past ninety Pounds lawful Silver Money or to de  
liver to the S. William by the S. first Day, Cattle to the value  
of the said sum of ninety Pounds lawful Silver Money with the  
lawful Interest for the said sum of ninety Pounds from the first  
Day of May next ensuing the Date of P. Note untill paid - and the  
said William in fact saith that he hath ever been ready to receive  
P. Money or Cattle according to the Tenor of the S. Elisha's P. Promise.  
yet the said Elisha hath never in any way performed his P. Promise  
altho' often requested but utterly neglects & refuses to do it to the Damage  
of the S. William one Hundred & thirty Pounds - The Supp<sup>r</sup> appears by  
Alexander Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here - Whereupon it is  
considered by the Court that the S. William do recover against the S. Elisha  
one hundred & two Pounds twelve shillings of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at L<sup>ts</sup> 14 - 2 - & there of &c - Given in J<sup>st</sup> 23<sup>rd</sup> 1783.

Thibbe  
Shephard  
No 237  
Peter Thibbe of Sumner in the County of Stafford & State of  
Connecticut Gentleman Plaintiff is John Shephard now a Soldier in the  
at Springfield otherwise called John Shephard late of Portsmouth in  
State of New Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for  
that the said John at Sumner did at Portsmouth in the County of  
Hampshire on the nineteenth Day of November last past by his Note  
for value received promise the said Peter to pay him six Pounds &  
ten Shillings within one Month from the Date of said Note  
meaning with lawful Interest for the same sum until paid  
yet the said John the often requested hath never performed his  
Promise but refuses to do it to the Damage of the said Peter  
Eight Pounds - The Plf appears by Alexander Leblond G. R.  
his Att and the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Whereupon it is considered  
by the Court that the said Peter do recover against the said John six  
Pounds <sup>fifty</sup> Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £ 12.50 - and thereof £ 10 on 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Ingersoll Esq  
Sackett  
No 238  
John Ingersoll of Westfield in the County of  
Hampshire Esq. Plf is Abner Sackett of Westfield  
of Westfield both of said County Defts in a Plea of the Case  
for that the said Abner & Gad at Westfield on the first Day  
May seventeen hundred & eighty two by their Note for value  
received promise the said John to pay him the sum of Eighty  
Pounds in Spanish milled Dollars at six Shillings each within  
one Year with lawful Interest till paid - Yet the said Abner &  
Gad or either of them the often thereto requested have not  
performed their said Promise but they & each of them wholly  
neglect & refuse to do it to the Damage of the said John one  
hundred Pounds - The Plf appears by John Phelps Gentleman  
his Att & the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said John do recover against the  
said Abner & Gad <sup>of lawful Money</sup>  
Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £ 10 on 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Mosley  
Sackett  
No 239  
Hannah Mosley of Westfield in the County of  
Hampshire Gentlewoman Plf is Abner Sackett of West-  
Springfield in said County Yeoman Deft in a Plea of Trespass  
on the Case for that the said Abner at Westfield aforesaid on the  
twenty seventh Day of January last past by his Note for  
value received promised the said Hannah to pay her or Order  
twenty Pounds twelve Shillings and ten Pence lawful Money  
on demand with lawful Interest till paid - Yet the said Abner  
the often thereto requested hath not performed his Promise  
but he wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Hannah  
Twenty Pounds - The Plf appears by John Phelps Gentleman  
his Att and the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Whereupon it is considered  
by the Court that the said Hannah do recover against the said Abner  
eighteen Pounds five Shillings and two Pence of lawful Money  
Damage and Costs of Court taxed at one Pound ten Shillings  
and two Pence - and thereof £ 10 on 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Frank a Negro Man of Westfield in the County of Hamp-  
shire Labourer. P<sup>l</sup>ff is Simon Fowler of New Bedford in P<sup>l</sup> County  
Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trover on the Case for that whereas Frank Negro  
at the Special Instance & Request sold & delivered to the P<sup>r</sup> Abner  
one Mare to the P<sup>r</sup> Abner then and there in Consideration thereof  
assumed on himself and faithfully promised the P<sup>r</sup> Frank to pay him  
for the same Mare so much Money as the same Mare was reasonably  
worth at the Time of the sale & delivery thereof on Demand. Now  
in fact the P<sup>r</sup> Frank avers that the same Mare at the Time of the  
sale & delivery thereof was reasonably worth the sum of thirteen Pounds  
lawful Money of which the P<sup>r</sup> Abner had notice. Also for that  
the P<sup>r</sup> Fowler afterwards at N<sup>o</sup> Southwick on the same last Day of  
September last being justly Indebted to the P<sup>r</sup> Frank in one other  
Sum of thirteen Pounds lawful Money for another Mare there  
before that Time by the Frank to the P<sup>r</sup> Abner at his Request and  
being so Indebted he the P<sup>r</sup> Abner then & there faithfully Promised  
the P<sup>r</sup> Frank to pay him thirteen Pounds lawful Money for the same  
Mare on Demand. Also for that the P<sup>r</sup> Abner afterwards at  
Southwick aforesaid on the eighth Day of January last past by  
his Note for value received promised the P<sup>r</sup> Frank to pay him the  
sum of ten Pounds here killing & two Pence by the last Day of June  
last past in manner following viz the one half of P<sup>r</sup> Sum in Cash  
(meaning lawful Money) the other half in Mens Clothing at the current  
Cash Price & Interest after three Month from the Date of said Note &  
the P<sup>r</sup> Frank avers that he always he the P<sup>r</sup> has been ready to receive  
said Cloth. Also for that the P<sup>r</sup> Abner at Southwick on the  
last Day of May last was justly Indebted to the P<sup>r</sup> Frank in the  
Sum of twelve Shillings lawful Money for work & service & he the P<sup>r</sup> Abner  
in Consideration thereof then & there faithfully promised the P<sup>r</sup> Frank  
to pay him the same Sum on Demand. Yet the P<sup>r</sup> Abner has often  
thereto requested hath not performed either of his P<sup>r</sup> Promises but he wholly  
neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Frank Thirty Pounds. The P<sup>l</sup>ff  
appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> has three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Frank do recover against the P<sup>r</sup>  
Abner Twenty three Pounds five Shillings & three Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £11. 0. 0. & thereof &c  
Geom<sup>l</sup> J<sup>r</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 8<sup>th</sup> 1793.

Libbens Ball of Granville in the County of Hampshire Gentle-  
P<sup>l</sup>ff is Lydia Fisker Widow of Granville aforesaid Administratrix of  
the Goods & Chattels Rights & Credits which were of Stephen Fisker of  
the same Granville Yeoman deceased Intestate w<sup>l</sup> P<sup>r</sup> Capacity Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of Trover on the Case for that the P<sup>r</sup> Stephen in his life  
time to wit at Granville aforesaid on the seventh Day of May  
invention hundred & twenty six by his Note for value received promised  
the P<sup>r</sup> Libbens to pay him or order six hundred Pounds lawful Money on Demand  
with the lawful Interest till paid. Yet the P<sup>r</sup> Stephen tho often thereto  
requested in his life time did not perform his P<sup>r</sup> Promise neither hath the  
P<sup>r</sup> Administratrix ever paid the same but she wholly neglects it to the Damage  
of the P<sup>r</sup> Libbens Thirty Pounds. The P<sup>l</sup>ff appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> has three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Libbens do recover  
against the P<sup>r</sup> Lydia Twenty three Pounds seven Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £11. 10. 0. & thereof &c  
Geom<sup>l</sup> J<sup>r</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 8<sup>th</sup> 1793.

John Morgan of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff & Abner Sacket of West Springfield Yeoman & Gad Sacket of Westfield Yeoman both in the County aforesaid Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Abner & Gad are Merchants in said County on the first Day of the twentieth hundred & eighty two by their Note for value received promised the said John to pay him or Order the sum of five Pounds four shillings & eleven Pence in Spanish milled Dollars at six shillings each in demand with lawful Interest till paid — Yet the said Abner & Gad or either of them the often thereto requested have not performed their said Promise but they neglect them so that he is to the Damage of the said John seven Pounds — The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gentle his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Debt the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the said Abner & Gad three Pounds eight shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0. — and therefore ~~it is ordered by the~~ Upon 11<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1783 —

Samuel Stape of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff & James Rising Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in the County aforesaid Yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said James absconded Southwick on the twelfth Day of November seven hundred and eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said Samuel to pay him the sum of twelve Bushels Wheat & twenty four Bushels of Indian Corn on Demand that was merchantable & one Bushel and fourteen Quarts of Wheat Yearly for the Interest meaning lawful Interest till paid and the Plaintiff Wheat to be of the value of six shillings by the Bushel and Indian Corn of the value of four shillings by the Bushel and the Plaintiff further avers that he always hitherto hath been ready to receive said Wheat & Corn — Yet the said James the often thereto requested hath not performed his said Promise but he wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Samuel nine Pounds — The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gentle his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Debt the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel do recover against the said James four Pounds thirteen shillings & five Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0. — and therefore ~~it is ordered by the~~ Upon 11<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 18<sup>th</sup> 1783 —

Daniel Mather late of Buxton in the County of Berkshire Yeoman Plaintiff & Unathan Taylor of Middlefield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Unathan on the seventh Day of February last past by his Note for value received promised the said Daniel to pay him the sum of seven Pounds sixteen shillings in new milk Cows or Money enough to purchase seven Pounds sixteen shillings worth of new Milk Cows the old way meaning as Cows were bought & sold in the year 1774 by the first Day of May then next following the Date a said Note with the lawful Interest thereof till paid & the Plaintiff avers that he always hitherto hath been ready to receive said new milk Cows — Yet the said Unathan the often thereto requested hath not performed his said Promise but he wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Daniel twelve Pounds — The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gentle his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Debt the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do recover against the said Unathan eight Pounds & two shillings & five Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0. — and therefore ~~it is ordered by the~~ Upon 11<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1783 —

Samuel Hare of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
vs Israel Perkins of the same Southwick Yeoman  
Def in a Plea of the Case for that the said Israel about Southwick aforesaid on the sixteenth Day  
of April seventeen hundred eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Samuel to pay him twelve Pounds Silver Money or  
twenty Bushels of one half of Wheat & twenty six Bushels of Rye and  
forty Bushels of Indian Corn merchantable on or before the first  
Day of May seventeen hundred eighty three with Interest till  
paid. And the Plaintiff that he always hitherto hath been  
ready to receive the said Wheat, Rye & Indian Corn. Yet the said  
Israel tho often requested hath not performed his said promise  
but wholly neglected it to the Damage of the said Samuel  
fourteen Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent his Att  
and the Deft tho three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Samuel do recover against the said  
Israel Twelve Pounds nineteen Shillings & two Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.12.2. & thereof &c  
Done jps Oct. 18. 1783

Hare  
Perkins  
No 245

Samuel Hare of Southwick in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plf vs Datis Ensign of Westfield in the County of Hampshire  
Def in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Datis at  
said Southwick on the fourth Day of May seventeen hundred  
eighty five by his Note for value received promised the said  
Samuel to pay him three Pounds eleven Shillings & four Pence  
lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest till paid.  
Yet the said Datis tho often requested hath not performed his said  
promise but wholly neglected it to the Damage of the said Samuel  
seven Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent his  
Att & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Samuel do recover against the said Datis  
five Pounds nineteen Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1.10.8. & thereof &c  
Done jps Sep. 10. 1783

Ensign  
No 246

Samuel Bais of Blanford in the County of Hampshire  
shier Yeoman Plf vs James Clark of Cheriton in the County  
aforesaid Gentle Def in a Plea of the Case for that the said James  
at Blanford aforesaid on the twentieth first Day of May seventeen  
hundred eighty six by his Note for value received promised the  
said Samuel to pay him six Pounds thirteen Shillings &  
three Pence of lawful Money on Demand with the lawful  
Interest till paid. Yet the said James tho often thereto requested hath  
not performed his said promise but wholly neglected it to the Damage of the  
said Samuel eight Pounds. The Plf appears by John Phelps  
Gent his Att and the Deft tho three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Samuel do recover against  
the said James sixteen Pounds twelve Shillings and three Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.10.6.  
and thereof &c  
Done jps Oct. 8. 1783

Bais  
Clark  
No 247

Atheaten  
1  
Tillotson

N<sup>o</sup> 248

John Atheaten of Wotfield in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs Jonathan Tillotson of Granville in the County of Devon  
in the Case for that the said Jonathan as & by the Statute  
in the County on the twelfth Day of February last past by his Note for  
value received promised the said John to pay him six Pounds two  
shillings & eight Pence lawful Money on Demand with lawful  
Interest till paid. Yet the said Jonathan the often times requested  
has not performed his said Promise but wholly neglects it to the  
Damage of the said John seven Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by John Phelps Gentle his Attorney & the Defendant has three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover  
against the said Jonathan six Pounds six shillings & eight Pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 11s 10d  
and thereof &c. Given at the Court the 1<sup>st</sup> 8. 1783.

Mem  
1  
Williams  
N<sup>o</sup> 249

John Atheaten of Wotfield in the County of Hamp.  
Plaintiff vs Solomon Williams of Great Barrington in  
the County of Berkshire Plaintiff vs the Defendant in the Case for that  
the said Solomon at Wotfield aforesaid on the twenty  
fifth Day of August seventeen hundred eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised the said John to pay him the sum of  
seven Pounds seven shillings & one Penny lawful Money in silver  
or Gold on Demand. Yet the said Solomon the often  
times requested has not performed his said Promise but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said John fifteen Pounds. The Plaintiff  
appears by John Phelps Gentle his Attorney and the Defendant has three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said John do  
recover against the said Solomon seven Pounds seven shillings  
and ten Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at 1s 2d and thereof &c. Given at the Court the 1<sup>st</sup> 8. 1783.

Mem  
1  
Oll  
N<sup>o</sup> 250

John Atheaten of Wotfield in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs Amos Oll of Great Barrington in the County  
of Berkshire Plaintiff vs the Defendant in the Case for that the  
said Amos at Wotfield on the fourth Day of July seventeen  
hundred & eighty one by his Note, or value received promised  
the said John to pay him four Pounds eight shillings & two Pence  
lawful Money in silver or Gold on Demand with lawful  
Interest for the same till paid. Yet the said Amos the often  
times requested has not performed his said Promise but neglects it to the  
Damage of the said John seven Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by John  
Phelps Gentle his Attorney & the Defendant has three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Whereupon it is  
considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the  
said Amos five Pounds seven shillings & two Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1s 2d  
and thereof &c. Given at the Court the 1<sup>st</sup> 8. 1783.

Ping Mellen of Simsbury in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff is <sup>vs</sup> Defendant of Wethersfield in the County of Hampshire Geo<sup>l</sup>man Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Simon of Wethersfield in the County of Hampshire on the twenty first Day of April seventeen hundred & twenty four by his Note for value received promised the P<sup>t</sup> Ping to pay him in Order twenty nine shillings & seven Pence lawful Money on Demand with the lawful Interest thereof till paid & yet the P<sup>t</sup> Simon tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath not performed his P<sup>t</sup> Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>t</sup> Ping seven Pounds & the P<sup>t</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court make default of appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>t</sup> Ping do recover against the P<sup>t</sup> Simon two Pounds two shillings and six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 12-6 & thereof &c. N<sup>o</sup> 251

James Smith of Stephen Town in the County of Albany Estate of New York Geo<sup>l</sup>man P<sup>t</sup> is James Clark of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the P<sup>t</sup> Clark at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the twenty first Day of August last past by his Note for value received promised the P<sup>t</sup> Smith to pay him twelve Pounds sixteen Shillings by the first Day of November next with the lawful Interest thereof till paid & yet the P<sup>t</sup> Clark tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath not performed his P<sup>t</sup> Promise but he wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Smith fifteen Pounds & the P<sup>t</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court make default of appearance here & thereupon it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>t</sup> Smith do recover against the P<sup>t</sup> Clark thirteen Pounds eight shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 19-2 & thereof &c. upon Dec<sup>r</sup> 17-1785 N<sup>o</sup> 252

Jonathan Bates of Cranville in the County of Hampshire Geo<sup>l</sup>man P<sup>t</sup> is John Smith of Cranville Geo<sup>l</sup>man Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the P<sup>t</sup> Bates on the second Day of December last past by his Promissory Note for value received promised the P<sup>t</sup> Smith to pay him in order seven Pounds seven shillings & five Pence eleven Shillings to be paid in two Months from the then &c &c in good & merchantable goose feathers at three shillings per Doz and which time of Payment hath long since expired and the P<sup>t</sup> Bates hath not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>t</sup> Smith & the P<sup>t</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> being out of the State it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>t</sup> Bates do recover against the P<sup>t</sup> Smith seven Pounds seven shillings & five Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 19-2 & thereof &c. N<sup>o</sup> 253



John Hunt of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Gentleman  
 Simon Stone Junr of Greenwich in the County of Kent Merchant  
 in a Plea of the Case for that the Simon & Northampton in Counts Hunt  
 on the twentieth first Day of June last past by his Note for value received  
 Promised the said John to pay him or order Twenty four Pound lawful Money  
 Money & so before the tenth Day of February then next following the said  
 ordered Note with the lawful Interest thereof till paid & yet the  
 Simon & Northampton have not requested with not performed the said Pro-  
 mise but neglects it to the Damage of the said John thirty Pounds.  
 The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
 to the next Term Judgement then to be final Therefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day & so  
 untill the second Tuesday of November next

John Worthington of Springfield in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Esq & Jacob Capen of Westfield in P. County of  
 Hampshire Yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
 Jacob Capen on the twenty fourth Day of January  
 promised said John to pay him or order four Pounds seventeen  
 shillings & five Pence lawful silver Money in demand with  
 lawfull Interest for the same till paid & yet the said Jacob the often  
 requested hath never paid the same or any Part thereof but ne-  
 glects it to the Damage of the said John seven Pounds The Plaintiff  
 appears <sup>in his own proper Person</sup> & the Debt has three times called to  
 come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover  
 against the said Jacob five Pounds six Shillings & seven Pence of  
 lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 7. 2  
 and thereof &c  
 Done Sep<sup>r</sup> 7. 1708

Samuel Smith of Springfield in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Gentleman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
 William at Springfield in the tenth Day of May last past by  
 his Note for value received promised the said Samuel & Ephraim  
 to pay him Twenty four Pounds & seven Pence lawful Money  
 by the tenth Day of the same Month of May with the lawful Interest  
 for the same till paid & yet the said William the often requested  
 hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it  
 to the Damages of the said Samuel thirty Pounds The Plaintiff  
 appears by John Worthington Esq his att<sup>y</sup> & the Debt has three times called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel & Ephraim do recover  
 against the said William Twenty four Pounds ten Shillings & six Pence  
 of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £ 10. 6  
 and thereof &c  
 Done Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1708

John Caldwell Yeoman and John Cloth Yeoman both  
 of Hartford in the County of Hartford the State of Connecticut  
 Administrators on the Estate of Samuel Cloth late of P. Hartford  
 Yeoman deceased in P. Capacity Plffs vs Martin Smith of a City  
 in the County of Hampshire Gentle & Gideon Smith of West Springfield  
 in our P. County Yeoman Defs in a Plea of the Case for that the

Goddard & al  
v  
Smith & c.

Said Martin & Gideon at a Place called Hartford in Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the last Day of August seventeen hundred and seventy four by their Promissory Note for value received promised the said Samuel Elliott then living to pay him or Order nineteen Pounds six shillings and nine Pence within three Months from the Date of said Note with Interest after the Time of Payment till paid, which said Gideon & Martin or either of them never paid the said Sum or Interest to said Samuel in his Life Time tho often thereto requested neither have said Martin & Gideon or either of them ever paid said Sum or Interest to the Plff or either of them, but hereto have and still do negligently refuse to do so to the Damage of the Plff. Also for that the said Martin and at the said Northampton on the last Day of August seventeen hundred and seventy four being justly indebted to the said Samuel then living in the further Sum of nineteen pounds six shillings & nine pence for the like Sum of Money there before that time had received to the use of the said Samuel & being so indebted in consideration thereof said Martin & Gideon then & there promised the said Samuel to pay him the same Sum in three Months with Interest after the time of payment which time is past yet said Martin & Gideon never paid the same to the said Samuel in his Life Time nor to the Plff since his Death tho often thereto requested to the Damage of the said Elliott & Goddard Twenty Pounds. The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq his Att & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court the Plff do recover against the Deft eighteen pounds eight shillings & three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1s 10d & other of Exp. Exon 10<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1783

White Esq<sup>r</sup>  
v  
Stanley  
N<sup>o</sup> 261

Joel White of Bolton in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff v Samuel Stanley of Lee in the County of Berkshire otherwise called Samuel Stanley of Island in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel at a Place called Bolton in Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the twenty sixth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> the County of Hampshire by his promissory Note for seventeen hundred & sixty nine by his promissory Note for value received promised the said Joel to pay him six pounds one shilling and five pence Money on Demand & lawful Interest till paid, yet the said Samuel tho often thereto requested hath never paid the said Sum or Interest or any penny thereof to the Plff but neglect it to the Damage of the said Joel fifteen Pounds. The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att and the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Joel do recover against the said Samuel ten pounds thirteen shillings and three pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at 1s 10d & other of Exp. Exon 10<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> 1783

John Sanction of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plf vs Jonathan Loomise of the same West Springfield  
Yeoman Deft in a Plea that the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan render to the said Sanction  
sixteen pounds seven Shillings which to him he owes and from him  
unjustly detains and whereon the s<sup>d</sup> John says that at the Supreme Loomise  
Court of Judicature, Court of Assize & general Goal Delivery  
holden at Northampton within the County of Hampshire and  
for the Counties of Hampshire and Berkshire on the last Tuesday  
of April next then hundred & seventy eight by the Judgement of the  
same Court he recovered against the same Jonathan thirteen  
Pounds seven Shillings & nine pence lawful Money for his Da-  
mages & also Two pounds nineteen Shillings & three pence for his  
Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in that Behalf expended  
whereof the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan is convicted as by a Copy of the Record thereof  
in our s<sup>d</sup> Common Pleas to be produced is manifest & appears which  
Judgement yet remains in its full Force & Effect not satisfied  
nor reversed and the s<sup>d</sup> John hath not yet sued out Execution on the  
Judgement aforesaid in Form aforesaid recovered whereby an  
Action hath assued to the s<sup>d</sup> John Sanction at s<sup>d</sup> Northampton to  
demand & have of the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan the s<sup>d</sup> sixteen Pounds seven  
Shillings — Nevertheless the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan tho often thereto requested  
hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it  
to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> John twenty five pounds —  
The Plf appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft tho  
three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the s<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan twenty five  
pounds two Shillings and six pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at 21-13-6 — & thereof  
Exec<sup>on</sup> sp. Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1783 —

Sire Abbins of West Springfield in the same County  
Yeoman Plf vs Simon Porter of Stockbridge in the County of  
Berkshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Sire  
Simon at s<sup>d</sup> West Springfield on the twentieth Day of June seven  
hundred & eighty two by his promissory Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
promised the s<sup>d</sup> Sire to pay him five pounds fifteen Shillings and  
six pence on Demand and on Interest till paid — Yet the said  
Simon tho often thereto requested hath never paid said Sum  
or Interest or any pence thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
of the s<sup>d</sup> Sire eight Pounds — The Plf appears by Justin  
Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft tho three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here — Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Sire do recover do recover  
against the said Simon six Pounds three Shillings & six Pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1-15-6 —  
and thereof — Exec<sup>on</sup> sp. Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1783 —

Roger Newbury of Windsor in the County of Hartford Newbury &  
and State of Connecticut Esquire Plf vs Joseph Chaplin of said  
Washington Yeoman & Abigail his Wife (who was late Abigail Myngers Adams  
Myngers Widow and Relict of Gideon Myngers late of  
Berket in the same County Yeoman deceased & Administratrix  
N<sup>o</sup> 264 —

Norbury on the Estate of the said Gideon Mepinger in said Capacity  
Doth In a Plea of the Case for that said Gideon at Northampton  
Mepinger in the County of Hampshire on the fourteenth Day of January  
seventeen hundred & seventy three (he being then alive) by his  
Promisory Note for value Received promised the said Roger  
to pay him eighteen Pounds lawful Money on Demand with  
lawful Interest till paid — Yet the said Gideon tho often  
thereto requested never paid Sum and Interest to the Plff in his  
the said Gideon's life time, neither did the D<sup>rs</sup> Abigail during  
the time of her Widowhood tho often requested pay the same or  
any Penny thereof to the Plff, nor have the D<sup>rs</sup> Joseph Chaplin &  
the said Abigail or either of them in their Intermarriage ever paid  
the same or any part thereof to the Plffs tho requested but they  
and each of them hitherto have and still do neglect & refuse  
to do it To the Damage of the said Roger eighteen Pounds —  
The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the D<sup>rs</sup> tho three  
times called to come in to Court make default of appearance  
here, therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Roger do recover against the Joseph and Abigail eight  
pounds nine Shillings & four pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 16s. 4d. & thereof  
Given sp. Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1703.

stage  
Chapman  
N<sup>o</sup> 265  
Comfort & Lage of Middletown in the County of  
Hartford & State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Plff & Enock Chapin  
Gent<sup>l</sup> late of said the City of & Eleazer Day yeoman of  
West Springfield both in the County of Hampshire D<sup>rs</sup>  
In a Plea that the said Enock & Eleazer owe to him thirteen  
Pounds five Shillings and one penny which to him they  
owe & from him unjustly detain & whereon the Comfort says  
that at our Inferior Court of Common Pleas holden at  
Springfield within & for our said County of Hampshire on  
the Ninth Tuesday of August seven thousand seven hundred & seventy  
three by the Judgment of the said Court he recovered against  
the said Enock & Eleazer by the Name of Enock Chapin Gent<sup>l</sup>  
and Eleazer Day yeoman both of said Springfield eleven pounds  
five Shillings & five pence lawful Money for Damages & also one  
pound nineteen Shillings and eight Pence for his Costs &  
Charges by him about the Suit in that behalf expended, whereof  
the said Enock & Eleazer are convicted as by the record thereof  
in the same Court are manifest and appear which Judgment  
yet remains in its full Force and Effect not satisfied nor reversed  
and altho the Plff afterwards sued out one Writ of Execution  
thereon, yet the Sum as aforesaid recovered were never lived  
thereby and the return thereof is long since passed and the same  
is wholly unsatisfied and the same Sum wholly unpaid, whereby  
an Action hath accrued to the said Comfort at Northampton to  
have & demand of the D<sup>rs</sup> Enock & Eleazer the said thirteen Pound  
five Shillings & one penny, notwithstanding the said Enock & Eleazer or  
either of them tho often thereto requested have never paid the same  
or any penny thereof to the Plff but they & each of them neglect  
and refuse to do it To the Damage of the said Comfort Twenty  
five Pounds — The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Atty<sup>r</sup> and the D<sup>rs</sup> tho three times called to come into Court make  
default of appearance here —

Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Comfort  
do recover against the said Enock & Elizer twenty Pounds nine  
ten Shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £1. 10. 0 & thereof £1. Exon ip. Sep. 9. 1783.

64  
no

Graves Loomise yeoman and Seth Austin yeoman both  
of Suffolk in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Loomise & Austin  
Plffs & Joshua Preston late of Westfield in the County of Hamp-  
shire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Joshua Preston  
at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the eighteenth  
Day of August seventeen hundred & sixty seven by his promissory  
Note for value received promised the said Graves & Seth and one  
Samuel Hunt which said Samuel was then living but has since  
that time deceased and the Plffs have survived him to pay them  
or Order the sum of Two pounds seven & seven pence (meaning  
two pounds seven shillings & seven pence on demand with Interest  
till paid) yet the said Joshua tho often thereto requested never  
paid the same or any part thereof to the Plffs & the said Samuel or  
within of them in the life time of the said Samuel nor to the Plffs  
or within of them since the Death of the said Samuel tho requested  
but he hitherto hath and still doth neglect & refuse to do it to the  
Damage of the said Graves & Seth Seven Pounds. The Parties  
mutually appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term. Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said  
Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov. next.

Loomise & Austin  
Preston  
No 266

Walter Lynne son of Great Barrington in the County  
of Berkshire Gent. Plff is Thomas Hancock of Springfield in  
the County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case Hancock  
for that said Thomas at Springfield on the tenth Day of  
August seventeen hundred & seventy two by his promissory Note  
for value received promised the Plff to pay him or Order  
Twenty nine shillings lawful Money with Interest till paid  
which Interest amounts to twenty Shillings yet the said Thomas tho  
often thereto requested hath never paid the sum or Interest or any  
penny thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Walter  
Three pounds. The Plff appears by Puttin Esq. his atty  
and the Deft tho three times publicly called to come in to Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said Walter do recover against the  
said Thomas two pounds eight shillings and three pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 0. 0 & thereof £1.  
Exon ip. Sep. 9. 1783.

Lynne  
Hancock  
No 267

George Bruck of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Gent. Plff is Thomas White Junr late of said South Hadley in the County  
of said yeoman Deft in a Plea that the said Thomas render to the said  
George five pounds eight shillings & eleven pence which to him he  
owed from him unjustly detains & whereon the said George says that  
our Inferior Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield  
within & for said County on the third Tuesday of May seven  
hundred & seventy four by the Judgement of the same  
Court he recovered against the said Thomas by the name of Thomas  
White Junr of said South Hadley four pounds twelve shillings  
and eight pence Damages & twenty three shillings & three pence

Bruck  
White  
No 268

Brick  
1  
Whitelock  
for his Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in that Behalf  
expended whereof the said Thomas is convicted as by the Record thereof  
in the same Court remaining is manifest & appears which Judgement  
yet remains in its full Force and Effect not satisfied nor reversed  
and altho. the said George afterwards sued out one Writ of Execution  
on the Judgement aforesaid - yet the Sums aforesaid recovered  
were never levied thereby and the same is long since returned  
wholly unsatisfied and the same Sums wholly unpaid, which by an  
Action hath accrued to the said George at Northampton in the County  
to demand & have of the said Thomas the said five Pounds  
eighteen Shillings & eleven pence - yet said Thomas tho often thereto  
requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof to the Plf  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said George fifteen pounds -  
The Plf appears by Joshua Elphinstone Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho.  
thrice times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said George  
do recover against the said Thomas nine pounds three Shillings  
and five pence of Lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court  
taxed at £11.1 - & thereof  
Exon G<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1783.

Rebbe  
1  
Whitelock  
No 269  
Margaret Tubbe of Enfield in the County of  
Hartford and State of Connecticut Widow Plf vs Abijah said  
Grooman & Josiah said Grooman both of Northampton in the  
County of Hampshire Def<sup>t</sup>s in a plea of the Case for that  
Abijah & Josiah at said Northampton on the ninth Day of June  
seventeen hundred & eighty one by their Promisory Note for  
value received jointly & severally promised the Plf to pay  
her fifty Spanish milled Dollars & one third of a Dollar on Demand  
with Interest till paid - yet said Abijah & Josiah or either of  
them have never paid said Sum or Interest or any penny thereof  
to the Plf but unjustly neglects it to the Damage of the said Margaret  
Twenty Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement there to  
be final - Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of  
November next

Weyman  
1  
Tring  
No 270  
Francis Weyman of Melford in our County of Middlesex  
Grooman Plf vs Daniel King of Palmer in the County of  
Hampshire Grooman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that  
Daniel at a Place called Great Barrington in Northampton in the  
County of Hampshire on the fifteenth Day of July seventeen  
hundred and eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
one Peter Ingersoll to pay him or order six pence & fifteen  
Shillings Lawful Money on Demand with use meaning  
Interest till paid - and afterwards on the same fifteenth Day  
of July at said Northampton the said Ingersoll indorsed the  
Note with his own proper hand thereto subscribed & thereby  
ordered ~~the~~ and appointed the Contents of the same Note then  
wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the said Francis or his order  
for value received whereof the said Daniel there instantly had  
Notice & thereby became liable to pay the same to the Plf & being  
so liable the said Daniel then and there in Consideration  
thereof promised the Plf to pay him the Contents of said Note  
on demand - yet said Daniel tho often thereto requested

hath never paid said Sum or Interest or any Penny thereof to the  
Plff but unjustly neglects it to the Damage of the said Francis  
nine Pounds — The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup>  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three Times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Francis do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel  
seven p<sup>cs</sup> with three Shillings & four pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 2. 12. 10 — and thereof &c  
Exon<sup>r</sup> J<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1783

Peter Oloth of Norwich in the County of Cumberland Oloth<sup>r</sup>  
and State of Vermont Esquire Plff v Alexander Plumley of  
Weatherfield in the County of Stafford and State of Connecticut  
Grooman in a Plea of the Case for that the said Alexander ab<sup>r</sup> Plumley  
Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the Twenty fifth N<sup>o</sup> 271  
Day of January last past by his Note for value received pro  
mised the Plff to pay him fifty six pounds five Shillings & six  
pence Lawful silver Money worth to be delivered at a  
Place called Weatherfield in the State of Connecticut in  
S<sup>d</sup> Northampton by the fifth Day of June then next at  
Cash Price & Lawful Interest after the Time of Payment  
till paid, which time of payment is past & the Plff says  
he has always been ready at said Weatherfield to receive  
the same Sum according to the Tenor of the same Note  
yet the said Alexander tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath  
never paid said Sum or any way fulfilled his Promise  
to the Plff but unjustly neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Peter  
twenty Pounds — The Parties severally appear & agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term & if then Defaulted  
to be no Appeal — Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the  
second Tuesday of November next

Thomas Ely of West Springfield in the County Ely  
of Hampshire Grooman Plff v Stephen Miller of the same  
West Springfield Grooman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that Miller  
said Stephen at said West Springfield on the first Day of  
October seventeen hundred & twenty six by his Note for value N<sup>o</sup> 272  
Received promised the Plff to pay him on Demand four Pounds  
seventeen Shillings with Interest from the date till paid  
yet said Stephen tho<sup>t</sup> often thereto requested hath never paid  
said Sum or Interest or any penny thereof to the Plff but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Thomas eight pounds.  
The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup>  
tho<sup>t</sup> three Times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Thomas do recover against the said Stephen six  
pounds seventeen Shillings and three pence of Lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at One pound ten  
Shillings and two pence — and thereof &c  
Exon<sup>r</sup> J<sup>r</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1783

Judd  
Wares  
80273

Thomas Judd of the Arkueleh Equivalent adjoining to  
Pittfield in our County of Berkshire yeoman & Jonathan  
Wares of Storwick in the County of Hampshire yeoman Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jonathan on the fourteenth  
Day of June seventeen hundred & seventy nine at N. Norwich  
by his Note for value received promised the said Thomas  
to pay him ten Bushels of Indian Corn within sixth Month  
and Interest till paid, and the said Thomas in fact says  
the said ten Bushels of Indian Corn at the time & place when  
and where it should have been delivered was reasonably fifty  
shillings lawful Money with at Storwick aforesaid on the  
sixth Month after the Date of said Note: And the said Thomas  
doth aver that he always stood ready to receive said Indian  
Corn according to the Tenor of said Note when & where it was  
to have been delivered & paid to wit at N. Norwich aforesaid on the  
Day six Month after the Date of said Note & was then &  
there ready to receive the same as well before as since the ending  
of the said six Month. Also for that the said Jonathan on the  
eighteenth Day of October seventeen hundred & ~~seventy~~<sup>eighty</sup> at  
Storwick aforesaid by his Note for value received promised the  
said Thomas to pay him twenty nine Bushels of Wheat & fifty  
four Bushels & one half Bushel of Indian Corn & six Bushels and  
two thirds of a Bushel of Rye at or before the first Day of January  
seventeen hundred & eighty two or such Cattle or Money Equivalent  
Wheat at four shillings, Rye at three shillings, Corn at two shillings  
by the Bushel with lawful Interest till paid. And the  
said Thomas in fact says the said twenty nine Bushels of Wheat  
when it should have been delivered was reasonably worth seven  
shillings by the Bushel amounting to ten Pounds & three shillings  
and the said fifty four Bushels of Indian Corn & one half Bushel  
when & where it should have been delivered was reasonably worth  
five shillings by the Bushel amounting in the whole to thirteen  
pounds, twelve shillings & six pence. And the said six Bushels  
and two thirds of a Bushel of Rye at the time & place when & after  
it should have been delivered was reasonably worth five shillings  
and six pence by the Bushel amounting in the whole to one  
pound fifteen shillings & nine pence or such Cattle at the Market  
price all which several Articles of produce to have been  
delivered according to the Tenor of said Note were reasonably  
worth twenty eight pounds one shilling & three pence lawful Money  
to wit at Northampton aforesaid on the first Day of January  
seventeen hundred & eighty two. And the said Thomas in fact says that  
the said twenty eight pounds one shilling & three pence is and was only  
an equivalent to the quantities of said several species of Grain or  
Cattle to have been delivered on or before the first Day of January last  
aforesaid: and according to the Tenor of said Note or Money Equivalent  
meaning Money sufficient to purchase the aforesaid several quantities  
of Wheat, Indian Corn or Rye or such Cattle was to be paid unto the said  
Thomas upon the default of the said Jonathan in delivering said  
several quantities of Wheat, Rye & Indian Corn or such Cattle at the  
several prices of four shillings by the Bushel, Rye at three shillings and  
Indian Corn at two shillings by the Bushel or such Cattle at the market  
price. And the said Thomas avers that he always stood ready to  
receive said Wheat, Indian Corn and Rye or such Cattle or Money  
Equivalent when and where the said Articles should have been delivered  
to wit at N. Norwich on the first Day of January seventeen  
hundred & eighty two aforesaid and the said Thomas has always  
been ready to receive said Wheat, Indian Corn, Rye, such Cattle  
or Money equivalent as well since the first Day of January

last aforesaid as at & before that time at the market Price - yet said Jonathan tho often requested hath never paid said sum or any way performed his several Promises (except in delivering fifty four Bushels of Indian Corn & two Bushels of Rye) nor paid any Equivalents for said several quantities & species of Grain or said Cattle promised in said Note nor the Interest but neglects it to the Damage of the said Thomas forty Pounds - The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final if default be - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Pay here until the second Tuesday of November next -

John Bancroft of Granville in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff is Oliver Lyman of Northampton in the County of Northampton Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case and when upon the said John complains for this to wit that whereas the said Oliver aforesaid Northampton on the twenty second Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty by his Note for value received promised the said John to pay him the sum of thirteen Spanish milled Dollars on Demand which the Plaintiff avers to be of the value of three Pounds & eighteen Shillings lawful Money with the Lawful Interest thereof till paid - yet the said Oliver the often thereto requested hath not performed his said Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said John seven pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gentle his Attorney & the Defendant three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the said Oliver four Pounds thirteen Shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 4 & thereof &c -

John Phelps Gentle of Writfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff is John Harveys Publes of Granville in the County of Northampton Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Publes aforesaid Northampton in the County of Northampton on the twelfth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two (by the Name of John Publes) by his Note for value received promised the said John to pay him the sum of ten Pounds thirteen Shillings & five pence lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest till paid - yet the said Publes the often thereto requested hath not performed his said Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said Phelps fifteen Pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gentle his Attorney & the Defendant three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Phelps do recover against the said Publes eleven pounds thirteen Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 12. 2 & thereof &c - Given ijs. Oct. 8. 1703.

James Church of Hartford in the County of Hartford Plaintiff is Thomas Gold Gentle and Ebenezer Braadston Gentle both of Granville in the County of Northampton Defendants in a Plea of the Case for that the said Thomas & Ebenezer aforesaid Granville on the fourth Day of June seventeen hundred & seventy two by their Note

for value received promised the said James to pay him the sum of one pound and ten Shillings in lawful Money within three months with lawful Interest till paid yet the said Thomas & Ebenezer as either of them tho often there to requested hath not performed their said Promise but wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said James seven pounds The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Dft<sup>r</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said James do recover against the said Thomas and Ebenezer two pounds nine Shillings and nine Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup>1. 10. 0. & thereof Upon <sup>ip</sup> Oct. 8<sup>th</sup> 1783

Newton  
"   
Grafman  
No 277  
Thaddus Newton of Chester in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf v William Grafman of the same County Gent<sup>r</sup> Dft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said William at Northampton in S. County on the sixteenth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note of that Date for value received promised the said Thaddus to pay him or Order thirty two Gallons of Merchantable New England Rum of the value of seven Shillings by the Gallon and one Bushel of Lisbon Salt of the value of thirty Shillings in two Months from the Date of said Note & the Plf avers that he always heretofore hath been ready to receive said Rum & Salt yet the said William tho often thereto requested hath not performed his said Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said Thaddus twelve pounds The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft<sup>r</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Thereupon it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next

Parks  
"   
Clark  
No 278  
Aaron Parks of Montgomery in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf v Abner Clark of Norwich in the County aforesaid Yeoman Dft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Abner at said Montgomery on the twelfth Day of November last past by his Note for value received promised the said Aaron to pay him the sum of sixteen Pounds eleven Money by the fifth Day of March then next following & then lawful Interest till paid yet the said Abner tho often thereto requested hath not performed his said Promise but he wholly neglects it to the Damage of the said Aaron eighteen Pounds The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft<sup>r</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Aaron do recover against the said Abner thirteen pounds twelve Shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup>1. 9. 1. & thereof Upon <sup>ip</sup> Oct. 8<sup>th</sup> 1783

Publes  
"   
Pelton  
No 279  
John Harvey Publes of Granville in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf v Ephraim Pelton late of London in our County of Berkshire Yeoman Dft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trepass on the Case for that the said Ephraim at Granville aforesaid on the thirteenth Day of November seventeen hundred and twenty eight by his Note for value received promised the said John to pay him (by the name of John Publes) the sum of forty two pounds and ten Shillings lawful

Money and ~~the~~ pay the same by the eighth Day of December meaning the eighth Day of December then next, with Interest meaning with the lawful Interest thereof till paid. Yet the said Ephraim the often the said requested hath not performed his said promise but he wholly neglects it. To the Damage of the said John Publer as he faith the sum of nine Pounds. The Plf appears Petton. by John Phelps Gentl. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three Times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the said Ephraim three Pounds thirteen shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup>1. 10. 0. and thereof &c. Exon ips.

James Limmon of Ware in our County of Hampshire Geoman Plf vs Samuel Eustice of Dorchester in our County aforesaid Geoman Def<sup>t</sup> In a Plea &c as Eustice may be seen at large in the Original Writ on File. No 280. The Plf being now three times publicly called to come into Court is Desisted & the Def<sup>t</sup> Defaulted & the Action dismissed.

Benjamin Thompson of Ware in our County of Hampshire Geoman Plf vs Benjamin Billings of Belchertown in our County aforesaid Geoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c Billings Treppas on the Case for that whereas the said Benj<sup>a</sup> Billings at Belchertown aforesaid on the thirtieth Day of April last past by his Note for value received promised the said Benj<sup>a</sup> Thompson to pay him on Order five pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest. Also for that the said Benj<sup>a</sup> Billings afterwards to wit on the same thirtieth Day of April aforesaid at Belchertown aforesaid was justly indebted to the said Benjamin Thompson in one other sum of two pounds ten shillings for so much Money by the said Benjamin Billings before that time had & receivell of him the said Benj<sup>a</sup> Thompson to his the said Benj<sup>a</sup> Thompson's use & being so indebted he the said Benj<sup>a</sup> Billings then & there in consideration thereof undertook and promised the said Benjamin Thompson to pay him the said last mentioned sum on demand. Yet the said Benjamin Billings the often requested hath not paid to the said Benjamin Thompson within of said sum nor said Interest but neglects it to the Damage of the said Benjamin Thompson fifteen Pounds. The Parties severally appear and it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next Term & that said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

James Bridgeham of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Edward Raach late of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Geoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case Bridgeham & Raach for that whereas the said Edward at said Palmer on the third Day of March last past by his Note for value received promised the said James to pay him the sum of two pounds No 282

Three Shillings and eight pence silver Money on Demand with Interest till paid - yet the said Edward tho often requested hath not paid to the said James said sum nor said Interest but neglects it to the Damage of the said James fifteen pounds - The Plf appears by Abner Morgan Esq - his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dft being out of the State it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next Term and that said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next -

*Swins*  
1  
*Shaw & al*  
N<sup>o</sup> 283 - James Swins of Greenwich in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Plf vs Gideon Shaw Yeoman & Joseph Hooker Gent<sup>l</sup> both of Greenwich aforesaid Dfts in a Plea of the Case for that the said Gideon Shaw and Joseph Hooker had Greenwich aforesaid on the twenty sixth Day of January seven hundred and eighty one by one Note for value received promised the D<sup>r</sup> James to pay him sixty three Spanish Milled Dollars full weight on demand with Interest till paid - and the D<sup>r</sup> James declares the said sixty three Spanish Milled Dollars are well worth eighteen pounds eighteen Shillings lawful Money ~~at the~~ Also for that the said Gideon & Joseph at said Greenwich on the same twenty sixth Day of January aforesaid by one other Note for value received promised the said James to pay him sixty five Spanish milled Dollars good silver & full weight in one year from the Date meaning in one year from the Date of said Note with lawful Interest till paid - and the said James declares the said sixty five Spanish milled Dollars are well worth nineteen pounds ten Shillings lawful Money - yet the said Gideon & Joseph tho often thereto requested have never fulfilled either of their promises aforesaid but neglect it to the Damage of the said James forty five pounds - The Parties severally appear on a Motion of the Dfts agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - There upon it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next -

*Bridgham*  
1  
*Lamberton*  
N<sup>o</sup> 284 - James Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Henry Lamberton of Middlefield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Henry at said Middlefield on the first day of May seven hundred & eighty three was justly indebted to the said James in the Sum of three pounds sixteen Shillings & one penny according to the account hereto annexed & to balance the same to the said Henry then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said James to pay him the same sum on demand - Also for that the said Henry afterwards to wit on the same first Day of May aforesaid sold and delivered to him the said Henry divers goods wares & Merchandise other than those mentioned in the account annexed he the said undertook and then & there faithfully promised the said James to pay him the before on demand so much Money as the said goods last mentioned were reasonably worth and the said James in fact says the said Goods last mentioned were reasonably worth one other Sum of four Pounds four Shillings & one penny at which time afterwards on the same day gave the said Henry a Note - yet the said Henry tho often requested hath not paid to the said James either of said

sums but neglects it to the Damage of the said James Ten Pounds The jury appears by James Morgan Esquire his att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> that three times called to come into Court make default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said James do recover against the said Henry three pounds four shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 15d. 14d. after 10d. Upon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 11. 1783.

Thomas Gibbs late of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire Labourer Pet<sup>r</sup> is a Strong of the same Sunderland Labourer Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Asa at Sunderland aforesaid on the last Day of October last past was justly indebted to the said Thomas in the sum of twelve pounds lawful Money for eight Months Work before that time done and performed by said Thomas for said Asa at his the said Asa's special Instance & request he the said Asa then & there in consideration thereof promised said Thomas to pay him the same sum on demand Also for that whereas the said Thomas at Sunderland aforesaid on the last Day of February seventeen hundred & seventy eight at the special Instance and Request of him the said Asa had done & performed other eight Months Work for him the said Asa he the said Asa then & there in consideration thereof promised the said Thomas to pay so much Money as he the said Thomas reasonably deserved to have for the Work and Labour last above mentioned to be done and performed whenever after he should be thereto requested and the said Thomas said he reasonably deserved to have for Work and Labour last above mentioned to be done & performed other sum of Twelve pounds of which the said Asa then & there took Notice yet the said Asa tho often requested hath never paid either of said sum or ever fulfilled either of his said Promises but neglects it to the Damage of the said Thomas Twelve pounds The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here untill the next Tuesday of November next

Gibbs  
Strong  
vs  
285

John Chester Williams of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pet<sup>r</sup> is Samuel Parsons of Northampton in our County aforesaid Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel at Northampton aforesaid on the fifteenth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Williams to pay him or order One hundred & two pounds three shillings & eight pence on demand with lawful Interest for the same sum till paid yet the said Samuel tho often requested hath never paid said sum or ever fulfilled his Promise aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said Williams ninety Pounds The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Williams &  
vs  
Parsons  
vs  
286

Smith  
Chileab Smith of Andover in our County of Hampshire  
Plf vs James Hunt of Williamsburg in the County aforesaid  
Def in a Plea of Tricaps on the Case for that the said James at  
Hunt had by aforesaid on the first Day of January last past by his  
Note for value received promised the said Chileab to pay him  
No 207 ten hundred weight of good merchantable Flour to be delivered  
at Andover aforesaid within three Weeks from the Date of said Note &  
the said Chileab with the Flour so to be delivered as aforesaid  
was of the price of thirty Shillings lawful Money per hundred and  
the said Chileab saith he has always been ready to receive it but  
agreeable to the Tenor of said Note - yet the said James tho  
theretofore requested hath never performed his Promise aforesaid  
but neglts it to the Damage of the said Chileab sixteen  
Pounds - The Plf appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att and the Def tho. three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Chileab do recover against the said James  
twelve pounds eight shillings and four pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.10.0 - and thereof £  
Execution issued Oct. 6. 1783

Hale Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Jonathan Hale Jun<sup>r</sup> of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Jesse Warner of a Place called Appleton  
Equivalents in the County of Berkshire Husbandman Def in a  
Plea of the Case for that the said Jesse at Springfield aforesaid  
on the twenty eighth Day of March seventen hundred & eighty  
two by his promissory Note for value received promised the said  
Jonathan to pay him or Order the Sum of six pounds eight ten  
Shillings and nine pence lawful Money on Demand with the  
lawful Interest for the same Sum till paid - yet the said  
Jesse tho often requested hath never paid said Sum or ever fulfilled  
his Promise aforesaid but neglts it to the Damage of the said  
Jonathan nine pounds - The Plf appears by John Chester  
Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att & the Def tho three times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan do recover  
against the said Jesse seven pounds ten shillings & four pence  
of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.15.0  
and thereof £  
Exon ip. Oct. 4. 1783.

Amory  
Thomas Amory of Boston in our County of Suffolk Distiller  
Plf vs David Stobbins of Warfield in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Def in a Plea of the Case for that the said David  
at Warfield aforesaid on the twenty fifth Day of September  
seventen hundred & eighty five by his Note for value received  
promised the said Thomas to pay him or his Order nine pounds  
eleven Shillings & six pence half penny lawful Money on demand  
with the lawful Interest for the same Sum till paid - yet the  
said David tho often requested hath never paid said Sum or  
fulfilled his promise aforesaid but neglts it to the Damage of  
the said Thomas sixteen Pounds - The Plf appears by John  
Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att & the Def tho three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said  
Thomas do recover against the said David Ten pounds ten  
Shillings & one penny & lawful Money Damages and Costs  
of Court taxed at £2.13.0 - and thereof £  
Exon ip. Oct. 4. 1783.

Enoch Greenleaf of Winstown in our County of Middlesex Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>ff</sup>  
vs the said Wait of Ashfield in our County of Hampshire Defendant  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Enoch at Ashfield aforesaid  
on the fourth Month Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty  
two by his Note for value received promised the said Enoch to pay  
him on order eight Pounds four shillings & one penny half Penny  
lawful Money on demand with the lawful Interest for  
the same sum till paid - yet the said Enoch the often requested  
hath never paid said sum or ever fulfilled his Promise aforesaid  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Enoch six Pounds -  
The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and  
the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Enoch do recover against the said Enoch four  
Pounds nine shillings & eleven pence two Farthings of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 10. 0. & thereof  
Exec<sup>d</sup> 17. 1783.

Jonathan Hale Jun<sup>r</sup> of Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>ff</sup> vs Asaph Chilson & Asaph  
Chilson Jun<sup>r</sup> & Asaph Chilson both of Conway in our County aforesaid  
Def<sup>t</sup>s in a Plea of the Case for that the said Asaph & Asaph  
Jun<sup>r</sup> at Springfield aforesaid on the third Day of March  
seventeen hundred & seventy four by their Note for value  
received promised the said Jonathan to pay him four Pounds  
twelve shillings lawful Money by the first Day of May then  
next ensuing the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest  
from the time of Payment till pay - yet the said Asaph  
and Asaph Jun<sup>r</sup> nor either of them the thereto often requested  
have never paid said sum or ever fulfilled their Promise  
aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan  
seven pounds - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by John Chester Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it  
is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan do recover  
against the said Asaph & Asaph Jun<sup>r</sup> seven pounds four shillings  
and one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at one pound eleven shillings and nine pence  
and thereof  
Exec<sup>d</sup> 17. 1783.

Thomas Amory of Boston in our County of Suffolk Amory  
Dist<sup>r</sup> vs Joseph Bordwell Jun<sup>r</sup> of Belchertown in  
our County of Hampshire Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Bordwell  
the Case for that the said Joseph at Belchertown in the County  
of Hampshire aforesaid on the twentieth Day of November  
seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Promissory Note for  
value received promised the said Thomas to pay him three pounds  
and eleven pence lawful Money on demand with the lawful  
Interest for the same sum till paid - yet the said Joseph the often requested  
hath never paid said sum but neglects it to the Damage of the said Thomas  
six pounds - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> &  
the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Thomas do recover against the said Joseph three pounds three  
shillings and seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2. 13. 0. & thereof  
Exec<sup>d</sup> 17. 1783.

Gooley & Cooley of Granville in our County of Hampshire Traders  
and Nathan Bliss of Hatfield in our said County Gent<sup>l</sup>. <sup>Pleas</sup>  
King's Bench Galley Thing y<sup>e</sup>loman & Chandler therein both late of Ashfield in  
the County aforesaid D<sup>ist</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
No 293. said Galley Chandler at Ashfield aforesaid on the twenty  
fifth Day of January sixteen hundred & eighty two by their Note  
for value received promised the said Levi & Nathan to pay them  
the sum of twenty seven pounds fifteen shillings in Wheat at  
Money Price or hard Money meaning Silver Money on demand  
with the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid & the said  
Levi & Nathan say they have always been ready to receive said  
Wheat, yet the said Galley Chandler notwithstanding thereof  
thereto often requested have never delivered or paid said Wheat or  
paid said sum but neglected it to the Damage of the said Levi  
and Nathan thirty three pounds. The Plea appears by  
John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ist</sup> has three times  
called to come into Court in that default of appearance being  
therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Levi & Nathan  
do recover against the said Galley Chandler twenty seven pounds one  
shilling and seven pence of lawful Money Damages and costs  
of Court taxed at £. 1. 10. 10. & thereof &c. Execution iss<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 6. 1703.

Rufus Graves Yeoman & Nathaniel Smith Yeoman  
both of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire <sup>Pleas</sup>  
Joseph Wright of Hatfield in our County of Berkshire D<sup>ist</sup> in a  
Wright Plea of the Case for that the said Joseph at Sunderland aforesaid  
No 294. on the thirteenth Day of November sixteen hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said Rufus & Nathaniel  
to deliver them two hundred one quarter & two pence pouners of good  
Merchantable Wheat Flour at said Nathaniel Smith's Store  
in Sunderland by the tenth Day of January then next ensuing  
the Date of said Note and the said Rufus & Nathaniel  
say they have always been ready to receive said Flour agreeable  
to the Tenor of said Note & that the said Wheat Flour is to be  
delivered as aforesaid was, the value of four pounds to wit  
at Sunderland aforesaid, yet the said Joseph tho' often  
requested hath never delivered said Flour nor performed his Promise  
aforesaid but neglected it to the Damage of the said Rufus and  
Nathaniel five pounds. The Plea appears by John Chester  
Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ist</sup> has three times called to come into  
Court in that default of appearance being therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Rufus & Nathaniel do  
recover against the said Joseph two pounds nineteen shillings  
and eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of  
Court taxed at £. 1. 10. 10. & thereof &c. Execution iss<sup>d</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 4. 1703.

March  
Hamwood  
Ebenezer North of Hadley in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Pleas Peter Farwood of Windsor  
in our County of Berkshire Husbandman D<sup>ist</sup> in a Plea of  
the Case for that the said Peter at Hadley aforesaid on the  
No 295. sixteenth Day of March sixteen hundred & eighty four by his  
Note for value received promised the said Ebenezer to pay  
him three pounds seven shillings on demand with the lawful  
Interest for the same till paid & also for that the said Peter at  
Hadley aforesaid on the same sixteenth Day of March aforesaid  
by another Note for value received promised the said Ebenezer to pay  
other Sum of six pounds lawful Money on or before the first Day of  
January then ensuing the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest  
for the same sum till paid & yet the said Peter tho' often  
thereto requested hath never paid either of said sums but neglected it

to the Damage of the said Ebenezer fifteen pounds — The Parties  
severally appear on a Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup> arrears have this case  
continued to the next term — Thereupon it is ordered & considered  
that said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next.

70

James Wood of Middlebury in our County of Pluff  
mouth Coman Plff v William Blair of Pelham in our County  
of Hampshire Coman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that Wood  
the said William a Pelham aforesaid on the third Day of  
July seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value Blair  
received promised the said James to pay him forty one Dollars N<sup>o</sup> 296  
in Silver or Gold (which the said James avers is equal to twelve  
pounds six shillings lawful Money) on or before the twenty  
fifth Day of November then next issuing the Date of said Note  
with Interest to be paid — yet the said William the other  
thereto requested hath never paid said Sum or ever fulfilled  
his Promise aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
James fourteen pounds — The Plff appears by John Clerk  
Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here —  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said James  
do recover against the said William Ten pounds and being  
and tax of price of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £ 8. 2. — & thereof &c — Given j<sup>o</sup> March 4/94.

James Enos of Hadley in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Plff v Perez Bordwell of Williamsburgh  
in our County of Hampshire aforesaid Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a  
Plea of the Case for that the said Perez a Hadley aforesaid  
on the last Day of June last past was justly indebted to the said Bordwell  
Enos in the Sum of three Pounds four shillings for so much Money N<sup>o</sup> 297  
had & received by him the said Perez to his the said Bordwell's use  
and the said Perez then & there in consideration thereof promi-  
sed the said Enos to pay him the same Sum on demand —  
Also for that the said Perez a Hadley aforesaid on the last  
Day of July last past was justly indebted to the said Enos  
in other Sum of four pounds to balance Book Account he  
the said Perez in consideration thereof promised the said  
Enos to pay him the same Sum on demand — yet the said  
Perez the often requested hath never paid said Sum but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Enos five Pounds —  
The Plff appears by the John Clerk Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here — Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Enos do recover against the said  
Perez four Pounds of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £ 3. 0. — & thereof &c — Given j<sup>o</sup> Mar. 4/94.

Samuel Harrow of White Creek in the County of Harrow  
Charlotte & State of New York Coman Plff v Richard Montague  
of Lwerth in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea  
of the Case for that the said Richard a Northampton in the  
County of Hampshire on the sixteenth Day of March seventeen  
hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised  
N<sup>o</sup> 298

Harrow  
 or  
 Montague  
 the said Samuel to pay him or order twenty three pounds  
 eleven shillings on demand with Interest for the same  
 sum till paid - yet the said Richard tho often requested  
 hath never paid said sum but neglects it to the Damage of  
 the said Samuel Twenty five pounds The parties  
 severally appear on a Motion of the Dft agree to have  
 this Case continued to the next Term - Therefore it is  
 Ordered and Considered by the Court that said Parties  
 have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup> next -

Thellogg  
 v  
 Trary  
 No 299  
 Giles Grouth Thellogg a Haberd in our County of  
 Hampshire Plaintiff Moses Trary of Ashfield in  
 our County of said yeoman Dft in a Plea of the Case for  
 that the said Moses at Hadley aforesaid on the fourth Day  
 of August seventeen hundred & sixty eight by his Note  
 for value received promised the said Thellogg to pay him  
 three pounds ten shillings lawful Money on Demand with  
 the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid - yet the said  
 Moses tho often requested hath never paid said sum but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the said Thellogg seven pounds -  
 The Plf appears by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the  
 Dft the three times called to come into Court makes default  
 of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the said Thellogg do recover against the said Trary  
 six pounds thirteen shillings & six pence of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.5.8 and thereof  
 Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 26. 1780.

Leonard  
 v  
 Benson  
 No 300  
 Naadiah Leonard of Sunderland in our County  
 of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Plf vs Nathan Perkins of  
 Ambert in the County aforesaid Blacksmith Dft on the Estate  
 of Ben<sup>o</sup> Benson late of Ambert aforesaid Administrator  
 Capacity Dft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Benjamin  
 at Ambert aforesaid on the fourth Day of August seventeen  
 hundred & seventy three then living by his Note for value received  
 promised the said Naadiah to pay him the sum of fourteen pound  
 ten shillings lawful Money on demand with the lawful Interest till  
 paid - yet the said Benjamin while living tho often requested  
 hath never paid said sum or any part thereof nor tho Nathan  
 his said Administrator since said Benjamin's decease tho often  
 requested but neglects it to the Damage of the said Naadiah twenty  
 three pounds - The Plf appears by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
 Att<sup>r</sup> and the Dft the three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Naadiah do recover against the said  
 Nathan  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ of Lawful Money  
 & thereof &c

Oliver  
 v  
 Wells  
 No 301  
 Margaret Oliver of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
 Spinster Wife of James Oliver late of said Conway an Abundant  
 in a Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel at Conway aforesaid on  
 the last Day of August last was justly indebted to the said Margaret  
 in the sum of twelve pounds lawful Money for so much Money  
 and received by said Samuel to her the said Margaret's use in the said

Samuel then and these in consideration thereof promised the said  
 Margaret to pay her the same sum on demand, yet the said  
 Samuel tho often thereto requested hath never paid said sum but  
 neglects it to the Damage of the said Margaret fifteen Pounds  
 The P<sup>l</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>tt</sup> and  
 the Def<sup>t</sup> being three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by  
 the Court that the said Margaret recover against the said  
 Samuel twelve pounds of lawful Money Damages and  
 costs of Court taxed at £1.0.4 - & thereof - Exec<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 18. 1708.

Oliver  
 v.  
 Wells

Abadiak Leonard of Sunderland in the County of Leinster  
 of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> & Phineas Graves of the same County  
 of Down P<sup>l</sup>s v. Oliver Nathaniel of Dorchester in the County of Shattuck  
 aforesaid Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that whereas  
 the said Oliver at Sunderland aforesaid on the eighth Day of  
 August instant was justly indebted to the said Abadiak in the  
 sum of fifty five pounds lawful Money for so much Money  
 before that time had & received by him the said Oliver to their  
 the said Abadiak & Phineas use he the said Oliver then & there  
 in consideration thereof promised the said Abadiak and Phineas  
 to pay them the same sum on demand - Also for that the said  
 Oliver at Sunderland aforesaid on the first Day of August in  
 stant was justly indebted to the said Leonard & Graves in a like sum  
 of fifty five pounds lawful Money for so much Money advanced  
 and paid by them the said Leonard & Graves for him the said Oliver  
 and at his the said Oliver special instance & request he the said  
 Oliver then & there in consideration thereof promised the said  
 Leonard & Graves to pay them the last said sum on demand  
 yet the said Oliver tho often requested hath never paid said sum  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the said Leonard & Graves  
 sixty pounds - The said Abadiak & Phineas appear by  
 John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> their A<sup>tt</sup> & the said Oliver comes and  
 defends <sup>by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>tt</sup></sup> & for Plea says that he never promised in  
 manner & form as the P<sup>l</sup>s in their Declaration have alleged and  
 thereof puts himself on the Country - And the said Abadiak and  
 Phineas come into Court & for Plea say (reserving to themselves  
 Liberty at the Supreme Court to waive this Plea & say) the Def<sup>t</sup>'s  
 Plea is insufficient - and the said Oliver saith his Plea is  
 sufficient - There upon all and singular the Premises being  
 read by the Court here now fully understood for that it appears to  
 the said Court that the Plea aforesaid of the said Oliver by him  
 above pleaded & the Matter in the same contained is a good & sufficient  
 answer in Law to the Declaration aforesaid of the said Abadiak and  
 Phineas and that they ought not to receive any thing upon their  
 Plea aforesaid - Therefore it is considered that the said Abadiak &  
 Phineas be their Plea for said receive nothing but that for their  
 grounds claim they be in Merit & and it is also considered that  
 the said Oliver do recover against the said Abadiak & Phineas Costs for  
 depending the Suit of the said Abadiak & Phineas - <sup>taxed at £2.2.6</sup> There upon the  
 said Abadiak & Phineas by their A<sup>tt</sup> aforesaid appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the  
 Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the  
 fourth Tuesday of September next to be there & cognize with Substitutes as the Law di  
 rect for their prosecuting their Appeal with Eff<sup>ts</sup> as by Cognizance on this do appear -

N. 302.

Bordwell Simon Bordwell of Belcherstown in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Plff vs Patrick Watson of Palmer in our County of  
Watson Hampshire aforesaid yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case  
No 303 for that the said Patrick at Belcherstown aforesaid on the twenty  
seventh Day of December seventeen hundred & eighty two by  
his Note for value received promised the said Simon to pay  
him or order the sum of thirty two pounds four shillings & eight  
hard Money meaning Lawful Money on demand with  
Interest for the same sum till paid - Also for that the said  
Patrick at Belcherstown aforesaid on the fifth Day of July seventeen  
hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
the said Simon to pay him thirty five pounds hard Money meaning  
Lawful Money in two years from the Date of said Note with the  
lawful Interest for the same sum till paid - yet the said Watson  
the often thereto requested hath never paid either of said sums but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Simon fifty Pounds -  
The Plff appears by John Chester Williams Esq his Att & the Deft  
tho three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Simon do recover against the said Patrick fifty four  
Pounds thirteen shillings & six pence of Lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 9. 6 & there of &c. Given by Sep 17 1783

Graham's Ad. Bethuelah Graham of Sunderland in the County of  
Hampshire widow administratrix on all & singular the Goods  
Smith Chattels Rights & Credits of the Estate of Samuel Graham late  
No 304 of Sunderland aforesaid deceased in said Capacity Plff vs Daniel  
Smith of Swereth in the County aforesaid yeoman Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Daniel at Sunderland  
aforesaid on the twenty eighth Day of September seventeen  
hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received  
promised the said Samuel then living to pay him the sum of  
two pounds four shillings and two Farthings on demand with  
with Lawful Interest for the same till paid - yet the said  
Daniel the often requested hath never paid said sum to the said  
Samuel while living nor to said Bethuelah his Administratrix  
since his decease nor ever fulfilled his Promise aforesaid but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Bethuelah in said Capacity five  
Pounds - The Plff appears by John Chester Williams Esq his Att &  
the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Bethuelah do recover against the said Daniel  
three pounds ten shillings & six pence of Lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 7. 7 & there of &c. Given by Sep 17 1783

Graindale Abel Staphope of Greenfield in our County  
of Hampshire yeoman Plff vs Daniel Graindale of Greenfield  
aforesaid yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Daniel at Greenfield aforesaid on the twentieth Day of  
September seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised the said Abel to pay him or Order twelve  
pounds fifteen shillings and ten pence on demand with Lawful  
Interest for the same sum till paid - and after wards to wit on  
the fifteenth Day of June 1783 the said Abel paid to the said Daniel  
one pound two shillings & two pence part of the aforesaid sum of  
twelve pounds fifteen shillings & ten pence aforesaid and afterwards  
to wit on the sixteenth Day of June aforesaid the said Daniel then  
at Greenfield aforesaid by his Indorsement in writing on the back of sd Note

with his own proper hand & he ordered the remaining contents  
 as said then due & unpaid to be paid to the said Asahel for value of him  
 ad received of all which the said Asahel there instantly had Notice & Stanhope  
 became liable to pay the remaining contents of said Note to Asahel  
 according to the Tenor thereof and there these in Consideration thereof  
 promised the said Asahel to pay him the same accordingly, and in due  
 time the said Asahel the after requested hath never paid said remaining  
 sum or ever fulfilled his promise aforesaid but neglected it to the  
 Damage of the said Asahel fifteen pounds. The Parties severally  
 appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
 Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is considered by  
 the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the second  
 Tuesday of November next.

Artemus Cushman of Barnertstown in our County Cushman  
 a Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Asahel Hinsdale of Greenfield  
 in our County aforesaid yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case Indemn  
 in that the said Asahel at Greenfield aforesaid in the year 1806  
 on the 2nd day of April sent by carrier to Artemus Cushman one by his note  
 for value received promised the said Artemus to pay him on or  
 before six pounds ten shillings silver Money on or before the 1st of  
 May of ~~May~~ December then next coming the Date of said  
 Note with the lawful Interest for the same till paid. But the  
 said Asahel the after requested hath never paid said sum or  
 fulfilled his promise aforesaid but neglected it to the Damage  
 of the said Artemus nine pounds. The Parties severally  
 appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
 Judgement then to be final. Whereupon the said Court  
 that said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of  
 November next.

Nathan Bliss of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Gentleman Plaintiff Downing Warner of Hatfield aforesaid  
 yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Downing  
 at Hatfield aforesaid on the fifth Day of August written  
 hundred & eighty two by his promise Note for value received  
 promised the said Nathan to pay him in a sum of five  
 pounds nine shillings & eight pence silver Money in two  
 Months from the Date of said Note with use meaning with  
 lawful Interest for the same till paid. But the said  
 Downing the after thereto requested hath never paid said sum  
 or ever fulfilled his promise aforesaid but neglected it to the  
 Damage of the said Nathan seven pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
 by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and in Defence thereof  
 times called to come into Court make defence of appearance  
 here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
 Nathan do recover against the said Downing five pounds  
 ten shillings and three pence of lawful Money Damages &  
 Costs of Court taxed at £1-4-0 & thereof

Given in Sep<sup>r</sup> 6. 1813

Jonathan Warner & Asahel Warner both of the County of Hampshire  
 the County of Hampshire Joint Debtors Plaintiff Asahel Hinsdale of  
 Pelham in the County aforesaid yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case  
 for that the said Jonathan & Asahel aforesaid on the 1st of May 1812  
 gave to the said Asahel Hinsdale a note for value received  
 promised the said Jonathan & Asahel of the sum of £100-0-0

Jonathan Warner & Gadiah Warner both of Hadley  
 Plaintiffs  
 v.  
 John Chester Williams Esq.  
 Defendant  
 In our County of Hampshire County aforesaid Yoman Debt in a  
 Plea of the Case for that the said Gadiah at Hadley aforesaid  
 on the twentieth Day of October seventeen hundred eighty one  
 by his other Note for value received promised the said Jonathan &  
 Gadiah to pay them or order forty Shillings & seven pence in silver  
 Money on demand with the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid.  
 But the said Jonathan & Gadiah after requested as hath never paid  
 said sum or either of them or was fulfilled either of his said Promises  
 but neglect it to the Damage of the said Jonathan & Gadiah twenty  
 six pounds. The Plffs appear by John Chester Williams Esq.  
 his atty & the Dft the three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance &c. Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Jonathan & Gadiah do recover against the  
 said John Chester Williams twenty five Pounds nine shillings & two pence of  
 lawful Money & damages & Costs of Court taxed at the Discretion of the  
 Court &c. &c.  
 Exon jfo. Oct. 17. 1783.

Jonathan Warner & Gadiah Warner both of Hadley  
 Plaintiffs  
 v.  
 John Chester Williams Esq.  
 Defendant  
 In our County of Hampshire County aforesaid Yoman Debt in a  
 Plea of the Case for that the said Gadiah at Hadley aforesaid  
 on the twentieth Day of October seventeen hundred eighty one  
 by his other Note for value received promised the said Jonathan &  
 Gadiah by the name of Jonathan Warner Esq. to pay them the  
 sum of four Pounds five Shillings & one penny two farthings in  
 silver or gold on Demand with the lawful Interest for the same  
 sum till paid. But the said Gadiah after requested as hath never paid  
 said sum or either of them or was fulfilled his Promise aforesaid  
 but neglect it to the Damage of the said Jonathan & Gadiah  
 five pounds. The Plffs appear by John Chester Williams Esq.  
 his atty & the Dft the three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance &c. Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Jonathan & Gadiah do recover against the  
 said John Chester Williams four pounds four shillings & two pence of lawful  
 Money & damages & Costs of Court taxed at the Discretion of the  
 Court &c. &c.  
 Exon jfo. Oct. 17. 1783.

Jonathan Warner & Gadiah Warner both of Hadley  
 Plaintiffs  
 v.  
 William Blair of Pelham in our County aforesaid Yoman Debt in a  
 Plea of the Case for that the said William at Hadley aforesaid  
 on the seventh Day of December seventeen hundred eighty one  
 by his Note for value received promised the said Jonathan & Gadiah  
 by the name of Jonathan Warner Esq. to pay them or order the sum of  
 one hundred & sixteen Shillings in silver or gold on demand  
 with the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid. Also for  
 that the said William on the eighth Day of December aforesaid  
 was justly indebted to the said Jonathan & Gadiah in the sum of  
 five Shillings lawful Money or one Gallon of Rum before that  
 time sold & delivered him the said William at his the said  
 William's special Instance & Request he the said William then &  
 there in Consideration thereof promised the said Jonathan &  
 Gadiah to pay them the same sum on demand. Also for  
 that the said William at Hadley aforesaid on the twenty third  
 January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his other  
 Note for value received promised the said Jonathan & Gadiah  
 to pay them the sum of one pound thirteen & eleven pence

sum till paid - yet the said William tho after requested hath never paid within the said term but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan & Abadiak eight pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft<sup>r</sup> Blair to three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan & Abadiak do recover against the said William seven pounds eight shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at Ten sh<sup>6</sup> & thereof &c  
Exon<sup>o</sup> 10<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 17<sup>o</sup> 1783

Jonathan Warner & Abadiak Warner both of the County of Hampshire in our County of Hampshire Plaintiffs vs Caleb Sheldon a Merchant Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Sheldon at Hadley aforesaid on the twenty ninth Day of November last hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said Jonathan & Abadiak by the name of Jonathan Warn & Son to pay them or order five Pounds four shillings and three pence lawful Money in gold or silver on demand with the lawful Interest for the same till paid - yet the said Caleb tho after requested hath never paid within the said term but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan & Abadiak six pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft<sup>r</sup> Blair to three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan & Abadiak do recover against the said Caleb six pounds three shillings & eight pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at Ten sh<sup>6</sup> & thereof &c  
Exon<sup>o</sup> 10<sup>o</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 17<sup>o</sup> 1783

Jonathan Warner of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Ebenezer Burth a Yeoman an & Jonathan Burth Yeoman both of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Defendants in a Plea of the Case for that the said Ebenezer Burth at Hadley aforesaid on the twenty seventh Day of June last hundred & eighty by his Note for value received promised the said Jonathan Warner to pay him or order the sum of eleven pounds ten shillings lawful Money by the first day of April then next ensuing the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid - yet the said Ebenezer & Jonathan Burth nor either of them tho after thereto requested have never paid said sum and neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan Warner ten pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft<sup>r</sup> Blair to three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan Warner do recover against the said Ebenezer & Jonathan Burth ten Pounds and eight pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at one pound three shillings and six pence - and thereof &c  
Exon<sup>o</sup> 10<sup>o</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 8<sup>o</sup> 1783

Jonathan Warner & Tradeiah Warner both of said County of Hampshire  
Deheil sheweth, by the said Jonathan Warner, that the said Deheil at  
Geoman D. D. in the Court of the said Deheil at  
Hampden aforesaid on the twentieth Day of October seven hundred  
and eighty one by his Note for value received promised the  
said Jonathan & Tradeiah by the Name of Jonathan Warner &  
said the sum of four Pounds six Shillings and one penny in silver  
or gold on demand with the lawful Interest for the same till paid  
and yet the said Deheil tho' he hath often requested hath never  
paid said sum but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Jonathan & Tradeiah in pounds - The Plaintiff appears by  
John Gresham William Esq. his Att. and the Deft. tho' three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Jonathan & Tradeiah do recover against the said  
Deheil three pounds seven Shillings and five pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at L. 7. 2.  
and thereof &c. Exon J. Sep. 17. 1783

Samuel Ely of West Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire Geoman Plaintiff vs Phineas Brewster late of  
Wilbraham in said County deceased in this Hand  
Possession of Phineas Brewster of Springfield in our said County  
Geoman and Executor of the last Will & Testament of said Phineas  
deceased in said Capacity left in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Phineas Brewster deceased at Wilbraham aforesaid on the  
twenty sixth Day of May seven hundred & twenty five by his  
Note for value received promised the said Samuel Ely to pay  
him or order the sum of twenty Pounds two Shillings lawful  
Money at or before the twentieth Day of September seven hundred  
& twenty six with the lawful Interest for the same till paid  
which sum of Payment is paid - yet the said Phineas tho'  
often requested never whole living nor the said Phineas  
his said Executor since his decease tho' often afterwards thereto  
requested ever paid said sum or ever fulfilled the Promise of  
said Phineas aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Samuel twenty seven pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John  
Gresham William Esq. his Att. & the Deft. tho' three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel do  
recover against the said Phineas twenty five pounds two Shillings  
and five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at L. 11. 2. & thereof &c. Exon J. Oct. 17. 1783

John Stone of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs Price Bartwell of Wilmshurst in said County  
Geoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Price at Hatfield  
aforesaid on the twenty fourth Day of June seven hundred  
and eighty three by his Note for value received promised the  
said John to pay him or order the sum of four pounds eight  
Shillings & six pence lawful Money on demand with the meaning  
with the lawful Interest for the same till paid - yet the said  
Price tho' often requested hath never paid the sum or ever fulfilled  
his promise aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the said John  
six pounds - The Plaintiff appears by John Gresham William Esq. his Att. & the Deft.  
tho' three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the  
said Price four pounds eight Shillings and six pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at L. 11. 2. & thereof &c. Exon J. Sep. 8. 1783

John Stone of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff  
vs Peter Bordenall Gentleman and Downing Warden Yeoman both of Williams-  
burgh in said County Defendants in a Plea of the Case for that the said Peter  
and Downing at Hatfield aforesaid on the thirtieth Day of November  
seventen hundred & eighty two by their Note for value received promised  
the said John to pay him twenty four pounds twelve Shillings on demand  
in good & Merchantable Flour meaning Wheat Flour at his shop Kidings St. Bordenall  
and the said John saith he has always been ready to receive said Flour  
agreeable to the tenor of said Note, yet the said Peter and Downing  
nor either of them the afterwards to wit on the first Day of January last  
past at Hatfield aforesaid and after afterwards being there to receive the  
have never paid said sum or delivered said Flour or ever fulfilled  
their Promise aforesaid but neglect it to the Damage of the said John  
Twenty seven pounds. The Plaintiff appears by John Chester Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> has three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said John do recover against the said Peter or  
Downing twenty four pounds & twelve Shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 4. 10. 0. & there of &c. Upon J<sup>r</sup>. 17. 1783.

Ann Tyler of Exford in the County of Cheshire & State  
of New Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Simon Hall of Barnards  
town in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea  
of the Case for that the said Simon at Northampton in the  
County of Hampshire on the eleventh Day of March seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
the said Ann to pay him as order Ten Pounds in Gold or Silver  
meaning three pounds lawful Money on demand with use man  
ing with the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid. Yet  
the said Simon the often requested hath never paid said sum  
or ever fulfilled his Promise aforesaid but neglect it to the  
Damage of the said Ann five Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by  
John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> has three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Ann do  
recover against the said Simon three pounds five Shillings  
and one penny half penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
of Court taxed at £. 3. 10. 0. & there of &c. Upon J<sup>r</sup>. 17. 1783.

Abiel Bragg of Whatley in our County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plaintiff vs Peter Bordenall of Williamsburgh in our County  
aforesaid Gentleman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Peter  
at Williamsburgh aforesaid on the fourth Day of October  
seventen hundred and eighty one by his Note for value received  
promised the said Abiel to pay him five Pounds lawful Money  
in three Months from the Date of said Note with the lawful Inter  
est for the same sum till paid. Yet the said Peter the often  
requested hath never paid said sum but neglect it to the Da  
mage of the said Abiel seven Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> has three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Abiel do recover against the said Peter five Pounds and  
seven Shillings of lawful Money Damages and Costs of  
Court taxed at £. 5. 10. 0. & there of &c. Upon J<sup>r</sup>. 17. 1783.

Stiles  
18  
overill  
N<sup>o</sup> 320

William Stiles of Willington in the County of Stafford & State of Connecticut yeoman Plff vs James Aubrill of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Sheriffman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said James at Palmer aforesaid on the twenty fourth Day of June seventeen hundred & seventy seven by his Note for value received promised the said William to pay him the sum of twenty five Pounds Lawful Money on or before the twenty fourth Day of June then next ensuing the Date of said Note with interest for the same until paid. Also for that the said James at Palmer aforesaid on the sixteenth Day of June seventeen hundred & seventy seven by his other Note for value received promised the said William to pay him other sum of twenty five pounds lawful Money on or before the ~~fourth~~ <sup>fourteenth</sup> Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty with the lawful Interest for the same sum till paid. Yet the said James the often requested hath never paid said sums or either of them as is or fulfilled either of his said Promises but neglects it to the Damage of the said William seventy Pounds. The Parties ever ready appear and agree to refer the case and all manner as to the award & final Determination of William both Aaron Graves & Joseph Browning who are to hear said Parties their Proofs & and the award of them or any two of them to be final to be returned into this Court Judgement to be made up & Execution to issue accordingly. Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Warner &c  
1  
Baker  
N<sup>o</sup> 321

Samuel Warner & Isaac Warner both of Saddlers in the County of Hampshire Joins Traders Plff vs Elijah Baker of Amherst in the County aforesaid Gentle Deft in a Plea as ~~was~~ begun at large in the Original Writ on File. The Plffs being three times called to come into Court is doomit, the Deft defaulted & the Action dismissed.

Dickinson  
1  
Goodale  
N<sup>o</sup> 322

Elijah Dickinson of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire Gentle Plff vs John Goodale of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at Hatfield aforesaid on the twenty eighth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the said Elijah to pay him nine pounds five shillings lawful Money within one Month from the Date of said Note. Also for that the said John at Hatfield aforesaid on the eighth Day of July last past was justly indebted to the said Elijah in the sum of Eight Shillings for flour sold & delivered him the said John at the said John's special Instance and Request he the said John then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said Elijah to pay him the same sum on demand yet the said John the often requested hath never paid either of said sums but neglects it to the Damage of the said Dickinson Eleven shillings. The Parties ever ready appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

Stiles  
1  
Lyman  
N<sup>o</sup> 323

William Stiles of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire Gentle Plff vs Joseph Lyman of Northampton in the County of Hampshire aforesaid Gentle Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at Hatfield aforesaid on the sixteenth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value

received promised the said Eliza to pay him the sum of  
Twenty Pounds nineteen Shillings and six Pence on demand  
with Interest for the same sum till paid. Yet the said Joseph  
tho often requested hath never paid said sum but neglects it,  
to the Damage of the said Eliza Twenty two Pounds. The  
Parties severally appear & agree to have this case continued  
to the next Term Judgement there to be final. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here till  
the second Tuesday of November next.

Gad Smith of Whatley in our County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for that the said Prior at Whatley  
aforesaid on the nineteenth Day of December nineteen hundred  
and eighty two by his Note for value received promised the  
said Gad to pay him or order the sum of twenty five  
Pounds fifteen Shillings in solid Coin meaning lawful Silver  
Money on demand with Interest for the same till paid.  
Yet the said Prior tho often requested hath never paid said  
sum but neglects it to the Damage of the said Gad nineteen  
Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by John Chertton William Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> and the Defendant tho three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Gad do recover against the  
said Prior Twelve pounds eight Shillings & eleven Pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at 10s 4d  
and thereof &c. N<sup>o</sup> 324  
Exon. p. 10. 1783

David Dickinson of Dursfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff is Silas Rawson of Conway in our  
County aforesaid Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Rawson  
that the said Silas on the sixteenth Day of January nineteen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
said David to pay him or order eleven pounds & one penny  
in Silver & Gold on demand with lawful Interest. Yet  
said sixteenth Day of January nineteen hundred & eighty  
two yet the said Silas tho often thereto requested hath  
never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to  
the Damage of this said David fifteen pounds. The Plaintiff  
appears by John Chertton William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Defendant  
tho three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said David do recover against the said Silas twelve  
Pounds & one Shilling of lawful Money Damages and Costs  
of Suit taxed at 10s 4d & thereof &c. N<sup>o</sup> 325  
Exon. p. 10. 1783

William Scott of Palmer in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff is Braak Thompson of Palmer aforesaid Yeoman  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Thompson aforesaid  
aforesaid on the first Day of August nineteen hundred & eighty  
four by his Note for value received promised the said William  
to pay him or order the sum of twenty nine Shillings  
six pence lawful Money on demand with lawful Interest till  
paid. Yet the said Braak tho often thereto requested hath  
not paid his said Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
William Scott the sum of Twenty six Pounds.

Scath  
Thompson  
The <sup>My</sup> appears by John Chester, Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> & the  
Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said William do recover against the said Noah Twenty  
two pounds eleven Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £. 12. 6. — & thereof &c  
Given in Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783

Scath  
in  
Living  
at 327  
William hath a Partner in the County of Hampshire  
J<sup>nt</sup> <sup>My</sup> is Samuel Stevens late of Greenwich in said County  
now a Merchant Person German Deft in a Plea that the D<sup>r</sup>  
Samuel Stevens render and pay to the said William two  
pounds three Shillings and seven pence which to the said  
William & from him unjustly retains and whereupon the  
said William saith that at a Court held at Brimsfield within  
said County before James Bridgham Jun<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> then  
one of the Justices of the Peace for said County on Monday  
the twenty fourth Day of August <sup>seventy two</sup> by the Consideration  
of the said Justice recovered final Judgement the said  
Samuel for the sum of one pound thirteen Shillings and six  
pence lawful Money Damages and Costs taxed at fifteen  
Shillings and two pence as by said Judgement of Court  
remaining and a Copy thereof in Court to be produced may  
appear and afterwards viz on the eighth Day of the same  
Month of August Execution was issued against the said  
Samuel which Execution on the twenty eighth Day of October  
following was returned wholly unsatisfied and no Execution  
hath since issued against the said Samuel — The said William  
therefore saith that said Judgement is in full Force and no way  
arrested, reversed, satisfied nor paid wherefore Action accrues to the  
said William at Springfield to recover the sum of two pounds  
three Shillings and seven pence of the said Samuel — Also that the  
said Samuel render and pay to the said William one pound  
thirteen Shillings and two pence which to the said William he owes  
and from him unjustly detains and whereupon the said  
William saith the D<sup>r</sup> at another Court held at Brimsfield  
in said County of Hampshire on the same Monday the twenty  
fourth Day of August <sup>seventy two</sup> before James  
Bridgham Jun<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> then one of the Justices of the Peace for said  
County by Consideration of said Justice recovered Judgement against  
the said Samuel for the sum of eight Shillings lawful Money  
Damages & ~~Costs~~ fifteen and two Costs taxed by Justice  
as by said Judgement of Court remaining and a Copy  
thereof in Court to be produced may appear — Afterwards viz  
on the twenty eighth Day of the same August Execution issued  
against the said Samuel which Exon on the twenty eighth of  
October then next following was returned wholly unsatisfied &  
no Execution hath since issued against the said Samuel — The  
said William therefore saith that said Judgement is in full Force  
and no way arrested, reversed, satisfied nor paid — Wherefore  
Action accrues to the said William at Springfield to recover the  
sum of one pound thirteen Shillings & two pence of the said Samuel  
yet the said Samuel the often requested hath never paid either a said  
William or any Part thereof but might it to the Damage of the said William  
Five pounds — The <sup>My</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft  
the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said William do recover  
against the said Samuel six pounds seven Shillings and two pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 12. 6.  
and thereof &c  
Given in Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783

Ebenezer Eastman of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
 Plaintiff David Barnes & Edmond Rawson of Montague in  
 the County aforesaid Defendants in a Plea of the Case for that Eastman  
 the aforesaid David & Edmond on the twentieth Day of October  
 seventeen hundred & eighty one at Amherst aforesaid by their Note  
 for value received jointly & severally promised to pay the said Barnes & Rawson  
 Ebenezer the Sum of Forty eight pounds thirteen Shillings on demand  
 with Interest (meaning the lawful Interest) till paid in & over the  
 the said David & Edmond have not performed their Promise  
 aforesaid nor either of them but have each of them hitherto refused  
 and still do refuse to pay the same altho. often thereto requested to the  
 Damage of the said Ebenezer sixty Pounds The Parties  
 appear upon account of one of the Defs viz. David Barnes being  
 out of the State agree to have this Case continued to the next  
 Term Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties  
 have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next

Aaron Howe of Conway in our County of  
 Hampshire Plaintiff Nathaniel Dickinson of Whately Howe  
 in our County aforesaid Defendant in a Plea of the Case  
 for that whereas the said Aaron at Conway aforesaid on the  
 last Day of July last past had sold and delivered him the said Dickinson  
 Nathaniel sundry goods, wares & Merchandises at his the said Dickinson  
 Nathaniel's special Instance and Request he the said Nathaniel  
 Aaron to pay him so much Money as the said Goods, Wares &  
 Merchandises sold & delivered as aforesaid were reasonably  
 worth at the time of the sale & delivery thereof whenever after he  
 so sold & delivered as aforesaid were reasonably worth at the  
 time of the sale & delivery thereof the Sum of nine pounds lawful  
 Money to wit at Conway aforesaid Also for that the said  
 Nathaniel at Conway aforesaid on the last Day of July aforesaid  
 was justly indebted to the said Aaron in other Sum of eight pounds  
 to balance Book accounts he the said Nathaniel then & there in  
 consideration thereof promised said Aaron to pay him the same  
 Sum on demand Yet the said Nathaniel tho. often requested  
 hath never paid either of said Sums but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said Aaron eight pounds The Plaintiff appears by John  
 Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft tho. three times called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Aaron  
 do recover against the said Nathaniel eight Pounds of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 6. 11. & thereof he  
 Execution sp. Sep<sup>r</sup> 17. 1805.

John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 Plaintiff John Swerance of Barnardstown in D<sup>r</sup> County  
 Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Swerance  
 at Hadley aforesaid on the fifteenth Day of January save when  
 Swerance & eight by his Note for value received promised  
 the said Williams to pay him or order two pounds sixteen Shillings  
 and four pence on demand with the lawful Interest for the  
 same Sum till paid in Yet the said Swerance tho. often requested  
 hath never paid said Sum but neglects it to the Damage of J. Williams four Pounds

William The Plff appears in his own proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
15 three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here & therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
William do recover against the said Assurance three Pounds  
one Shilling and six pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at 10/- & thereof  
Upon J<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Noods  
Stone  
No 331  
James Woods of Warwick in our County of Hamp-  
shire Husbandman Plff is & hath been of Colour in our County  
said James a Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Josiah a black man aforesaid on the twenty fourth Day of  
January & next ten hundred & seventy four by his Note for value  
received promised one Samuel Russell pay him or three  
pounds five Shillings and eight Pence lawful Money in eleven Months  
from the Date of said Note with Interest till paid & And there  
afterwards on the same Day the said Samuel Russell by his Indorse-  
ment in writing on the back of said Note for value received  
promised to order the Contents thereof then due & unpaid to be  
paid to the Plff according to the Tenor and Effect of said Note  
of all which the said Josiah there afterwards had notice and  
thereupon became liable by Law to pay to the Plff the Contents of  
said Note according to the Tenor and Effect thereof & there  
in the same accordingly & yet the said Josiah tho often  
requested hath not paid the Contents of said Note but neglected  
it to the Damage of the said James ten pounds  
The Plff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho. three times called to come in Court makes  
default of appearance here & therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said James do recover against the said  
Josiah five Pounds five Shillings & one penny of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2/- & thereof  
Upon J<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1783

Wood  
Rogers  
No 332  
Obadiah Wood a Physician in our County of Worcester  
Physician Plff is Daniel Rogers of Ware in the County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Daniel at Ware aforesaid on the fifth Day of this  
Instant August owed the said Obadiah four pounds and a ten  
pence lawful Money for Visit & Medicines on account according  
to the Account hereto annexed and did thereto there promise the  
said Obadiah to pay him the same on demand & also for that  
whereas at Ware aforesaid on the same fifth Day of this  
Instant August the said Obadiah had sold & delivered to the said  
Daniel at his special Instance & Request sundry Medicines had also  
done and performed sundry Visit & Services & ther than in the  
Account mentioned he the said Daniel in Consideration thereof  
then & there promised the said Obadiah to pay him so much  
Money as the said Medicines, Visit & Services were reasonably  
worth at the Time of the Sale & Delivery of said Medicines & doing  
and performing said Services when afterwards he should be  
hereto requested & And the said Obadiah saith that the said  
Medicines, Visit & Services so sold & performed was reasonably  
worth at the Sale & Delivery thereof other Sum of Four Pounds & ten  
pence lawful Money than in the account mentioned which the  
said Daniel then and there Instantly had notice and then & there  
in Consideration thereof promised the said Obadiah to pay  
him the same Sum on demand & yet the said Daniel tho  
often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof

but neglects it to the Damage of the said Obadeah Five Pounds.  
 The Plf appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> and the  
 Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of ap-  
 pearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
 the said Obadeah do recover against the said Daniel for Pounds  
 and Ten Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at £1. 12. 6. and thereof &c. Exon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783.

Wood  
 is  
 Rogers

William Mc-Clahan of Great Barrington in our  
 County of Berkshire Yeoman Plf v Joseph Swerance of Newbury  
 in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case  
 for that the said Joseph at Northampton in the County of Hamp-  
 shire aforesaid on the sixth Day of June last past by his Note  
 for value received promised the said William to pay meaning  
 deliver him or order fifty Gallons of New Rum on demand  
 with Interest till delivered; meaning at Northampton aforesaid  
 and the said William saith he has always been ready to receive  
 said Rum agreeable to the Tenor of said Note and that said  
 Rum so to be delivered as aforesaid was of the Price of Twelve  
 Pounds to wit at Northampton aforesaid. Yet the said Joseph  
 tho often requested hath never delivered said Rum or fulfilled  
 his Promise aforesaid but neglects it to the Damage of the  
 William fifteen Pounds. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears  
 and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term judge-  
 ment then to be final. Thereupon it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the second  
 Tuesday of November next.

Mc-Clahan  
 Swerance  
 N<sup>o</sup> 333

John Patrick of Wotton in our County of Worcester  
 Yeoman Plf v Samuel Swins late of Greenwich in the County  
 of Hampshire now a Merchant Person Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a  
 Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel at Northampton  
 in the County of Hampshire aforesaid on the Twelfth Day of July  
 seventeen hundred & sixty eight by one Note for value received  
 promised the said John to pay him or order the sum of one  
 pound eight shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest  
 till paid (meaning lawful Interest till paid). Yet this Samuel  
 tho often requested hath never fulfilled his said Promise but  
 neglects it to the Damage of the said John Four Pounds.  
 The Plf appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> and the  
 Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default  
 of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the said John do recover against the said Samuel Two  
 pounds thirteen shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages  
 and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 12. 0. and thereof &c. Exon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783.

Patrick  
 Swins  
 N<sup>o</sup> 334

Noah Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Trades  
 Plf v Israel Sheldon Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southampton in said County Yeoman  
 Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Israel at Hadley aforesaid on  
 the twenty seventh Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty three  
 by his Note for value received promised the said Noah to pay him ten  
 pounds five shillings by the first Day of June then next ensuing the  
 Date of said Note with Interest for the same till paid. Yet the  
 said Israel tho often requested hath never paid said sum but ne-  
 glects it to the Damage of the said Noah twelve Pounds. The Plf appears  
 by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come in  
 to Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Noah do recover against the said Israel Ten pounds eight shillings  
 of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 12. 0. & the rest of &c.  
 Exon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783.

Smith  
 Sheldon  
 N<sup>o</sup> 335

William  
or  
Worthington  
No 336  
John Cheslon William Esq<sup>r</sup> of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
Plf is Samuel Worthington of Sheffield in the County of Berkshire  
Hampshire Defendant Df in a Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel  
at Hadley aforesaid on the twentieth ninth Day of December next  
hundred and seventy three by his Note for value received  
promised the said John to pay him or order eleven pounds one  
shilling and eleven pence three farthings lawful Money on  
demand with Interest for the same till paid. Yet the said  
Samuel the often requested have never paid said sum but  
neglect it to the Damage of the said William Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
The Plf appears by John Cheslon William Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty and  
the Df the third times called to come into Court to make report  
of appearance here. Thereupon it is considered by the Court  
that the said John do recover against the said Samuel eleven  
pounds one shilling & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages  
and costs of Goods taxed at £11.11.11 and thereof  
Upon 11<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1703

Sergants  
Eaton  
No 337  
John Serjants of Brattle brought in the County of  
Windsor and State of Vermont Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf is Ebenezer Eaton  
of Northham in the County of Worcester yeoman Df in a  
Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on Title.  
The Plf brings now three times publickly called to come into  
Court is non suit, the Df defaulted & the action dismissed

Chandler  
or  
Herrindon  
No 338  
John Chandler of Sunderland in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plf Daniel Herrindon yeoman and  
Hazel Herrindon yeoman both of Adams in our County of  
Berkshire Df in a Plea of the Case for that the said Daniel &  
Hazel at Sunderland aforesaid on the first Day of April  
seventeen hundred & twenty one by their Note for value  
received promised one Stephen Ralph to pay him or order  
the sum of nine pounds lawful Money in twelve Months  
from the Date of said Note remaining with lawful Interest for the  
same till time of payment till paid and afterwards to wit  
on the first Day of April seventeen hundred & twenty two the  
said Stephen by his writing on the back of said Note with his  
own proper hand subscribed ordered the Contents of said Note then  
wholly due and unpaid to be paid to the said John Chandler  
for value of him then and there had & received of all which the  
said Daniel & Hazel there instantly had Notice and is become liable  
to the Contents of said Note to said John agreeable to the Tenor thereof  
and there & there in Consideration thereof promised the said John  
to pay him the same accordingly on demand. Yet the said Daniel  
and Hazel nor either of them the thereto often requested have never  
paid said sum or was fulfilled their Promise aforesaid but neglect it  
to the Damage of the said John fifteen Pounds. The Parties severally  
appear on a Motion of the Df to agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next

Cook Jun<sup>r</sup>  
or  
Franklin  
No 339  
Elisha Cook Jun<sup>r</sup> of Hadley in our County of Hamp  
shire yeoman Plf is Henry Franklin of Amherst in the County  
aforesaid yeoman Df in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Henry at Hadley aforesaid on the seventh Day of January seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
one Elisha Porter to pay him or order forty six pounds four shillings  
and four pence lawful Silver Money on demand with the lawful  
Interest for the same till paid. And afterwards to wit on the  
Day of July last past the said Elisha Porter by his Indorsement in  
writing with his own proper hand subscribed on the back of said  
Note ordered the Contents of said Note then wholly due & unpaid

to be paid to the said Elisha Cook Jun<sup>r</sup> for value of him then & there  
had received of all which the said Franklin then & there instantly  
had notice and so became liable to pay the same contents of the  
note to the said Cook according to the tenor thereof & then & there  
in consideration thereof promised the said Cook to pay him the  
same accordingly on demand — Yet the said Franklin the often  
requested hath never paid said sum but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Elisha Cook Jun<sup>r</sup> fifty Pounds — The Plf appears  
by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Cook do recover  
against the said Franklin fifty Pounds fifteen Shillings & four pence  
of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.3.0  
and thereof &c  
Exon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 8. 1783

Cook Jun<sup>r</sup>  
Franklin

Noble Bagg of Belcherstown in our County of Hampshire  
Complain<sup>t</sup> is Elisha Sibley of Douglass in our County of  
Worcester Defendant is a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Sibley at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on  
the twenty ninth Day of April in the tenth hundred & eighty two  
by his Promissory Note for value received promised the said Noble  
to pay him or order the sum of 1000 Pounds seven Shillings & pence  
Money on demand with Interest till paid — Yet the said Sibley  
the often requested hath never paid said sum but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Noble seven Pounds — The Plf appears  
by John Chester William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Noble do recover against the said Elisha four pounds & fourteen  
Shillings of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at one  
pound sixteen Shillings and six pence — & thereof &c  
Exon 1<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783

Bagg  
Sibley  
N<sup>o</sup> 340

Noble Bagg of Belcherstown in our County of  
Hampshire Complain<sup>t</sup> is John Vinton of Brantree in our  
County of Suffolk Gentle Defendant is a Plea of the Case for  
that he is on the twenty second Day of September in the tenth  
hundred & eighty three at Northampton in the County of Hamp  
shire Daniel Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup> made his certain writing called a  
Promissory Note subscribed with the proper of him that Daniel  
dated the same Day year last mentioned and the same Note to the  
aforesaid John Vinton then & there delivered by which said Note  
the aforesaid Daniel Thayer promised to pay the aforesaid John  
Vinton or his Order twenty seven Shillings and four pence within one  
year from the Date of said with the lawful Interest for the same till paid  
for value received and afterwards to wit on the first Day of April  
the aforesaid John Vinton by his certain Indorsement subscribed with  
his own proper hand and made upon the said Note, assigned that Note  
to the aforesaid Noble Bagg and by the same Indorsement ordered  
the aforesaid Daniel Thayer to pay the contents of the same  
Note to the said Noble Bagg according to the Tenor of the same Note  
and the same Noble Bagg in fact with the that after the assignment  
and appointment of the same Note was made as aforesaid to wit on  
the first Day of April last past at Northampton aforesaid he the  
said Noble Bagg did shew the said Note & Indorsement made  
thereupon as aforesaid unto the said Daniel Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup> and then  
and there required the same Daniel Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup> to pay the contents  
of the same Note to wit the sum of one pound seven Shillings & four  
pence there in specie with the lawful Interest for the same Note

Bagg  
Vinton  
N<sup>o</sup> 141

Bagg  
Vinton

unto him the aforesaid Noble Bagg according to the Tenor of said  
Vote & the Indorsement aforesaid. But the said Daniel Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup> did  
not pay nor has he yet paid the said Sum of Money to the said Noble Bagg  
but the aforesaid Daniel then & there to wit on the same Day & Year last  
aforesaid refused and hath ever since hitherto refused to pay the same  
Sum of Money: whereof the said John a Northampton aforesaid  
on the second Day of April aforesaid had Notice and by means  
thereof he the said John Vinton became and was & is liable to pay the said  
Noble Bagg the said Sum of one pound seven Shillings & four pence  
with Interest for the same as mentioned in the same Vote. And  
the said John Vinton being so liable in consideration thereof on the  
same second Day of April aforesaid a Northampton aforesaid  
undertook and to the said Noble Bagg then & there promised to pay  
him the same Sum with the Interest thereof accordingly on demand  
Also for that whereas on the twenty second Day of September aforesaid at  
Northampton aforesaid one Daniel Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup> made his other a Vote  
in writing called a Promissory Vote subscribed with the proper hand  
of him the said Daniel dated the same Day and Year last aforesaid  
and the same Vote to the aforesaid John Vinton then & there delivered  
by which said Vote the aforesaid Daniel Thayer promised to pay the  
aforesaid John Vinton or order his pounds thirteen Shillings and four  
pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid for value  
received. And afterwards to wit on the fifth Day of October aforesaid  
hundred & seventy five a Northampton aforesaid he the said John  
Vinton by his certain Indorsement subscribed with his proper Hand and  
made upon the said assigned that Vote to the aforesaid Noble Bagg  
and by the same Indorsement ordered the aforesaid Daniel to pay  
the Contents of the same Vote to the said Noble Bagg according to the  
Tenor of the same Vote and the said Noble Bagg in fact saith that  
after the assignment and appointment of the same Vote made as  
aforesaid do wit on the fifth Day of April last past a Northampton  
aforesaid he the said Noble Bagg did shew the said Vote and the  
Indorsement made thereon as aforesaid unto the aforesaid Daniel  
Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup>. and then & there required the same Daniel Thayer Jun<sup>r</sup>  
to pay the Contents of the same last mentioned Vote unto him the  
aforesaid Noble Bagg according to the Tenor of said Vote and the  
Indorsement aforesaid. But the said Daniel did not pay nor has he  
yet paid the said Sum of Money to the said Noble Bagg but the aforesaid  
Daniel then & there to wit on the same Day and Year last aforesaid  
refused and hath ever since hitherto refused to pay the same Sum of  
Money. Whereof the said John a Northampton aforesaid on the second  
Day of April aforesaid had Notice and by means thereof he the said  
John Vinton became and was & is liable to pay the said Sum of Money  
mentioned in said Vote with the Interest for the same. And the said John  
Vinton being so liable in consideration thereof on the same second Day  
of April aforesaid a Northampton aforesaid undertook to the said  
Noble Bagg promised ~~the said~~ to pay him the same Sum with the Interest  
thereof accordingly on demand. Also for that whereas the said John  
a Northampton aforesaid on the last Day of June aforesaid was justly  
Indebted to the said Noble in the Sum of seven pounds lawful Money  
for so much Money before that time had and received by him the  
said John to his the said Nobles use he the said John then & there in  
consideration thereof promised the said Noble to pay him the same Sum  
on demand. Yet the said John Vinton tho there to often requested  
in this never paid either of the aforesaid Sums or was fulfilled either of  
his said Promises but neglects to the Damage of the said Noble ten pounds.  
The Parties severally appear and on a Motion of the Deft agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of November next.

Medad Pomeroy of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Plff vs Solomon Smith of Northampton aforesaid German Dyk  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Solomon at West Spring field  
in the said County of Hampshire on the eighteenth Day of September  
last past by his Note for value received promised the said Medad  
to pay him the sum of forty six pounds eight shillings by the first  
Day of March then next with Interest - yet the said Solomon N<sup>o</sup> 342  
has often requested hath not paid the Contents of the said Note  
to the Plff or any part thereof but unjustly neglects to do it to the  
Damage of the said Medad fifty pounds - The Plff appears by  
Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that the said Medad do recover against  
the said Solomon thirty one pounds six shillings and two pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 5s 6d  
and thereof &c  
Quoniam Sep<sup>r</sup> 22<sup>o</sup> 1783

George Bryant of Chertseyfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Plff vs Jacob Litchfield of Chertseyfield aforesaid  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jacob at Chertseyfield  
aforesaid on the fourthenth Day of November instant hundred  
and eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said  
George to pay him or order the sum of three Pounds eight  
shillings & six pence in hand (meaning Silver Money) meaning  
to pay the same on demand with lawful Interest till paid - yet  
the said Jacob has often requested hath never paid the Contents  
of the said Note to the Plff or any part thereof but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said George eight pounds - The Plff  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said George do recover  
over against the said Jacob three pounds eleven shillings & six  
pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 5s 6d  
and thereof &c  
Quoniam Sep<sup>r</sup> 22<sup>o</sup> 1783

Job Marsh of Worthington in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plff vs Barnabas Starr of Granwich in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> otherwise called Gentle Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass  
on the Case for that the said Barnabas at Saratoga to wit in  
Northampton in the County of Hampshire aforesaid on the  
twentieth Day of October sixteen hundred eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised the said Job to pay him thirty three  
hard Dollars meaning thirty three silver Dollars which the Plff avers  
to be equal in value to nine pounds eight shillings lawful Money  
in two Months from the Date of said Note with Interest till paid -  
yet the said Barnabas has often requested hath never paid the Contents  
of the said Note to the Plff or any part thereof but neglects it to the  
Damage of the said Job fifteen pounds - The Parties severally  
appear and on a Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup> agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of  
November next

Thomas Graige & Philip Pomeroy both of Northampton  
in our County of Hampshire Joint dealers in Trade Plffs vs  
Shijah Jones of Shelburne in the County aforesaid Clothier  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Shijah at  
Northampton aforesaid on the fourthenth Day of February instant

Graige & al hundred and eighty two by their Note for value received promised  
 the said Thomas & John by the terms of Thomas Graige & al's promise  
 to pay them the sum of five pounds four shillings lawful Money in  
 one Week from the Date (meaning to pay the lawful Money in  
 that time till paid) - Yet the said Alijats tho often thereto requested  
 hath not paid the Contents of the said Note to the Plffs or either  
 of them or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the  
 said Graige and al's promise of five pounds. The Plffs appear  
 by Caleb Strong Esq, his att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come  
 into Court makes Default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the said Thomas & al's do never  
 against the said Alijats seven pounds seven shillings & nine  
 pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
 one pound five shillings and four pence. Exon J<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 23<sup>d</sup> 1783

Bradish  
 Brown  
 v. 346  
 James Bradish of Framington in our County of Hampshire  
 Physician Plff<sup>r</sup> Joshua Brown of a Plantation called Ashudot  
 equivalent in our County of Berkshire adjoining to Pittfield in  
 said County of Berkshire Yeoman & there called Cordwainer  
 Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Joshua at  
 Windsor to wit at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on  
 the twenty fourth Day of December last past by his Note for value  
 received promised one Asabel Wright to pay him or order  
 the sum of seven pounds lawful Money on demand with interest  
 till paid - and the aforesaid sum of Money in the Note  
 aforesaid or any part thereof not being paid to the said Asabel  
 he the said Asabel afterwards to wit the same Day & year afores<sup>d</sup>  
 by his certifying & indorsement in Writing then & there made  
 on the said Note and then & there subscribed with the proper  
 hand of the said Asabel by the said Indorsement ordered and  
 appointed the aforesaid Joshua to pay to the said James the sum of  
 Money in the Note aforesaid for value received according  
 to the Form & Effect of the same Note & the Indorsement hereon  
 & aforesaid made whereof the aforesaid Joshua then afterwards the  
 same Day had Notice & means of which said Promise and also  
 by force of the Law in such Case the said Joshua became liable  
 to pay to the aforesaid James the sum of Money mentioned in the  
 Note aforesaid according to the Form & Effect of the same Note &  
 the Indorsement thereon made and the said Joshua being so  
 liable in consideration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day &  
 year aforesaid at Northampton aforesaid appeared in himself and  
 to the said James then & there faithfully promised to pay him the  
 sum mentioned in the Note aforesaid on Demand - Yet the said  
 Joshua tho often thereto requested hath not paid the Contents of  
 the said Note to the said James or any part thereof but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the said James & al's promise of five  
 pounds. The Plff appears by Caleb Strong Esq, his att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times  
 called to come into Court makes Default of appearance here  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said James do  
 never against the said Joshua seven pounds five shillings &  
 seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at £12<sup>s</sup> 6<sup>d</sup> and thereof. Exon J<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 24<sup>d</sup> 1783

Barker  
 Ingersoll  
 v. 347  
 Eliasaph Barker late of Morrofield in our County of  
 Hampshire Yeoman Plff<sup>r</sup> Richard Ingersoll of a Plantation called  
 Morrofield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea  
 of Covenant broken and Breach the said Eliasaph says that  
 whereas by a certain Deed made at Morrofield aforesaid on  
 the twentieth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty one made

Barker  
Ingraves

with the seal of the said Richard & in Court to be produced  
the date whereof is the same Day & Year abovesaid in consideration  
that the said Eliasaph then & there had leased, rented and to farm  
unto the said Richard the whole of the Improvement of the said  
Eliasaph in Morryfield aforesaid meaning the whole of the land  
that was cleared & under Improvement of a Piece or Tract of Land  
belonging to the said Eliasaph in said Morryfield, untill the first  
Day of May then next and had then & there licensed & permitted the  
said Richard to improve and make use of the whole of the said Improve-  
ments during the said term to his own use and the House, meaning  
the House standing on the same term & Improvement except the S. Barker,  
should sell his Farm then the said House was to be lease the first Day  
after the same should be sold to the said Richard did agree, covenant  
and promise to & with the said Barker by the same Deed that he the said  
Richard would pay or cause to be paid unto the said Eliasaph the full  
sum of six pounds, seventeen shillings & six pence in silver or other current  
at the common Exchange by the first Day of May then next meaning to  
pay Interest after that Term till paid and would pay the taxes of the  
whole Term during the Lease meaning to pay the taxes that should be  
assessed on said Term during the said Term, and the said Eliasaph avers  
that he the said Eliasaph did not sell the said Term during the  
said Term and a tho the said Eliasaph will send a bill of account to the said  
Richard as aforesaid the Improvement made & to be made & to be made  
the said Richard to improve, etc. & upon the same Improvement &  
House during the said Term to wit from the 1st of Dec. 1782 to the  
first Day of May abovementioned, by protesting that the said Richard had not  
performed or fulfilled any Covenant in the Deed aforesaid contained in & to be made  
by the said Richard in fact the said Eliasaph avers that the said Richard had  
not paid the said sum of six pounds seventeen shillings & six pence by the said first  
Day of May or any part thereof nor hath he since the said Richard has not paid  
any part thereof since that Time to the said Eliasaph but the same sum is  
unpaid And so the said Eliasaph avers that the said Richard with a wrong  
his Covenant with the said Eliasaph made aforesaid hath not kept it & hath  
unjustly broke the same & hath thereby done the said Eliasaph damage  
keep the same with him to the Damage of the said Eliasaph twelve pounds.  
The Parties severally appeared & agreed to refer this Case to the Award, Judgement  
and final Determination of Capt. Nathaniel Goodspeed William Wose and  
Asaph White who are to hear said Parties & the Award of them or any two of  
them is to be final to be returned into this Court Judgement to be made in  
Execution to issue accordingly & therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Moses Gill of Princetown in our County of Dorchester Esq. Plaintiff  
vs John Wood late of Colerain in our said County of Hampshire Esq. Defendant  
wise called John Wood late of Colerain in our County of Hampshire  
Gent. Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said John Wood  
to wit at Colerain aforesaid on the twentieth Day of August 1782  
hundred & seventy four by his Note for value received from the said  
Moses to pay him or Order twenty one pounds fourteen shillings & eleven pence  
lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest till paid - & the said  
John the often then requested hath not paid the Content of the said Note  
to the Plf or any part thereof with neglect it to the Damage of the said  
Moses forty Shillings - The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq. in Wt. &  
the Deft that three times called to come into Court make answer & appear  
and here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Moses  
do recover against the said John thirty three pounds eight shillings  
and two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at two  
Pounds five shillings & six pence - & therefore

No. 40

Levi Shephard of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
Apothecary & Agent on the Estate of David Goldwell late of Grimsditch  
said County Physician in said County of Hampshire Plaintiff  
Charlesment in our County of Hampshire a woman otherwise called Gintie  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Levi Shephard  
on the first Day of June 1782 hundred & eighty one by his Note on

Shephard  
Hannan  
No. 349



but neglect it to the Damage of the said Lisa nine Pounds  
The Plaintiff appears by Gale Strongy Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Lisa do recover  
against the said Gideon four Pounds twelve Shillings & one Penny  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 5s. 7d.  
Exon j<sup>d</sup>. Oct<sup>r</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> 1782.

01  
2

Alexander Miller of Worthington in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup>. Pl<sup>t</sup> vs David Gleason of Chertsey in the County of Middlesex  
aforesaid Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case Miller  
for that the said David at Northampton in the County aforesaid Gleason  
on the thirtieth Day of January last past by his Note for value  
received promised the said Alexander to pay him or order nineteen  
Pounds lawful Money one half (of the said sum) in Gold or silver  
Money the other half (of the said sum) in Morris's Notes to be  
paid in three Weeks from the Date of said Note with Interest  
till paid and the said Alexander avers that the Sum of nine  
pounds ten shillings in Morris's Notes is equal in value to nine  
Pounds ten shillings in silver & gold and that the said Alexander  
was always ready since the time of the making of the said Note to  
receive the said Morris's Notes of the said David in manner aforesaid  
yet the said David tho' often requested hath not paid the said Note  
of the said Note or any part thereof but neglect it to the Damage of  
the said Alexander twenty five pounds. The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Judgement then to be final. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Day  
of November next.

Nathan Barton of Belchertown in the County of Hampshire  
Tanner Pl<sup>t</sup> vs Jacob Frothingham of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Def<sup>t</sup> Barton  
Surgeon Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Breach of Covenant for this to wit that Frothingham  
whereas by a certain Indenture made at Brookfield to wit at Northampton  
in the County of Hampshire on the twentieth Day of April in the year  
hundred eighty one between the said Jacob of the one part & him the  
said Nathan of the other part one part of which said Indenture sealed  
with the seal of the said Jacob is in Court to be produced the Date  
whereof is the same Day & year aforesaid it is among other things with  
repeal that the said Nathan had bound his son Jedediah Barton to him  
the said Jacob with him to serve after the manner of an apprentice at  
Husbandry work from the said twentieth Day of April until the  
fourteenth Day of January next hundred eighty three. And the said Jacob  
by the said Indenture did Covenant and engage with the said Nathan  
that he the said Jacob would give to the said Jedediah reasonable schooling  
when a school should be kept in the town Precinct in Brookfield on  
Evenings and would find for his said apprentice during said term good  
sufficient Meat, Drink, washing, lodging, cloathing, Physick &c. and at  
the Expiration of said Term would give him two suit of Cloathes for all  
parts of his Body suitable to his Quality and would pay him six pounds  
Money or price, meaning the necessary of life, at either Money value as it  
paid in sixteen hundred & eighty three. And the said Nathan avers  
that the said Jedediah did serve the said Jacob as an apprentice during the  
Term aforesaid and that the said Jacob at the often requested did not give  
to the said Jedediah reasonable schooling when school was kept in the town  
Precinct of Brookfield and on Evenings and did not at the Expiration of  
Term give to the said Jedediah two suit of Cloathes for all parts of his Body  
suitable to his Quality nor did the said Jacob pay him six pounds  
Money or price as it paid in the year sixteen hundred & eighty three. And the said  
Jacob tho' often requested his Covenant aforesaid with the said Nathan above in this  
behalf made hath not performed to him but hath broken his Covenant and altogether denied  
and yet doth deny to perform the same to the Damage of the said Nathan six hundred pounds.  
The Parties severally appear on a Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup> & Court agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day  
here until the second Tuesday of November next.



of twenty pounds or the contents of the Note made by the said Thomas as aforesaid or the said sum of forty shillings and eight pence or any part of either of them but Ingle to the said also for that whereas on the same tenth Day of June at Hadley aforesaid one Thomas Munn was justly indebted to the said Isaac in another sum of twenty pounds of lawful Money for the like sum of Money there before that time had and received by the said Thomas to the use of the said Isaac & the said Thomas being so indebted he the said John Chester then & there made & delivered to the said Isaac his the said John Chester's then & there made in writing in the Words following to wit: Hadley 10th June 1793. "To Isaac Williams Junr Esq. Sir Thomas Munn is with me & informs me, he owes you twenty pounds for which you want security, if you like mine I hereby engage that upon your being upon him three Months, I will see you are provided in does not be that it be paid in full by him & so forth & so on." And that the said Isaac was not believing the Promise & Assumption of the said John Chester made as aforesaid in the writing aforesaid & taking the security of the said John Chester as aforesaid upon the said Thomas as aforesaid the said Thomas after three Months & more had come to prosecuting an action against the said Thomas using the said sum for the recovery of the same sum - And that the said Thomas did not pay the same sum to the said Isaac during the said term of three Months or any part thereof or at any time afterwards or any way contented the said Isaac therefor yet the said John Chester tho' often requested in a particularly the ten 2 Day of July came hath not paid the same sum or agreed the same to be paid to the said Isaac or any way contented him therefor but & notwithstanding the said Isaac for that the said John Chester at Hadley aforesaid on the same tenth Day of June being indebted to the said Isaac in another sum of twenty pounds lawful Money for the like sum of Money there before that time had and received by the said John Chester for the said Isaac & to the use of the said Isaac at his the said John Chester's special Instance & Request in consideration thereof the said John Chester assumed on himself & then & there faithfully promised the said Isaac pay him the same sum & the lawful Interest thereof on Demand - Also for that the said John Chester at Hadley on the tenth Day of June aforesaid being indebted to the said Isaac in another sum of twenty pounds of lawful Money for the like sum of Money then before that time paid, laid out expended & advanced by the said Isaac for the said John Chester at his the said John Chester's special Instance & Requested in consideration thereof the said John Chester assumed on himself and then & there faithfully promised the said Isaac to pay him the same sum & the lawful Interest thereof on demand. Yet the said John Chester tho' often requested hath not paid either of the sums aforesaid to the said Isaac or any part thereof either of them but neglects it to the Damage of the said Isaac forty pounds - The Parties severally appear & on a Motion of the Deft agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of November next.

I William &  
J. C. Williams &

Polycarpus Gushman of Barnardston in the County of Hampshire Physician Plaintiff is Daniel Abwcomb late of Barnardston in a and County of Hampshire otherwise called Gentry Deft in a Plea of Traspas on the Case for that the said Daniel & Bagner (son aforesaid) on the first Day of February 1794 in a Plea of Traspas on the Case for value received promised the said Polycarpus to pay him or order six pounds eleven shillings in silver or Money upon Demand with Interest till paid - Yet the said Daniel tho' often requested hath not yet paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Polycarpus nine pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att. and that the Deft tho' called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Polycarpus to recover against the said Daniel seven pounds three shillings & four pence or lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £16:0 - & thereof &c. Given at the Court the 10th of Sep. 1794

Gushman  
Abwcomb  
V. B. 1794

Reeds &c

Beale

No 358

Joach Reed of Cummington in the County of Hampshire Gent.  
Plff vs Abraham Beale of Cummington aforesaid Husbandman  
Left in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Abraham  
at Northampton in said County on the nineteenth Day of May went on  
a barrel and sixpence to his State for value received promised the  
Daniel, by the name of Daniel the "Sun" to pay him twenty three pounds  
ten shillings in ten pence on demand with Interest till paid yet the  
said Abraham the often requested by the S<sup>d</sup> Testator in his life time  
and by the said Joach after the Death of the said Testator hath not  
paid the contents of the said note but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Joach in his capacity six pounds - The Plff appears by  
exhibiting in evidence the said note and the Deft the said Joach called to come into  
court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Joach do recover against the said Abraham  
thirty seven pounds five shillings and six pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 7. 2. 6. Merces &c  
Given in March 9. 1704.

Pierce

Hotton &c

No 359

Thomas Pierce of Wilmoreland in the County of  
Cheshire and State of New Hampshire Husbandman Plff vs John  
Hotton of Northfield in our said County yeoman & Solomon Hotton  
of said Northfield yeoman Defts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that the said John & Solomon aforesaid on  
the twenty second Day of October last past by their note for value  
received promised the said Thomas to pay him or order sixty  
pounds of Silver Money to be paid the first Day of January  
seventeen hundred & eighty three with Interest till paid yet the  
said John & Solomon the often requested have not paid the  
contents of said note but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Thomas Forty six pounds - The Parties severally appear and  
agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill  
the second Tuesday of November next -

Gustavus &c

Lyon

No 361

Joel Gustav of Goshen in the County of Hampshire  
Husbandman and Molly his Wife who was late Molly Webster of said  
Goshen Widow Plff vs Sylvanus Lyon late of Goshen in said County  
yeoman Deft in a Plea on the Case for that the said Sylvanus at  
a place called Chesterfield in said on the twelfth Day of January  
seventeen hundred & eighty nine by his promissory note for  
value received promised the said Molly then Timesole, by the  
name of Molly Webster to pay her twenty two pounds lawful  
Money on demand with Interest - Also for that the said Sylvanus  
at Northampton in said County on the twenty fifth Day of  
October seventeen hundred & eighty nine by another note for value  
received promised the said Molly Webster then Timesole to  
pay her the further sum of seventeen pounds lawful Money meaning  
lawful Money in the Exchange in paper Money meaning an equal  
value of paper Money on Demand - Also for that the said Sylvanus at  
the time and place last mentioned by one or the other note for value received  
promised the said Molly then Timesole by the name of Molly Webster  
to pay her one or the other of seventeen pounds lawful Money in the Exchange  
in paper meaning an equivalent in Paper Money on Demand and  
Interest till paid yet the said Sylvanus the often requested by the  
Molly never paid either of said sums to her while she remained sole and  
unmarried nor hath he ever paid either of said sums or Interest to the Plff  
or either of them but neglects it to the Damage of the said Joel & Molly fifty  
pounds - The Plff appears by Gustav Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and because the  
Lyon is out of the State it is considered by the Court that the said  
Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November  
next -

Marion Coe Administrator on the Estate of Enock Coe late of Granville  
in the County of Hampshire deceased. Humbly shews that the Estate of  
deceased is insolvent and insufficient to discharge the Debts due from said  
deceased as by a Certificate from the Office of Registry of Probate for said  
County appears. He therefore prays he may be allowed to make sale  
of the real Estate of said deceased to enable him to discharge to the  
Creditors their respective Proportions of said Estate. Whereupon it is  
considered by the Court that the D. Administrator be permitted to make  
sale of the Estate for the purpose aforesaid, he duly observing the law  
respecting such sale.

03  
Atk. on the  
Estate of  
Enock Coe for  
Sale of Lands  
N. 362

Sarah Robbins Administrator on the Estate of John Robbins late of  
Jun. late of Brimsfield in the County of Hampshire deceased on Estate of  
Intestate humbly shews that the Personal Estate of said deceased was insuffi-  
cient to discharge the Debt of said Estate, that she obtained since from said  
the Court to make sale of part of the real Estate of said deceased, that  
there is still remaining the sum of twenty five pounds eight shillings  
and two pence. She therefore prays she may be allowed to make  
sale of so much more of the real Estate of said deceased as shall enable  
her to discharge said Debt with additional Costs. Whereupon it is  
considered by the Court that the said adm<sup>r</sup> be permitted to make sale  
of the real Estate to the amount of twenty eight pounds for the  
purpose aforesaid, she duly observing the Law respecting such sale.

Gersham Randall of Dorchester in the County of Hamp  
shire ~~husband~~ <sup>husband</sup> of Mary Seabrook of Windsor in our County  
of Berkshire Husbandman. Deth in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that the said Henry at Windsor to wit at Northampton in the  
County of Hampshire on the twenty seventh Day of January last  
saw by his Note for value received promised the said Henry to pay  
him or order two pounds lawful Money to be paid in silver Money  
on Demand with Interest till paid. Also for that the said Henry  
at Windsor to wit at Northampton aforesaid on the same twenty  
seventh Day of January aforesaid by his Note for value received  
promised the said Gersham to pay him or order the sum of two  
pounds lawful Money to be paid in silver Money on Demand with  
Interest till paid. Yet the said Henry tho often requested hath  
not paid the said Gersham the said sum of two pounds lawful Money  
thereof but neglected it to the Damage of the said Gersham nine  
pounds. The Plea appears by Gale Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and  
the Def<sup>t</sup> tho. three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Gersham do recover against the said Henry four pounds  
two shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court  
taxed at 12s 6d and thereof 6d.

Randall  
Seabrook  
N. 364

Rufus Southrop of New London in the State of Connecticut  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Isaac at Northampton in  
the County of Hampshire aforesaid on the twentieth Day of December last  
indured and sixty eight by his Note for value received promised the  
said Rufus to pay him the sum of four pounds three shillings & half  
penny lawful Money by the first Day of January then next with  
lawful Interest till paid. Also for that the said Isaac on the first Day  
of May last past at Northampton aforesaid was indebted to the said  
Rufus in another sum of sixteen pounds lawful Money for the redemption  
of Money of the said Rufus for his use by the aforesaid Isaac. At the  
time time had and received and being indebted the aforesaid Isaac in Consi-  
deration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day & year aforesaid at North-  
ampton aforesaid took upon himself and to the said Rufus then and there  
faithfully promised to pay him the same sum of sixteen pounds last mentioned  
and the lawful Interest thereon whenever afterwards he should be here to  
requeste. Also for that the said Isaac on the same first Day of May last  
past at Northampton aforesaid was indebted to the said Rufus in another

Southrop  
Isaac  
N. 365



Margaret Tibbels of Enfield in the County of Hartford & State  
of Connecticut widow of the said Joseph Tibbels late deceased & Jacob  
Wright of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Depts in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jacob Wright a trait  
Northampton on the ninth Day of June seventeen hundred and  
eighty one by their Attorneys for value received promised the Plaintiff  
pay her fifty Spanish milled Dollars & one third of a Dollar on  
demand with Interest till paid. & the said Jacob Wright & his other  
of them have never paid said Sum or Interest or any penny  
thereof but negatived it to the Plaintiff of the said Margaret  
Twenty pounds. — The Court is now sitting and agree to have  
this Case continued to a week or a Judge must then to be  
final. — Therefore It is ordered by the Court that the said  
Parties have Day in until the second Tuesday of November next.

Graph White a Charlestonian, in our County of Hampshire  
shire Gentl. P<sup>er</sup> in John Norton, J<sup>r</sup> & John Burdick & Standish White  
both of Salisbury in said County Deft. in a Plea of the Case for that  
the J<sup>r</sup> Norton Burdick & Standish White in Court on the  
twenty fourth Day of September seventeen hundred Eighty four value N<sup>o</sup>. 3  
of him<sup>self</sup> but then & there promised pay him sixty seven pounds  
in Billings of the late Emission of Money meaning of the late  
Emission of Money of the Common wealth of Massachusetts to  
two thousand seven hundred pounds Continental Money within  
three Months from the Date said Date. & the said  
ambassadors nor either of them tho' often requested have  
the same nor any part thereof but neglected to the Dam<sup>age</sup>  
of the said Graph ten pounds. The Parties severally appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge  
men<sup>t</sup> there to be final. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday Nov<sup>er</sup>. 1784.

Solomon Murrell of New Town in said County of Suffolk  
Husband man Plaintiff William Ferguson of Colrain in the County  
of Hampshire Husband man Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that  
The said William Murrell Colrain on the Monday Day of April last when  
hundred & eighty one by his Wife for a value received promised  
The said Solomon to pay him or order twenty Bushels of good  
Merchantable Wheat within the Month from the Date of said  
Note & yet the said William thereafter requested at the time of pay-  
ment long since past hath never paid the contents of said Note or  
with there of but neglected to the damage of the said Solomon  
ten pounds The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Bernard Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court & defend  
of appearance here Therefore it is considered as the Def<sup>t</sup> has  
the said Solomon to recover against the said William three pounds  
fourteen shillings and two pence of lawful Money & costs in  
Court taxed at £ 13-0-0 After which Judgment given for the Plaintiff

John Buschick of Shelburne in the County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Plaintiff Thomas Bill Jun<sup>r</sup> Gentleman Defendant Both of the  
County of Britain in said County of Hampshire in a Plea of the Case  
for that the said Thomas Gentleman at St. Colman on the twentieth  
Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by their Note for  
value received promised the said John to pay him or order  
fifteen pounds eight shillings hard Money meaning lawful  
Money within two weeks from the Date of said Note



The Plff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Jonathan forty three pounds ten shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 0 there of £

Said Cooley a Plantation called Stumbers in the County of Hampshire German Plff is David Barr of Ashfield in the County of Devonshire Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said David at & lately in pursuance of a note in the nineteenth Day of February last past he did promise to give for value received promised to pay four pounds sixteen shillings & four pence in full for the sum of money which he did not pay - The Plff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said David do recover against the said John four pounds sixteen shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 0 there of £

Cooley  
Jury  
N<sup>o</sup> 75

David Field of Ashfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff is Edithum a woman of Ashfield in the County of Devonshire Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Edithum at & lately on the ninth Day of May last past he did promise to give for value received promised to pay him or order three pounds thirteen shillings & four pence half pence for no law at Norway - I demand with interest for the same till paid - yet the D<sup>r</sup> Edithum do not pay - The Plff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said David do recover against the said Edithum five pounds thirteen shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 0 there of £

Jury  
N<sup>o</sup> 76

Humbly shews John Gibbs the Clerk of the Justice of the Peace for the County of Hampshire on the seventh Day of July last past is returned Judgment against James Chaland for twenty shillings Damages & four shillings & four pence of lawful Money Costs from which Judgment he appealed to this Honourable Court & recognized to prosecute such appeal but failed to do it he therefore prays Affirmation of former Judgment with additional Damages & Costs - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the said James twenty shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 0 there of £

Gibbs  
Chaland  
N<sup>o</sup> 77

Daniel James of Thistle in the County of Cheshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff is John Northfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at & lately on the sixteenth Day of September last past he did promise to give for value received promised the said Daniel James to pay him or order one pound eight shillings & four pence in full for the sum of money which he did not pay - The Plff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do recover against the said John one pound eight shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 0 there of £

James  
Northfield  
N<sup>o</sup> 78

Jan 1  
11  
Dickinson  
other state for value received promised said Daniel to pay  
in one other sum of four shillings lawful Money on demand  
with the meaning lawful Interest for the same untill - 4th  
said. Hans the often requested has never paid the Court who, rather  
said state or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Daniel in pounds. The Plt appears by Samuel Barnard  
Gentle is att and the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Daniel do recover against the said Hans  
two pounds nine shillings and seven pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 4s 6d. & there of &c.  
Given in Court 15th 1783.

Hills  
4  
Wilder  
No 379  
Ebenezer Hills of Guilford in the County of Hampshire Gent  
Plt vs Oliver Willett of Miffield in the County of Down Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the Oliver at said Guilford on the  
twenty ninth Day of December seventeen hundred and eight by his Note  
for value received promised the said Ebenezer to pay him or order  
thirty five parish miller & a half two ten pounds ten shillings lawful  
Money on demand with Interest meaning lawful Interest for  
the same untill paid - yet the said Oliver the often requested  
has never paid the Court who, rather  
said state or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Ebenezer six pounds  
The Plt appears by Samuel Barnard Gentle is att and the  
Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Ebenezer do recover against the said Oliver five pounds one shilling &  
four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
one pound sixteen shillings & two pence, and there of &c.  
Given in Court 22d 1783.

Hills  
Workmen  
No 380  
Ruben Hills of Guilford in the County of Hampshire  
Plt vs John Lockman of Colrain in said County Down Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at said Guilford on the last  
Day of June last past being justly indebted to the said Ruben in the sum  
of sixteen shillings & eleven pence lawful Money to balance Book Accounts  
according to the Account here annexed he the said John in consideration  
hereof has with these appeared in himself and to the said Ruben faithfully  
promised to pay him said sum on Demand - also for that the said  
John at said Guilford on the fifth Day of February last past by his Note  
for value received promised the said Ruben to pay him or order one  
pound fourteen shillings and one penny lawful Money on demand  
with Interest till paid - yet the said John the often requested has  
never paid either of the sums aforesaid or any part of either or the  
Interest of or said but neglects it to the Damage of the said Ruben six  
pounds. The Plt appears by Samuel Barnard Gentle is att and the  
Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Ruben  
do recover against the said John Two pounds thirteen shillings & one penny  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 4s 6d. & there of &c.  
Given in Court 22d 1783.

Arm  
Bodman  
No 381  
Elijah Smith of Dursfield in the County of Hampshire Gent  
Plt vs Joseph Bodman of Millmuborough in the County of Down Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Joseph at Dursfield on the ninth  
day of May seven then hundred & seventy six by his Note for value re-  
ceived promised the said Elijah to pay him eleven pounds lawful Money on demand  
with Interest till paid - yet the said Joseph the often requested hath never  
paid the Court who, rather  
said state or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Elijah twelve pounds. The Plt appears by Samuel Barnard  
Gentle is att and the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Elijah do recover against the said Joseph  
of lawful Money Damages and Costs of  
Court taxed at 4s 6d. & there of &c.

Daniel Jones of Hindsdale in the County of Berkshire & State of New Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>. vs. Daniel Hindsdale of Granfield in the County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>. Defendant in a Plea at the Gate for that the said Daniel at Granfield aforesaid on the first Day of June seventeen hundred eighty one by his Atty for value promised said Daniel to pay him or order thirty two pounds ten shillings and six pence in Spanish milled Silver Dollars a six Killings ~~apiece~~ and Interest for the same untill paid - yet the said Daniel tho often requested has never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Daniel forty pounds - The parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term to wit the 1<sup>st</sup> before therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill Tuesday of December next.

Jones  
vs  
Hindsdale  
1802

Moses Stone of Warrington in the County of Warrington in the Territory called Vermont vs. Joseph Bellings of Belcherstown in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea at the Gate for that the said Joseph at Belcherstown on the first Day of March last being justly indebted to the said Moses in the sum of fifty nine shillings lawful Money to balance Book account in consideration thereof the said Joseph then & there appeared and promised to the said Moses faithfully promised to pay him the same sum on demand - also for that the said Joseph at Belcherstown aforesaid on the same first Day of March in consideration that the said Moses at the special Instance and request of the said Joseph had then before that Time done & performed for the said Joseph divers work service and Journeys as named in an account & that the said Joseph promised the said Moses to pay him so much Money for the same Work service Journeys as the said Moses for the same work service Journeys should reasonably deserve to have whenever after he should be thereto requested and that the said Moses says that for the same work service and Journeys he reasonably deserved to have of the said Joseph the sum of three pounds of which the said Joseph here afterwards the same Day had Notice - also for that the said Joseph at Belcherstown aforesaid on the same first Day of March being justly indebted to the said Moses in another sum of fifty nine shillings for the like sum of Money before that Time paid laid out & expended and advanced by the said Moses for the said Joseph at his then Josephs special Instance & request in consideration thereof the said Joseph then & there understood & faithfully promised the said Moses to pay him the same sum when or after he should be thereto requested yet the said Moses tho often requested for the not paid the said sums or either of them but neglects it to the Damage of the said Moses six pounds - The parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of November next.

Stone  
vs  
Bellings  
1803

Sarah Pomeroy of Southampton in the County of Hampshire widow vs. Peter Clark of late of Southampton formerly called a man otherwise called Peter Clark of Warrington in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea at the Gate for that the said Peter at Southampton aforesaid on the thirtieth Day of January seventeen hundred and eighty two by his Atty for value received promised the said Sarah to pay her five pounds two shillings

Pomeroy  
vs  
Clark  
1804

and four pence in here at three shillings shillings per Bushel  
on demand with Interest till paid and the D. Lo is as exp that so  
much Rice at the rate of three shillings by the Bushel as would amount  
to five pounds two shillings and four pence at the time of maturity  
And Note was made that the bank power of the value of seven pounds  
and six shillings to set at P. Southampt. for that is further over  
as was always since the making of said note been ready to receive  
there of that. Since as aforesaid - but that? Since the often requested  
at the not paid the said note or the D. Note or any part thereof  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said note ten pounds  
The P. appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D. is called the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
therefore is considered by the Court that the D. do recover against  
the said Abner seven pounds two shillings & four pence of Lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 11. 0 & there of  
Upon J. P. Sep. 23. 1793

Timothy West of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
Innholder. Plaintiff Elisha Kane of Westfield in our County of Worcester  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Elisha and Westfield  
Name in the seventh Day of April last past by his Note for value  
No 385 - received promised the Timothy to pay him five Pounds ten  
shillings and two pence Lawful Money on demand with Interest  
for the same till paid - but the said Elisha the often requested  
not to never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
Timothy ten pounds - The P. appears by Samuel Tower  
gentl. his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D. is called the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the D. Timothy do recover against the S. Elisha  
Five pounds twelve shillings & two pence of Lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 11. 0

Henry Thomson of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
Gentl. Plaintiff Jason Wright of Westons in our County of Worcester  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jason and Palmer  
Thompson on the seventh Day of March Current by his Note for value received  
No 386 - promised D. Henry to pay him or order thirty six pounds Lawful  
Money on demand with Interest for the same till paid - but the S.  
Jason the often requested not to never paid the same or any part  
thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the D. Henry forty pounds -  
The P. appears three times called to come into Court is non suit &  
the D. is now by Daughth Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & prays  
his reasonable Costs may be allowed him - Thereupon it is considered  
that the D. Jason do recover against the S. Henry his reasonable Costs  
for his defending the suit of the S. Henry taxed at £1. 11. 0  
and therefore &c - Upon J. P. Sep. 27. 1793

Abel Chapin Most humbly shews that his Estate was  
complaint attached and himself summoned to answer to a writ in the  
County of Hampshire in a Plea of the Case, which action the said Aba  
Tyler hath failed to prosecute - The D. Abel therefore by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>  
No 387 - prays that his reasonable Costs may be allowed him - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the D. Abel do recover against the S. Aba his  
Costs for defending the suit of the S. Aba taxed at £1. 11. 0 & there of &c

Simon Smith of Guilford in the County of Cumberland  
and State of New York Plaintiff Daniel Wells of Granfield in  
the County of Hampshire Gentl. Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that whereas the said Simon complains that whereas here before to wit  
No 388 - on the thirtieth Day of July seventeen hundred and eighty one one  
owen Shephard Agent of all the good Chattels Right and Credit which

belonged to ~~the~~ David Caldwell at that time when ~~that~~ said David left this State, joined himself to the Enemies of the United States, and sent one writ of Attachment in due form of Law from the Clerk's Office of our Court of Common Pleas for the same County by which writ it was commanded to the Sheriff of our County of Hampshire his under Sheriff or Deputy and to the constables of the Town of Greenfield to attach the Goods or Estate of the said Simon to the value of Ten pounds & for want thereof to take the Body of the said Simon and him safely to keep so that he might be had before our Justices of our Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Springfield within for our County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of August then next to answer unto said Levi in his Capacity aforesaid in a Plea of the Case wherein the said Levi declared that the said Simon at Greenfield aforesaid on the ninth Day of August seventeen hundred & seventy four in consideration that the said David had before that Time done and performed for the said Simon at his the said Simon's special Instance and Request diverse Works Labour & Service in the Business of a Physician and had also at the like Instance and Request of the said Simon there before that Time sold & delivered to the said Simon diverse Goods Wares ~~Medicaments~~ Medicaments, Medicinal Potions the said Simon then & there assumed on himself & to the said David promised that he the said Simon so much Money as the said David for the Works Labour & Service and the Goods Wares Medicaments & Medicinal Potions before mentioned & reasonably deserved to have would pay & content and that for the same Work Labor Service Goods Wares Medicaments and Medicinal Potions he reasonably deserved to have of the said Simon three pounds one Shilling & two pence lawful Money whereof the said Simon had due Note. And that the said Simon the often requested had never paid the same to the Damage of the said Levi as he said & declared in the same Writ the Sum of nine Pounds. And to have there to wit at the same Court the said Writ together with his Doings therein, which Writ in due form of Law to be Executed was afterwards to wit on the tenth Day of August in the same Year delivered and committed to the said Daniel Wells then a Constable of the said Town of Greenfield having been duly elected qualified and sworn to that Office within the Month of March then next preceeding for one whole Year next following the time of Election being lawfully authorized & empowered to execute & return the same Writ and the Daniel then & there to wit on the same tenth Day of the same August received the same Writ <sup>together</sup> with a Summons in due form of Law accompanying the same & then & there undertook to serve execute & return the same accordingly. But the said Daniel having never executed nor obeyed the Precept of the same Writ, but wickedly & maliciously intending and contriving the said Simon to injure and abuse and to procure an unjust & erroneous Judgement to be rendered against him by Default in the Action aforesaid, did falsely & fraudulently and in direct violation of his Oath of Office, make & certify his Return of the same Writ indorsed on the same in usual Form and signed & attested by the said Daniel as Constable of the said Town of Greenfield, into our said Court at the Return Day of the same Writ, wherein the said Daniel did certify to our said Court that on the fourth & David aforesaid seven hundred & eighty one he had by virtue of the same Writ attached one load of Hay the imputed Property meaning the reputed Property of the within named Simon Smith and at the same Time had left him a Summons at his last and usual Place of abode as the Law direct. whereas the said Simon in fact says that the said

Smith  
Wells

Daniel never attacked and Estate or reputed Estate on Property  
of said Daniel Simon, nor any of any Summons at his last or  
usual place of abode nor ever give him any Summons nor any  
actual notice. And in a further Action, by means whereof, by  
reason of the said Daniel's false & deceitful Return aforesaid it  
was so pretended in our Court that the said Daniel by the Judgement  
of our Court recovered and entered up by default in the same  
Action recovered against him the said Simon three pounds  
one shilling and two pence lawful Money Damages and two  
pounds thirteen shillings and nine pence like Money for Costs of  
Suit & which by the Record & process thereof within our Court  
remaining is manifest & appears and after said is to wit on the  
fourteenth Day of November. went in and entered and eight one  
hundred and one writ of Execution on the same Judgement  
and committed the same to one Ichabod Warner a Deputy Sheriff,  
who accordingly executed and levied the whole Contents thereof, of  
the Goods & Chattels of the said Simon & returned the same wholly  
satisfied as also by the Record thereof within our Court remaining  
appears, that the said Simon in fact says that the aforesaid  
Suit of the said Daniel Shephard was wholly & roundly without  
cause; that he never provided the said Daniel Caldwell in manner  
and form as was alleged in the writ, and that the said Judgement  
rendered by means of the said false & deceitful Return aforesaid was  
wholly erroneous and unjust and that by reason of the Premises  
he has not only lost the whole sum for which said Judgement was  
rendered and Costs of suing out & paying the said Execution, but also  
has been put to other great Costs & Expenses, has had his Goods  
sold at an under value to satisfy the same Execution, and one pair of  
Crown taken away for the same purpose whereby he has been de-  
prived of their use & labor, and has been unable to pursue his  
ordinary and lawful Business, all which is to the Damage of  
Simon fifty pounds. The Parties severally appearing it is  
considered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next  
Term & that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
or the coming next.

McMillan  
Goodale  
No. 1

Jacob M. Daniel Gorman, Ebenezer Bathwood & John Morris back  
McMillan & John Morris are jointly Sheriff for the County of Hampshire & Samuel Bathwood  
Gorman all of Amherst in the County aforesaid Plffs vs John Goodale  
of Hatfield in said County Gorman Dist. in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said John a Defendant on the eighteenth Day of December seventeen  
hundred eighty one to his Note for value received promised the  
Plffs to pay them or order seventeen hundred & one third of an hundred  
weight of good wheat flour worth thirty shillings by the hundred  
within five weeks from the Date of the same Note and to deliver the  
same at Amherst aforesaid with lawful Interest from & after the  
said time of payment till paid; and the Plffs were there always  
ready to receive the same, but John the other requested hath never  
performed his promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said  
Daniel, Ebenezer, Morris & Samuel eighteen pounds. The Plffs appear  
by him on Strong Ex. this 11th & the Dist. the three times called to come  
into Court made default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Plffs do recover against the said  
John fifteen pounds fifteen shillings & eight pence of lawful Money  
& amount to Costs of Court taxed at £10 10s and thereof  
upon j. Sept. 10. 1784

88

Follows Billings of Conway in the County of Hampshire Gentle  
Plf is Jonathan Russell of Ashfield in the County of Glamorgan Esqr  
a Plea of the Case for the D. Don. at Conway aforesaid on the  
twelfth Day of December seven hundred & six to wit the said  
Billings Don. by his Note for value received promised the said Russell  
to pay him or order two pounds thirteen Shillings & four pence  
lawful Money on Demand Interest till paid & also for that the  
said Jonathan at Conway aforesaid on the sixth Day of May  
seven hundred & eighty one was indebted to the said Russell  
in the sum of six pounds eleven Shillings & three pence lawful  
Money to balance Book accounts agreeable to the Accounts annexed  
and there, there in consideration thereof the said Jonathan pro-  
mised to pay him the same sum when he should be  
there requested & yet the said Jonathan the often requested  
has not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
Billings Thirteen pounds The Plf appears by William  
Billings Esqr his Att. & the Def. the three times called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Russell do recover  
against the said Don. eleven pounds seven Shillings and seven pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 9. 1.  
and thereof Exon. 10. Sep. 10. 1783

Abel Bacon of Ashfield in the County of Hampshire  
shire Gentleman Plf is John Briggs of the same Ashfield Geo-  
man Def. in a Plea of the Case for that the said John's said  
Ashfield on the thirtieth Day of March seven hundred &  
twenty eight by his Note for value received promised the  
Abel to pay him one Ton and an half of Hay four Bushels of  
Wheat & four Bushels & two thirds of a Bushel of Rye by the  
fifteenth meaning the fifteenth Day of August then next  
which Hay Wheat & Rye the said Abel avers as well as  
the sum of three pounds seven Shillings & eight pence lawful  
Money & yet the said John the often requested has never paid  
the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Abel six pounds The Plf appears by  
William Billings Esqr his Att. & the Def. the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Abel  
do recover against the said John five Pounds eight Shillings  
and eleven pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs  
of Court taxed at £. 10. 7. & thereof Exon. 10. Sep. 10. 1783

Follows Billings of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Gentle Plf is John Briggs of Ashfield in the County of Glamorgan Esqr  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said John at Ashfield aforesaid on  
the seventh Day of June seven hundred & eighty eight by his  
Note for value received promised the said Billings to pay him the sum  
of two pounds seven Shillings & four pence meaning lawful Money  
on or before the first Day of July then next and whereas the said  
John afterwards to wit on the first Day of July last aforesaid at  
Ashfield was indebted to the said Billings in other two pounds seven  
Shillings & four pence lawful Money so much Money before  
that Time had & received by him the said John to the use of  
the said Billings and being so indebted he the said John in

Billings  
or  
No 391  
40  
Consideration thereof then & there undertooke to the P. Follows  
faithfully promised to pay the same when he should be thereto  
requested & yet the said John the often requested has not paid P.  
sum to the P. but neglects it to the Damage of the said P. Follows  
seven pounds. The P. appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the P. Follows do recover against the said John nine  
pounds nine shillings & four pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s. 10d. & there of &c.  
Quoniam J. Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Hayden  
or  
Mayhew  
No 393  
Moses Hayden of Conway in the County of Hamp-  
shire Physician P. vs Wadsworth Mayhew of S. Conway a woman  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Wadsworth & said  
Conway on the fourteenth Day of June next hundred &  
eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said  
Moses to pay him or order twenty nine pence seven shillings  
three pence in Silver Money or the value thereof in  
paper Money on Demand with Interest till paid. Yet the  
said Wadsworth the often requested has not paid P. nor does  
neglect it to the Damage of the said Moses thirty five  
penneth. The P. appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Moses do recover against the said  
Wadsworth thirty three pounds & fifteen shillings of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s. 10d.  
After all which the Def<sup>t</sup> by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> comes into  
Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hamp-  
shire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he recognises  
with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said appeal  
with Effect as by P. Recognizance on File doth appear.

Billings Esq<sup>r</sup>  
or  
Rapel  
No 394  
Aaron Billings a woman & William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup>  
both of Conway in our County of Hampshire Joint Partners in  
Trade P. vs Jonathan Rapel of Deerfield in said County  
a woman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that P. Jonathan Rapel Conway  
on the tenth Day of May seven hundred & eighty three was  
indebted to the said Aaron & William in the sum of six pounds one  
shilling and eight pence lawful Money to balance Book Account  
agreeable to the Account here annexed and that & there in Consider-  
ation thereof the P. promised P. Aaron & William to pay them  
the same sum when he should be requested. And also for that  
the said Jonathan at Conway aforesaid on the Day and Year aforesaid  
was indebted to the said Aaron & William in other six pence one shilling  
and eight pence for so much Money by P. Jonathan to the use of the P. Rapel &  
William before that time received & the said Jonathan in Consider-  
ation thereof then & there promised the said Aaron and William to pay  
them the same sum when he should be requested. Yet the said Jonathan  
the often requested has not performed his promise but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Aaron & William seven pounds.  
The P. appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> their att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup>  
the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Aaron and William  
do recover against the said Rapel six pounds one shilling & eight  
pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at one  
pound eight shillings and eleven pence & there of &c.  
Quoniam J. Sep<sup>r</sup> 10. 1783.

Worthington

Meyinger

N<sup>o</sup> 395

Stephen Worthington of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman Plff vs Ichiel Meyinger of Southwick in said County yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Ichiel at a place called Springfield in said County on the twentieth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received by the said Ichiel & one Samuel Francis of Southwick aforesaid yeoman which said Samuel Francis was then living but has since that time deceased and the said Ichiel hath survived him promised the said Stephen Worthington to pay him twenty three Bushels of Rye by the first Day of November then next, which time is past to be delivered at the House of Oliver Ingersoll in Westfield; and the Plff says, the same Rye at said Oliver Ingersoll's on said first Day of November was well worth four shillings by the Bushels and he further says that he has always been ready at said Ingersoll's House to receive the same Rye according to the Tenor of said Note. — Yet said Samuel Francis & Ichiel nor either of them have ever paid the Contents of said Note or any part thereof tho often requested in the Life-time of the said Samuel neither hath the said Ichiel ever paid the same or any part thereof since the Death of the said Samuel tho often requested but neglects it to the Damage of the said Stephen five Pounds. — The Plff appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Stephen do recover against the said Ichiel four pounds eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1 10s 6d — & thereof &c. Given J<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 7. 1783

John Cloth yeoman & John Caldwell yeoman both of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Administrators on the Estate of Samuel Cloth late of Hartford deceased in said Capacity Plffs vs Jeremiah Cady of a place called Amelot Equivalents in the County of Berkshire gent. Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Jeremiah at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the twenty ninth Day January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the Plffs to pay them eighteen pounds two shillings & six pence lawful Silver Money on Demand with lawful Interest till paid. — Yet the said Jeremiah tho often requested hath never paid said Sum or Interest or any penny thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said Cloth & Caldwell twenty pounds. — The Plffs appear by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Plffs do recover against the said Jeremiah nineteen pounds six shillings and six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1 10s 6d and thereof &c. Given J<sup>d</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 9. 1783

John Cloth yeoman and John Caldwell yeoman both of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Administrators on the Estate of Samuel Cloth late of said Hartford deceased in said Capacity Plffs vs Elias Dickinson late of Conway in the County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Elias at a place called Hartford in Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the sixteenth Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty four by his Note for value received the said Samuel then living to pay him or order eighteen Pounds with interest &c. — And lawful Money three Months after the Date of said Note with Six pence after the time of Payment till paid. — Yet said Elias tho often requested never paid.



Hampshire At the Court of Common Pleas held  
at Springfield in and for the County  
of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of  
November being the 11<sup>th</sup> day of said  
Month and from Day to Day to the 18<sup>th</sup>  
day of the same month. In Doon 1783

90

Justices of the said Court  
present

Timothy Danielson Esq<sup>r</sup>

Cleaver Porter Esq<sup>r</sup>

John Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>

Samuel Mather Esq<sup>r</sup>

Jury of Trials

Dan<sup>l</sup> Lamb Jurm<sup>n</sup> Spr

Lemuel Stebbins

William Colton

Itamer Strong excusd N<sup>o</sup>

Joseph Smith E<sup>c</sup> ... H<sup>ad</sup>

Sol<sup>o</sup> Cummings about Sal

Israel Parsons excusd<sup>o</sup> ... S<sup>am</sup>

Edward Wright Jurm<sup>n</sup> ... Ch<sup>ar</sup>

Phineas Leonard excusd<sup>o</sup> W<sup>h</sup> Spr

Jacob Baldwin ... Fran

Titus Doolittle ... W<sup>est</sup>

Abner Mighills ... R<sup>ob</sup>

David Nash ... S<sup>it</sup> H<sup>ad</sup>

De Tal<sup>l</sup> Cir<sup>i</sup> in Case Baggs vinton ~ C<sup>l</sup> S<sup>r</sup> Gray C<sup>l</sup> Noadiah Leonard &  
John Burb were on & Jury

Williams & Williams ~ ~ Same

Arthur v Gibbs { Sam<sup>l</sup> Dickinson Noadiah  
Leonard & Seth Stor Coburn

Whitney & Deekham. The three first & the eighth Juries  
equable to the above List were  
on only, and Daniel Morgan  
Andrew Colton Ben<sup>g</sup> Leonard  
Seth Stor Coburn Jur<sup>o</sup> Bliss  
Israel Chapin, Joseph Fore  
& Noadiah Leonard de Tal<sup>l</sup> were  
on

Wood v Hunter The four standing Juries last  
above named were on only &  
Joseph Fore Jur<sup>o</sup> Bliss And<sup>o</sup>  
Colton Daniel Morgan Sonah  
Harrison Sabes Shellogg Sol  
Marble & Seth Colton de  
Tal<sup>l</sup> were on

*Appl. Case for Court*  
Thomas Doolittle. Samuel Thomas of Wiltfield in the County of Hampshire groom  
M<sup>rs</sup> John Doolittle the same Wiltfield groom D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as  
is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
the Case continued to the next under the former Rule. And it is  
by the Court considered that said Parties have further Day untill  
the second Tuesday of February next.

Abel Whitney of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> M<sup>rs</sup> is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in our County of Worcester  
William Barron of Pittsfield in the County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in  
a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The M<sup>rs</sup> appears by John Phelps  
gent<sup>l</sup> his M<sup>rs</sup> and the D<sup>ft</sup> has three times called to come into  
Court make default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said M<sup>rs</sup> do never against the said Barron  
two hundred & fifty nine pounds ten shillings and ten pence of lawful  
Money & damages & costs taxed at £2.10.0. and therefore  
Exon. p<sup>o</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> 7. 1783.

Paul Whitney of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire gent<sup>l</sup>  
M<sup>rs</sup> is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in our County of Worcester  
Barron of Pittsfield in the County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in a  
Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The M<sup>rs</sup> appears by John Phelps  
gent<sup>l</sup> his M<sup>rs</sup> and the D<sup>ft</sup> has three times called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said M<sup>rs</sup> do never against the said Barron  
and William two hundred & fifty five pounds three shillings &  
six pence of lawful Money & damages & costs taxed at  
£2.15.0. and therefore  
Exon. p<sup>o</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> 7. 1783.

John Begelow of Southwick in the County of Hamp  
shire groom M<sup>rs</sup> is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in our County of Worcester  
Barron of Pittsfield in the County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in a  
Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
the Case continued to the next Term under the former Rule. Thereupon it is con  
sidered by the Court that said Parties have further Day untill the  
second Tuesday of February next.

John Worthington of Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> M<sup>rs</sup> is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in our County of Worcester  
Barron of Pittsfield in the County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in a  
Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties  
severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties  
have further Day here untill the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>r</sup> next.

Robert Smith of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> M<sup>rs</sup> is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in our County of Worcester  
Barron of Pittsfield in the County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in a  
Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties  
severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties  
have further Day here untill the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>r</sup> next.

Robert Smith of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> M<sup>rs</sup> is Aaron Whitney of Northfield in our County of Worcester  
Barron of Pittsfield in the County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in a  
Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties  
severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties  
have further Day here untill the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>r</sup> next.

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> and Gerrard Apthorp of Boston in the County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administrators on the Estate of Charles W. Apthorp Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Boston aforesaid deceased. Vs John Gibbs of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Plff appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> and the said John comes & says that the action aforesaid, ought to be abated & be dismissed, because he says the said Charles Ward Apthorp, at the time of the purchase of the Original writ was, ever since hath been & still is an Alien & that the said Charles Ward Apthorp at the said time of the purchase of the said writ had not nor hath he at any time since had any civil or political relation to this or either of the united States, & therefore the said John prayeth Judgement & that the action aforesaid may be dismissed & and it is counted that the Deft have leave to amend the aforesaid Plea and to prefer the same amended on the Trial of the appeal and to take the same Advantages thereof as if the same had been considered by this Court & and the said John Gibbs by Theodore Sedgwick Esq<sup>r</sup> John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> reserving his said Plea in abatement comes & defends the same & Injurges when he and says he is not guilty in manner & form as the Plff in his Declaration against him hath alleged, & thereupon puts himself on the Country & and the said demandants likewise. Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to the Form & Effect of the Statutes in such Case made, Provided at this time returned & impaneled being likewise demanded come here who to say the Truth concerning the Premises being duly sworn declare upon their Oath by Daniel Lamb their Foreman that they find the Deft guilty in manner & form as is declared by the Plff. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Charles & Gerrard do recover against the said John Gibbs six shillings & p<sup>er</sup> centage of the Premises described in the writ and Costs of Court taxed at £ 4. 10. 6. After all which the said John by Theodore Sedgwick and John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> come into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File does appear.

Benjamin Dyke of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plff vs Ebenezer Jones of the same Northfield Gentleman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Plff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft tho' thrice called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Benjamin do recover against the said Ebenezer Twenty two pounds & five shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 4. 10. 6. & thereof &c Upon ift Nov<sup>r</sup> 21. 1787.

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & Gerrard Apthorp of Boston in the County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administrators on the Estate of Charles Apthorp Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in said Capacity. Vs Paul Natham of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Gentleman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & Gerrard Apthorp of Boston in the County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administrators on the Estate of Charles Apthorp Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in said Capacity. Vs Robert Blain of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the Parties have further delay here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Titel  
Hepkenson

Benjamin Titel of Ash Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Laborer Plaintiff Jonathan Titel son of Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea & as is of record heretofore The Parties severally appear  
and agree to refer this Cause all Demands to the Award of William  
Norton Esq. Notis Church & Luke Bliff who are to hear said Parties their  
Proofs &c and the award of them or any Two of them is to be final to be  
returned into this Court Judgement to be made up & Execution to issue  
accordingly Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have  
further Day here until the second Tuesday of February next

Graves  
Pompey

Moses Graves of Wilsbraham in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff Gato Pompey of Monson in the County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea & as is of record heretofore The Parties severally appear & the  
References in this Case come & bring into Court their Award as follows viz.  
We the Subscribers being appointed Referees in the Case depending  
between Moses Graves Plaintiff and Gato Pompey Defendant to determine all Demands  
between them having fully heard the Parties & duly considered all  
the Matters submitted to us do award & determine therefore that the said  
Gato pay unto the said Moses ninety two Pounds three Shillings & one  
Penny lawful Money Damages in full satisfaction of all Demands  
saving however & excepting an certain Bond given by said Moses on  
Rason Graves Son of the said Moses to the said Gato to indemnify him from  
a certain Mortgage upon the Farm held by said Moses to said Gato  
not designed the said Parties to be included in said submission & the said Gato  
is to have the full Benefit of the Judgement recovered by the said Gato against  
one Amos Deane at May Term 1782 and also of a certain Note given  
by said Deane to said Gato for thirty five Hundred Whiteh of Iron  
dated April 8. 1780 notwithstanding any assignment of them to  
said Moses which sum of £92.3.1. we award that the said Moses  
do have and recover of the said Gato together with Costs of Suit and  
the Costs of this Reference being two pounds ten shillings which is  
humbly submitted by Moses Church & William Synchew  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Moses do recover  
against the said Gato ninety two pounds three Shillings & one  
Penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court & Reference  
taxed at £3.10.6. and thereof Exon Go. Nov. 27. 1783

Hughes  
Shaw

Timothy Migills of Hanover in the State of New Hampshire  
Plaintiff David Shaw of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea & as is of record heretofore The Plaintiff  
being three times called to come into Court in Answer to the Defendants  
defaulted and the Action dismissed

Apthorp  
Beard

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State of  
New York Esq. and Grierel Apthorp of Boston in our County of  
Suffolk Gent. Executors on the Estate of Charles Apthorp  
late of Boston Esq. deceased in said Capacity Plaintiff in a Plea  
of Blanford in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea  
as is of record heretofore The Parties severally appear & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
of February next

Apthorp  
Moses

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State  
of New York Esq. & Grierel Apthorp of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
Gent. Executors on the Estate of Charles Apthorp late of  
Boston Esq. deceased in said Capacity Plaintiff in a Plea  
of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea  
as is of record heretofore The Parties severally appear & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the  
second Tuesday of February next

Apthorp  
Beard

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County & State  
of New York Esq. & Grierel Apthorp of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
Gent. Executors on the Estate of Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq.  
deceased in said Capacity Plaintiff in a Plea of Blanford in the County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea as is of record heretofore The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
of February next

The Inhabitants of the Town of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Plffs & Samuel Fowler of Westfield Gent. Deft via a Plea & as is of Record  
heretofore - The Plff being there called to come into Court is non-suit & the  
Deft default & the Action dismissed -

Inhabitants  
Westfield  
Fowler.

Robert Hamilton of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Gent. Plff & The Inhabitants of the same Town of Conway Deft.  
via a Plea as is of Record heretofore - The Parties severally appear,  
agree to have this case continued to the Term under the former Rule  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day  
until the second Tuesday of February next.

Hamilton  
Inhabitants  
Conway.

Noah Phelps of Simsbury in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Husbandman Plff & Jonathan Williston  
of Granville in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft via  
a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Parties severally appear in  
Court. Nathan Esq. & others Referees in this case come & bring into  
Court their Award as follows viz. In pursuance of the within Rule we  
the Subscribers have attended our Business & having duly notified the  
Parties, who attended before us on the seventh Day of November of 1832  
their allegations & proofs, we having read & considered the same do judge  
and Award for the Plff the sum of fifty six Pounds & four shillings  
Damages & Costs of Arbitration being three Pounds seven shillings and  
two and Costs of Court to be taxed by the Court all which is submitted  
by Samuel Nathan Esq. & Noah Phelps Referees - Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Noah do recover against the  
said Jonathan fifty six pounds & four shillings of lawful Money Damages  
& Costs of Court & Difference taxed at £8. 10. 4 - & there of &c.

Phelps  
Williston

Exon Du 21. 11. 33

Volentine Bowen of Adams in our County of Berkshire Gent.  
Plff & David Mitchell of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire Gent.  
Deft via a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Plff appears by John  
Chandler & William Gent. his Attys & the Deft being there called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Volentine do recover against the said David  
eight pounds eight shillings and eleven pence of lawful Money Damages  
& Costs of Court taxed at £8. 2. 0 & there of &c. Exon Du 20. 11. 33

Bowen  
Mitchell

Edmond Hubbard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Gent.  
Plff & Noah Smith of S. Hadley Yeoman Deft via a Plea as is of Record  
heretofore - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this case  
continued under the former Rule - Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of  
February next

Hubbard  
Smith

Daniel Marsh of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State  
of Connecticut Gent. Plff & Joel Strong of Granville in our County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Deft via a Plea & as is of Record heretofore -  
The Plff appears by Theodore Dwight Esq. his Att. and the Deft by Caleb  
Strong Esq. his Att. comes & repents the Force & Injury done & renounces  
to himself the liberty of pleading anew on the Trial of the Appeal case he  
not guilty in manner & form as the Plff in his Declaration against him hath  
alleged & there by puts himself in the Country - and the said Daniel consents  
to the nomination aforesaid viz. that the Plea aforesaid & the matter therein  
contained are an insufficient answer to his Declaration & that he is not bound  
nor obliged to make answer thereto & that he is ready to verify the same  
in proper Judgment & that his Damages & Costs may be adjudged to  
him - And the said Joel says his Plea aforesaid is sufficient -  
Thereupon all being singular the Promises being seen & by the Court here fully  
understood for that it appears to said Court that the Plea aforesaid of the said  
Joel by him whole pleaded & the Matter therein contained are insufficient  
answer to the Declaration aforesaid of the said Daniel ought not to preclude  
the said Daniel from having & maintaining his Action aforesaid &  
because the said Joel hath not in any Manner demurred & said that Daniel

Marsh  
Strong

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do recover against said forty eight pounds eight shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 14. 0. Whereupon the said Debt by Galib Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with sureties as the Law doeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Arson Witness at Northampton in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Pl<sup>t</sup> Robert Richman of Ashham in our County of Lincoln Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Esq<sup>r</sup> Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with sureties as the Law doeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Arson Witness at Northampton in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Pl<sup>t</sup> Robert Richman of Ashham in our County of Lincoln Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Esq<sup>r</sup> Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with sureties as the Law doeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Arson Witness at Northampton in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Pl<sup>t</sup> Robert Richman of Ashham in our County of Lincoln Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Esq<sup>r</sup> Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with sureties as the Law doeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Arson Witness at Northampton in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Pl<sup>t</sup> Robert Richman of Ashham in our County of Lincoln Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Esq<sup>r</sup> Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with sureties as the Law doeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Arson Witness at Northampton in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Pl<sup>t</sup> Robert Richman of Ashham in our County of Lincoln Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>t</sup> appears by Esq<sup>r</sup> Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with sureties as the Law doeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Jonathan Nye of New Brantley in our County of Hampshire Gentleman  
My John Sprague of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court it doth appear that the Defendant & the Action dismissed

Nye  
Sprague

Isaac Wood of Lincolnton in our County of Berkshire Gentleman  
My John Sprague of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Isaac do recover  
against the said John Sprague thirty five pounds eight shillings  
and seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £3.2.2 & thereof &c  
Quoniam Nov. 20. 1703

Wood  
Sprague

David Lindsey of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Gentleman  
My John Sprague of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said David do recover against the said John  
Twenty pounds seven shillings & eighth pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.14.6 & thereof &c  
Quoniam Nov. 20. 1703

Lindsey  
Sprague

Isaac Wood of Lincolnton in the County of Berkshire Gentleman  
My John Sprague of Chesterfield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Isaac do recover against the  
Richard Sixty seven Pounds eight shillings of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £3.6.10 & thereof &c  
Quoniam Nov. 20. 1703

Wood  
Sprague

Eliza Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire  
My John Sprague of Chesterfield in our County of Berkshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Eliza do recover against the said  
Jeremiah thirteen pounds sixteen shillings & two pence two farthings of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.12.0 & thereof &c  
Quoniam Nov. 20. 1703

Adams  
Sprague

Eliza Allen of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
My John Sprague of Chesterfield in our County of Berkshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Eliza do  
recover against the said Matthew three pounds nine shillings & six  
pence & Costs of Court taxed at £1.10.6 & thereof &c  
Quoniam Nov. 20. 1703

Allen  
Sprague

William Parks of Blanford in the County of Hampshire  
Administrator of all singular the Rights & Credits of Eliza Parks Esq.  
late of Wiltshire in said County deceased in said Capacity My John  
Sprague of Chesterfield in our County of Berkshire Gentleman  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The Nye being three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Eliza do  
recover against the said Matthew three pounds nine shillings & six  
pence & Costs of Court taxed at £1.10.6 & thereof &c  
Quoniam Nov. 20. 1703

Parks Esq.  
Sprague

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> And says that the Declaration aforesaid & the Matters therein  
 contained are not sufficient in Law for the said Washam in  
 his said Capacity to maintain his action aforesaid against the said  
 Erastus and, to which said Declaration of the said Washam hath no  
 need to answer, nor is by the Law of this Land obliged any  
 way to answer; And this he is ready to verify. And he is for want  
 of a sufficient Declaration of the said Washam in his said Capacity &  
 this behalf, the same Erastus prays Judgement & that the said Washam  
 may be precluded from his action aforesaid against him the  
 said Erastus had &c. And for cause of Temerity in Law the  
 same Erastus saith according to Law in this behalf, doth set down  
 & to the Court here express the Causes following to wit. That  
 the said Washam in his said Capacity, hath not declared himself  
 to be administrator of all & singular the Goods & Chattels of Eliza-  
 Parks Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Westfield aforesaid deceased secondly. That  
 the said Washam in the Declaration aforesaid against the same  
 Erastus hath not set down & named any Year of our Lord  
 Christ, when the Promise & Note upon which the Declaration  
 aforesaid is drawn, was signed & delivered as the Promise of  
 the said Erastus. Thirdly. That the aforesaid the said Washam  
 in his said Declaration hath not which term altho the said Eliza-  
 in his Life time & said Washam since the decease of the said Eliza-  
 have often been hereto requested, yet the said Erastus hath  
 wholly neglected & refused to pay & perform his promise -  
 it is refused, uncertain, & generally notifiable. And the  
 whole Declaration aforesaid of the said Washam want form  
 is irregular, contradictory, unprudent & no issue can  
 be taken thereon. Thereupon all & singular the Premises  
 being seen & by the Court here now fully understood for that  
 it appears to said Court, that the Declaration aforesaid & the Matters therein  
 contained are not sufficient in Law for the said Washam in his  
 Capacity to maintain his action aforesaid against the said Erastus -  
 where as it is ordered by the Court that the said Erastus do recover  
 against the said Washam his Costs for depending & taxed at two  
 pence & eight times the sum aforesaid & there &c.

Bradford Paddock of Ware in the County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman My is. Glendon Patterson of Winton in our County  
 of Worcester Yeoman Dy in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore  
 The My being three times called to come into Court is non suit  
 the Dy defaulted & the action dismissed  
 Justin Gaby of a that in our County of Worcester Husband-  
 man My is. Joseph M. Clintock of Ware in our County of  
 Hampshire Gentle Dy in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore  
 The My being now three times called to come into Court is  
 non suit the Dy defaulted & the action dismissed  
 Thomas Campbell of Southwick in our County  
 of Hampshire Yeoman My is Isaac Sherman of Marlborough  
 in the County of Middlesex Baker Dy in a Plea & as is of  
 Record heretofore. The My appears by Samuel Fowler  
 Justice his Att & the Dy the third time is called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the said Thomas do recover against  
 the said Isaac seven Pounds nineteen Shillings & six pence of  
 lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2s 7d  
 and therefore  
 Given in Court Nov<sup>r</sup> 24 1793

Samuel Patterson of Marna Cotton-Puinct in Alford County & State of New York Complain Pley is Samuel Blain of Rutland in our County of Worcester Complain Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Pley appears by Samuel Fowler Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Patterson do recover against the said Blain one hundred & seven pounds thirteen Shillings eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.2.2. Thereupon the S<sup>r</sup> Blain by Dwigth Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises with Sureties at the Law directed for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup> Recognizance on File here appears.

94  
Patterson  
is  
Blain

Stephen Noble of Wotton in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Pley is James Neoh of Great Barrington in our County of Berkshire Complain Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Pley appears by Samuel Fowler Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Stephen do recover against the said James thirty nine pounds ten Shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.7.4. and therefore Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 13. 1783.

Noble  
is  
Neoh

Prayer Moore of in the County of Pley is John Campbell of in the County of Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Moore  
is  
Campbell

Homer Fowler of in the County of Pley is Frank Negro of in the County of Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Fowler  
is  
Frank's etc.

William Smith & Charles Middleton both of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Merchants Traders in Company Pley is Robert Granger Jun<sup>r</sup> of Suffield in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Trader Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Pley appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Pley do recover against the said Deft forty four pounds nine Shillings & one Penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.9.2. Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 3. 1783.

Smith & Middleton  
is  
Granger

Andrew Cotton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gardener Pley is Samuel Day of Adams in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Pley appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Andrew do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel seven ten pounds fifteen Shillings and nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £11.15.6. & therefore Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 3. 1783.

Cotton  
is  
Day

James  
Moffet  
Solomon James of Himplington in our County of Worcester  
Gleazier My is Joseph Moffet of Brimsfield in our County of  
Hampshire Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Moses Blipp Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>ly</sup> do recover  
against the Deft Joseph Twenty pounds fourteen shillings  
and six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £3. 9. 0 After all which the said Joseph by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court  
to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in  
for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next and  
he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his  
D<sup>r</sup> Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File does appear

James  
Glaphal.  
James Simm of Stephenston in the County of Albany  
and State of New York Yeoman My is Ezra Glaph Yeoman & Noak  
Phelps Yeoman both of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Defts  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Moses  
Blipp Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defts the three times called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against the Defts one hundred &  
fifty five pounds seven shillings & three pence of lawful Money Dama  
ges & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 10. 2 When upon this Ezra & Noak of  
John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement  
of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northamp  
ton in and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of  
February next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for his  
prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on  
File does appear

Saul  
Pippen  
Constant Southworth Saul living at at Place  
called Stumper seven in the County of Hampshire Yeoman My is  
Isaac Pippen of Ware in our County aforesaid Yeoman Deft  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The P<sup>ly</sup> appears  
by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against the  
Deft eight pounds eleven shillings & nine pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 13. 8 & thereof  
Upon J<sup>r</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 21. 1703

Bond  
Hubbard  
John Bond of Canway in our County of Hampshire Yeoman  
My is Ruben Hubbard Yeoman <sup>Bingham & well Yeoman</sup> both of Worcester in our County  
of Worcester Defts in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The P<sup>ly</sup>  
appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defts the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against  
the Defts fifty six pounds sixteen shillings & two pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 17. 0 & thereof  
Upon J<sup>r</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 21. 1703

Bond  
Bordwell  
Isaiah Bond of Canway in our County of Hampshire  
Yeoman My is Peter Bordwell of Williamsburgh in our County  
Deft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The P<sup>ly</sup> appears  
by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Isaiah do  
recover against the said Peter seven pounds one shilling & two  
pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at two  
Pounds six shillings and two pence and thereof  
Upon J<sup>r</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 21. 1703

David McClure of Stafford in the County of Stafford & State of  
Connecticut Gentleman Plaintiff is Joseph Bullen of Charlton in our County  
Worcester Gentleman & Diminutus Davison of South Brimfield in our  
County of Hampshire Gentleman Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record here  
before The Plaintiff being three times publicly called to come into  
Court is ~~Dismissed~~ & the Defendant defaulted & the action dismissed

95

McClure

Bullen &c

Ephraim Bond of Brimfield in our County of Hamp  
shire Gentleman Plaintiff is The Inhabitants of South Brimfield in  
County Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore The Plaintiff  
hears by Abner Morgan Esq. his Att. & the Defendant the three times  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover  
against the Defendant thirty six Pounds & ten shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.0.1 Wherefore the  
Defendant by Dwight Foster Esq. his Att. come into Court and  
appeal from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & they recognize  
with Sureties as the Law directs for their prosecuting their Appeal with  
Effect as by said Recognizance on File does appear

Bond

Inhabitants

South Brimfield

Deborah Baker of Charlton in our County of Worces  
ter Spinster Plaintiff is Jonathan Moulton Junr of South Brimfield  
in our County of Hampshire Gentleman Defendant in a Plea & as is  
of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by Abner Morgan Esq. his  
Att. and the Defendant the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here Therefore it is consider  
ed by the Court that the said Deborah do recover against the said  
Jonathan eleven Pounds sixteen shillings & three pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.19.4 Wherefore  
the said Jonathan by Dwight Foster Esq. his Att. comes into  
Court and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes  
with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said ap  
peal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File does appear

Baker

Moulton

The Inhabitants of South Brimfield in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff is Jacob Howe of Holland in the County of Worcester  
Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore The Parties usually  
appear & Daniel Burd & others <sup>Refers in this case</sup> come & bring into Court their  
award as follows viz. Pursuant to the within appointment we  
Daniel Burd Ruben Munn & Ralph Wheelock Met on the seventh  
day of October instant and having heard the Parties with their  
respective Evidences relating to the case depending between  
the Town of South Brimfield & the said Jacob Howe as within  
directed & after mature Consideration find the said Jacob Howe  
Gent. indebted to the said Town of South Brimfield in the  
Sum of one hundred & eighty four eight shillings & six pence  
lawful Money & Costs of Arbitration ~~one pound~~ & Costs of Court  
taxed at £11.0.4 & there of &c Quia ipse Nov. 26. 1783.

Inhabitants

South Brimfield

Howe

William Bishop of South Brimfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff is George Cooley of Sumner in the  
County of Stafford & State of Connecticut Gentleman  
otherwise called George Cooley Esq. of South Brimfield Gentleman Defendant  
in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore

Bishop

Cooley

Bishop  
Cooly

The Plaintiff appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said William do recover against the said George eleven Pounds of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 13. 0. - Therefore the Def<sup>t</sup> by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting & appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file does appear -

Inhabitants  
of Brimfield

Nath Sabins of Monson in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs The Inhabitants of S<sup>t</sup> Brimfield in S<sup>t</sup> County D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Pl<sup>y</sup> being now three times called to come into Court is non suit the D<sup>ft</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed -

Mem  
Eosdem

Nath Sabins of Monson in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Inhabitants of S<sup>t</sup> Brimfield in S<sup>t</sup> County D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Pl<sup>y</sup> being now three times called to come into Court is non suit the D<sup>ft</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed -

Bridgman  
M<sup>r</sup> Mayhew

James Bridgman of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs William M<sup>r</sup> Marten of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The said James being dead, Martha Bridgman Administratrix on the Estate of the said James by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> her Att<sup>r</sup> appears & the Def<sup>t</sup> by his Att<sup>r</sup> appears & the Parties agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement there to be final - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the said Tuesday of February next -

Charles  
Bishop

Abraham Charles of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs William Bishop of S<sup>t</sup> Brimfield in S<sup>t</sup> County D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Abraham do recover against the said William thirteen Pounds fourteen Shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 11. 6 - Whereupon the said William by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next and he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting & appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file does appear -

James Dunn  
Dunn

Jonathan James Dunn of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire in our Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Joel Moffatt of the same Brimfield Clothier D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Dunn do recover against the said Joel six Pounds & seven Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 7. 10 - Therefore - Exon<sup>o</sup> 15. 7. 83.

Andrew  
Bowman

John Andrews of Ware in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Schmale Bowken Yeoman & Lot Whitecombe both of Ware ap<sup>d</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by

the Court the d. the s. John do recover against the said D<sup>ft</sup> Two hundred <sup>thirty</sup> three pounds eight shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 16. 2 & thereof &c

Exon<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 25. 1702

Jonathan Rogers of Ware in the County of Hampshire yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> vs Phillis Morse of the same Ware yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The D<sup>ft</sup> being three times called to come into Court is & is considered by the Court that the D<sup>ft</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed

Rogers  
vs  
Morse

James Bridgeman of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>ft</sup> vs Samuel Blagden of Palmer in the County of Hampshire yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The D<sup>ft</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s. James do recover against the said Samuel fifteen Pounds three shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £4. 4. 10 and thereof &c

Bridgeman  
vs  
Morse

William Webber D<sup>ft</sup> of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire vs Daniel Faulkner of Northbridge in our County of Worcester Gentle D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The D<sup>ft</sup> being now three times publicly called to come into Court is considered by the Court that the D<sup>ft</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed

Webber  
vs  
Faulkner

Benjamin Thompson<sup>son</sup> of Ware in our County of Hampshire yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> vs ~~James Wright of Northbridge~~ <sup>Robert</sup> Peter Blagden of Greenwich in our County of Hampshire yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The D<sup>ft</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the s. Peter comes into Court and moves that this case may be continued to the next term Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the s. parties have agreed until the second Tuesday of February next And now at this time the s. Jason Wright one of the D<sup>fts</sup> as described in the Original Writ comes here & prays he may have leave to take upon him the defence of this writ & it is accordingly granted him

Thompson  
vs  
Wright

Jonathan Tilton of Granville in the County of Hampshire yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> vs John Smith of the same Granville Taylor D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The D<sup>ft</sup> appears by John Phelps y<sup>r</sup> att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s. Jonathan do recover against the said John ten Pounds seventeen shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £2. 9. 4 & thereof &c

Tilton  
vs  
Smith

Exon<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 17. 1702

Robert Harewood of Southington in the County of Hartford Administrator on the Goods & Estate which were of the said Clark late of Farmington in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Gentle deceased Intestate in said County D<sup>ft</sup> vs Ephraim Pitson of Andover in our County of Berkshire yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore The D<sup>ft</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s. Robert do recover against the said Ephraim six ten Pounds four shillings and nine pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £2. 15. 0 & thereof &c

Harewood  
vs  
Pitson

Exon<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 17. 1702

Wheeler - John Wheeler of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman  
9 By Roger Thayer of the same County Plaintiff in a Plea  
Book - as is of Record here to fore - The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said John do recover against the said Roger thirty  
pounds & four shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £2. 10. & thereof &c. - Exec<sup>d</sup> 15<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1703 -

Clark - Samuel Clark of Granville in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea as is of Record  
Thrall - the same Granville Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore - The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this case continued under the former Rule - Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here  
until the second Tuesday of February next -

Dickinson - Richard Dickinson of Granville in our County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea as is of Record here to fore - The Plaintiff  
11 appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
Smith 2. publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Richard  
do recover against the said John three pounds of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 10. & thereof &c. - Exec<sup>d</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 24<sup>th</sup> 1703

Woolworth - Phineas Woolworth of Granville in our County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea as is of Record here to fore -  
11 the Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
Smith 2. three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Phineas do recover  
the said John four pounds & twelve shillings and two  
pence of lawful Money Damages for this & the preceding Action  
and Costs of Court taxed at £2. 7. 10. & thereof &c. - Exec<sup>d</sup> 15<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1703 -

Stem - Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea as is of Record here to fore - The Plaintiff  
11 appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
Evenden - called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Phineas do recover  
the said John four pounds & twelve shillings and two  
pence of lawful Money Damages for this & the preceding Action  
and Costs of Court taxed at £2. 7. 10. & thereof &c. - Exec<sup>d</sup> 15<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1703 -

Black - David Black of Blandford in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea as is of Record here to fore - The Plaintiff being three  
times called to come into Court in Person the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted  
and the Action Dismissed -

How - Benjamin How of Granville in the County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea as is of Record here to fore - The Plaintiff appears by John  
11 Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is consi  
dered by the Court that the said Benjamin do recover against the said John thirty  
pounds three shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £2. 7. 6. & thereof &c. - whereupon the Def<sup>t</sup> by  
Strang Ex<sup>h</sup> his  
appeals from the Judgment of this Court  
to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in the  
County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises with  
Juries as the Law directs for his prosecuting and appealing with Effect as up said  
Recognizance on File now appear &c. -

David Fowler <sup>Jury</sup> of Southwick in the County of Hampshire 97  
yeoman <sup>Jury</sup> Daniel Hodges of Wotton in the County of  
Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore <sup>Twice</sup>  
The Plaintiff by John Kemp Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>, the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here <sup>Hodges</sup>  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said David do recover  
against Hodges fifteen pounds eight shillings and five pence of  
lawful Money Damages by Costs of Court taxed at £2. 6. 0.  
and therefore <sup>Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 17. 1703</sup>

Arham Parks of Basingford in the County of Hampshire Parks &  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator, alleging that the Goods & Chattels Rightly  
Credit which were of Elisha Parks late of Wotton in the County of  
deceased in the Capacity of Esq<sup>r</sup> John Chadwick of Springham in  
our County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record  
heretofore <sup>Chadwick</sup> The Plaintiff by in his own proper Person &  
the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here <sup>Therefore</sup> it is considered by the  
Court that the said Arham do recover against the said John Chadwick  
four pounds two shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £2. 9. 0. & therefore <sup>Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 12. 1704</sup>

Eleazer Balthood of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Balthood  
gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff Isiah Wilson Jun<sup>r</sup> of Wotton in the County  
of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore <sup>Wilson</sup>  
The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>, the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here <sup>Therefore</sup> it is considered by the Court that the said  
Eleazer do recover against the said Isiah fifty pounds fifteen  
shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £11. 11. 0. & therefore <sup>Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 4. 1703</sup>

Ami Smith of South Haddesden in the County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Isiah Barnard of Marlborough in the  
County of Middlesex Baker Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is  
of Record heretofore <sup>Smith</sup> The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here <sup>Therefore</sup> it is considered  
by the Court that the said Ami do recover against the said Isiah  
fourteen pounds thirteen shillings & five pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 4. 9. & therefore <sup>Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1703</sup>

Daniel Lullage Jun<sup>r</sup> of Amherst in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Elias Ball a Quaker in the County  
of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore <sup>Lullage</sup>  
The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>, the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here <sup>Ball</sup>  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do  
recover against the said Elias eight pounds eight shillings and  
seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
one pound & eighteen shillings & therefore <sup>Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 21. 1703</sup>

Hill  
Hill

Robert Hill of Greenwich in the County of Hampshire  
gent. My wife the said Husbandman & Isaac Hill Jun<sup>r</sup> groomen  
both of Bitchington in said County Depts in a Plea &c as is of  
Record heretofore. The My appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Depts the three times called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here. Therefore it is consider-  
ed by the Court that the said Robert do recover against the said  
Isaac & Isaac Jun<sup>r</sup> of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 2. 4. s. & therefore

Hubbard  
Hubbard

Luce Hubbard of St. Hill in the County of Hampshire  
Widow My son Dracht Hubbard of Sunderland in P. County  
Husbandman & David Hubbard of Laverthorn P. County Husband-  
man Depts in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The My appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Depts the  
three times called to come into Court make default of appear-  
ance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the My do recover against the Depts seventy nine pounds  
ten shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £ 10. 10. s. & therefore the Depts by Gable Strong  
Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> come into Court by appeal from the Judgment  
of the Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last  
Tuesday of April next, he recognizeth with sureties as the  
Law directs for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by  
said Recognizance on File doth appear.

Townsend  
Townsend

Benjamin deach of Amherst in the County of  
Hampshire groomen My wife Isaac Townsend of New Salem  
in P. County groomen Depts in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The My appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Depts the  
three times called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Benjamin do recover against the said Isaac  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £ 17. 4. s. and therefore

Dwight  
Dwight

Nathaniel Dwight of Bitchington in the County  
of Hampshire gent. My son Oliver Smith gent. & Nathaniel  
Smith groomen both of St. Hill in P. County Depts in a Plea &c  
as is of Record heretofore. The My appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Depts the three times called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Dwight do recover against the said Smith  
and wife thirteen pounds thirteen shillings & eleven pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 19. 0. s.  
wherefore the Depts by John Chas<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> come  
into Court by appeal from the Judgment of this Court to  
the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of  
April next, he recognizeth with sureties as the Law directs for his  
prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File  
doth appear.

Galbraith  
Galbraith

Thomas Charron of Calverton in the County of Hampshire  
groomen My son John Charron of Calverton Depts in a Plea &c  
as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said parties have Day here until the Monday of February next.

Watton Field of Northfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman  
Plf vs Stephen Hopkyn Thing of the same Northfield Gentle  
a Deputy Sheriff of said County under Elisha Porter Esq<sup>r</sup> Sheriff  
of the County appeared Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The Plf being three times called to come into Court is returned  
the Dft defaulted & the Action dismissed

90  
Field  
vs  
Thing

William Rofs of Williamsburgh in our County of  
Hampshire Physician Plf vs Samuel Andrews of Wotton in our  
County of Dorset yeoman Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>  
and the Dft tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said William do recover against the said Samuel  
eight pounds fifteen shillings & eight pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 1. 11 After all which  
the said Samuel by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court  
and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton within the County  
Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes  
with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his d<sup>d</sup> appeal  
with Effect as by d<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File does appear

Rofs  
vs  
Andrews

Jonathan Judd Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southampton in the County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Phineas Moxton late of Dorset  
in d<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore  
The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft tho  
~~three times called to come into Court~~ makes default  
of appearance here Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Jonathan do recover against the said Phineas fourteen  
Pounds thirteen shillings & seven pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £14. 13. 7 and there of &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 25. 1783

Judd Esq<sup>r</sup>  
vs  
Moxton

Elisha Norton of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plf vs Jonathan M. Mallory late of Williamsburgh  
in d<sup>d</sup> County Physician Dft in a Plea &c as is of Record hereto  
fore The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Dft Dr. Mallory  
tho three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Elisha do recover against the said Jonathan twelve pounds  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £12. 1. 6  
and there of &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1783

Norton

Jonathan Rogers of Ware in the County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plf vs Jason Wright & son Danforth both of Ware in  
the County of Dorset Gentlemen Dfts in a Plea &c as is of Record  
heretofore The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the  
Dfts tho three times called to come into Court makes de  
fault of appearance here Wherefore the Dft by Dwight  
Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court and appeals from the  
Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
at Northampton within the County of Hampshire on the last  
Tuesday of April next and he recognizes with Sureties  
as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said appeal  
with Effect as by d<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File does appear

Rogers  
vs  
Wright & son

Duquet Michael Duquet of Engfeld in the County of Griston &c. &c. of New Hampshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is Gad Root of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The parties severally appeared & agree to have this case continued to the next Term under the former Rule. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of February next.

Robinson Isaac Robinson of Hardwick in our County of Hampshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is Elijah Adams of Cheshire in our County of Hampshire Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Isaac do recover against the said Elijah twenty four pounds eight shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.8.10 and thereof &c. Exon i<sup>o</sup>. Nov<sup>r</sup> 26. 1703

Mather Timothy Mather of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is Joseph Lyman of the same Northampton Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>ly</sup> being three times called to come into Court is non suit, the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed.

Allen Thomas Allen of Bethfield in the County of Berkshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is Joseph Lyman of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>ly</sup> being three times publicly called to come into Court is non suit, the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed.

Shepherd Lucie Shephard of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is John Walcott <sup>late</sup> of the same Northampton Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is John Walcott <sup>late</sup> of the same Northampton Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Lucie do recover against the said John twenty nine pounds nineteen shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £29.11.11 and thereof &c. Exon i<sup>o</sup>. Nov<sup>r</sup> 21. 1703.

Bates Nathaniel Bates of Granville in the County of Hampshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is John Smith the son of the same Granville Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by John Phelps Gentle. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Nathaniel do recover against the said John seven pounds fourteen shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £7.14.11 and thereof &c. Exon i<sup>o</sup>. Dec<sup>r</sup> 16. 1703.

Bates Nathaniel Bates of Granville in the County of Hampshire Gentle. P<sup>ly</sup> is John Smith the son of the same Granville Gentle. Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea &c. as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by John Phelps Gentle. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Nathaniel do recover against the said John of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £7.14.11 and thereof &c.

John Hunt of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Gentle  
Plff is Simon Stone Jun<sup>r</sup> of Granwich in the County  
aforesaid Yeoman Deft in a Plea & Case of Record hereto  
fore The Plff appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>  
and the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that he do recover against  
the said Simon nineteen pounds ten shillings & five pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.7.0  
and thereof  
Exon G<sup>r</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 24. 1703

Hunt  
of  
Stone

Cornelius Jones of Granville in the County of Chester Jones  
and State of New York Gentle Plff is Ambrose Patten of a Plan  
taken called Merryfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman  
Deft in a Plea & Case of Record hereto fore The Plff appears  
by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Cornelius  
do recover against the said Ambrose Ten pounds eight  
shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £3.2.4 & thereof  
Exon G<sup>r</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 18. 1703

Graves Loomis Yeoman & John Austin Yeoman both of  
supplie in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Plff  
is Joshua Boston Date of Westfield in the County of Hamp  
shire Yeoman Deft in a Plea & Case of Record hereto fore  
The Parties are adj<sup>d</sup> appear & agree to have this case continued  
to the next Term Judge sent them to be paid or no Cost to be allowed  
either for Travel or Attendance Thereupon it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of February next

Loomis &  
Boston

Margaret Tibbe of Enfield in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Widow Plff is Abijah Wait Yeoman  
and Sarah Wait Yeoman both of Northampton in our County  
of Hampshire Deft in a Plea & Case of Record hereto fore  
The Plff appears by Joshua T<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Margaret  
do recover against the said Abijah & Sarah seven pounds five  
shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2.2.6 & thereof  
Exon G<sup>r</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 20. 1703

John Blodget of Southwick in the County of Cumberland  
State of Vermont Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff is Alexander Plumley of Westfield  
in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Yeoman Deft  
in a Plea & Case of Record hereto fore The Plff being three  
times called to come into Court & himself the Deft admitted  
the action dismissed

Blodget  
Plumley

Thomas Dudge of the Amelot Equivalents adjoining  
to Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Yeoman Plff is  
Jonathan Ware of Norwich in our County of Hampshire Yeoman  
Deft in a Plea & Case of Record hereto fore The Plff appears  
by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Thomas do recover  
against the said Jonathan Ten pounds seven shillings & six pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.6.6 & thereof  
Exon G<sup>r</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 20. 1703

Newton  
19  
Grammer  
Shaddous Newton of Cheston in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
Plff vs William Grammer of the same County Gentle Defendant in a Plea  
is of Record heretofore. The Plff appears by John Phelps Gent  
in Att. & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said Shaddous do recover against the said  
William Grammer one penny of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 4d. &c. and thereof &c.  
Exon ip. Nov 25. 1703

Thompson  
19  
Billings  
Benjamin Thompson of our County of Hampshire yeoman Plff vs Benjamin Billings of Bitcherton  
in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea is of Record heretofore.  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of July next.

Bridgham  
19  
Rae  
James Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq  
Plff vs Edward Rae Esq of Palmer in the County of Hamp  
shire yeoman Deft in a Plea is of Record heretofore.  
The Plff appears by Abner Morgan Esq in Att. & the Deft the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said James do recover  
against the said Edward two pounds five Shillings & four pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 18d. &c.  
and thereof &c.  
Exon ip. Nov 25. 1703

Swins  
19  
Shaw & Galt  
James Swins of Greenwich in our County of Hampshire  
Plff vs Gideon Shaw yeoman, Joseph Hooker Gent both  
of Greenwich aforesaid Defts in a Plea is of Record heretofore.  
The Plff appears by Abner Morgan Esq in Att. & the Defts the three  
times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said James do recover against the said Defts thirty nine pounds  
eighteen Shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 4d. &c. After all which the Deft by  
Simon Henry Esq in Att. came into Court & appeal from  
Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to  
be holden at Dorchester in & for the County of Hampshire on the  
last Tuesday of April next, he replevies with Sureties at the  
cost of his prosecuting in Appeal with Effect as by said  
recognizance on it to be done appears.

Thomas Gibbs of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire  
Plff vs John Gibson of the same County yeoman Deft in a  
Plea is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of July next.

John Chester William of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
Plff vs Daniel Parson of Warham in the County aforesaid  
Deft in a Plea is of Record heretofore. The Plff  
appears in his own person & the Deft the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said John Chester  
do recover against the said Daniel eight Pounds three Shillings  
and seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at one pound seventeen Shillings & eight pence & thereof &c.  
Exon ip. Nov 25. 1703

Ebenezer March of Hadley in the County of Hampshire  
Plff vs D<sup>r</sup> Harwood of Winton in our County of Berkshire  
Husbandman Debt in a Plea as is of Record heretofore  
The Plff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Ebenezer do recover against the said Peter twelve pounds  
eighteen shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £2.4.10

Merry  
Harwood

Samuel Harwood of White Grook in the County of  
Charlottesville State of New York yeoman Plff vs Richard Montague  
of Severith in our County of Hampshire gentl<sup>e</sup> Debt in a Plea  
as is of Record heretofore The Plff appears by John Chester Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Samuel twenty four pounds of law  
ful Money & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £3.5.0 After which the said Richard by  
Lemuel Delano his atty comes into Court & appeals from the  
Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be  
holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire  
on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with  
sureties as the Law directh for his prosecuting his said appeal  
with Effect as by said Recognizance on File does appear

Harwood  
Montague

Asahel Stanhope of Granfield in the County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plff vs Ariel Hindsale of the S<sup>d</sup> Granfield  
Yeoman Debt in a Plea as is of Record heretofore The Plff  
appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
three times called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Asahel do recover against the said Ariel twelve  
pounds & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2.4.10 and therefore

Stanhope  
Hindsale

Artemus Cushman of Barnardston in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plff vs Ariel Hindsale of the S<sup>d</sup> Granfield  
County yeoman Debt in a Plea as is of Record heretofore  
The Plff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
Artemus do recover against the said Ariel  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
and therefore

Cushman  
Hindsale

William Stiles of Ellington in the County of Hampshire  
and State of Connecticut yeoman Plff vs Aaron Grace of the S<sup>d</sup>  
in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Debt in a Plea as is  
of Record heretofore The Parties severally appears & the Court  
doth & other come and bring into Court their award as follow  
to wit. We the Subscribers having attended the Business heard  
the Parties their several Proofs & Allegations & considered the same  
do award & determine that the said William Stiles recover of said  
Aaron the sum of Twenty five pounds & Costs of his Recovery being nine shil  
lings & that the same be in full of all disputes & Controversies betwixt said  
Stiles & said Aaron as of the County of Hampshire  
Wherein it is considered by the Court that the said William Stiles recover of the  
said Aaron twenty five pounds & Costs of Court taxed at £2.4.10  
and therefore

Stiles  
Grace

Mon<sup>y</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1782

Dickinson 4  
goodale 4  
Elijah Dickinson of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire  
gentl. My is John Goodale of Hatfield yeoman & in a  
plea of 100 lb. & herebefore - The Plt appears by John  
Chester Williams Esq. his Att. & the Deft the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that E. Dickinson do recover  
against the said J. Goodale the sum of nine pounds fifteen shillings & ten pence  
plus lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court taxed at £11.5.9  
and thereof &c  
Exon J. Nov. 21. 1783

Allis 10  
Lyman 10  
Elijah Allis of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
gentl. My is Joseph Lyman of Northampton in our County  
of Hampshire aforesaid gentl. Deft in a Plea & as is of Record  
herebefore - The Plt being three times called to come into Court  
doth not appear - The Deft is defaulted & the action dismissed

Eastman 10  
Barnes 10  
Ebenezer Eastman of Amherst in our County of  
Hampshire gentl. My is David Barnes & Edmond Hanson  
both of Montague in the County aforesaid yeomen Defts  
in a Plea & as is of Record herebefore - The Plt appears by John  
Chester Williams Esq. his Att. & the Defts the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that E. Eastman do recover against the D.  
David Barnes & Edmond Hanson thirty four pounds two shillings & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages, Costs of Court & taxed at £11.0.10 & thereof &c  
Exon J. Nov. 21. 1783

McClathie 4  
Lawrence 4  
William McClathie of Great Barrington in our  
County of Berkshire yeoman My is Joseph Lawrence of Shelburne  
in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea & as is of  
Record herebefore - The Plt appears by John Chester Williams Esq.  
his Att. & the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that W. McClathie do recover against the D. Joseph L.  
the sum of five shillings of lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court  
taxed at £2.0.0 & thereof &c  
Exon J. Nov. 21. 1783

Chandler 4  
The name 4  
John Chandler of Sunderland in our County of Hamp  
shire gentl. My is Daniel Herndon yeoman & Daniel Herndon  
gentl. both of Hatfield in our County of Berkshire Defts in a Plea &  
as is of Record herebefore - The Parties severally appear & agree to  
it in Court & to the next Term - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Parties have say here until the  
next Term - & thereof &c

Bagg 4  
B. 10  
Noble Esq. of Buntingford in our County of Hampshire  
gentl. My is John Bagg in the County aforesaid yeoman Deft  
in a Plea & as is of Record herebefore - The Plt appears by John  
Chester Williams Esq. his Att. & the Deft the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that N. Esq. do recover against the D. John B.  
the sum of five shillings of lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court  
taxed at £2.0.0 & thereof &c  
Exon J. Nov. 21. 1783

Upon the Jurors of the Jury sworn by the Court & sworn by the Statute  
in the said Statute provided at this Time returned & impanelled  
in the said Court & came here who to say the D. the concerning  
the said Plea & as is of Record herebefore upon their Oath & Daniel Lamb  
their Foreman that they find the Deft never promised as before in  
the said Plea - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
John Bagg do recover against the said Noble Esq. the sum of five shillings  
of lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court & taxed at £2.0.0 & thereof &c  
Exon J. Nov. 21. 1783

the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be  
holden at Northampton in for the County of Hampshire on the  
last Tuesday of April next here recognizance with Sureties as the Law  
directs for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by said  
Recognizance on File does appear

Job Marsh of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plff vs Barnabas Shaw of Greenwich in the County  
of Essex other wise called Gentle Dept in a Plea & assizes of  
Record heretofore The Plff appears by Galb Strong Esq and the  
Defth tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here Therefore it is considered by  
the Court that P. Job do recover against the said Barnabas  
Shaw one Shilling & nine pence of Lawful Money & Damages  
Cost of Court taxed at £2.10.0 After all which the sd Barnabas  
by John Chester Williams Esq his Att appears into Court & appeals  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
to be holden at Northampton in and for the County of Hampshire  
on the last Tuesday of April next here requires notice as the  
Law directs for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect  
as by said Recognizance on File does appear

Eliasaph Barker of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Plff vs Richard Ingham of Northampton  
field of Common Dept in a Plea & assizes of Record heretofore  
The Parties severally appear & both Daniel Goadspall & others Referees  
in this case come bring in to Court their Award as follows  
We the Subscribers to whom was referred a Case depending between  
Eliasaph Barker Plff & Richard Ingham Defth do award that the  
sd Damages appertaining to the Plff four pounds twelve Shillings & six  
pence & that Daniel Goadspall, Asaph & wife William Wake Referees  
November 6th 1703 Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Eliasaph do recover against the said Richard  
four pounds twelve Shillings & six pence of Lawful Money  
Damages Cost of Court taxed at £2.6.6 & there of by  
on Nov. 26. 1703

John Emmerison of Wendell in our County of Hampshire  
Cabinet maker Plff vs Thomas Green of Northampton  
County Cordwainer Defth in a Plea & assizes of Record heretofore  
The Plff appears by Galb Strong Esq and the Defth tho three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
do recover against the sd Thomas five pounds two Shillings & nine  
pence of Lawful Money Damages Cost of Court taxed at £13.3  
and there of by  
on Nov. 26. 1703

Alexander Miller of Northampton in our County  
Hampshire Gentle Plff vs David Green of Northampton  
Husband man Defth in a Plea & assizes of Record heretofore  
The Plff appears by Galb Strong Esq and the Defth tho three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Alexander do recover against the said David Green  
nine pounds nineteen Shillings & six pence of Lawful Money  
Damages Cost of Court taxed at £2.13.0 & there of by  
on Nov. 26. 1703



Daniel Jones of Amisfield in the County of Cheshire Gent. Hampshire  
Hampshire Esq. Pleas And Amisfield of the County of Hampshire  
County of Hampshire Esq. Pleas And Amisfield of the County of Hampshire  
The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> in the  
Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
said Daniel do recover against the said Amisfield thirty seven pounds  
six shillings five pence of lawful Money Damages both of Court  
taxed at £37.5.0 & therefor.

Moses How of Bennington in the County of Bennington Haw  
and in the District called Vermont Gent. P<sup>y</sup> Joseph Billings  
of Belchertown in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Esq. Billington  
in a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Galeb  
Strong Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Moses do recover against  
the said Joseph two pounds nine shillings of lawful Money  
Damages both of Court taxed at £2.9.6 and therefor  
upon 1<sup>st</sup> May 1<sup>st</sup> 1794

Simon Smith of Guilford in the County of Cumberland Smith  
lands in the State of New York Esq. Pleas Daniel Wells  
of Granfield in the County of Hampshire Esq. Pleas  
a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>y</sup> being now  
three times called to come into Court in answer to the Deft  
defaulted & the action dismissed.

Edmond Longley Esq. Place called members even in Longley  
our County of Hampshire Gent. P<sup>y</sup> Samuel Fay or of  
Templetown in our County of Dorsetshire Esq. Pleas  
a Plea &c as is of Record heretofore. The P<sup>y</sup> appears by  
William Billings Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Edmond do recover  
against the said Samuel fifty six pounds seven shillings  
nine pence of lawful Money Damages both of Court  
taxed at £56.7.9 & therefor  
upon 1<sup>st</sup> Nov 1<sup>st</sup> 1793

Joseph Babcock of Barnard's Babcock  
town in our County of Hampshire Esq. Pleas  
for that the said Joseph Babcock of Barnard's town in our County of Hampshire  
last Day of September last past was justly indebted to the said Smith in  
the sum of One hundred & two pounds twelve shillings nine pence  
lawful Money for so much Money before that time had been  
paid him the said Babcock to his then Att<sup>y</sup> the said Joseph Babcock  
there in consideration thereof promised the said Smith to pay  
Demand. Also for that the said Babcock a Barnard's town of New  
on the last Day of September last past was justly indebted to the said  
in a sum of Eighty six pounds twelve shillings nine pence  
advanced & paid by him the said Smith to his then Att<sup>y</sup> the said Joseph Babcock  
in consideration thereof promised the said Smith to pay  
Demand. Also for that the said Babcock a Barnard's town of New  
first Day of October last past was justly indebted to the said Smith in  
a sum of One hundred & three pounds twelve shillings nine pence  
advanced & paid by him the said Smith to his then Att<sup>y</sup> the said Joseph Babcock  
in consideration thereof promised the said Smith to pay  
Demand. Also for that the said Babcock a Barnard's town of New  
and there in consideration thereof promised the said Smith to pay  
him the last & sum of Demand & the said Babcock to pay

[illegible][illegible]

Exon 1st. Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1703.

Charles Widdler of Nottingham and our Country of Warrington  
Woman Myself William Houghton of a Parish in the County of  
Somersetshire formerly of the Parish of St. Martin in the County of  
Somersetshire the said William Houghton the Possessor of a certain Tract  
of Land. The said Tract being in a Field before said containing  
Sixty Acres with the Building thereon & appurtenances thereto  
belonging bounded as follows viz beginning at a stake & stone being  
in the North East Corner of the said Tract the second Division  
a right line of 100 rods and extends westerly on the North line of said  
Tract about one hundred & forty rods to a white Pine Tree  
marked for the Corner of the said Tract then turn & run southerly about  
fifty rods to a stake & stone then turn & run easterly about  
fifty rods to a stake & stone then turn & run northerly about  
sixty rods to the first mentioned Corner above wherein he demands  
a right line of 100 rods William Houghton the Possessor of two other  
Tracts of Land lying and situate in the Parish of St. Martin with the appurte-  
nances thereto belonging the one Piece containing twenty acres  
and a half the other Piece containing twenty rods in the second Division of Land in  
the said Parish & County as follows viz beginning at a stake & stone the  
North East Corner of the said Tract then run westerly on the North line of the  
said Tract about one hundred & twenty rods to a stake & stone then southerly one hundred &  
fifty rods to a stake & stone then easterly on the South line of the  
said Tract about one hundred & twenty rods to the North East Corner of the said Tract then on the  
East line of the said Tract one hundred & twenty rods to the Corner first mentioned  
The other Piece last above mentioned containing fifteen acres by measure  
of the said Tract & County as follows viz beginning at a stake &  
stone the North East Corner of the said Tract then run westerly on the North line of the  
said Tract about one hundred & twenty rods to a stake & stone then southerly one hundred &  
fifty rods to a stake & stone then easterly on the South line of the said Tract about  
one hundred & twenty rods to the North East Corner of the said Tract then on the  
East line of the said Tract one hundred & twenty rods to the Corner first mentioned  
And the said Charles Widdler & William Houghton by their Executors  
under his Hand & Seal duly executed on the tenth Day of July in the tenth

Riden  
4  
Houghton

humane & eighty one at Warwick aforesaid house duly acknowledge  
 and Registered in Court to be produced for a good & valuable con-  
 sideration therein mentioned, to be gained, granted, sold & conveyed  
 the certain Tract of Land with the Buildings thereon, appurtenances thereunto belonging to the said Charles to  
 hold to himself, his Heirs & assigns forever, and the said Charles also  
 saith that the said William Houghton by his Deed poll under his  
 hand & seal duly executed on the same Day at Warwick aforesaid  
 and since duly acknowledged & Registered in Court to be produced  
 for a good & valuable Consideration therein mentioned, & accordingly  
 granted, sold & conveyed the two Pieces of Land last above described  
 with the appurtenances to him the said Charles, to hold to him his  
 Heirs & assigns forever by the force of which said several Deeds the said  
 Charles became become instantly seized of the whole of the above  
 demanded Premises containing the three Pieces above described &  
 appurtenances in his demesne as of Fee by taking the Profits thereof  
 to the value of twenty shillings by the year & engaged to be  
 in Possession of the whole said demanded Premises & appurtenances  
 But the said William Houghton with force & arms hath since  
 entered into the Possession thereof, ejected him the said Charles  
 without having any any just right to do & still with holds  
 the same from him against the Peace & to the Damage of the said  
 Charles thirty Pounds The Plea appears by Dwigth Foster Esq  
 his Att and the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the said Charles do recover against the said William  
 seven & Possession of the lands & appurtenances sued for & Costs of Court  
 taxed at 12s 6d unless the said William within term of two months pay  
 to the said Charles one hundred & ninety pounds & the Costs  
 aforesaid & therefore

John Shaw of Palmer in the County of Hampshire  
 Yeoman Pleas Samuel Patrick of the said County Yeoman  
 Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel at Palmer  
 on the twentieth Day of Sept. seventeen hundred eighty  
 two by his Note for value received promised the said John to  
 pay him four pounds thirteen shillings silver Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid - yet the said Samuel the said sum requested  
 hath not paid said sum or Interest but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said John twelve pounds The Plea appears by John  
 Morgan Esq his Att and the Deft the three times called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against  
 the said Samuel five pounds two shillings & nine pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 12s 6d After  
 all which the said Samuel by Dwigth Foster Esq his Att  
 comes into Court and appeals from the Judgement of this Court  
 to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in  
 and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April  
 next & he recognises with sureties as the Law directs for his pro-  
 secuting his appeal with Effu has by said Recognizance on to  
 do appear

He  
11  
Patrick  
N. H.

Samuel Fenton of Greenwich in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Yeoman Pleas Jacob Darrell of the said County Laborer  
 Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jacob at Greenwich  
 on the seventeenth Day of Sept. last past by his Note for value  
 received promised the said Samuel to pay him or order three

Fenton  
4  
Darrell  
N. H.

Demands five Killings, lawful Money on Demand with Interest  
 till paid & yet the said Jacob tho' often requested hath not  
 paid a Penny but neglects to do the Damage of this Samuel  
 Pounds. The Jury appears by Duighty Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
 on the 21<sup>st</sup> the three times called to come into Court and being absent  
 of appearance here. & therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that S<sup>r</sup> Samuel do recover against the said Jacob the aforesaid  
 five Killings & eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
 of Court & so forth & the Court is therefore the said Jacob by Duighty  
 Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appears from the Judgement  
 of the Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holder of  
 a Bathampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last  
 Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law  
 directs for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup>  
 Resignance on this day appears.

William Blackfield of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire, grooman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Thomas Anier who of Wase in the County of the said Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for the 2<sup>d</sup>? Thomas a 1<sup>st</sup>? Brimfield on the thirtieth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup>? last past by his Note for value received promised one David Morgan to pay him a order two pounds twelve shillings silver Money on demand with Interest till paid & the D<sup>r</sup> David there afterwards on the same Day by his Endorsement on<sup>d</sup>? Note for value received ordered the contents of the same Note then unpaid to be paid to the Pl<sup>y</sup> whereof the Thomas had due to him & thereupon became chargeable to pay<sup>d</sup>? Contents to the Pl<sup>y</sup> on demand & thind<sup>g</sup> there inconsideration thereof promised the Pl<sup>y</sup> to pay him<sup>d</sup>? Contents accordingly & yet the said Thomas the often requested both not paid the Contents of on said but neglect it to the Damag<sup>e</sup> of the said William in pounds & the Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Peter Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court make reply of appearance here & wherefore it is concluded at the Court that the said William do recover against the said Thomas the sum of four ten shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & both of Court taxed at the Rate & thereof

on Kingsley of Windham in the County of Windham and  
 it is a Law which woman M<sup>rs</sup> Elisha Badger of South Primsfield  
 in the County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant a Plea of the Case  
 or that M<sup>rs</sup> Elisha at S<sup>t</sup> South Primsfield on the twenty second  
 day of May next was hundredth eighty two by his Note for value  
 received promised that John to pay him or order two pounds  
 twelve shillings at or before the first day of May next (meaning  
 next after the Date of said Note) with lawful interest to be paid.  
 & the said Elisha the often requested hath never paid the  
 same or Interest but neglects it to the Damage of this John  
 ten pounds. The Plaintiff by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
 said the D<sup>f</sup> the three times called to come into Court makes  
 default of app<sup>r</sup> or n<sup>e</sup> here. Whereupon it is considered by the  
 Court that the D<sup>f</sup> do ever ag<sup>t</sup> not that Elisha two  
 two pounds sixteen shillings and seven pence of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs Court taxed a D<sup>t</sup> 1-16-2 & thereof &c.  
 Given J<sup>d</sup>. Nov<sup>r</sup> 26-700

Abner Morgan of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Esq. My  
 Benjamin Pollard of Palmer in the County of Genl. Dept in a Plea  
 of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Benjamin a s<sup>d</sup> Brimfield on the twenty fourth  
 day of Nov<sup>r</sup> seven hundred eighty one by his vote for value Morgan's 104  
 received promised the said Abner to pay him the sum of two  
 pounds ten shillings silver Money on Demand with Interest Pollard  
 till paid — Yet the said Benjamin tho often requested hath not  
 paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Abner ten N<sup>o</sup> 8  
 Pounds — The P<sup>y</sup> appears in his own proper Person and the Def<sup>t</sup>  
 tho three times called to come into Court makes default of appear-  
 ance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
 s<sup>d</sup> Abner do recover against the said Benjamin two pounds  
 fifteen shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
 Court taxed at sh<sup>l</sup> 10 — Whereupon the s<sup>d</sup> Benjamin by Dwight  
 Foster Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judge-  
 ment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
 at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last  
 Tuesday of April next & recognises with the Justices at the Law  
 direct for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by a  
 Recognizance on File doth appear —

Benjamin Morick of Brimfield in our County  
 of Hampshire yeoman P<sup>y</sup> is Benjamin Pollard of Morick  
 Palmer in the County of Genl. Dept in a Plea of Trespass on the Pollard  
 Case for that the said Pollard a s<sup>d</sup> Brimfield on the first N<sup>o</sup> 9  
 Day of October instant was justly indebted to the said Morick  
 in the sum of two pounds eighteen shillings according to the  
 account hereunto annexed he the said Pollard undertook &  
 then there in Consideration thereof promised that Morick  
 to pay him the same sum on demand — Also for that the said  
 Pollard afterwards to wit on the same first Day of October at said  
 Brimfield in consideration that the s<sup>d</sup> Morick had before that time  
 at the special Instance & Request of the s<sup>d</sup> Pollard sold & delivered  
 to him forty eight pounds of Butter other than that before mentioned  
 in the account annexed he the s<sup>d</sup> Pollard undertook & then there for the  
 fully promised the s<sup>d</sup> Morick to pay him therefor on demand as  
 much Money as the same was reasonably worth the said Morick  
 in fact gave the s<sup>d</sup> Butter last mentioned was reasonably worth one  
 other sum of two pounds eighteen shillings of which he then gave away  
 on the same Day gave the s<sup>d</sup> Pollard Notice — Yet the said Pollard tho  
 often requested hath not paid either of said Sums but neglected it to the  
 Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Morick ten pounds — The Parties severally appear  
 and on Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup> agree to have this Case continued to  
 the next Term — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of February

Joseph Shearer of Palmer in the County of Hampshire  
 yeoman P<sup>y</sup> is Samuel Swins late of Granville in the County  
 of Genl. Dept in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Samuel a s<sup>d</sup> Swins  
 Palmer on the fourth Day of July seven hundred eighty to N<sup>o</sup> 10  
 by one vote for value received promised s<sup>d</sup> Joseph to pay him  
 sixteen pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
 yet s<sup>d</sup> Samuel the often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it  
 to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph thirty pounds — The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner  
 Morgan Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that s<sup>d</sup> Joseph do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Samuel twenty eight pounds fifteen shillings  
 and four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at sh<sup>l</sup> 6  
 and there of &c —  
 Known to us 24. 7. 803

Thompson  
is  
Wright  
N<sup>o</sup> 11

Henry Thompson of Palmer is our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff Jason Wright of Wotton in our County of Worcester  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jason at said  
Palmer on the twentieth Day of March last past by his Note for  
value received promised the said Henry to pay him or order  
thirty six pounds lawful Money on demand with interest till paid  
(meaning with Interest till paid) yet the said Jason the often  
requested hath not paid said sum said Interest but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Henry fifty pounds  
The Parties severally appeared on motion of the Defendant to have  
this Case continued to the next Term wherefore it is consid-  
ered by the Court that said Parties have Day here untill  
the second Tuesday of February next

per  
written  
N<sup>o</sup> 12

Josiah parts of a Plantation called number seven in  
the County of Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Asa Whitney of Conway  
in said County yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Asa at Dover seven years since on the 11<sup>th</sup> month  
Day of August sweeten hundred eighty two by his Note  
for value received promised the said Josiah to pay him or  
order three pounds lawful Money to be paid in three Months from  
the Date with Interest till paid yet the said Asa the often  
requested hath not paid sum but neglects it to the Damage of  
the said Josiah four pounds The Plaintiff appears by William  
Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant by the State it is  
agreed that this Case be continued & it is therefore considered by  
the Court that said Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday  
of February next

in the  
is a  
N<sup>o</sup> 13

Joseph Smith of Ashfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire yeoman Plaintiff Josiah Ashburn of Ashfield yeoman Defen-  
dant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Josiah at Ashfield on the  
thirtieth Day of April seven years since hundred & eighty three by his  
Note for value received promised the said Joseph to pay him three  
pounds lawful Money (meaning on Demand) interest till  
paid meaning the lawful Interest of the same sum till paid  
yet the said Josiah the often requested hath never paid the same  
or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Joseph four pounds The Plaintiff appears by William Billings  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant the three times called to come into Court  
in her default of appearance here wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Joseph do recover against the said Josiah  
three pounds one Shilling & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages  
costs of Court taxed at 19/- &c & thereof &c

in the  
is a  
N<sup>o</sup> 14

Jacob Parsons of Conway in our County of Hamp-  
shire yeoman Plaintiff Davison of Conway yeoman Defendant in a  
Plea of the Case for that the said Davison on the  
nineteenth Day of February seven years since hundred & eighty two by his  
Note for value received promised the said Jacob to pay him or  
order nine hundred Bushels of good M<sup>rs</sup> harvestable wheat on Demand  
with Interest from the Date of Date which wheat is well worth four  
pounds fifteen Shillings lawful Money and the said Jacob never that he  
was always ready to receive the said nine hundred Bushels of wheat according  
to the Tenor of the said Note also for that the said Daniel at said  
Conway on the last Day of April 1802 was indebted to the said Jacob  
in the sum of five Shillings & ten pence of lawful Money for sundry  
articles of Groceries &c not according to the account annexed to the said  
consideration thereof the said Daniel promised the said Jacob to pay him  
the same sum whenever he should be there to be requested

Get the P. Daniel the often requested hath not paid within of said sum but neglects it to the Damage of the said Joel six pounds  
The Pl. appears by William Billings Esq. his Att. and the Def. the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Joel do recover against the said Daniel four pounds & fifteen shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s. 10d. and thereof &c.  
Exon. 10th Nov. 1703

Elisha Allen of Warrimburgh in our County of Hampshire Esq. Pl. is Samuel Wells of a Hatfield in S. County of Man. Deth. Al. in a Plea of the Case for that the s. Sam. and Whotely on the twelfth Day of Octo. seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised s. Elisha (then Elisha Allen Junr.) to pay him or order two pounds fourteen shillings & six pence in Gold or Silver on demand with Interest till paid &c.  
Samuel the often requested hath not paid the same but neglects to the Damage of the s. Elisha four pounds  
The Pl. appears by William Billings Esq. his Att. & the Def. the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the s. Elisha do recover against the Samuel three pounds one shilling and three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s. 10d. & thereof &c.  
Exon. 10th Nov. 1703

William Billings of Conway in our County of Hampshire Esq. Pl. is Joshua Gage of Montague in S. County of Man. Deth. in a Plea of the Case for that the said Joshua at s. Montague on the first Day of Dec. seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised the s. William to pay him or order two pounds fifteen shillings & seven pence Silver Money on Demand Interest till paid &c.  
Also for that the s. Joshua at s. Montague on the twentieth Day of March last past by his Note for value received promised s. William to pay him five pounds sixteen shillings & ten pence Silver Money by the first Day of October then next with Interest till paid &c.  
Get the said Joshua the often requested hath never paid said s. sum but neglects it to the Damage of the said s. William twelve pounds  
The Pl. appears by his own proper Person & the Def. the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said William do recover against the s. Joshua nine pounds three shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s. 10d. & thereof &c.  
Exon. 10th Nov. 1703

Nathaniel Hazard of Woodbury in the County of Litchfield & State of Connecticut Esq. Pl. is David Clark of Warrimburgh in our County of Berkshire Esq. in a Plea of Trespass on the Case &c. whereupon the s. Nathaniel complains for this to wit that whereas the said David at Springfield in our County of Hampshire on the twenty eighth Day of January seventeen hundred & seventy five by his Note for value received promised one Ebenezer Hathorn to pay him or order six pounds fourteen shillings & seven pence (meaning so much of lawful Money on demand, in Interest, and whereas afterwards viz. on the same Day as part of the same sum being then paid the said Ebenezer at Springfield aforesaid, by his Indorsement on the back of s. Note with his own proper hand subscribed, assigned the said Note to the said Nathaniel & thereby ordered & directed the said David to pay unto the s. Nathaniel the contents of said of the Note aforesaid according to the Tenor the rep. of the said David then afterwards on



Idem  
Smith

Complains for this to wit that whereas the D. to then at Springfield  
in the County of Hampshire on the second Day of February seventeen  
hundred & eighty five by his Note for value received promised one  
Benjamin Hutchinson to pay him or order twenty seven pounds twelve  
shillings & eleven pence (meaning so much lawful Money) on demand  
on making with Interest, whereas afterwards to wit, on the same Day  
auct of D. to, assigned the same to the said Nathaniel & thereupon  
for value recd. of him ordered & directed the said D. to then to pay unto  
the said Nathaniel the contents aforesaid of the said Note & auring  
the Term thereof of which the D. to then there after came on the  
same Day had notice from the D. to Nathaniel, and so on any of the Premises  
and since the said D. to then neglected the said D. to then to pay unto  
and is liable to him to pay unto the said Nathaniel the contents  
aforesaid of the said Note & auring the said Term thereof & is  
liable & chargeable thereto in respect of the said D. to then  
promised the said Nathaniel to pay him the contents of the said  
Note according to the Term thereof & that the said D. to then  
has never paid said sum or Interest but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Nathaniel thirty eight pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Theodore  
Judge with Esq. his Att. & the D. to then the three times called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Nathaniel recover against the said D. to then  
the sum of twenty pounds & in place of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at thirty pounds and there of be.

Given at P. M. 20. 1783

William H. Lullow of the district of Glavarac in the County  
of Albany & State of New York Plaintiff vs Johannes Michelson of West  
Hartbridge in our County of Berkshire Husbandman Defendant in a  
Plea of the Case for the said Johannes at Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire on the second Day of Feb<sup>y</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said William to pay in  
or order twenty five Spanish milled Dollars & six shillings & eight pence  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid. Nevertheless  
the said Johannes the often requested has never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said William thirty pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by Theodore Judge with Esq. his Att. & the D. to then the three times called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said William do recover against  
Johannes twenty five Spanish milled Dollars & four shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at two & a half & there of be.

Given at P. M. November 20. 1783

William H. Lullow of the district of Glavarac in the County  
of Albany & State of New York Plaintiff vs John Michelson Jun<sup>r</sup>  
of West Hartbridge in our County of Berkshire Yeoman Defendant in a  
Plea of the Case for the said John at Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire on the second Day of Feb<sup>y</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value received promised the said Wm to pay him or order  
ninety one Spanish milled Dollars & two thirds of a Dollar which is  
equal to twenty seven pounds & two shillings lawful Money on demand  
with Interest till paid. Nevertheless the said John the often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said William forty  
pounds nine shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at three pounds and there of be.

St. 1  
St. 2  
St. 3  
St. 4  
St. 5  
St. 6  
St. 7  
St. 8  
St. 9  
St. 10  
St. 11  
St. 12  
St. 13  
St. 14  
St. 15  
St. 16  
St. 17  
St. 18  
St. 19  
St. 20  
St. 21  
St. 22  
St. 23  
St. 24  
St. 25  
St. 26  
St. 27  
St. 28  
St. 29  
St. 30  
St. 31  
St. 32  
St. 33  
St. 34  
St. 35  
St. 36  
St. 37  
St. 38  
St. 39  
St. 40  
St. 41  
St. 42  
St. 43  
St. 44  
St. 45  
St. 46  
St. 47  
St. 48  
St. 49  
St. 50  
St. 51  
St. 52  
St. 53  
St. 54  
St. 55  
St. 56  
St. 57  
St. 58  
St. 59  
St. 60  
St. 61  
St. 62  
St. 63  
St. 64  
St. 65  
St. 66  
St. 67  
St. 68  
St. 69  
St. 70  
St. 71  
St. 72  
St. 73  
St. 74  
St. 75  
St. 76  
St. 77  
St. 78  
St. 79  
St. 80  
St. 81  
St. 82  
St. 83  
St. 84  
St. 85  
St. 86  
St. 87  
St. 88  
St. 89  
St. 90  
St. 91  
St. 92  
St. 93  
St. 94  
St. 95  
St. 96  
St. 97  
St. 98  
St. 99  
St. 100

Van. Bleck  
Hobens  
N<sup>o</sup> 2

Abraham I Van Bleck of Kinderhook in the County of Albany  
and State of New York Merchant. My is John Stevens of West  
Hartbridge in our County of Berkshire yeoman. Defendant in  
a Plea of the Case for that the said John a to plaintiff in our County  
of Hampshire on the twentieth Day of June seventeen hundred  
eighty one by his State for value received promised the said Abraham  
to pay him or order sixty two pounds eight Shillings & ten pence  
New York & money being equal to thirty nine pounds six Shillings  
and seven pence half pence lawful Money in solid Gold or Silver on  
Demand with Interest till paid. But nevertheless the said John  
after request hath never paid the same but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Abraham twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by  
John Iddle Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to  
come into Court make default of appearance here.  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Abraham do recover  
against the said John forty four pounds two Shillings & nine pence  
half pence of lawful Money & the Costs of Court taxed at £ 7. 2  
and thereof.

Given in Court Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1783

Nov 19  
Larabe  
N<sup>o</sup> 2

John Strong of Pittsfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff. Richard Larabe of a Place called Kinderhook in  
the County of Albany & State of New York yeoman Defendant in a Plea  
of Trespass on the Case for that the said Richard a Pittsfield to wit  
at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the fifteenth Day of  
September <sup>seventy four</sup> by his State for value received promised  
the said John to pay him or order six pounds seventeen  
Shillings & seven pence lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid. But nevertheless the said Richard after request hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
John fifteen Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by John Chandler William  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said John do recover against the said Richard ten  
Pounds thirteen Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 0. and thereof.

Given in Court Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1783

Morehouse  
Babers  
N<sup>o</sup> 2

George Morehouse of Kinderhook in the County of  
Albany and State of New York Husbandman. My is Elias Babers  
of Hartbridge in our County of Berkshire yeoman alias Gent<sup>l</sup>  
Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Elias at Kinderhook  
to wit at Springfield in our County of Hampshire on the twenty first  
Day of June last by his State for value received promised the said  
George to pay him or order ten pounds & ten Shillings meaning ten  
pounds & ten Shillings lawful Money of the State of New York equal  
to seven pounds seventeen Shillings & six pence of lawful Money  
on or before the first Day of September then next with the lawful  
interest untill paid and now the said George avers that the said  
first Day of September is long since passed. But nevertheless the  
said Elias after request hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said George twelve pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by John Chandler William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said George do recover  
against the said Elias seven pounds nineteen Shillings & four pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 2. 10. 0.  
and thereof.

Given in Court Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1783

George Morehouse of Kinderhook in the County of Albany & State of New York Husbandman Pley is Ruben Barnum of the Ashuelot River in our County of Berkshire Man of Law alias Subscrib of Partridgeville in our County of Berkshire Yeoman alias Gentle Depts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Ruben Elias at Kinderhook to wit at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the twenty fifth Day of January last by their Note or value received jointly & severally promised the said George to pay him or order twenty three pounds of New York Money equal to seventeen pounds & five Shillings of our lawful Money payable the first Day of June next with interest with the lawful Interest from the first Day of May meaning the first Day of the last May till paid and now the said George avers that the same first Day of June has long since expired and nevertheless the said Ruben & Elias the often requested have not paid the same but neglect to do it to the Damage of the said George twenty four Pounds the Pley appears by John Chandler Williams Gentle his Aff & the Depts the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said George do recover against the said Ruben & Elias fifteen pounds seven Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £2-4-2 and then of Use Given by Nov 20. 1783

Elijah Welch of Spencerston in the district of Hillsdale in the County of Albany & State of New York Physician Pley is Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in the County of Hampshire Physician Depts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Adams a Noble town to wit at Springfield in our County of Hampshire on the twentieth Day of July seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Welch to pay him forty Silver Dollars equal to twelve pounds of our lawful Money and as on the twentieth Day of September then next with Interest after said twentieth Day of Septm until paid and now the said Welch avers that the said twentieth Day of Septm has long since passed and nevertheless the said Adams the often requested has never paid the same or any part thereof but neglects to do it to the Damage of the said Elijah Welch seven pounds the Pley appears by John Chandler Williams Gentle his Aff & the Depts the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Welch do recover against the said Adams twelve pounds ten Shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2-2-2 and then of Use Given by Nov 20. 1783

Solomon Hollister of a Place New Brittain in the County of Albany & State of New York Joiner Pley is Jonathan Hirdell of Lenox in our County of Berkshire Yeoman Depts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Jonathan a Lenox viz at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the twenty fifth Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Solomon to pay him on demand two pounds & four Shillings lawful Money with Interest till paid and yet the said Jonathan the often requested has not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Solomon six pounds the Pley appears by John Chandler Williams Gentle his Aff and the Depts the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Solomon do recover against the said Jonathan two pounds six Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2-6-6 and then of Use Given by Nov 20. 1783

Libby

Miller

1<sup>o</sup> 29

Ephraim Libby of Littlefield in the County of Littlefield & State of Connecticut Esq. Plaintiff David Miller of Littlefield in our County of Berkshire Esq. Defendant in a Plea of Assumpsit for that the said David at Littlefield to wit at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the seventh Day of August last did promise one Saml. Libby to pay him or order on demand forty Shillings of lawful Money in silver or gold with interest till paid. Also for that the said David at Littlefield viz at Springfield aforesaid on the same seventh Day of August last did promise to the said Libby to pay him or order on demand one other sum of forty Shillings lawful Money in silver or gold with interest till paid in shillings viz on the same Day at Springfield aforesaid the said David by his several instruments on the each of the several dates in the his own hand subscribed for value received signed thus: Several Notes unto the said Ephraim & thereby ordered the contents of the same Notes then wholly due & unpaid to be paid unto the said Ephraim of all which the said David then & there instantly and Avow he became liable & charged to pay the contents aforesaid of the Notes aforesaid unto the said Ephraim according to the tenor thereof and being so liable & charged to the said Ephraim in consideration thereof promised the said Ephraim to pay him the same on Demand. Also for that the said David at Springfield aforesaid on the same seventh Day of August last being justly indebted unto the said Ephraim in one other sum of four Pounds of lawful Money for so much Money before that time had & received by the said David of the said Ephraim to the use of the said Ephraim then & there in consideration thereof promised the said Ephraim the same sum of money and the said Ephraim avow that he demanded the said sum of four Pounds lawful Money of the said David on the eighth Day of the same month viz at Springfield aforesaid. Whereupon the said David the often requested was never paid the same but neglected to the Damage of the said Ephraim six pounds. The Plaintiff says John Chandler & James Smith, Esq. Attys, the Deft. the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Ephraim do recover against the said David four Pound ten Shillings and ten pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.4.0. & thereof be. Given in Nov. 20. 1783

Glaser

Copie

Atty

Jonathan Clark of Chatham in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Esq. Plaintiff Noah Caplin of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Esq. Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Noah by assent of the said Clark on the eighth Day of June last did promise the said Clark to pay him or order on demand one hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised the said Clark to pay him or order on demand two Shillings in Spanish milled Dollars or six Shillings per Dollar or Gold equivalent in silver then & there from the Date with lawful interest till paid, which time of Payment is past. Yet the said Noah the often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Clark eighty Shillings. The Plaintiff says John Chandler & James Smith, Esq. Attys, the Deft. the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Jonathan do recover against the said Noah twenty one & a half Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.4.0. & thereof be. Given in Nov. 20. 1783

Lucey Bedortha of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs Simon Smith of the same West Springfield woman  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Simon at West Spring  
field yoresaid on the eighth Day of November seventeen hundred  
and seventy nine by his Note for value received promised the  
said Lucey to pay her twenty six pounds in silver at six shillings an  
eight pence an Ounce with Interest till paid. Yet the said Simon  
tho often requested has never paid the same sum or Interest but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Lucey thirty five Pounds.  
The Plea appears by Justin Ely Esqr his Att and the Deft tho three  
times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Lucey do recover  
against the Simon thirty pounds four shillings & one penny of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1s 1d & there of 1s 1d  
Upon 10<sup>th</sup> Nov 1780

Bedortha  
vs  
Smith  
1780

Aaron Halladay of Suffield in the County of Hampshire  
and State of Conne hint Plaintiff vs Enock White of South  
Hadley in our County of Hampshire woman alias called Ginter  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Enock at Blanford in  
County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of February last past by his  
Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him three hundred  
weight of good merchantable Wheats Flour to be delivered at Landon  
Benjamin Stubbins at West Springfield in three weeks from the  
date of said Note & the Plaintiff says that the same Flour at the time  
payment mentioned in said Note was well worth the forty four shil  
lings by the hundred & that he has always been ready and Stubbins  
to receive the same Flour according to tenon of said Note. Yet the  
Enock tho often requested in the full filled his said Promise to the Plaintiff  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Aaron six Pounds.  
The Parties mutually appear & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term. Wherefore it is ordered by the Court that  
said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of the next  
Term.

Halladay  
vs  
White  
1780

Ebenzer Dore of West Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Plaintiff vs Joseph Miller of Suffield in our County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Joseph at said West Springfield  
in the sixteenth Day of January last past by his Note for value received  
promised the Plaintiff to pay him sixteen Pounds eight shillings & one penny  
in demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said Joseph tho often requested  
hath never paid said sum or Interest and neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Ebenzer sixteen Pounds. The Plea appears by Justin Ely Esqr  
his Att & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
said Ebenzer do recover against the said Joseph sixteen pounds four shil  
lings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at 1s 1d & there of 1s 1d. ~~Upon 10<sup>th</sup> Nov 1780~~ which the said Joseph by Motion has  
Att comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the  
Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton on the last  
Tuesday of April next & he renounces with Jurat the Law direct  
for his presenting said Appeal with Effect & by said Court  
on File does appear.

Miller  
vs  
Dore  
1780

John Landon of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs John Landon of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said John Landon at West Springfield  
in the sixteenth Day of January last past by his Note for value received  
promised the Plaintiff to pay him sixteen Pounds eight shillings & one penny  
in demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said John tho often requested  
hath never paid said sum or Interest and neglects it to the Damage  
of the said John sixteen Pounds. The Plea appears by Justin Ely Esqr  
his Att & the Deft tho three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
said John do recover against the said John sixteen pounds four shil  
lings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at 1s 1d & there of 1s 1d. ~~Upon 10<sup>th</sup> Nov 1780~~ which the said John by Motion has  
Att comes into Court & appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the  
Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton on the last  
Tuesday of April next & he renounces with Jurat the Law direct  
for his presenting said Appeal with Effect & by said Court  
on File does appear.

Landon  
vs  
Landon  
1780

Lankton  
vs  
Hunt & al

Last Sunday of Apr. last written humarcell & twenty eight  
of the Judgement of the same Court he recovered Samuel & Benj<sup>a</sup>  
by the Name of Samuel Hunt yeoman & Benjamin Tupper yeoman  
both of Cheshirefield in the County of Hampshire twenty eight  
Pounds nine shillings and three pence lawful Money for his  
charges and three pounds one shilling & four pence for his  
costs & charges. Upon about six weeks in that a half expended  
time of the said Samuel & Benj<sup>a</sup> are once to show the record  
thereof in aforesaid Court of Common Pleas to be produced in  
manifest & appear which Judgement yet remains in its full force  
effect not satisfied nor reversed and the said John hath not by his counsel  
Execution in the Judgement aforesaid in Law, in fact nor recovered  
whereby in action with regard to the said John Lankton to Demand  
have of the said Samuel & Benjamin in the said Thirty two Pounds  
and seven pence. nevertheless the said Samuel & Benj<sup>a</sup> tho often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the said John & the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff appears by  
Austin Esq<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney the Defendant three times called to come  
into Court to make default of appearance here. These  
fore it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel & Benj<sup>a</sup>  
do recover against the said Samuel & Benj<sup>a</sup> forty two pounds  
nine shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed & settled by the Court & the said John Lankton  
upon J<sup>r</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 1783

Pratt vs Pratt  
vs  
Leonard  
No. 35

Jesse Prager yeoman & Jacob Miller yeoman both of  
West Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Samuel  
Leonard of the same West Springfield yeoman Defendant in a Plea  
of the Case for that said Samuel a Slave West Springfield on  
the twenty ninth Day of October seventeen hundred & seventy  
nine by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay  
him four hundred & sixty seven Pounds thirteen shillings lawful  
Money meaning Continental Currency on Demand, which the  
Plaintiff says is equal to twenty one Pounds sixteen shillings silver  
Money. Yet the said Samuel tho often requested hath never paid  
said sum to the Plaintiff or either of them (excepting only thirty six  
shillings being part thereof) but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Plaintiff & Jacob Twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by  
Austin Esq<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney the Defendant three times called to come  
into Court to make default of appearance. The Parties severally  
appear & on a Motion of the Plaintiff it is considered by  
the Court that the said Action be continued & that the Parties have Days  
re until the second Tuesday of February next.

Willcocks  
vs  
Balls & al  
No. 36

David Willcocks of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Plaintiff vs Joseph Ball late of  
West Springfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman deceased  
in the Hands & Possession of Joseph Ball late of said West Springfield  
yeoman Executor of the last will & Testament of said Joseph deceased  
in his Capacity Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Joseph Ball  
deceased a Slave West Springfield on the last Day of April seventeen  
hundred & eighty one being then alive was justly indebted  
to the Plaintiff in the sum of forty one shillings & five pence for sundry  
Drugs and Medicines then before that furnished the said Joseph deceased  
and as such a sum of Money there said Joseph then living  
promised the Plaintiff to pay him the same sum on Demand. And for that  
reason a Slave West Springfield on the same last Day of April the  
Plaintiff before that time at the special Instance & Request of the  
said Joseph deceased performed for him within other Labor within service  
and administered certain other Medicines besides those mentioned  
in the first Count. Whereby the said Joseph in Consideration thereof then  
and there promised & ought to pay him therefore so much Money as  
he reasonably deserves in respect of the said Joseph on demand & the said  
Plaintiff says he was justly indebted to have of the same Joseph the

further sum of forty one shillings & five pence of which the <sup>d</sup> Joseph then afterwards the same Day had Notice from the <sup>d</sup> David yet the <sup>d</sup> Joseph in his life time never paid said sum neither the <sup>d</sup> Joseph the Executor as aforesaid was paid either of since the Death of <sup>d</sup> Joseph the Testator but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> David three Pounds. The Plaintiff by Justin Esq Esq, his Att & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> David does recover against the <sup>d</sup> Joseph two pounds one shilling & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 6s & 6d & there of &c

Willocks  
J. W. Esq

Jonah Comise of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff before the Court in <sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that said At Springfield in <sup>d</sup> County on the last Day of September last past appeared before the Court in the sum of seven pounds nine shillings & nine pence one Farthing for the value of money which the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff there before that time was due to the use of the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff being as in detail in consideration thereof the <sup>d</sup> Defendant there promised the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff to pay him the same money on demand & yet the <sup>d</sup> Defendant the often requested hath never paid the same nor any part thereof excepting only eighteen shillings & six pence to the Damage of the said Jonah Ten Pounds. The Plaintiff by Justin Esq Esq, his Att & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Plaintiff does recover against the <sup>d</sup> Defendant seven pounds nine shillings & nine pence one Farthing of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 6s & 6d & there of &c

W. Esq  
J. W. Esq

John Russell of Milbrahm in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff before the Court in <sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff of Springfield in <sup>d</sup> County on the fifth Day of May last past by his Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup> one Edward Stuel to pay him on order three pounds & six shillings lawful Money on Demand with the lawful Interest for the same till paid & afterwards on the same Day no part of the Court with a Note having been paid by <sup>d</sup> Stuel to <sup>d</sup> Edward. <sup>d</sup> Edward there at 10 o'clock by his Indorsement on the back of said Note with his own proper hand subscribed assigned & indorsed the same Note to the <sup>d</sup> John for the value of him renewed & thereby directed & ordered him the <sup>d</sup> Stuel to pay the Contents thereof to the <sup>d</sup> John or his Order for the value thereof of him received whereof he the said Stuel hath there instantly afterwards had Notice & was & became liable & answerable to pay the Contents of the said Note to the <sup>d</sup> John or his Order on demand & yet said Stuel the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said John Four Pounds. The Plaintiff by Moses Bliss Esq, his Att & the Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>d</sup> John does recover against the <sup>d</sup> Stuel six shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 6s & 6d & there of &c

Russell  
J. W. Esq

John Harris of Milbrahm in the County of Hampshire Physician Plaintiff before the Court in <sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the value of money which the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff promised the said John to pay him on order six shillings lawful Money within six weeks from the date thereof with the lawful Interest for the same, & on the three till paid & yet said Harris the often requested hath never paid the same nor any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the

Harris  
J. W. Esq

Stearns. John twelve Pounds The Plt appears by Major Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
1792, the 3<sup>d</sup> of the three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Plt John do recover against the said Ephraim Ten Pounds fourteen  
Shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed  
at £10.0.0. Wherefore the said Ephraim by a new Morgan  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this  
Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
in and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April  
next he recognises with Sureties at the Law next to his  
execution, in all appeal with Effect & by Recognizance &  
File does appear

Appleton Robt<sup>ts</sup> of Northfield in the County  
of Hartford State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> The Plt Benjamin  
Bliss of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> in a  
Plea of the Case for that the said Benj<sup>l</sup> at Springfield  
on the twentieth Day of August last a hundred & twenty three  
pounds for value received promised the said then living to  
deliver him eleven pounds one Shilling & ten pence lawful  
Money worth of good merchantable Boards to be delivered at  
Northfield on or before the first Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> then next ensuing  
with Interest for the same untill paid, which Boards the said Benj<sup>l</sup>  
in his life time & Appleton since the Death of the said Benj<sup>l</sup> have been  
willing to receive of the said Benjamin & yet the said Benjamin has often  
requested hath never delivered said Boards or ever fulfilled his  
Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said Appleton twenty  
Pounds. The Plt appears by Major Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
the three times called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Appleton do recover against the said Benjamin seven pounds eight shillings  
& six pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed  
at £10.0.0. & thereof Given up. Dec<sup>r</sup> 3<sup>d</sup> 1793

Abner Loomise of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> The Plt Abel King of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> in a  
Plea of the Case for that said Abel at Wilbraham on the  
eighteen Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value received  
promised the said Abel to pay him or order twenty five Pounds six  
Shillings & two pence in lawful Money at six Shillings & eight pence  
by the Cune on or before the first Day of April last past with lawful  
Interest for the same from the Date thereof till paid. Yet the said Abel  
has requested hath not paid the same Sum or Interest but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Abner thirty Pounds. The Plt  
appears by Major Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Abner do recover against the said Abel twenty six pounds sixteen  
Shillings & a lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed  
at £10.0.0. Whereupon the said Abel by Gale & Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to  
the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in and  
for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next  
he recognises with Sureties at the Law next to his prosecuting his  
appeal with Effect & by Recognizance on File does appear

Mary Alsop of Middletown in the County of Hartford  
State of Connecticut Widow Administratrix on the Estate of  
Richard Alsop late of Middletown deceased Merchant in said  
County The Plt Taber Snow late of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Taber at  
said Springfield on the fifth Day of July last a hundred &  
twenty four shillings & six pence of value received promised the said Richard  
to pay him five pounds four shillings lawful Money within four

Months from the Date with Interest from the End of 3<sup>rd</sup> four Months  
until paid - yet said Sabie tho after requested hath never  
paid S. Sum to the S<sup>r</sup> Richard in his life time or to S<sup>r</sup> Mary since  
the Death of S<sup>r</sup> Richard but neglect it to the Damage of the said  
Mary Eleven pounds - The Plea appears by Marys Bill Ex<sup>r</sup>  
his Will the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that S<sup>r</sup> Mary do recover against the said Sabie eight Pounds  
fifteen Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at 10s 6d - Whereupon the said Sabie by S<sup>r</sup> John Chester  
Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judge  
ment of the Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Northampton with for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
of April next he recognises with S<sup>r</sup> J<sup>r</sup> as the Law directs for his  
prosecuting his Appeal with Eff<sup>t</sup> has in the Recognizance con-  
done appear

U<sup>x</sup> Parker of Amhurst in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> Plea is John Morgans Springfield in S<sup>r</sup> County of W<sup>t</sup> & Parker  
keeper of the Gaol in S<sup>r</sup> Springfield under Elisha Porten Esq<sup>r</sup> Sher-  
of S<sup>r</sup> County Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> John a head  
Springfield in the last Day of September last past was justly  
indicted for that S<sup>r</sup> Eli in the Sum of five Pounds sixteen Shillings  
lawful Money for so much Money before that Time had received  
by him the S<sup>r</sup> John to his the said Eli use he the said John then and  
there in consideration thereof promised the said Eli to pay him  
the same on demand - yet S<sup>r</sup> John tho after requested hath  
never paid said Sum but neglect it to the Damage of the said  
Eli Ten Pounds - The Plea appears by John Chester Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Eli do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> John five Pounds  
six Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s 6d  
and thereof &c -  
Given J<sup>o</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> 1788

Josua Smith Widow & Enos Smith gent<sup>l</sup> both of Hadley in  
our County of Hampshire & administration on the Estate of Elisham Smith Am<sup>r</sup>  
Smith late of said Hadley gent<sup>l</sup> deceased in S<sup>r</sup> Capacity Plea is  
Joah Smith of Hadley of Trade & Jonathan Chica of Northampton  
in the County of Cheshire & State of New Hampshire of the 1<sup>st</sup> Part  
a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Joah & Jon<sup>l</sup> at S<sup>r</sup> Hadley on the seventh Day  
of January seventeen hundred & seventy five by their Note for above  
promised the S<sup>r</sup> Elisham then living to pay in sixty Pounds lawful Money  
in one year from the Date of said Note with the lawful Interest for the  
same Sum till paid - yet the S<sup>r</sup> Joah & Jon<sup>l</sup> tho after requested never  
paid S<sup>r</sup> Sum to S<sup>r</sup> Elisham which living nor to S<sup>r</sup> Josua & Enos his said  
Administration since his Death but neglect it to the Damage of the said  
Josua & Enos in S<sup>r</sup> Capacity ninety six Pounds - The Parties mutually  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term -  
Wherefore it is considered that S<sup>r</sup> Parties be Day here untill the second  
Tuesday of February next -

Amble Bagg of Belbourn in our County of Hampshire gent<sup>l</sup>  
Plea is Silas Thag of the same Belbourn yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the  
Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Silas tho Belbourn on the thirtieth Day of March  
seventeen hundred & eighty One by his Note for value received promised  
the S<sup>r</sup> Amble to pay him or order four pounds four shillings & six pence  
silver Money in six Months from the Date of said Note meaning with  
lawful Interest after said time a penny not till paid - yet the S<sup>r</sup> Silas tho after re-  
quested hath never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Amble  
nine pounds - The Parties mutually appear & agree to have this Case  
continued to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
said Parties be Day here untill the second Tuesday of February next -

Miller Esq

vs

No 46

John Miller of Fife William in the County of Berkshire & State of New Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> vs Josiah Brad of Montague in our County of Hampshire yeoman & John a. "He that the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah tender to him fourteen pounds, six shillings & ten pence which from him ~~he~~ he unjustly detains & whereon this S<sup>d</sup> John says that a Supreme Court of Judicature holden at Northampton within & for the aforesaid County of Berkshire on the second Tuesday of May next then he did & ought to have by the Judgement of the said Court recovered against the said Josiah by the name of Josiah Brad of Montague in the County of Berkshire yeoman nine pounds sixteen shillings & six pence lawful Money <sup>for his</sup> Damages by reason of S<sup>d</sup> Josiah's not performing his before that time made to said John, also for the sum of four pounds nine shillings & ten pence for his & other charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended whereof the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah conveys a copy of the Record of S<sup>d</sup> Court in Court to be produced may appear which Judgement yet remains in full force not satisfied or reversed whereby Action accrues to the said John to demand & have of the said Josiah the sum aforesaid in form aforesaid moneys amounting in the whole to fourteen pounds four shillings & four pence together with one shilling & six pence for his & other expenses sued aid of said Court - yet the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah the afterwards to wit on the last Day of July last past at Springfield aforesaid often after wards requested hath never paid said sums but neglected to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> John seventeen pounds - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the 1<sup>st</sup> of the 3<sup>rd</sup> time publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Josiah of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court & taxed at £14-0-0

Wilson

Thimpland

No 47

John Wilson of Warwick in our County of Hampshire Physician vs William Thimpland of Northfield in S<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Debt in a Plea of Trepass on the Case for that William Thimpland on the ninth Day of February last past by his Note for value received promised & covenanted & agreed to pay in or order thirty four one shilling & ten pence three farthings on demand with Interest till paid & afterwards to wit on the eleventh Day of February last past S<sup>d</sup> Thimpland by his Adornment on the Back of said Note ordered the Content of S<sup>d</sup> Note then wholly due & unpaid to be made to the Pl<sup>ff</sup> of all which this S<sup>d</sup> Thimpland then & there instantly had Notice & so became liable to pay the same to S<sup>d</sup> Wilson being so liable this S<sup>d</sup> Thimpland then & there in consideration thereof promised S<sup>d</sup> Wilson to pay him the same sum on Demand - yet this S<sup>d</sup> Thimpland the often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof but neglected it to the Damage of the said John forty seven pounds - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the 1<sup>st</sup> of the 3<sup>rd</sup> time called to come into Court makes default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> William thirty seven pounds thirteen shillings & four pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court & taxed at £37-0-0 Wherefore this S<sup>d</sup> William by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the 1<sup>st</sup> of the 3<sup>rd</sup> time called to come into Court appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton on the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his performing his Appeal with Effect as by Recognizance on File does appear

Benjamin Rumrell of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff vs. Horchiah Gaylord of Hinsdale in the County of Cum-  
berland & State of New York yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for  
that the said Horchiah at Northfield in the County of Hampshire on the  
twentieth Day of September last past by his Att. for value received promised  
to pay him twelve pounds eight shillings lawful Money on  
demand with Interest (meaning with lawful Interest) till paid.  
but neglecting it to the Damage of the said Ebenezer fourteen Pounds.  
The Plaintiff by John Chester Williams Esq. with the Deft.  
the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here & therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Plaintiff do recover against the said Horchiah thirteen Pounds four  
shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at 10. 6. & three pence.  
Given at New Bedford Nov. 21. 1803

Rumrell  
vs  
Gaylord  
N. H.

John Waldo Woods late of Blanford in our County of  
Hampshire now of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of Con-  
necticut Plaintiff vs. Robert Hunter of Marlborough in the County of  
Middlesex Husbandman & Stephen Allen of the same Marlborough  
Husbandman Defs in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the  
John by the Consideration of our Justices of our Supreme Judicial  
Court holden at Concord within 400 yds. of our County of Middlesex on  
the second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred & eighty two recd.  
Judgement against this Robert for the sum of thirty five Pounds  
one shilling and six pence Debt & Damage & six pence two shillings  
and two pence in like Mon. & Costs of Suit, whereupon this John on  
the twenty second Day of April in the same year purchased a writ  
out of the Clerk's Office of our same Court one writ of Exon in due  
form of Law directed to the Sheriff of our County of Hampshire  
his undersheriff or Deputy & with the said John there afterwards on  
the same Day delivered to the Sheriff of our County of  
Hampshire & ordered & requested him to serve & execute on  
twenty Casks of Blown the Goods & Chattels of this Robert then  
in the store of one Thomas Williston in Springfield aforesaid and  
as far as of the Court according to law to satisfy Execution, and the  
Robert & Stephen well knowing the Premises maliciously & wickedly con-  
triving & intending to injure & defraud this John & prevent him obtain-  
ing his Debt & Costs aforesaid adjudged to him as aforesaid, the said  
at Springfield aforesaid afterwards on the same Day conspired together  
against this John to injure & defraud him as aforesaid & thereupon  
the said Robert made a fraudulent conveyance of the said to the said  
Stephen to avoid the Debt & Duty of this Robert to the said John not  
bonafide without a good & valuable Consideration whereby the said  
Stephen conspiring with the Robert as aforesaid under colour & by  
means of a fraudulent conveyance brought a suit against the said  
John to vex, grieve, & oppress him whereby this John was put to great  
expence, Costs & Trouble & also for that the said Robert & Stephen then  
afterwards at Cambridge on the twelfth Day of January last past con-  
triving & intending further to injure & defraud this John conspired  
together against him & then & there procured sundry Persons (viz) Robert  
Hunter, Silas Barn, Peter Wood, William Gagswell and Jobe Goodale  
falsely to testify on a Subpoena issued from the Clerk's Office of the Supreme Ju-  
dicial Court to the said Court to appear at the same Court holden at  
Cambridge on the second Tuesday of December being the tenth Day of said  
Month & seventeen hundred & eighty two in & for the County of Middlesex  
to give Evidence of what they knew in an Action to be heard & tried at  
the Court between Stephen & John where as in fact the aforesaid  
Robert, Silas & Job were not summoned nor witnesses in the Case &  
that the aforesaid Peter Wood & William Gagswell traveled fifty Miles

Woods  
vs  
Robert Hunter  
Stephen Allen  
N. H.

Woods  
11  
Hunters

whereas in fact they neither of them never traveled at all and that they attended seven days when it was to be attended but one day each, where by the sum of five pounds seven shillings & four pence was wrongfully made up in the Bill of Costs against the said John in his last mentioned Execution issued against the said John for the same big means of which he was arrested & imprisoned for a long time and until he had satisfied the whole of said Execution containing the sum of five pounds seven shillings & four pence as aforesaid inserted into Bill of Costs which is to the damage of the said John one hundred & twenty pounds. The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq. his Att. & the Deft by Moses Bligh Esq. their Att. came & denied the Force & Injury when they say they are not guilty in Manner & Form as the Plaintiff in his Declaration hath alleged & they put themselves on the Country and the said John would do so like wise & it was therefore the Jury according to the Form & Effect of the Statute in such case made & provided at this time returned & impartially being likewise demanded come here to say the Truth concerning the Promises being duly sworn upon their Oath by Daniel Lamb their Foreman that they find the Deft not guilty. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Deft do recover against the Plaintiff their Costs for & after all which the said John by Moses Bligh Esq. his Att. comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at a N. in Southampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by his Recognizance on Record appears.

Truman  
11  
Bennet  
No 50

Ebenzer Truman of Norwich in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Daniel Bennet of the same Norwich Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Daniel at the late Murraysfield present Court in our County on the twelfth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised Ebenzer forty nine Pounds lawful Money to pay him on or before the twenty fifth Day of December then next. yet the said Daniel the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Ebenzer fifty five Pounds. The Parties severally appeared & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term the Deft not to appeal at that Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Clay  
11  
Kilston  
No 51

Timothy Day of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Jonathan Kilston of Granville in our County Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Jonathan at West Springfield on the tenth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the said Timothy to pay him three pounds lawful Money in three Months from the Date of said Note with Interest from the Time of payment till paid. yet the said Jonathan the often requested & time of payment long since past hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Timothy eight Pounds. The Plaintiff by Samuel Fowler Esq. his Att. & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Tim<sup>s</sup> do recover against the said Jonathan four pounds sixteen shillings & three pence & Costs of Court taxed at thirty shillings and there of one.

Given Nov<sup>r</sup> 26. 1783



[illegible]

Final

Heaven

• 3 •

Shillings & six pence by the Bushel & the Plaintiff that he  
always has been ready to receive & what yet the D. Elijah  
has often requested hath not performed his D. Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the D. Samuel twelve Pounds  
The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D<sup>t</sup>  
the three times publickly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - & therefore it is considered  
by the Court that D. Samuel do recover against the said  
Elijah eleven pounds & six shillings of Lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed a <sup>£</sup>1-0-0 & thereof &c  
Done this 17<sup>th</sup> of 1703

James  
Blackman

Amos Clark of Norwich in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plf vs Eli Clap of Southampton in the County of Down  
D<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original writ  
on file - The Parties severally appears and Motion of the  
D<sup>t</sup> agree to have this Case continued to the next term -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have  
Day here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Green  
1707

Enoch Shephard of Chelsea in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plf vs Asa Rose of Norwich in the County of New London  
as that of Connecticut yeoman D<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for  
that the D. Asa at Haverhill in a D<sup>t</sup> Che<sup>r</sup> in the twentieth  
day of March seven hundred & eighty two by his Vote for value  
received promised the said Enoch to pay him or order thirteen  
Pounds ten shillings & nine pence Lawful silver Money on Demand  
with an meaning Lawful Interest till paid - yet that Asa the  
often requested hath not performed his D. Promise but neglects it  
to the Damage of the D. Enoch twenty Pounds - The Plf appears  
by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D<sup>t</sup> the three times publickly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. Enoch do recover  
against the said Asa fourteen Pounds seven shillings & ten pence  
by Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed a <sup>£</sup>1-0-0  
and thereof &c

Shephard  
Rose  
N<sup>o</sup> 60

Peter Clap of Southampton in the County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plf vs Stephen Hubbard of Northampton in the County  
of Northampton D<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original  
Writ on file - The Parties severally appears, yet to have this  
Case continued to the next term Judgement then to be final -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. Parties have Day  
here until the second Tuesday of February next.

Clap  
Hubbard  
N<sup>o</sup> 61

Elihu Tutor of East Windsor in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Surgeon Plf vs Dan Rice of Granby  
in our County of Hampshire yeoman D<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case  
for that the said Elihu at Springfield in the County of Hampshire  
on the last Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> last at the special Instance & request of the  
D. Dan had done & performed for him sundry D<sup>t</sup>s, dressing in  
Medicine, & that Dan in consideration thereof assumed on himself  
and faithfully Promised the D. Elihu to pay him therefor as much  
Money as he the D. Elihu Reasonably deserved for the same & yet he  
and Medicine on demand. Now in fact that Elihu was that  
reasonably deserved to have for the same D<sup>t</sup>s & dressing &c. His  
eleven Pounds & ten shillings Lawful Money as which he had  
Notice - yet the said Dan the often requested hath not performed  
his D. Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the D. Elihu fifteen  
Pounds - The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and  
the D<sup>t</sup> the three times publickly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the D. Elihu do recover against the said Dan eleven Pounds & ten

Tutor  
Rice  
N<sup>o</sup> 62

Shillings of Lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court taxed at  
£. 5. 6. After all which the 3<sup>d</sup> Day of June 1783, by  
the Court into Court, appeared from the Judgment of this Court  
in the premises, Judgment to be had in the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next  
and he recognises with interest to the said debt for his prosecuting his  
said Appeal with Effect as by the Recognizance on file does appear.

Morgan  
v  
Gard  
N<sup>o</sup> 12

John Morgan of Springfield in our County of Ham-  
shire, Plaintiff, vs. James at Cadys of the Ashmole & Equivalents  
in our County of Berkshire Gentle, Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for  
the Defendant at Springfield on the twenty fifth Day of  
April last past by his Note as follows: I do promise the  
John to pay him on demand ten Pounds ten shillings & six pence  
with Interest for the same until paid. Yet the said Jeremiah  
tho often requested hath never performed his said Promise but neglects  
to do so to the Damage of the said John fifteen Pounds. The Plaintiff  
by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Defendant three times called to  
come into Court makes default by appearance here. Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the Defendant  
ten pounds sixteen shillings & six pence of Lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £. 4. 2. & thereupon

Done the 3<sup>d</sup> Day of June 1783

John  
v  
Dewey  
N<sup>o</sup> 13

John Morgan of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff, vs. The Shaddens of the County of Berkshire  
Gentlemen in a Plea of the Case for the Defendant in the  
County of Hampshire on the twenty seventh Day  
of March seventeen hundred eighty one by his Note for value  
received to promise the said John to pay him eight pounds eight  
shillings Lawful Money on demand. Yet the said Shaddens tho  
often requested hath not performed his Promise as aforesaid but ne-  
glects to do so to the Damage of the said John twelve pounds. The Plaintiff  
by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Defendant three times  
called to come into Court makes default by appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do  
recover against the said Shaddens seven pounds twelve shillings  
and three pence of Lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court taxed at  
£. 9. 10. & thereupon

Done the 3<sup>d</sup> Day of June 1783

John  
v  
Tarry  
N<sup>o</sup> 14

Anthaniel Tarry of Walsingham in our County of  
Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff vs. Stephen Tarry the second, David Tarry  
the second both of Christchurch in our County of Hampshire Gentlemen  
In a Plea of the Case for the Defendant at Ham Dover on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Northampton  
in our County of Hampshire on the ninth Day of August seventeen hundred  
and eight. Tarry vs. Tarry. I do promise the said Anthaniel to pay him on order six pounds six shillings Lawful  
Money on demand with Interest to be paid. Yet the said  
Stephen & David tho often requested have not performed their  
said Promise but neglect it to the Damage of the said Anthaniel eight  
pounds. The said Stephen & David appeared in Court to answer this  
Case continued to the next Term. Thereupon it is considered  
by the Court that the said Stephen & David do pay the said Anthaniel  
Tuesday of February next.

Lamb  
v  
Allen  
N<sup>o</sup> 15

Ann Lamb of the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Daniel Allen of the County of  
Hampshire Gentle. My Petition All my Cambridge in our County  
of Hampshire & gentleman called gentle. Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for  
the Defendant at Springfield on the sixteenth Day of May last past by his Note  
for value received promised the said Ann to pay him on order six  
pounds on demand with Interest to be paid. Yet the said Daniel  
tho often requested hath never performed his said Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Ann twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff  
by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Defendant three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default by appearance here.

Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Escheat sixteen pounds nine shillings & seven pence lawful money Damages costs of Court taxed at £ 14. 10. & therefor  
 Upon s<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 3<sup>d</sup> 1783

William Hancock Esq. in the County of the City and State of Connecticut Blacksmiths Pl. vs. Dudley Wade of Ludlow in our County of Hampshire Physician Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the 5<sup>d</sup> day of a 1<sup>st</sup> Ludlow on the thirty first day of May last past by his Atty for value received promised said William to pay him fourteen Pounds & five shillings on demand with Interest till paid - yet this 5<sup>d</sup> Dudley the after requested hath never performed his<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglect it to the Plea of the 5<sup>d</sup> William sixteen Pounds - The Pl. appears by Atty of the Court his Atty the 1<sup>st</sup> of the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that this<sup>d</sup> William do recover against Dudley fourteen Pounds sixteen shillings & one penny in piece of Lawful Money Damages Costs of Court to red a 1<sup>st</sup> £ 15 - 2 - 0 & thereupon the Deft in his or a Proper Person comes into Court and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in for the County of Hampshire on the 20<sup>th</sup> last Tuesday of April next he recognizeth with Sureties as the Law direct for his prosecuting his appeal with Effect & by his Negligence a non File does appear -

William Smith & Charles Sheldon vs. Thos. of Springfield vs. our County of Hampshire Gentlemen Messrs Dudley Wade of Ludlow in s<sup>d</sup> County Physician Deft in a Case of the Case for that said Dudley aboard Springfield on the sixth Day of March last past by his Note for value received promised s<sup>d</sup> William & Charles to pay them or order two pounds twelve shillings & four pence by the first Day of May last with Interest till paid. Yet the s<sup>d</sup> Dudley tho' often requested hath never performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said William & Charles Four Pounds. The Plffs appear by Alex<sup>th</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty & the Deft tho' three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> William & Charles do recover against the said Dudley two pounds thirteen shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £ 2-10. Thereupon the s<sup>d</sup> Dudley in his own proper Person comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his s<sup>d</sup> Appeal with Effect as by s<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File does appear.

Maps Bissell Junr. of East Windsor in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Yeoman Ply is Evidences & Remittall of moneys  
paid in our County of Hampshire yeoman Dept in a Part of the Carriage  
that at Hadley in sd County of Hampshire on the fifteenth  
day of April 1810 in hundred & eighty two in consideration that the  
sd Maps had before that time sold & delivered to sd Ebenzer at his  
request four Tickets in Chipmunk Bridge Lottery so called the said  
Ebenzer promised the sd Maps to pay him the usual Price & said  
Tickets in Money when demanded & sd Maps in fact saith that  
the usual price of sd Tickets were were twelve shillings for each  
Ticket of which sd Ebenzer then had notice & and also for that the sd  
Ebenzer at said Hadley on the fourth Day of November last by his  
Note or Writing of that Date in consideration that the sd Maps  
had before that time sold & delivered to him four other Tickets

Bipell

Rumill

in S. Chicopee Bridge Lottery promised the S. Mosey to answer  
 for the same unto Leonard Mosey of Springfield of record  
 meaning that the S. Ebenezer would pay unto the S. Leonard  
 the use of the said Mosey the price of four tickets being  
 forty eight shillings when so ever he should come also for that  
 the said Ebenezer & Leonard Mosey in the same fourth Day of Nov.  
 by his other note for value received promised the Mosey  
 to pay him <sup>or order</sup> one thousand four hundred & sixteen shillings  
 on demand with interest till paid, and also for that  
 the said Ebenezer & Leonard Mosey in the same fourth Day of  
 Nov. by his other note for value received promised to  
 have to pay him or order one thousand four hundred  
 on demand with interest till paid, yet the said  
 Ebenezer the after requested hath never performed his said  
 Promises or either of them but neglects it to the Damage of  
 said Mosey five & six Pounds. The Mosey appears by Alex.  
 Walcott Gent. his 24th on the Deft the three times called to come  
 into making default of appearance here. Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the Mosey do recover against the  
 said Ebenezer fourteen pounds two shillings & seven pence  
 of lawful & true Damage & Costs of Court taxed at £ 4. 10  
 Wherefore the Deft in his own proper Person comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
 Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
 and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
 of April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law direct  
 for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by said  
 Recognizance on file do appear.

Ex. 100

2 in the  
page

Jonathan Dwight of Springfield in our County  
 of Hampshire Merchant Mosey Daniel Smith of Laverthor  
 said County of Essex Esq. in a Plea of the Case for that S.  
 Daniel at Northampton County on the eighth Day of August  
 one thousand eight hundred & eighty one by his note for value received  
 promised and Ebenezer Smith to pay him or order seven pounds  
 twelve shillings by the first Day of June then next ensuing  
 with interest till paid, and for that the S. Ebenezer afterwards  
 in said Springfield the Court at said State being then wholly  
 unpaid & unsatisfied by his Indorsements on the back of said  
 note which are on hand writing ordered the Court to there to  
 be paid & satisfied unto the said Daniel whereof the Daniel had  
 notice & then & there promised the S. Jonathan to pay him the  
 same with interest till paid, yet the S. Daniel the after  
 requested & time of payment long since elapsed hath not  
 performed his promise for so he but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said Jonathan & Daniel. The Mosey appears by  
 Alex. Walcott Gent. his 24th on the Deft the three times called  
 to come into Court making default of appearance here.  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S. Jonathan do recover  
 against the S. Daniel eight Pounds five shillings & five pence of  
 lawful & true Damage & Costs of Court taxed at £ 4. 10  
 Wherefore the Deft in his own proper Person comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
 Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
 and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
 of April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law direct  
 for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by said  
 Recognizance on file do appear.

Ex. 100

2 in the  
page

Jonathan Dwight of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Merchant Mosey Jonathan Smith of Laverthor said  
 County of Essex Esq. in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jonathan  
 at Northampton County on the twenty sixth Day of August  
 last by his note for value received promised the S. Jonathan  
 to pay him or order six pounds six shillings & five pence  
 of lawful & true Damage & Costs of Court taxed at £ 4. 10  
 Wherefore the Deft in his own proper Person comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
 Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
 and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
 of April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law direct  
 for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by said  
 Recognizance on file do appear.

Damage of the said Andrews Eighth Pounds — The Plaintiff  
by Alexander Walcott Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default & appears  
here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Andrews do  
recover against the said Jonathan six pounds eight shillings &  
four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
Lib<sup>ty</sup> 2. — & thereof

115

Daniel Cooley of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for the said Stephen a<sup>d</sup> Amherst on the  
twenty third Day of February last past by his Note for value  
received promised said Daniel to pay him & deliver to him  
six thousand Feet of Boards worth nine Pounds to deliver on one  
thousand of the same Boards to said Daniel at Amherst before  
or to deliver five thousand of the same at a Place called Locks  
Mills in Shutebury within the same County, four thousand of  
the same to be good white Pine including Boards four thousand  
long, free of Knots of good, sufficient thickness and to de-  
liver the whole of the aforesaid Boards at the Place of Delivery  
aforesaid on or before the middle of April then next & said  
Daniel says he was always ready & so Places as delivery to  
Receive the same Boards & so Stephen — yet the said Stephen  
has often requested but never paid & delivered the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Daniel twelve Pounds — The Plaintiff  
Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do recover against the  
said Stephen eight Pounds ten shillings & eight pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at Lib<sup>ty</sup> 2. — & thereof  
Exon<sup>is</sup> D<sup>ie</sup> 10. 1703

Cooley

Strong

Nov 2

Daniel Shillogh J<sup>r</sup> of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for the said Warham a<sup>d</sup> Amherst on the first  
Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised said Daniel to pay him or order twenty Pounds  
two shillings & three pence in lawful silver Money on demand  
with Interest till paid — yet the said Warham has often requested  
but never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Daniel twenty five Pounds — The Plaintiff by Simon Strong  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel do recover against  
said Warham fourteen Pounds six shillings & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at Lib<sup>ty</sup> 2. — & thereof  
Thereupon the Def<sup>t</sup> by John Philip<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes  
into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for  
the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he  
recognizes with sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his said  
appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on File does appear —

Shillogh J<sup>r</sup>

Smith

No 1

Warham Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case for the said Philip & Silas  
late of Laverth in said County Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for the  
said Philip & Silas a<sup>d</sup> Hadley on the Eleventh Day of December  
seventeen hundred & eighty two by their Note for value re-  
ceived said Warham to pay him in Spanish milled Dollars  
within six Months thence next following — yet the said Philip & Silas

Philip & Silas

No 74

the often requested have never paid this sum but neglect  
it to the Damage, the D. L. has paid six pounds. The Plaintiff  
appears by Simon Strong Esq. his atty, the Deft the three times  
called to come into Court makes default & appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff  
do recover against the D. L. the sum of six pounds five shillings  
and four pence of lawful Money Damages & both of Court  
taxed at 2s. 12d. & there costs. Given by the Court 1793.

3d Decr  
at Court  
1793

Lucy Hubbard of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff vs. Joseph Norton of Amherst in the County of Devon  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the D. L. Joseph Norton  
on the twentieth day of August last past by his atty for value  
received promised to pay her an order for value received  
seven shillings & ten pence in lawful silver Money on demand  
with Interest till paid. Yet the D. L. Joseph Norton the often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Lucy nine pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Simon Strong  
Esq. his atty, the Deft the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default & appearance here. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the D. L. Lucy do recover against  
the D. L. Joseph Norton four pounds thirteen shillings & one penny of  
lawful Money Damages & both of Court taxed at 2s. 12d. 5  
Therefore the Deft by John Chetwin Williams Esq. his atty  
comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this  
Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at North  
ampton in the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
of April next & he recognises with sureties as the Law directs  
for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by the Recognizance  
on File does appear.

Beal & al  
Harr  
No 76

Jacob Beal yeoman & Joseph Fost yeoman both  
of Cammington in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Elias Barr  
of Hatfield in the County of Devon Deft in a Plea of the Case  
as may be seen at large in the Original Writ. The Parties severally  
appear on a Motion of the Deft agree to have this Case  
continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the  
second Tuesday of February next.

at Court  
No 77

Isaiah Balthazard of Amherst in our County of  
Hampshire Plaintiff vs. William Jones of Hatfield in our County  
of Devon Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the D. L. William Jones  
on the twenty second day of June last past by his atty for value received promised  
to pay him an order for value received promised  
one shilling & six pence in lawful silver Money within one year then next following with  
Interest after the time of payment till paid & afterwards to wit on  
the thirtieth day of Sept. last past that the D. L. William Jones by his  
Indorsement in writing and Note with his own hand subscribed  
ordered the Contn. of said Note then wholly well & unpaid  
to be paid to the said Plaintiff or order for value received  
& the said Plaintiff then had notice & so became chargeable  
to pay said Contn. to the said Plaintiff according to the  
tenor of said Note & of Indorsement thereon & then there in  
writing on there promised said Plaintiff to pay him the  
same accordingly. Yet the said William Jones the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Plaintiff five shillings & one penny. The Plaintiff appears by Simon Strong  
Esq. his atty, the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
makes default & appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Plaintiff do recover against the D. L. William Jones five shillings & one penny of  
lawful Money Damages & both of Court taxed at 2s. 12d. & there costs. Given by the Court 1793.

Samuel Stebins of Granpula in our County of Hampshire joinen  
 My v Rubeen Wells of Granpula Gentle. In a Plea of the Case  
 for that S. Rubeen of Springfield in sd County on the eleventh  
 Day of August last past by his Note for value received promised  
 said Samuel to pay him or order forty seven pounds Lawful Money  
 on Demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said Rubeen tho often  
 requested hath never paid sd Sum but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said Samuel fifty Pounds. The Ply appears by Samuel  
 Barnard Gentle. his Atty to the Dykt tho three times publicly  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel do  
 recover against the said Rubeen forty seven Pounds & other Shillings  
 & one penny of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
 £200. Thereupon the Dykt by John Chester Williams Esq. his  
 Atty comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this  
 Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
 in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
 of April next to be recognized with Sureties as the Law directs for  
 his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance  
 on file does appear.

Stebins  
 Wells  
 No 79

John Williams of Roxbury in our County of Suffolk  
 Trader My v Johnathan M. Gee of Colrain in our County of  
 Hampshire Yeoman Dykt in a Plea of the Case for that the  
 said John at Springfield in sd County of Hampshire on the twelfth  
 Day of November last past by his Note for value received promised  
 said John to pay him or order nine Pounds Lawful Money on  
 demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said John tho often  
 requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said John twelve Pounds. The Ply appears by  
 Samuel Barnard Gentle. his Atty and the Dykt tho three times  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover  
 against the said John nine pounds ten Shillings & nine pence  
 by Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £200.  
 Thereupon the Dykt in his own proper Person comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
 Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for  
 the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April last to be  
 recognized with Sureties as the Law directs for his Prosecuting  
 his Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file does  
 appear.

Williams  
 M. Gee  
 No 79

John Williams of Roxbury in our County of Suffolk  
 Trader My v Thomas M. Gee Junr of Colrain in our County of  
 Hampshire Yeoman Dykt in a Plea of the Case for that the  
 said John at Springfield in sd County of Hampshire on the twenty fifth day  
 of November last past by his Note for value received promised  
 said John to pay him or order twenty Pounds Lawful Money  
 on Demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said Thomas  
 should not pay the yoresaid Sum with the Interest  
 double the yoresaid Sum to the said John on Demand. Yet  
 the said Thomas tho often requested hath never paid the Court with  
 Note but neglects it to the Damage of the said John forty Pounds.  
 The Ply appears by Samuel Barnard Gentle. his Atty to the Dykt tho  
 three times called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here therefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do  
 recover against the said Thomas  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
 and thereof

Williams  
 M. Gee Junr  
 No 80

[illegible]

Oliver Smith, Enos Smith, Jonathan Smith Gentlemen  
with in with yeoman Peter Smith yeoman all of Hadley in our County  
of Hampshire Mps is to acknowledge that said Hadley Gent<sup>l</sup> Jonathan  
Child of Hartford in the County of Berkshire State of New Hampshire  
gave Depts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Depts at  
Hadley asorced on the seventh Day of January seventeen hundred  
and seventy five by their Note for value received promise the Mps  
to pay them sixty Pounds lawful Money in one year from the Date of  
said Note with Interest till paid, yet the said Depts <sup>on the 10th of June</sup> have not paid the contents of said Note but neglect it to the Damage of the  
said Mps ninety five Pounds, the Parties severally appeared agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term, and it is considered  
that the Justices have a Day here untill the second Sunday of the next

John King of Northampton in our County Hampshire  
e. atk, It is John Clark of Barnet in s<sup>d</sup> County. In Superior Court  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for the W<sup>d</sup>. Abner a W<sup>d</sup>. of Northampton  
on the fifth day of June seventeen hundred & seventy nine by his Note  
for value well &c. promised the D<sup>d</sup> John to pay him one hundred and  
twenty Pounds on Demand to be made as good as he received  
with Interest untill paid. Yet s<sup>d</sup> Abner tho' often requested hath  
refused the Content as said. To be but neglect it for the Damage of  
the D<sup>d</sup> John fifteen Pounds. The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by C. W. Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> the D<sup>d</sup> the three times probably called to come into Court mat<sup>r</sup>  
and to appear & use. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that s<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the said Abner. & return Bound. his killing  
of a Cow of s<sup>d</sup> John's. & of s<sup>d</sup> John's tax at £. 10. 0. -  
Upon this Day by Justin Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals  
from the Judgment at s<sup>d</sup> Court to the Supreme Judicial Court his s<sup>d</sup> order  
to the report. & s<sup>d</sup> John is on the 14<sup>th</sup> of January  
of April next and he recognizes with sureties at the Law doers for his  
prosecuting his Appeal with Effect. as by said Recognizance on File  
doe appear.

Abner Loomise of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>le</sup> 117  
 vs  
 Abel Bragg of what day ins<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Gent<sup>le</sup> 118  
 Trespass on the Case for that the said Abel afo<sup>d</sup> Hatfield on the twenty  
 third Day of July last past by his note for value received promised  
 Abner to pay him or order sixteen Pounds sixteen Shillings in silver  
 Money at the Rate of six Shillings eight pence Downe at or before  
 the sixth Day of September then next with Interest till paid &c  
 But the said Abel requested hath never paid the contents of the note  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the said Abner nineteen Pounds  
 The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
 times publicly called to come into court ma<sup>d</sup> default &  
 of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 said Abner to recover against the D<sup>f</sup> the said nineteen Pounds on  
 Shilling & more pence of lawful Money Damage & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £1. 10. 4 & there of &c Exon<sup>r</sup> if.

Samuel Mather of Wiltfield in our County of Hamp<sup>shire</sup>  
 shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Eliza Mather of Wiltfield in our County of Hamp<sup>shire</sup>  
 of Northampton ins<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> the last will & testament  
 of Samuel Mather late of Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased ins<sup>d</sup> Capacity  
 of Samuel Mather of Hadley ins<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Administrator with the will  
 annexed & all singular the said will & testament Rights & Credits of Gideon Mather  
 late of Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased which was not administered by Eliza  
 Mather late of Hadley deceased who was Executor of the last will & testament  
 of the D<sup>f</sup> Gideon in his Capacity Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
 the D<sup>f</sup> Gideon in his life time to wit on the fourth Day of August next  
 hundred & sixty three by his note for value received promised the said Samuel  
 the Testator then alive to pay him or order fourteen pounds five shillings & three  
 pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid &c also for that the  
 said Gideon in his life time to wit on the third Day of April next hundred  
 and twenty five a Northampton aforesaid in consideration that the said Samuel  
 the Testator had then before that time at the special Instances & Request of the  
 said Gideon of the said done & performed for the said Gideon seven & a half  
 service in the Building of a Physician & had then before that time at the like Request  
 & Instance of the said Gideon sold & delivered to him Livestock & Medicines  
 apparel on himself & to the said Samuel then alive then faithfully prom<sup>ised</sup>  
 that he the D<sup>f</sup> Gideon or much Money as the same Samuel for the same Work labor  
 and service Goods & Medicines should reasonably deserve to have & the lawful  
 Interest there of whenever after he should be thereto requested will & faithfully  
 would pay & content and then Samuel Eliza & Timothy Executors aforesaid  
 over that for the same Work labor service Goods & Medicines the D<sup>f</sup> Samuel  
 the Testator reasonably deserved to have of the said Gideon the sum of ten Pounds  
 & six shillings & ten pence of which the said Gideon then afterwards the same Day  
 had Notice &c yet the said Gideon in his life time or the D<sup>f</sup> Eliza who was  
 Executor of the last will & testament of the said Gideon after the Death of the said  
 Gideon & during the life time of the D<sup>f</sup> Eliza or the D<sup>f</sup> Thomas after the Death of  
 the D<sup>f</sup> Eliza the said the said requested have not nor hath either of them paid  
 either of the sums aforesaid to the said Samuel the Testator in his life time or to the  
 said Executors of the same Samuel after his Death or any part of either of the said  
 sums but neglects it to the Damage of the said Samuel Eliza & Timothy Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 as aforesaid in their capacity the said Pounds The Parties severally appeared on  
 a Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup> to have this case continued to the next Term  
 Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>f</sup> is in arrears here on  
 the second Tuesday of February next

David Wood of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Abner Glath of Norwiche ins<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire  
 vs Her a Trespass on the Case for that the said Abner afo<sup>d</sup> Norwiche on the  
 twenty fifth Day of February last past by his note for value received promised  
 David to pay him twenty Pounds lawful Money upon Condition that he  
 (meaning the said David) should serve in the Continental Service for the  
 Town of Brantree while the twenty ninth Day of June then next with Interest  
 from then till paid meaning to pay the lawful Interest of the same sum from  
 the said twenty ninth Day of June till paid &c & regularly discharged meaning  
 if he the said David should be regularly discharged from the said Service  
 or otherwise should leave the Army meaning before the said twenty ninth Day  
 of June then the said Obligation to be void of none Effect & then David

Wood  
Clark

aven that he has continued & served in the Continental  
Service for the Town of Brantice from the said twenty fifth Day  
of February till the said twenty ninth Day of June & the said David  
further avers that he hath long since the said twenty ninth continued  
and still doth continue to serve in the Continental Service  
for a small Town of Brantice & hath not been regularly discharged  
nor was up to the Army, also for that the said Honor  
to review on the twenty ninth Day of June last in Consider  
ation of the said David & the present Instance & Request of  
the said Honor had derived from the twenty fifth Day of Feb  
then next as a soldier in the Continental Army for the Town  
of Brantice & promised on himself to the said David then there  
faithfully promised to pay in the Army twenty Pounds  
on Demand & yet the Honor the often requested as the said  
David the same had neglected it to the Damage of the said  
David twenty five Pounds The Plea apparently Galt  
Strong Esq. his Att. & the Deft the three times called to come  
into Court makes default & appearance here wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said David do recover  
against the said Honor twenty Pounds two shillings & six pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1-9-10  
Upon the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
and appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the  
Superior Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of  
April April next & he appears with Sureties as the Law  
direct for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as  
by said Magnificence on file does appear

Towle  
Adam  
No 107

Nathaniel Towle of Northampton in our County  
of Hampshire Taylor Magr. Elijah Adams late of Warrington  
in s<sup>d</sup> County Physician Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Elijah on the twenty seventh Day of April last at  
Springfield in said County by his Note for value received  
promised s<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel to pay him an Order six Pounds  
eight pence & eight (meaning six Pounds eight pence & eight  
pence) in silver Money (meaning lawful silver Money)  
on demand with Interest till paid & yet the said Elijah  
the often requested hath not paid the same but neglected it  
to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel ten Pounds  
The Plea appears by Galt Strong Esq. his Att. & the  
Deft the three times called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Nathaniel do recover against the said Elijah  
seven Pounds two shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money  
& Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1-9-10  
Upon the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
and appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the  
Superior Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of  
April April next & he appears with Sureties as the Law  
direct for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as  
by said Magnificence on file does appear

Leonard  
Garnell  
No 108

Thomas & Mary of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
Administrators on the Estate of Elijah Lyman late of said  
County of Hampshire deceased in Capacity Deft in a Plea of the Case  
for that the said Nathaniel & Northampton in s<sup>d</sup> County on the  
twenty fourth Day of August last in said County by his  
Note for value received promised the said Elijah in his lifetime to  
pay him or order five Pounds four shillings & six pence lawful  
Money on Demand & yet the said Nathaniel & Northampton  
the often requested hath not paid the same but neglected it to the  
Damage of the said Elijah & his Administrators since the Death of said  
Elijah hath not paid the same but neglected it to the Damage  
of the said Nathaniel & Northampton & the said Nathaniel & Northampton  
do recover against the said Nathaniel & Northampton five Pounds  
four shillings & six pence of lawful Money & Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at 1-9-10 Upon the Deft the three times called to come into Court  
and appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Superior Judicial Court  
to be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last  
Tuesday of April April next & he appears with Sureties as the Law  
direct for his prosecuting his said appeal with Effect as by said  
Magnificence on file does appear

Elisha Morton of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Plaintiff William Ross late of Williamsburgh in said  
 County Defendant in a Plea that he renders to the said Elisha three  
 hundred Pounds lawful Money of the Commonwealth a period  
 which he has him unjustly detains for this to wit that whereas  
 the said William on the thirtieth Day of August last past at  
 Springfield in said County by his certain Writing Obligated and sealed  
 with the Seal of the said William in Court to be made the  
 Date whereof is the same Day & year above said, acknowledged  
 himself to be held & firmly bound to the said Elisha in the said  
 sum of three hundred Pounds to be paid to him the said Elisha  
 when he the said William should be there to afterwards requested  
 nevertheless the said William hath not paid the said sum of three  
 hundred Pounds or any part thereof to the said Elisha the often  
 thereto required by the said Elisha but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said Elisha thirty four Pounds. The Plea appears by  
 Caleb Strong Esq. his Atty & the Deft being out of the Stat. it is  
 considered that this Case be continued & that the Parties have  
 Day here until the second Tuesday of February next

Morton  
 vs  
 Ross  
 N<sup>o</sup> 89

Josiah Dickinson of Northampton in our County of  
 Hampshire Plaintiff Joshua Warner late of Williamsburgh  
 in the County of Essex Husbandman Defendant in a Plea that the said  
 Warner of Hatfield in said County yeoman Defendant in a Plea that the said  
 on the Day for that the said Joshua at Springfield in said County on  
 the third Day of April last past by his State for value received  
 promised said Josiah to pay him four Pounds nineteen shillings  
 and seven pence in full of Money within six Months from the  
 Date aforesaid, meaning to pay the lawful interest of the same  
 till paid. Yet the said Joshua the often requested hath not paid  
 the contents of said Note or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the said Josiah six Pounds. The Plea appears by Caleb Strong Esq.  
 his Atty & the Deft the three times, publicly called to come into Court  
 makes default & appearance here. Therefore it is considered by  
 the Court that Josiah do recover against the said Joshua three  
 Pounds four shillings seven pence and costs of Court  
 taxed at Enquiry & thereof. Given at Northampton the 26<sup>th</sup> of Nov<sup>r</sup> 1783

1783

Martha Clark of Northampton in our County of  
 Hampshire Widow Plaintiff Samuel Wells late of Cambridge in said  
 County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea that the said  
 Samuel renders to the said Martha one hundred & forty six Pounds  
 nineteen shillings & three pence lawful Money which to her he  
 owes from her unjustly detains for this to wit that whereas the  
 said Martha at an Inferior Court of Common Pleas holden at  
 Northampton within & for the County of Hampshire on the second  
 Tuesday of April seventeen hundred eighty two by the Consideration  
 of our Justices of the same Court recovered against the said Samuel Wells  
 one hundred & forty five Pounds & the then shillings between  
 pence Damages & twenty five shillings eight pence for his Costs  
 and Charges by him about his suit with that behalf expended  
 whereby the said Samuel is convicted as by the Record & Proceedings thereof  
 in the same Court remaining is manifest, appears which same  
 Judgement in the same Court with full strength the Effet remains  
 not satisfied or discharged & whereon the said hath not yet  
 sued out her Execution for Damages aforesaid whereby an Action  
 accrued to the said Martha to demand & have of the said Samuel the  
 said one hundred & forty six Pounds nineteen shillings & three pence  
 yet the said Samuel the often requested hath not paid the same  
 sum to the said Martha or any part thereof but neglects it to the  
 Damage of the said Martha Clark two hundred & Pounds  
 The Plea appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Atty & the Deft the three

Clark  
 vs  
 Wells  
 N<sup>o</sup> 91

himself publicly called to come into Court on his default  
of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that he do recover against the said <sup>James</sup> ~~James~~ <sup>the</sup> hundred  
and sixty pounds 18/3 of lawful Money Damages, costs of Court  
taxed at 4d and thereof &c

Parsons

Clark

18<sup>th</sup> of June

Sylvanus Parsons of Wotton in our County of  
Hampshire Husbandman vs. John Abner Clark of Dorset in  
said County Drinker Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that the said Abner Clark on the twenty third day of July  
last past by his Note for value received in writing under his  
Hand and Seal acknowledged that he owed to the said Parsons  
the sum of twenty nine Pounds seven shillings and three pence  
from the Date of said Note with Interest from then untill paid to the  
said Parsons and that the said Abner Clark the value of the Contents of  
the same Note of twenty nine Pounds seven shillings and three pence  
he has not paid the plaintiff of said Note but repaid it to the  
Damage of the said Parsons fifty nine Pounds. The Plaintiff may  
plead that he has paid the said Note to the Defendant the Defendant  
himself publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parsons  
do recover against the said Abner Clark the sum of twenty nine Pounds  
seven shillings and three pence of lawful Money Damages, costs of Court  
taxed at 4d and thereof &c. After all which the said Abner Clark by Justin Esq Esq  
comes into Court by appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the  
Judge in the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at New Hampton  
in the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April  
next he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for his  
prosecuting the said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file  
does appear.

18<sup>th</sup> of June

18<sup>th</sup> of June

18<sup>th</sup> of June

Abraham Eager of Wotton in our County of Hamp  
shire vs. John Abner Clark of Dorset in said County Drinker  
Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Abner  
Clark on the twenty third day of July last past by his Note  
acknowledged that he owed to the said Eager the sum of twenty nine  
Pounds seven shillings and three pence from the Date of said Note  
with Interest from then till paid to the said Eager and that the said  
Abner Clark the value of the Contents of the same Note of twenty nine  
Pounds seven shillings and three pence he has not paid the plaintiff  
of said Note but repaid it to the Damage of the said Eager thirty nine  
Pounds. The Plaintiff may plead that he has paid the said Note to the  
Defendant the Defendant himself publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Eager do recover against the said Abner Clark the sum of  
twenty nine Pounds seven shillings and three pence of lawful Money  
Damages, costs of Court taxed at 4d and thereof &c. After all which  
the said Abner Clark by Justin Esq Esq comes into Court by appeal  
from the Judgment of this Court to the Judge in the Supreme Judicial  
Court to be holden at New Hampton in the County of Hampshire on the  
last Tuesday of April next he recognises with Sureties as the Law  
directs for his prosecuting the said Appeal with Effect as by said  
Recognizance on file does appear.

18<sup>th</sup> of June

18<sup>th</sup> of June

18<sup>th</sup> of June

Jonathan Shaw of Wotton in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman vs. Joshua Leon Stacey of Brookfield in our County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that the said Stacey on the twenty first day of July last past by his  
Note for value received received one Lion on Farm to grow and order

Shaw  
Allen

thirty Pound lawful Money on or before the fourth Day October then  
 next meaning the lawful Interest after the said Time of Day in and  
 till paid and the same thereafter on the same Day by the  
 Indorsement in writing on the said note to be <sup>the Court</sup> ~~the Court~~ the day  
 then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the Pay for value then  
 of him of which the said Joshua then afterwards the same Day did  
 Notice & thereupon became chargeable to pay the contents of the same  
 Note according to the Tenor & Effect thereof in the said June & being  
 so chargeable the said Joshua then afterwards the same Day in com-  
 mon thereof promised the Pay to pay him the contents of the  
 same Note according to the Tenor & Effect of the same Note  
 yet the said Joshua tho' often requested hath not paid the contents of  
 the Note but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan forty  
 Pounds & the Parties severally appeared ~~agreed~~ on a Motion of the  
 Defendant being out of the State agree have this case continued  
 to the next Term wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday  
 of February next

Ester a Negro Man of due Service in our County Hampshire  
 John Labourer of the County of Essex was his possessor in the County  
 of Hartford & State of Connecticut & in otherwise called John  
 Hare he of Suffolk in our County of Hampshire gent. Dep. 1794  
 in a Plea of Trespass whereon the said Ester complains that  
 the said John aforesaid Southwick on the first Day of March seven-  
 hundred & eighty two with force & arms took from the wife  
 of him the said Ester with the Goods & Chattels of him the said Ester  
 to wit one pair of shoes of the value of five Shillings one other  
 pair of shoes of the value of the value of seven Shillings one Bonnet  
 of the value of fifteen Shillings one cap of the value of six Shilling  
 one gown of the value thirty five Shillings one other  
 gown of fifty five Shillings one apron of the value of five Shil-  
 lings and one Petticoat of the value of seven Shillings & six  
 pence & the said Southwick did take & carry away & those goods  
 and Chattels from the said Ester doth yet to him when by the  
 Ester he complains for money of the said John aforesaid the use of  
 his Goods aforesaid hath lost & been deprived & other outrages  
 done him the said Ester there committed contrary to Law  
 against the Peace to the Damage of the said Ester six hundred  
 Pounds The Parties severally appeared agreed to have this  
 Case continued to the next Term wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the second  
 Tuesday of February next

Ester  
Hampsh  
Dep. 1794

Turner Ellis of Mordon in our County of Worcester gent. Ellis  
 Moses Legg of Bledington in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Dep. in a Plea of the Case for that the said Moses a Mordon  
 Town at Bledington aforesaid on the fourteenth Day of March seven  
 hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promis-  
 ed Turner to pay him or order three Pounds eight Shillings  
 lawful Silver Money on demand with the Interest till paid yet  
 the said Moses tho' often requested hath not paid the contents of  
 the Note but neglects it to the Damage of the said Turner eight Pounds  
 the Pay appears by Call to turn Legg himself the Debt the three  
 times publicly called to come into Court made default & appear  
 and here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
 Turner recover against the said Moses four Pounds five Shillings and  
 one Penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
 Two Pounds two Shillings six Pence and thereupon  
 Exon 1794 Nov. 26. 1793

Ellis  
Legg  
1794

Tracy  
a  
Alwood  
No 97

Benjamin Tracy of Oxford in our County of Worcester Husbandman  
and Deputy Sheriff under a Warrant granted by the Court of the same  
County vs Daniel Alwood of the same County Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Debt the  
Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Debt the  
Daniel a debt of £82 by his Note for value received promised the  
D. D. of a to pay him or order two Pounds ten shillings on  
demand with Interest till paid - also for that the said Daniel at  
the first Day of January seven hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the D. D. of a to pay him or order one other sum of two pounds ten shillings on demand with Interest till paid - yet the said Daniel the  
often requested hath not paid the Contents of said Notes but  
neglect to do it to the Damage of the D. D. six Pounds -  
The D. D. appears by Callabrony Esq. his Atty. the D. D. the three  
times publicly called to come into Court made default  
of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the D. D. do recover against the said  
Daniel two pounds fifteen shillings & nine pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £19 11 6  
and therefore upon ip. Nov. 26 1783

Field  
a  
Belding  
No 98

Rufus Field of Northfield in our County of Hampshire  
vs Augustus Belding late of Northfield now of  
Dept in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for the D. D. Augustus at said  
Northfield on the nine tenth Day of August last past by his  
Note for value received promised the D. D. Rufus to pay him  
or order two pounds nine shillings lawful Money in Gold or  
Silver with use meaning with lawful Interest till paid -  
yet the said Augustus the often requested hath never paid the  
Contents of said Note but neglect it to the Damage of the  
said Rufus three Pounds - The D. D. appears by John Chert  
Williams Esq. his Atty. the D. D. the three times publicly called to  
come into Court made default of appearance here - Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the D. D. Rufus do recover against  
the D. D. Augustus two pounds nine shillings & nine pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2 11 6  
and therefore upon ip. Nov. 21 1783

Quinn  
vs  
Graves  
No 99

Timothy Quinn of South Hadley in our County of  
Hampshire vs Moses Graves Junr of Pittfield in our  
County of Berkshire Gentleman in a Plea of Trespass on the  
Case for that the said and where upon the said Timothy  
complains for this to wit that whereas the said Moses at  
Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the last Day of Feb. &  
last past being justly indebted unto the D. Timothy for the several  
Articles of Account mentioned in the annexed Schedule, & promised on  
himself & then & since to pay the said Timothy the said Moses  
thereof in & to him as much Money as he reasonably deserved  
to have for the said several Articles of Account the said Timothy  
in Testimony that for the said several Articles of Accounts he de-  
clares reasonably deserve to have the sum of eighteen Pounds nine  
shillings and eight pence of lawful Money - of which the  
said Moses then & afterwards on the same Day had Notice from the said  
Timothy - yet the D. Moses the often requested hath never paid the  
same or any part thereof but neglect to do it to the Damage of the  
said Timothy five shillings

John Alcott yeoman & John Caldwell Merchant both of <sup>120</sup> ~~the County of~~ <sup>the State of</sup> Hartford  
in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Administrators on  
the Estate of Samuel Alcott late of said Hartford Merchant deceased Intestate  
in & Capacity Plffs vs William Moore of Southwick in our County  
of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the said William  
at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the fourth of the Day of  
January seven then hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised to pay him or to his order the sum of thirty  
three Pounds three shillings and eight pence at the rate per cent  
milled Dollars a six shillings per cent per ann by the Current rate  
with interest till paid. At the said William the often requested  
hath not performed his Promise but neglect it to the Damage  
of the said John Alcott & John Caldwell thirty Pounds. The Plff  
appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> third ~~time~~ <sup>time</sup> the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said John  
Alcott & John Caldwell do recover against the said William Moore  
twenty five Pounds thirteen shillings & ten Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the Court's discretion & thereupon  
upon the 4th of 1883

Giles Barnes of Adams in our County of Berkshire yeoman  
Plff vs Joseph Black of Mansfield in said County yeoman Deft in  
a Plea of the Case for the said Joseph at Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire on the seventh Day of April last past by his Note  
for value received promised to pay him or to his order Eight Pounds  
seven Money within one Month from the Date hereof. At the said  
till paid. At the said Joseph the often requested hath not performed  
his Promise but neglect it to the Damage of the said Giles fifteen Pounds  
The Plff appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his ~~att~~ <sup>att</sup> the Deft the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Giles do  
recover against the said Joseph eight Pounds five shillings & seven  
Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at the Court's  
discretion & thereupon  
upon the 24th of Nov<sup>r</sup> 1883

John Bantley of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plff vs Lawrence Stark of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Lawrence  
Notified in the eighth Day of May last past by his Note for value  
received promise the said John to pay him the sum of two hundred and  
sixty Pounds lawful Money to be paid in whole or by installments  
the hands of Marvin Webb Esq<sup>r</sup> to be collected by Law however if the  
in & with Hands to collect had not been enough to pay or on then  
the said Lawrence shall pay the remainder before next October  
meaning that the said Lawrence would pay the first of the Note to the  
Peter before the first Day of October then next following the Date  
of the Note and the Plff avers that the time of payment is not  
of the Note hath elapsed & that he always hath been ready to receive  
said money & Book Debts in the Hands of the said Marvin Webb of the  
said Stark & yet the said Lawrence the often requested hath not  
performed his Promise but neglect it to the Damage of the said  
Peter three hundred Pounds. The ~~Plff~~ <sup>Plff</sup> appears upon the Motion  
of the Deft agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Peter do  
until the second Tuesday of February next

Adnah Sacket of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> Plff vs Abner Sacket late of Westfield yeoman Deft in a Plea  
of the Case for that the said Abner at Westfield on the fourth of the Day  
of January last past by his Note for value received promised the  
said Adnah to pay him four Pounds two shillings lawful Money on demand.  
No 103

Sackett. Yet the said Abner the often requested hath not performed  
his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said Adnah seven  
Pounds. The Plt appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Adnah do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Abner four Pounds  
and six Pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at 10 p<sup>ts</sup>  
and things &c. Exon<sup>is</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1783

Enoch Shepherd of Chester in our County of Hampshire  
y<sup>et</sup> the My<sup>r</sup> Daniel Mather of Sackett in our County of Berkshire  
Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel a real  
Springfield on the thirtieth Day of March last past by his Att<sup>r</sup>  
N<sup>o</sup> 104 received promise the D<sup>r</sup> Enoch to pay him or Order  
the sum of Twenty Pounds & five Shillings lawful Money  
on Demand in Spanish milled Dollars with Interest till  
paid. Yet the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel the often requested hath not performed  
his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said Enoch  
thirty Pounds. The Plt appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Enoch do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel  
Twenty three Pounds two Shillings & nine Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & costs of Court taxed at 10 p<sup>ts</sup> & things &c. Exon<sup>is</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1784

Silverster Gilbert of Hebron in the County of Hampshire  
Hartford State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> My<sup>r</sup> Jonathan Williston  
of Granville in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea  
of the Case for that the said Jonathan a D<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the twenty  
N<sup>o</sup> 105 fifth Day of January twenty hundred & eighty two by his Note for  
value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the D<sup>r</sup> Silverster to pay him the sum of twenty Pounds  
lawful Money on or before the first Day of January twenty three  
with Interest till paid. Yet said Jonathan the often requested hath  
not performed his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Silverster thirty Pounds. The Plt appears by John Phelps  
Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Silverster do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Jonathan twenty two  
Pounds three Shillings of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court  
taxed at 10 p<sup>ts</sup> & things &c. Exon<sup>is</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1783

Ozias The bone of Simsbury in the County of Hampshire  
and State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> My<sup>r</sup> David Fowler of  
Simsbury in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea  
of the Case for that the said David a D<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the fourteenth  
N<sup>o</sup> 106 Day of April last past by his Note for value received promised  
the D<sup>r</sup> Ozias to pay him the sum of fifty Pounds lawful Money on  
Demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said David the often  
requested hath not performed his Promise but neglects it to the  
Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Ozias fifty Pounds. The Plt appears by John  
Phelps Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Ozias do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> David fifty three  
Pounds six Shillings & nine Pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court  
taxed at 10 p<sup>ts</sup> & things &c. After all which the D<sup>r</sup> David by in his own Proper Person  
comes into Court & appeals, so in the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at New Hampton in & for the County of Hampshire  
on the last Tuesday of April next, he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs  
for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by D<sup>r</sup> Recognition appears.

James Baird of Blandford in our County of Hampshire yoman  
My v<sup>er</sup> Hon<sup>ble</sup> Friends you know John Post yerman both of Montgo  
mery in the County of Dorset in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
and John at Springfield on the eighth Day of July seventeen  
hundred & twenty seven by their Vote for value received promised  
the said James to pay him the sum of twelve Pounds or twelve shillings  
lawful Money at or before the eighth Day of July then next following  
the Date of said Vote with Interest till paid. & the said James  
John & others of them the other requested have not performed their  
said Promise but neglect it to the Damage of the said James yoman  
Punished. The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Phelps Gentle, his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft  
to three times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here. & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said James to recover against the said John twelve Pounds nine  
shillings eight pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court  
taxed at 10s 11d & thereof &c. Given at the Court of the County of Dorset the 17th of Sept<sup>r</sup> 1798

Baird  
Morris  
N<sup>o</sup> 107

Daniel Gould of Bore in our County of Hampshire yoman  
My v<sup>er</sup> Hon<sup>ble</sup> Friends Andrew Harwood of the same  
County in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
or that the said Andrew at Bore was said on the fourth  
Day of May last past by his Vote for value received promised  
the said Daniel to pay him or Order ten Pounds lawful  
Money in four Months from the Date with Interest till paid.  
The said Andrew the other requested hath not paid the  
Content of said Vote but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Daniel twelve Pounds. The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by  
Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft to three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel  
do recover against the said Andrew eight pounds five shil  
lings and the pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court  
taxed at 10s 11d. After all which the Deft by John  
Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & was into Court &  
appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in the County  
of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April  
next he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for  
his presenting his Appeal with Effect as by said  
Recognizance on File does appear.

Harwood  
N<sup>o</sup> 108

Timothy Paine of Blandford in our County of Hampshire  
Esquire My v<sup>er</sup> Hon<sup>ble</sup> Friends Henry of Blandford yoman & a deputy Sheriff under Elisha  
Porter Esq<sup>r</sup> Sheriff of the County of Dorset in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that the said Henry at Marazion on the third Day of October seventeen hundred & twenty seven by his  
Promisory Vote for value received promised the said Timothy to pay him or  
Order eight pounds thirteen shillings eight pence half penny  
lawful Money in one year from the Date hereof with Interest till paid  
the said Timothy of payment has long since claimed & yet the  
said Henry the other requested hath never performed his Promise but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Timothy twenty Pounds.  
The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Charles & William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft  
to three times publicly called to come into Court make  
default of appearance here. & wherefore it is considered that

Paine  
N<sup>o</sup> 109

Paine. This Timothy do recover against the said Jonas fourteen pounds  
eighteen shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages, both of  
Henry. Court taxed at £19. 6. After all which the said Jonas by his  
att. with John Phelps Gent. comes into Court and appeals from  
the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be  
holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the  
last Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the  
Law directs for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by  
D. Recognizance on File does appear

Shobbs. Francis Robbins of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
vs. John Abner Clark of Norwich in said County & man  
of the Case for that the said Abner add. Springfield  
Clark on the twenty fourth Day of August seven hundred &  
N. 110. eight one by his Note for value received promised said  
Francis to pay him ten pounds & by then the things lawful Money  
on demand with Interest till paid & yet the said Abner has often  
requested hath never performed his said Promise but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Francis fourteen pounds. The P. appears  
by Moses Bliss Esq. his att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Francis do  
recover against the said Abner fourteen pounds six shillings &  
eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed  
at £1. 10. After all which the said Abner by Justin Ely Esq.  
his att. comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of  
this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last  
Tuesday of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law  
directs for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said  
Recognizance on File appears

Porter. Nathaniel Porter of the City & County of Albany & State  
of New York vs. Charles Belting of Pittsfield in our County  
of Berkshire Belting Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Belting on the twenty second Day of April seven hundred &  
N. 111. eight by this att. Pittsfield to wit in Springfield aforesaid by his  
Promissory Note for value received promised the said Nathaniel  
to pay him two pounds eight shillings meaning that sum in  
lawful Money by the last (meaning last Day) of June next meaning  
next after the Date of said Note, with Interest till paid & yet the said  
Charles has often requested hath never paid & do but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said Nathaniel six pounds. The P. appears  
by Thomas Gold Esq. his att. & the Deft tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Nathaniel do recover  
against the said Charles Two pounds nine shillings & seven pence of lawful  
Money Damages, both of Court taxed at £2. 7. 6. & the Cost of  
Pleadings &c. Nov. 20. 1793

Douglas. To the Sheriff or Marshal of our County of Berkshire his under  
Sherriff or Deputy Grating  
Dickinson. near Jonathan Angell of Northampton in the County of Albany  
State of New York vs. Bazel Phelps of Pittsfield in our  
County of Hampshire at Northampton  
N. 112. common Pleas holden for said County of Hampshire  
on the second Tuesday of February last past. By the Consideration of  
the Court do hereby certify that against Bazel Phelps of Pittsfield in our  
County of Hampshire the sum of fifteen pounds five shillings  
& six pence Debt & Damages, & also three pounds four shillings & six  
pence for Costs &c. & also an amount about his suit in that behalf expended  
over of the said Bazel do on this 20th day of February appear of Record, and altho  
Judgement is thereat rendered yet the execution for the said Debt or Damages  
and Costs do there remain wholly unsatisfied as more fully appears of the

Execution sued out upon S<sup>d</sup> Judgement of the Court aforesaid in the form of Law by said Jonathan, which has long since been returned into the Clerk's Office of this Court wholly unsatisfied & upon the basis of P<sup>r</sup> Execution is the following return or certificate, made by Prosper Polley a Deputy Sheriff, under Caleb Hyde Esq<sup>r</sup> Sheriff of the aforesaid County of Berkshire, to wit: Berkshire ss. May the nineteenth Day in the year of our Lord, one thousand seven hundred & eighty three I return this Execution wholly unsatisfied, as I have made diligent search & can't find neither the Body or any of his Estate real or personal within this County: And whereas at the Time when the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan purchased out his Original Writ against the S<sup>d</sup> Bezalell the same was served upon the Body of this Bezalell the same being stayed upon the aforesaid Original Writ, until the S<sup>d</sup> Bezalell should procure Bail for his appearance according to the same writ & on the P<sup>r</sup> Process of the Original Writ aforesaid the S<sup>d</sup> Bezalell procured Joel Dickinson of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire John and James Easton of the same Pittsfield County to become his Bail and the S<sup>d</sup> Joel & James by their writing obligatory under their Hands & seals dated at S<sup>d</sup> Pittsfield aforesaid to wit in Springfield the twenty second Day of August seventeen hundred & eighty three became bound in due form of Law in the sum of the fifty five Pounds of lawful Money that the S<sup>d</sup> Bezalell should make his present appearance at the Court aforesaid & abide the final Judgement thereof on the aforesaid Process & the S<sup>d</sup> Bezalell became bound in manner as aforesaid & before in the same Bond all which may appear by S<sup>d</sup> Bond here ready to be shown in Court to the S<sup>d</sup> Justice But the S<sup>d</sup> Bezalell has since that time absconded as is suggested to us and the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan remain without a remedy whereof the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan hath supplicated us to provide remedy for him in that Behalf: And to the end that Justice be done we command you that you in Behalf unto the S<sup>d</sup> Joel & James & Bezalell that they be before your Justice of our Court of Common Pleas to be holden within for our County of Hampshire at Springfield on the second Tuesday of November next, to show Cause if any they have, why before the S<sup>d</sup> Jonathan ought not to have his Execution against them, the S<sup>d</sup> Joel & James & Bezalell for his S<sup>d</sup> at one Damage & Costs aforesaid & further to do & receive that which our Court shall then consider — The Parties were by appearance agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be in Law And it is ordered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Parties have, Day next, to wit the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>r</sup> next —

Douglass  
C. J. Dimon

Enoch Holcomb of Westfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pl<sup>r</sup> vs. Shabod Allen of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Gentleman Def<sup>r</sup>. in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> Shabod on the twenty fourth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty three at Pittsfield to wit in Springfield aforesaid by his Promissory Note for value received promised S<sup>d</sup> Enoch to pay him six pence in four Shillings lawful Money to be paid in good Bank or in four shillings from the Date (meaning the Date of Note) And the S<sup>d</sup> Enoch was that he both always stood ready to receive S<sup>d</sup> from whence where it should have been delivered & in particular in twenty days from the Day of the Date signing & delivering of S<sup>d</sup> Note, at Springfield aforesaid but the S<sup>d</sup> Shabod tho' often requested hath never performed his said promise but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Enoch twelve pence The Pl<sup>r</sup> appears by Thomas Golding Esq<sup>r</sup> at Pittsfield the 1<sup>st</sup> of Dec<sup>r</sup> 83 but is by call to come into Court made & although appearance here — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Enoch do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Shabod six pence and nine Shillings & four pence & lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £1.15.0 —

Done at Springfield Nov<sup>r</sup> 20. 83.

At Amb  
14m  
V. 113

The Court

John

John

Amiah St John of Reading in the County of Fairfield in the State of Connecticut vs. Nathan St John of Haddambridge in our County of Berkshire. Roman Defendant. The Case for that the said Nathan on the nineteenth Day of November went in hand and sixty eight in Reading for me to wait in Springfield by his Note for value received promised the said Amiah to pay him nine pounds New York Money, which sum is equal to six pounds and fifteen shillings of our lawful Money of the Commonwealth as before the first of April 1700 next following next after the date of the Note with Interest till paid, yet the said Nathan the often requested hath not performed his promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said Amiah twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Thomas Jolly Clerk his Attorney the Defendant the three times publickly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Amiah do recover against the said Nathan thirteen Pounds thirteen shillings and nine pence of lawful Money Damages costs of Court taxed at 2s 6d. After which the said John Chancellor William Ginter his Attorney comes into Court and appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with his brother as the Law directs for his prosecuting & appealing with Effect has been and recognizance on 20 appears.

Tawler

Gault

No 172

Biddad Fowler of Woffield in our County of Hampshire vs. Ebenezer Brewster Gault of Granville in our County of Ginter Defendant. The Case that he rendered for the said Biddad five Pounds ten pence of lawful Money which to him he owes from him unjustly detained. Where on the said Biddad says that about Inferior Court of Common Pleas holden at Northampton in for the County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of March Anno Domini 1700 Judgment of our said Court he recovered a Judgment against the said Ebenezer for three pounds four shillings and one penny Damages by reason of the said Gaults not performing to him his promise, before that time made him thirty four shillings costs & charges by him about his Suit in that Biddad expended, whereof the said Gault is owner, as by the Record thereof our said Inferior Court remaining is manifest & appears, which Judgment remains in full Force, Effect not satisfied nor reversed and altho the said Biddad hath sent out his Writ of Execution on a Judgment in favor of aforesaid recovered, yet the same hath since <sup>been</sup> returned into the Clerks Office of our said Inferior Court wholly unsatisfied, whereby action hath returned to the said Biddad to demand & have of the said Ebenezer the sum of five pounds & ten pence lawful Money. Nevertheless the often requested hath never paid the sum but neglected it to the Damage of the said Biddad Ten Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Thomas Jolly Clerk his Attorney the Defendant the three times publickly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that Biddad do recover against the said Ebenezer seven Pounds eight shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages costs & charges of Court taxed at 2s 6d.

Lydon jps. Nov 24 1700

Daniel Fowler of Wottonfield in our County Somersham P<sup>ly</sup> John 123  
and John of the same Wottonfield yeoman <sup>is</sup> <sup>Fowler</sup>  
D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said John  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised <sup>is</sup> <sup>Lee</sup>  
S<sup>r</sup> Daniel to pay him or order two pounds & seven shillings  
lawful Money within two Months from the Date of said  
Note with Interest till paid — yet the S<sup>r</sup> John tho often requested  
hath never performed his S<sup>r</sup> Promise but neglected it to the Damage  
of the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel five pounds — The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Samuel  
Fowler Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the S<sup>r</sup> J<sup>st</sup> tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Daniel do recover  
against the S<sup>r</sup> John three pounds one shilling & four pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 15s 10  
and thereof &c  
Given i<sup>o</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 24. 1703.

Andrew Cotton of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gentleman P<sup>ly</sup> Samuel Fairman of Norwich in  
S<sup>r</sup> Grants Ashlandman <sup>is</sup> <sup>Cotton</sup>  
D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said  
Samuel a D<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the sixth Day of February last past  
by his Note for value received promised the said Andrew to pay him  
or order two pounds five shillings & three pence lawful Money on  
Demand with Interest till paid — yet the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel tho often  
requested hath never performed his S<sup>r</sup> Promise but neglected it  
to the Damage of the said Andrew three Pounds — The P<sup>ly</sup> ap  
pears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wallcutt Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the S<sup>r</sup> J<sup>st</sup> tho three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here, Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Andrew  
do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel two pounds seven shillings &  
three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at 15s 10 & thereof &c  
Given

William Stevens & Eleazer Hedges of Saybrook in the  
County of New London & State of Connecticut yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> Steven 124  
Zavancheke of Norwich in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Zavan vice a D<sup>r</sup> Springfield afore said  
on the fifteenth Day of November last past by his Note for value received promised S<sup>r</sup> William & Eleazer  
to pay them thirty seven Pounds eight shillings lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid — The P<sup>ly</sup> appears  
by Alexander Wallcutt Gent<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> & the S<sup>r</sup> J<sup>st</sup> tho three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the S<sup>r</sup> William & Eleazer do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Zavan forty  
one pounds seven shillings of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at 15s 10 & thereof &c  
Given i<sup>o</sup> Mar. 25. 1704

Abiather Stephenson of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> Ebenezer Russell of Springfield  
yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer  
Springfield on the thirtieth Day of October Instant by his  
Note for value received promised S<sup>r</sup> Abiather to pay him or  
order two Pounds fifteen shillings & five pence on Demand with  
Interest for the same till paid — yet the S<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer tho often  
requested hath never performed his S<sup>r</sup> Promise but neglected it to the  
Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Abiather Five Pounds — The P<sup>ly</sup>  
Stephenson  
Russell  
S<sup>r</sup> 119

Stephenson appears by Alexander Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> in his att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup>  
the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that he do recover against the Ebn<sup>d</sup> two  
Pounds fifteen shillings & eight pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10 shillings. Therefore the  
D<sup>r</sup> Ebn<sup>d</sup> in his own proper comes into Court & appears  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial  
Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he  
recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting  
his Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>d</sup> Recognizance appears.

Rowe  
N<sup>o</sup> 120  
Moses Rowe of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> vs. Daniel King of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut German Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as may  
be seen at large in the Original Writ on file. The Pl<sup>ff</sup>  
being now three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed.

Rowe  
Burbank  
N<sup>o</sup> 121  
Moses Rowe of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> vs. Timothy Burbank of Springfield in S<sup>d</sup> County  
German Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> Timothy at said  
Springfield on the thirtieth day of July last past by his Note  
for value received promised S<sup>d</sup> Moses to pay him twenty seven  
Pounds nine shillings & five pence in lawful Money within  
two Months from the same Date with Interest till paid in  
which S<sup>d</sup> Timothy tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Moses thirty Pounds.  
The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
S<sup>d</sup> Moses do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Timothy twenty eight pounds  
four shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at 10 shillings & 6 pence & the cost of  
Execution. Nov<sup>r</sup> 27. 1793.

Idem  
Smith  
N<sup>o</sup> 122  
Moses Rowe of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> vs. Philip Smith of Springfield in S<sup>d</sup> County Blacksmith  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> Philip at Springfield  
on the twentieth day of July last past by his Note for value  
received promised S<sup>d</sup> Moses to pay him eight Pounds sixteen  
shillings in lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid. S<sup>d</sup> Philip tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
S<sup>d</sup> Moses twelve Pounds. The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by Simon  
Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
therefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> Moses do  
recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Philip eight pounds sixteen shillings  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10 shillings & 6 pence  
for all which the S<sup>d</sup> Philip by John Clark Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court  
to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton  
in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of  
April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs  
for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>d</sup> Recognizance  
on file does appear.

Thomas Welch of Pelham in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
 Myself Zebulon Fuller of Norwich in our County of Cornwall yeoman  
 a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Zebulon at S<sup>d</sup> Pelham on the thirtieth  
 Day of November seventeen hundred & twenty three by his Note  
 for value received promised one James Buckden to pay him  
 or order eight Pounds one Shilling & eight Pence lawful  
 Money within twelve Months from the Date with Interest  
 till paid — and afterwards to wit on the thirtieth Day of  
 November last past that S<sup>d</sup> Pelham the S<sup>d</sup> James by his Indorsement  
 on S<sup>d</sup> Note with his own proper hand subscribed ordered the  
 Contents of the same Note then wholly due & unpaid to be  
 paid to the S<sup>d</sup> Thomas for value received — whereof the said  
 Zebulon think there had Notice & became chargeable in  
 Law to pay S<sup>d</sup> Contents to S<sup>d</sup> Thomas according to the Tenor  
 of S<sup>d</sup> Note & of S<sup>d</sup> Indorsement thereon & that there in considera-  
 tion thereof promised S<sup>d</sup> Thomas to pay him the same accord-  
 ingly — yet S<sup>d</sup> Zebulon tho often requested hath never  
 paid the S<sup>d</sup> but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Thomas  
 eighteen Pounds — The My appears by since a Strong  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the Deft the three times publicly called to  
 come into Court make default of appearance here  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Tho<sup>s</sup>  
 do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Zebulon twelve pounds eight shillings  
 & four pence of lawful Money Damages & costs  
 of Court taxed at £10:00 — & thereof  
 Upon J<sup>y</sup>

Nathaniel Dwight of Bitcherstown in the County of  
 Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Myself Thomas Legate of Dorchester Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 in our County of Dorchester Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case  
 for that S<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel at S<sup>d</sup> Bitcherstown on the last Day of  
 June last past had done & performed diverse Labors and  
 Services for the S<sup>d</sup> Thomas at his special Instance & Request  
 he the S<sup>d</sup> Thomas think there in consideration thereof pro-  
 mised S<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel to pay him so much Money as he reason-  
 ably deserved to have therefor on demand, & S<sup>d</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup>  
 says he reasonably deserved to have for the same Labors and  
 Services nine pounds & four shillings lawful Money whereof  
 S<sup>d</sup> Thomas think there had Notice: yet S<sup>d</sup> Thomas tho often  
 requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
 Damage of the said Nathaniel twelve Pounds — The My  
 appears by since a Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the Deft the three  
 times publicly called to come into Court make default  
 of appearance here — wherefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel do recover against S<sup>d</sup> Thomas  
 nine pounds & four shillings of lawful Money Damages  
 & costs of Court taxed at £10:00 — and thereof  
 Upon J<sup>y</sup>

Williams  
 1  
 Packard  
 N<sup>o</sup> 125  
 The mas<sup>r</sup> William of Roxbury in our County of  
 Suffolk Plaintiff vs<sup>r</sup> Abner Packard of Conway  
 in our County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea  
 as the Case for the 1<sup>st</sup> the D<sup>r</sup> Abner at a place called Roxbury  
 to wit at Springfield on the twentieth Day of March  
 seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
 received promised the mas<sup>r</sup> to pay him seven pounds  
 solid. Lawful Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid yet the D<sup>r</sup> Abner tho<sup>o</sup> often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of  
 the P<sup>r</sup> Thomas ten pounds The P<sup>r</sup> appears by Samuel  
 Barnard gent<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> three Times public  
 ly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
 P<sup>r</sup> Thomas do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Abner seven pounds  
 fourteen Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £2:16:0 After all which the D<sup>r</sup> Abner by Williams  
 Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the  
 Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to  
 be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire  
 on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with  
 Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting & Appeal with  
 Effect as by a Recognizance appears

Billings  
 1  
 Chapin D.  
 N<sup>o</sup> 126  
 Edward Billings of Greenfield in our County of  
 Hampshire Plaintiff vs<sup>r</sup> Caleb Chapin the son of  
 Barnardston in our County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the  
 Case for that the D<sup>r</sup> Caleb at N<sup>o</sup> Greenfield on the sixth Day of  
 June last past by his Note for value received promised  
 the P<sup>r</sup> Edward to pay him seven pounds five Shillings & seven  
 pence lawful Money on demand yet the D<sup>r</sup> Caleb tho<sup>o</sup>  
 often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
 Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Edward twelve pounds The P<sup>r</sup> appears  
 by Samuel Barnard gent<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> three Times  
 publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Edward  
 do recover against the said Caleb seven pounds two Shillings  
 and eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £2:16:0 After all which the D<sup>r</sup> Caleb by John Chester  
 Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judge  
 ment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
 at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the  
 last Tuesday of April next he recognises with Sureties as  
 the Law directs for his prosecuting & Appeal with Effect as by a  
 Recognizance on File appears

Thayer  
 1  
 Noble  
 N<sup>o</sup> 127  
 Lawrence Thayer of the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs<sup>r</sup> John Noble  
 of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the D<sup>r</sup> John  
 at N<sup>o</sup> on the sixth Day of June last past by his Note for value received promised  
 the P<sup>r</sup> Lawrence to pay him seven pounds five Shillings & seven pence lawful Money  
 on demand yet the D<sup>r</sup> John tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Lawrence twelve pounds The P<sup>r</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard  
 gent<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> three Times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
 of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Lawrence do  
 recover against the said John seven pounds two Shillings and eight pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:16:0 After all which the D<sup>r</sup> John by  
 John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judge ment  
 of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the  
 County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next he recognises with Sureties as  
 the Law directs for his prosecuting & Appeal with Effect as by a Recognizance on File  
 appears

James Shephard of Northampton in our County of Northampton  
of Hampshire Gentle & the said Stephen of Hartford in the  
County of Hartford State of Connecticut Feder Joint Leasesmen Lyman  
Trade. Wp. is James Lyman of. Seller in our County of Hamp  
shire Gentle. Left in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the V. 120.  
P. Phineas at Northampton on the twentieth Day of October  
current being justly Indebted to the S. Wp in the sum of eight  
pounds nine Shillings & five pence for articles & things of Interest  
mentioned in the annexed account in consideration thereof the  
Phineas assumed on himself & to the Wp then & there faithfully  
promised the Wp to pay them the same sum on demand. Also  
for that the S. Phineas at Northampton on the last Day of May  
seventeen hundred & seventy four in consideration that the S.  
Shephard & Hopkins had then before that time sold & delivered to the  
S. Phineas diverse Goods, Wares, Merchandizes other than those  
mentioned in the annexed account but of the same kind & quantities  
at his the S. Phineas special Instance Request assumed on himself  
and to the S. Shephard & Hopkins then & there faithfully promised  
to pay them therefor so much Money as the same Goods, Wares and  
Merchandize were reasonably worth at the time of the sale &  
delivery thereof & the lawful Interest thereof whenever after he the  
S. Phineas should be thereto requested and the Wp aver that the  
same Goods, Wares & Merchandizes at the time of the sale & deliv  
ery thereof were then reasonably worth another sum of Three pounds  
nine Shillings & a half penny of which the S. Phineas then after  
wards the same Day had Notice. Also for that the S. Phineas at  
Northampton on the fourteenth Day of October seventeen hundred  
and seventy three being justly Indebted to the S. Shephard & Hopkins  
in another sum of the like eight Shillings & ten pence half penny for the  
like sum of Money then before that time had & received by the S.  
Phineas for the use of the said Shephard & Hopkins at his the S. Phineas  
special Instance & Request in consideration thereof the S. Phineas assumed  
on himself & to the S. Shephard & Hopkins then & there faithfully pro  
mised to pay them the same sum & the Interest thereof on demand.  
Also for that the S. Phineas at Northampton on the same fourteenth  
Day of October being indebted to the S. Shephard & Hopkins in another  
sum of the like eight Shillings & ten pence half penny for another like  
sum then before that time had & received by the S. Shephard & Hopkins  
for the S. Phineas to his use at his the S. Phineas special Instance and  
Request in consideration thereof the S. Phineas assumed on himself &  
to the S. Shephard & Hopkins then & there faithfully promised to pay them

The same thing, the Interest thereof an Demand... yet this said  
Sheweth that after request hath been performed his<sup>tes</sup> Promise  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Stephen Hopkins twelve  
Pounds. The Purchaser sincerely appears & agrees to have this  
Case referred to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Parties have Leave until the second  
Tuesday of February next.

James Bradburn of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
vs  
M<sup>r</sup> Daniel Haynes of Brimfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire a Woman kept in a Prison for the Space of three Months  
at Brimfield in the County of Hampshire then hundred  
and eighty one by his wife for value received promised the  
M<sup>r</sup> to pay him or order six hundred pounds thirteen shillings  
and three pence half pence Money on Demand with Interest till  
paid. J<sup>ch</sup> Daniel the requested to pay to pay the same sum  
with the Damage of four hundred and thirty pounds. The M<sup>r</sup>  
by J<sup>ch</sup> Daniel the latter J<sup>ch</sup>, his M<sup>rs</sup>, the J<sup>ch</sup> for three times  
publicly called to come into Court and appear at the Court of appear-  
ance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
Daniel to recover against the said Daniel fifty one pounds twelve  
shillings & eight pence of Lawful Money Damages Costs of  
Court paid at £2:15:6 Therefore the said Daniel by Abner  
Morgan J<sup>ch</sup>, his M<sup>rs</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judge  
sent to this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
at Northampton in the County of Hampshire on the last  
Tuesday of April next & he recognises with Swoke as the Law  
directs for his prosecuting & appeal with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup> Regis-  
trance on file appears

James Bridgman of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
Esq. My v<sup>l</sup> William Wilber of Brimfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire yeoman Dep<sup>y</sup> me a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> William at  
Springfield on the twenty second Day of April last by his  
Att<sup>y</sup> for value received promised the <sup>d</sup> My to pay him or his  
Order nineteen pounds fifteen Shillings & three pence lawful  
silver Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet <sup>d</sup> William  
tho requested this same Sum hath not paid but refers to the  
Damage of the <sup>d</sup> James thirty pounds. The <sup>d</sup> My appears by  
Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dep<sup>y</sup> tho three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Whereupon  
it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> James do recover against the <sup>d</sup>  
William seventeen pounds six Shillings & five pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 15: 6. After all  
which the <sup>d</sup> William by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into  
Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Southampton in & for the  
County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he is  
recognized with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his  
Appeal with Effort as by <sup>d</sup> the Magistrate appears.

James Bridgham of Barton in our County of Suffolk  
Esq<sup>r</sup>. My s<sup>r</sup> James Cleland of Montague in our County of Suffolk  
here Quoman Dybm a Plea of the case for that s<sup>r</sup>. Cleland at  
S<sup>r</sup>. Springfield on the thirtieth first Day of December seventeen  
undred & seventy six was justly indebted to the s<sup>r</sup>. Bridgham in the  
sum of two pounds two shillings & pence lawful Money to balance  
account with the s<sup>r</sup>. Ple being a indebted the s<sup>r</sup>. Cleland there & then  
in consideration thereof promised the s<sup>r</sup>. Ple to pay him the aforesaid  
or demand with the interest till paid & the s<sup>r</sup>. Cleland there & then requested  
to pay the same & to be paid to the s<sup>r</sup>. Bridgham six pounds  
The s<sup>r</sup>. Ple being dead, Martha Bridgham administratrix in S<sup>r</sup>. Court  
appeared by Lady R<sup>t</sup>. Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> on the 11th of the 12th the three times publicly called  
to come in to Court on the 11th of the 12th the three times publicly called  
in the Court of the s<sup>r</sup>. Ple to do so & to do so against the s<sup>r</sup>. James Cleland two pounds  
nine shillings & pence lawful Money & against the s<sup>r</sup>. Ple of Court taxed  
at 2s 10d & the costs of the s<sup>r</sup>. Ple.

Jonathan Dwight of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vs  
 Thos Merhush & Pleser which Goodwin of Ashfield in said County  
 vs  
 Gentl Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Thos Merhush & Pleser  
 on the fifth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty three by his  
 Note for value received promised said Thos Merhush & Pleser to pay him or order Goodwin  
 nine pounds in Spanish milled Dollars a six shillings each or  
 in silver six shillings & eight pence per Bounce on Demand with  
 Interest till paid. Yet the said Thos Merhush & Pleser requested hath never  
 paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jonathan  
 twelve Pounds. The Parties severally appeared on a Motion  
 of the Deft agreed to have this Case continued to the next Term.  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have  
 Day here untill the second Tuesday of February next.

No 132.

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vs  
 Physician. Pleser John Partridge of Worthington in said County  
 vs  
 woman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said John Partridge  
 Chesterfield on the ninth Day of February seventeen hundred  
 & eighty two by his Note for value received promised said  
 Elijah to pay him one pound & fourteen shillings lawful  
 Silver Money on Demand with Interest till paid. Also for  
 that said John Partridge on the last Day of September last past  
 being justly indebted to the said Elijah in another sum of twenty  
 five shillings & six pence lawful Money on Book Account for  
 sundry Drugs & Medicines then before that time sold & delivered  
 and with made to said John by said Elijah in consideration thereof  
 the said John then & there assumed on himself to the said Elijah  
 faithfully promised to pay him the last mentioned sum on de-  
 mand. Yet the said John the often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Elijah six  
 Pounds. The Pleser appears by Samuel Fowler Gentl his  
 Attorney. Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that said Elijah do recover  
 against said John three pounds three shillings & eight pence  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s 4d  
 and there of &c.  
 Given at the Court the 24th of Nov. 1783.

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vs  
 Physician. Pleser Zephariah Partridge of Worthington in said County  
 vs  
 woman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Zephariah Partridge  
 Springfield on the twenty first Day of June seventeen  
 hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received pro-  
 mised said Elijah to pay him one pound & sixteen shillings law-  
 ful Money in silver or other Money equivalent on Demand  
 with Interest till paid. Also for that the said Zephariah at  
 Springfield on the last Day of September last past being  
 justly indebted to the said Elijah in another sum of fifteen shil-  
 lings & two pence lawful Money on Book Account for sundry  
 Drugs & Medicines before that time sold & delivered to said  
 Zephariah at his special instance request in consideration thereof  
 the said Zephariah then & there assumed on himself to the said Elijah faithfully  
 promised to pay him the last mentioned sum on Demand.  
 Yet the said Zephariah the often requested hath not fulfilled his promise but neglects it to the  
 Damage of the said Elijah six pounds. The Pleser appears by Samuel Fowler Gentl his  
 Attorney. Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court has defaulted  
 of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Elijah  
 do recover against said Zephariah two pounds & sixteen shillings & six pence  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 10s 4d & there of &c.  
 Given at the Court the 24th of Nov. 1783.

No 134.

Adam's  
is  
Webster  
N<sup>o</sup> 135  
Elijah Adams of Cheshirefield in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Myself Amos Barker of Worthington in the County  
of Dorset a woman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said  
Cheshirefield on the twentieth fifth Day of April seventeen  
hundred eighty one by his Note for value received pro  
mised said Elijah to pay him four Pounds & fifteen Shillings  
lawful Money in three at three pailings per Bushel or Money  
equivalent thereto in a reasonable Time viz on Demand  
with Interest till paid - Also for that said Oliver at said  
Cheshirefield on the last Day of September last past being  
justly indebted to the said Elijah in the sum of thirty  
Shillings & two pence lawful Money on Book account for  
sundry Vint made & Medicines before that time at the  
special Instance & Request of the said Oliver sold & delivered  
him in Consideration thereof the said Oliver then & there  
assumed on himself & to the said Elijah faithfully promised  
to pay him the last mentioned sum on Demand - yet said  
Oliver has often requested to have never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Elijah five Pounds -  
The Apppear by Samuel Fowler gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup>  
the Deft the third time is publicly called to come  
into Court make default of Appearance here - Whereupon  
it is considered by the Court that said Elijah do recover  
against the said Oliver two pounds & fourteen Shillings  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at 10/- & the costs of the Deft - Given for 24. 1703.

Idem  
is  
Trink  
N<sup>o</sup> 136  
Elijah Adams of Cheshirefield in our County of  
Hampshire Physician Myself Amos Barker of Worthington  
in the County of Dorset a woman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said  
Amos at Cheshirefield on the eighteenth Day of January  
seventeen hundred eighty one by his Note for value  
received promised said Elijah to pay him two pounds and  
seventeen Shillings lawful Money on Demand in five at  
two Shillings per Bushel, with Interest for the same till paid  
and the said Elijah says he has been always ready to receive  
said Note - Also for that the said Amos at Cheshirefield  
on the last Day of September last past being justly  
indebted to the said Elijah in the sum of twenty three Shillings  
and three pence lawful Money on Book account for  
sundry Vint made & Medicines before that time at the special  
Instance & Request of the said Amos sold to the said Amos  
by the said Elijah in Consideration thereof the said Amos assumed  
on himself & to the said Elijah faithfully Promised to pay him  
the last mentioned sum on Demand - yet said Amos has  
often requested to have never paid the same but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Elijah five Pounds - The Parties  
severally appear on a Motion of the Deft agree to have this  
Case continued to the next Term & no appeal to be entered  
of default - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday of  
February next -

Idem  
is  
Leonard  
N<sup>o</sup> 137  
Elijah Adams of Cheshirefield in our County of  
Hampshire Physician Myself Amos Barker of Worthington  
in the County of Dorset a woman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Amos at Cheshirefield on the twentieth Day of June  
last past by his Note for value received promised the said

Elijah to pay him four Pounds, five Shillings & nine pence  
on demand with Interest till paid - yet said Amos the often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Elijah nine Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
by Samuel Fowler Gent<sup>l</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho' three times  
publicly called to come into Court made default of appearance  
herein wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Elijah do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Amos four Pounds, eight  
Shillings of lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court taxed at  
the 10<sup>s</sup> 4<sup>d</sup> - & thereupon  
Exon<sup>is</sup> Feb<sup>y</sup> 1784.

127  
Idem  
4  
Leonard.

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hamp<sup>sh</sup> Idem  
shire Physician Pl<sup>y</sup> vs John Daniels of Worthington in S<sup>d</sup> County  
yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> John at S<sup>d</sup> Daniels  
Chesterfield on the fourth Day of October seven hundred  
and eighty two by his vote for value received promised  
said Elijah to pay him twelve pounds lawful Money with  
in one year from the Date of S<sup>d</sup> Date - Also for that the said  
John at S<sup>d</sup> Chesterfield on the last Day of September now last  
past being justly indebted to the S<sup>d</sup> Elijah in another sum of  
two pounds thirteen Shillings & ten pence lawful Money  
on Book Account for sundry Visits before that time made to  
said John & Medicines sold & delivered to him at his the said  
John's special request (by S<sup>d</sup> Elijah) in Consideration thereof  
the S<sup>d</sup> John then & there assumed on himself & to the said Elijah  
faithfully promised that he the said John would well & truly  
pay & content him the S<sup>d</sup> Elijah the last mentioned sum on  
Demand - yet the S<sup>d</sup> John the often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Elijah  
twenty five pounds - ~~The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Samuel Fowler  
Gent<sup>l</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho' three times publicly called to  
come into Court made default of appearance herein~~  
The Parties severally appears on a Motion of the Def<sup>t</sup> to agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term & no appeal  
if a default - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup>  
Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of February  
next

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hamp<sup>sh</sup> Idem  
shire Physician Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Edmund Pittingill of Worthington in  
S<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Edmund Pittingill  
at S<sup>d</sup> Chesterfield on the last Day of September last past being  
justly indebted to the S<sup>d</sup> Elijah in the sum of forty Shillings  
lawful Money on Book Account for sundry Medicines then  
before that time at the special Instance & Request of the S<sup>d</sup> Edmund  
sold & delivered to him & Visits made in Consideration thereof  
the said Edmund then & there assumed on himself & to the said  
Elijah faithfully promised to pay him the same sum on Demand  
Also for that the S<sup>d</sup> Edmund at S<sup>d</sup> Chesterfield on the same  
last Day of September last past in Consideration that the said  
Elijah had before that time at the special Instance & Request  
of the S<sup>d</sup> Edmund sold & delivered to him sundry Articles of Drugs  
and Medicines & had made him diverse Visits as a Physician  
the S<sup>d</sup> Edmund then & there assumed on himself & to the said  
Elijah faithfully promised that he the S<sup>d</sup> Edmund would well  
& truly pay & content him the S<sup>d</sup> Elijah therefore so much Money  
on Demand as the S<sup>d</sup> Drugs & Medicines were reasonably worth  
at said Time of Sale & delivery & as much as he in reason deserved  
to have for his Visits from S<sup>d</sup> Edmund & the S<sup>d</sup> Elijah says that  
the said Drugs & Medicines & Visits as aforesaid was reasonably  
worth in reason he deserved to have from said Edmund for

N<sup>o</sup> 139

Adams  
Pittingil  
the same, another sum of two Pounds & six shillings lawful  
Money of which he gave S<sup>r</sup> Edmund Notice from the same  
day & yet the S<sup>r</sup> Edmund tho' often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Elijah five pounds. The Parties severally appear on  
a Motion of the Justs agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term & no appeal if defaulted. Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here  
until the second Tuesday of February next.

Lymon  
Whitney  
N<sup>o</sup> 140  
James Lyman of Northfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire Gent<sup>l</sup> who prosecutes for us as well as for himself <sup>in his Capacity</sup> P<sup>l</sup>y is  
Levi Whitney of Townshend in our County of Middlesex  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea that the S<sup>r</sup> Levi renders to the said  
James who prosecutes as aforesaid twenty Pounds in lawful  
Money which to him he owes & from him unjustly detains  
detains & whereon the S<sup>r</sup> James declares that at S<sup>r</sup> Northfield  
in the twentieth day of June last past the S<sup>r</sup> Levi was a  
Hawker, Pedlar, Petty Chapman & a trading Arrow, going  
from Town to Town & to a then Mrs Hauser and Travelling  
an Pack and with Horses, carrying to sell & expasing to  
sale in Markets, Towns, Villages, whereof said Levi was  
not an Inhabitant divers Wares, Goods & Merchandises viz  
Rum, Salt, Tea, & Chocolate & being such Hawker, Pedlar, Petty  
Chapman and trading Arrow as aforesaid the S<sup>r</sup> Levi then  
and there viz at Northfield aforesaid (being not an Inhabitant  
of S<sup>r</sup> Northfield) did expose to sale & in fact did sell one  
Pound of Tea worth six shillings to one Ebenezer Child Jun<sup>r</sup>  
and one Cake of Chocolate worth three shillings to one  
Jonathan James & one Bushel of Salt worth twenty four  
shillings to one James Lyman, contrary to the Form & Effect  
of one of our Laws, and Statutes in such Cases made & provided  
and against our Peace whereby Action accrues to the said  
James Lyman the P<sup>l</sup>y to demand & have of the S<sup>r</sup> Levi, to  
the use of use himself & of the Poor of the said Town of  
Northfield the sum of twenty Pounds; yet the S<sup>r</sup> Levi  
tho' often requested hath never paid the same or any Part  
thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said James  
twenty Pounds. The Parties now severally appear by their  
respective Att<sup>ys</sup> & agree to submit this Action, & an Action  
between the same Parties depending in the Common Pleas  
in the County of Middlesex, & also all other Actions, causes of  
Action & all Demands subsisting between them to the Award  
Arbitrament & final Division of Samuel Groby of Winchester  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Henry Woods of Pepperell Esq<sup>r</sup> & Samuel Williams of  
Dorwich Esq<sup>r</sup> the Report of them or any two of them to be  
final, Judgement to be rendered and Execution to issue  
accordingly. <sup>which said Agreement is made a Verdict of the Court in this Case</sup> And the S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here until  
the second Tuesday of February next.

James  
William  
N<sup>o</sup> 141  
James Linnick of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & John Town in the County of Albany  
Att<sup>ys</sup> of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> & Abraham William  
William of Washington in our County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> & John  
in a Plea of the Case for the S<sup>r</sup> Abraham on the twenty third  
day of March last past entered & eight & eighty one at Spring  
aforesaid & in the S<sup>r</sup> for value received promised said

James to pay him fifteen pounds, Silver & Money on or before the first Day of January next with Interest till paid. - yet the said Abraham tho often requested & time of payment long since elapsed hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said James thirty Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Thomas Gold Gent. his Atty the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & therefore it is considered by the Court that James do recover against the said Abraham sixteen Pounds nine shillings nine pence & a couple Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2: 6: 0 & the rest of the Costs. No<sup>d</sup> 20. 1703.

Adonijah Skinner yeoman & servant in the County of Albany State of New York yeoman. Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Thomas Gold of the County of Berkshire yeoman. Pl<sup>y</sup> in action of the Case that to the said Thomas on the sixteenth Day of March seven hundred eighty three the said Adonijah received a note of Springfield by his date for value received promised Adonijah to pay him thirty Pounds lawfully due. Money or before the first Day of October then next with Interest from the Date till paid. - yet the said Thomas tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Adonijah fifty Pounds. - The said Thomas was & appears on & Motion of the Pl<sup>y</sup> agree to have this Case continued to the next Term, Judgment then to be final. - wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the second Tuesday next.

Timothy Cadwell a pinfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman & the wife called Timothy Cadwell of Suffield in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut yeoman. Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Nathaniel Malcomb of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> in a Plea of Henry Trespass on the Case for that the said Malcomb on the ninth Day of May seven hundred eighty two at Springfield by his Note & the said Timothy received promised one Eli Wring to pay him or order forty two pounds eight shillings solid Coin on or before the ninth Day of May then next with Interest till paid. - And afterwards on the Tenth Day of May seven hundred eighty two at Springfield the said Eli Wring by his Indorsement on the said Note with his proper hand subscribed & signed the same Note to the said Timothy Cadwell & ordered the Contents thereof therein wholly unpaid to be paid to the said Timothy of all which the said Malcomb hath then & still afterwards had Notice & so became liable to pay the Contents of the said Note to the said Timothy according to the Tenor thereof & being so liable the said Malcomb then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said Timothy to pay him the same accordingly when it should become due. yet the said Malcomb tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Timothy eighty four Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Timothy do recover against the said Malcomb forty six pounds four shillings & four Pence & a couple Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £4: 10: 0. After a Motion the Def<sup>t</sup> by John Chand<sup>l</sup> & William Gunk, his Atty comes into Court and appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton w<sup>h</sup> for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of April next and he recognizes with Sureties as the Law dire to for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by the Recognizance on file appears.

March 11 Oliver Starbuck of Suffolk in our County of Hampshire  
gentle. My is Ebenezer Noble of Lanesborough in our County  
of Berkshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Ebenezer on the twenty fifth Day of March aforesaid  
N<sup>o</sup> 144 by his Note for value received promised to pay him five Pounds  
seventeen Shillings lawful Money till paid. Yet the said Ebenezer the  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it  
to the Damage of the said Oliver ten Pounds. The Plaintiff  
appears by Thomas Gold gentle, his Att<sup>y</sup> the Day the three times  
publicly called to come into Court make his default of appearance  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Oliver  
do recover against the said Ebenezer six Pounds three Shillings  
six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at 2:11:0. & there of &c. Given at N<sup>o</sup> 20. 1703.

Colton 4 Savage  
N<sup>o</sup> 145 Andrew Colton of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire gentle. My is Thomas Savage of Hartford in  
the State of New Connecticut Husbandman Deft in a Plea of  
the Case for that the said Thomas at Springfield on the eighth  
Day of June aforesaid hundred & seventy three by his Note  
for value received the said Andrew to pay him or order the  
sum of two pounds fifteen Shillings & eight pence lawful Money  
on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said Thomas the often  
requested hath not paid the same but neglected it to the Damage  
of the said Andrew ten pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Shepard  
Walcott, gent<sup>e</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Day the three times publicly called  
to come into Court make his default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Andrew do  
recover against the said Thomas  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
and there of &c.

Bridgham 4 Brown  
N<sup>o</sup> 146 James Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
gentle. My is Thomas Brown of Greenwich in our County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Thomas at Greenwich on the tenth Day of May last past  
by his Note for value received promised to pay him  
two pounds eleven Shillings & eight pence silver Money on  
demand with Interest till paid. The Plaintiff being dead & Martha  
Bridgham Administratrix on the Estate of the said James. in & capacity  
appears by John Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> her Att<sup>y</sup> the Day the three times  
publicly called to come into Court make his default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Martha do recover  
against the said Thomas two pounds thirteen Shillings & three pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2:14:0  
and there of &c. Given at N<sup>o</sup> 25. 1703.

Chapin 4  
N<sup>o</sup> 147 Daniel Calkins of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
gentle. My is Libanon Chapin of Wilbraham in our County of  
Massachusetts Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Daniel on the  
twenty fifth Day of August last past at Wilbraham aforesaid was  
in possession of one brindle Sheep two years old the last Spring of  
the value of three Pounds ten Shillings & being so possessed of said  
Sheep afterwards on the same Day at Wilbraham aforesaid out  
of his hands & possession the same did lawfully loose which said  
Sheep he then afterwards on the same Day by finding came to

the hands & possession of the S. Lebulon. He? Lebulon knowing  
the S. Trifer to be the Property of him the S. Daniel & to him the  
S. Daniel of right to belong & appertain but contriving & fraudu-  
lently intending the S. Daniel in this particular craftily & subtilly  
to deceive & defraud the S. Trifer the often requested & to do hath not  
delivered to the S. Daniel but did then at Wilbraham aforesaid  
afterwards on the same day convert the S. Trifer to his the said  
Lebulon's own use to the Damage of the S. Daniel ten Pounds.  
The Parties severally appeared & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
S. Parties have Day here untill the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>y</sup> next.

State of Common Pleas at Norwich in the County of Cumberland. *Quo Writ*  
Esq<sup>r</sup> John Ely of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
Geo<sup>r</sup> man Plyer Alexander & Plumbly of Weatherfield in the County  
of Hartford & State of Connecticut Geo<sup>r</sup> man Dept in a Plea of  
the Case for that S. Alexander as S. Springfield on the fourth  
Day of April last past by his Note for value received promised  
at the P<sup>ly</sup> to pay them fifty Pounds lawful money worth  
in good West India Rum at Cash price by the fifth of  
May or June then next which time is past & with Interest  
after the time of Payment till paid; to be delivered at  
Weatherfield meaning a Place called Weatherfield in said  
Springfield, and the P<sup>ly</sup> in fact say they have always been  
ready at S. Weatherfield to receive S. the same Rum according  
to the Tenor of S. Note & yet S. Alex<sup>r</sup> the often requested hath  
never fulfilled his Promise to the P<sup>ly</sup> or either of them  
but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Peter & John sixty pounds.  
The Parties severally appeared & on a Motion of the Dept agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that S. Parties have Day here  
untill the second Tuesday of February next.

Joshua Parsons humbly shews that his Good wife Parsons  
attached & civilly summoned to answer to Josiah Mc  
Clanathan of Palmer in the County of Hampshire in  
a Plea of Trespass on the Case, which Action the S. Josiah has  
failed to prosecute. The S. Joshua therefore by Caleb  
Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> prays that he may be allowed his costs.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Joshua do recover  
against S. Josiah his Costs for depending the Suit of the said  
Josiah taxed at 2<sup>d</sup>.

James Bradish of Gunnington in our County of  
Hampshire Physician P<sup>ly</sup> vs. Moses Heaton of Cheshamont in said British  
County Physician Dept in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
S. Moses on the twenty ninth Day of January went to his house  
eighty two at Northampton aforesaid by his Note for value  
received promised S. James to pay him or order one  
pound thirteen shillings in hard Cash on Demand & Interest  
till paid. Also for that S. Moses on the same twenty ninth Day  
of January at Northampton aforesaid by his Note for value  
received promised S. James to pay him or order one pound fifteen  
shillings in hard Cash on Demand & Interest till paid. Also for  
that the S. Moses at Northampton aforesaid in the same twenty  
ninth Day of January aforesaid by his other Note for value re-  
ceived promised S. James to pay him or order one pound eleven

Bradish 11 Shillings in hand Cash on Demand & Interest till paid  
but then? Now the other requested hath never paid the same  
Shaton but neglects it to the Damage of this? James 10 pounds  
The Apppear by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the 3<sup>rd</sup> the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearand here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that? James do recover against? Moses five pounds nine  
shillings of lawful Money. Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at 2:2:4. & the costs. Upon it? Dec<sup>r</sup> 24. 1704.

Dickinson Adm<sup>r</sup> Humbly shews In due Obedy<sup>ce</sup> & Mary Wooly Adm<sup>r</sup>  
in the Estate of Mrs Dickinson Jun<sup>r</sup> late of Amherst in  
for sale of the Ca<sup>ty</sup> of Hampshire decaid withstate that the  
Personal Estate ap<sup>d</sup> decaid is insufficient to discharge  
Real Estate the debts due from? Estate as by a Certificate from the  
N<sup>o</sup> 15<sup>th</sup> Office of Registry of Probate for? County of Mass<sup>ts</sup>  
They therefore pray they may be allowed to make a sale of  
samuch of the Real Estate ap<sup>d</sup> decaid as to enable them  
to discharge the Debts due from? Estate. Whereupon it  
is considered by the Court that? Administration be per  
mitted to sale of the real Estate for the purpose aforesaid  
to the amount of the sum of two hundred & twenty two  
pounds lawful Money, they duly observing the law touching such sale.

Road 11 Extra Road of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> humbly shews, that at the Term of this Court of the  
last Tuesday of August last past he recovered Judgment  
Porkin against Nathan Perkins ap<sup>d</sup> Amherst yeoman Administrator  
N<sup>o</sup> 16<sup>th</sup> 2 in the Estate of Benjamin Benson late ap<sup>d</sup> Amherst decaid  
for the sum of two Pounds fourten Shillings & ten pence  
Damages, one pound six Shillings & two pence Costs of Suit;  
and afterwards sued out a Writ of Execution on the same Judg<sup>t</sup>  
in due form of Law; against the Goods & Estate of the said  
Benjamin in the Hands & Possession of? Nathan. And  
that? Extra doth hereby humbly suggest to the hon<sup>ble</sup> Court,  
that the? Nathan hath wasted the Goods & Estate ap<sup>d</sup> decaid  
and that one Moses Cook a Deputy Sheriff to whom the same  
Execution was delivered to be executed hath the same returned &  
certified to this hon<sup>ble</sup> Court that there are no Goods or Estate  
of the? Benjamin to be found whereon to levy the same  
Upon & that the? Nathan hath wasted all the Goods of said  
decaid & that therefore he has returned the same Execution  
wholly unsatisfied; and thereon this? Extra humbly prays  
that Upon the same Judgment may be issued against  
the proper Goods & Estate & the Body of the? Nathan in Manner  
& form as the Law in such Cases provides & directs. And there  
upon it is considered by the Court that *vi facias* do issue.

The foregoing Judgments Orders &c be made and  
entred up in Manner as aforesaid & then the  
Court adjourned without Day

App<sup>r</sup> Rob Breckler

1830  
1

Hampshire At the Court of Common Pleas held  
at Northampton in and for the County  
of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of  
February being the tenth Day of said month  
and from Day to Day to the 17<sup>th</sup> day of the  
same month Anno Domini 1784

Justices of the said Court  
present

Timothy Danielson Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Charles Porter Esq<sup>r</sup>  
John Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Samuel Mather Esq<sup>r</sup>

Jury of Trials

Nath<sup>l</sup> Edwards 2<sup>d</sup> Term. &c  
Sol<sup>o</sup> Perry  
Sol<sup>o</sup> Brewer excus<sup>d</sup> 5<sup>th</sup> day ... Apr  
Elisha Waich ... Flat  
David Merton Jun<sup>r</sup>  
James Smith ... Bel  
Joseph Bridgman  
David Holt Jun<sup>r</sup> ... Dur  
Anasa Smith  
Ben<sup>t</sup> Parroy ... So  
Roger Clap  
Edward Henderson Jun<sup>r</sup> ... Am  
Elisha Smith absent  
David Parsons excus<sup>d</sup> ... West  
Oliver White } joining  
In<sup>o</sup> Dickinson 2<sup>d</sup> } Had

3 day. - Can Exeter is Hanchett Anasa Smith was off and  
Noadiah Leonard de Tal<sup>r</sup> was on  
4<sup>th</sup> day ... Peter Bundy is Lawd. Stork. Sol<sup>o</sup> Brewer & James Smith were off  
& Sol<sup>o</sup> Lyman & Meph Cook were on  
Dickinson is Warner - Same Jury as in the last Case except<sup>d</sup>  
Ed<sup>o</sup> Henderson & Meph Cook de Tal<sup>r</sup>  
were off & Sol<sup>o</sup> Strong & Simon Strong  
were on  
7<sup>th</sup> day Stephen Baker is Gad Lyman The same standing Jurors on in of  
Case as the last and De Tal<sup>r</sup> were  
on Sol<sup>o</sup> Moody Simon Strong  
Mead Howard & M<sup>h</sup> Cleveland

Thomas Lawrence. Thomas a. Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
11 yeoman Pls is Titus Doolittle of the same Westfield yeoman  
Doolittle Dep in a Plea & assis of Record heretofore. The Parties  
generally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the  
next Term under the former Rule. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that sd. Parties have further Day here untill the  
third Tuesday of May next.

These yeoman Pls is Abner Fowler of the same Westfield yeoman  
Dep in a Plea & assis of Record heretofore. The Parties generally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
under the former Rule. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that sd. Parties have further Day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next.

John Worthington of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq. Pls is Ebenezer Hitchcock of the same Spring  
field yeoman Dep in a Plea & assis of Record heretofore. The  
Hitchcock Parties generally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term under the former Rule. Therefore it is  
considered by the Court that sd. Parties have further Day here  
untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Commonwealth Robert Treat Paine Esq. ATT General for the  
11 Commonwealth of Massachusetts in this Behalf complains  
of Nathaniel Dickinson late of Deerfield in the County of  
Hampshire yeoman & give them to understand & be informed  
as may be seen at large in the Original Complaint on File.  
And now the P. ATT General again comes here further to  
prosecute Complaint, it is considered by the Court that  
said Complaint be continued to the next Term the third  
Tuesday of May next.

Idem Robert Treat Paine Esq. ATT General for the Com  
11 monwealth of Massachusetts in this Behalf complains of  
Richard Clark of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq. and  
Clark Esq. gives them to understand & be informed as may be seen at  
large in the Original Complaint on File. And now the P.  
ATT General again comes here further to prosecute Complaint  
it is considered by the Court that sd. Complaint be continued  
to the next Term the third Tuesday of May next.

Apthorp Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County  
11 of State of New York Esq. & Griswold Apthorp of Boston in the  
County of Suffolk Gentles woman Administrator on the  
Estate of Charles Apthorp of Boston Esq. deceased in sd. Capacity  
Macham Pls is Paul Macham of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Dep in a Plea & assis of Record heretofore. The  
Parties generally appear & agree to have this Case continued to  
the next Term without Cost. Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that sd. Parties have further Day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next.

Idem Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County and  
11 State of New York Esq. & Griswold Apthorp of Boston in our County  
of Suffolk Gentles woman Administrator on the Estate of Charles  
Apthorp late of Boston Esq. deceased in sd. Capacity Pls is  
Robert Blair the third of Blanford in our County of Hamp  
shire yeoman Dep in a Plea & assis of Record heretofore.  
Blair & s. Parties generally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the  
next Term without Cost. There upon it is considered by the  
Court that sd. Parties have further Day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next.

Benjamin Little of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Laborer My son Jonathan Stephens of Springfield in our County  
Woman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore The Parties  
severally appear & agree to have this case continued to the next term  
under the former Rule. Therefore it is considered by the Court that  
the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Little  
Stephens

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County  
and State of New York Esq & Grizzell Apthorp of Boston in our  
County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administration on the Estate  
of Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq deceased in S. Capacity  
My son Joseph Ward of Blanford in the County of Hampshire  
Woman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The  
Parties severally appear & agree to have this case continued to  
the next Term without Costs. Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have further Day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next.

Apthorp  
Ward

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County  
and State of New York Esq & Grizzell Apthorp of Boston in  
our County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administration on the  
Estate of Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq deceased in S.  
Capacity My son James Minor of Blanford in our County of  
Hampshire Woman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore.  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this case  
continued to the next Term without Costs. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the Parties have further  
Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Apthorp  
Minor

Charles Ward Apthorp of New York in the County  
State of New York Esq & Grizzell Apthorp of Boston in our  
County of Suffolk Gentlewoman Administration on the  
Estate of Charles Apthorp late of Boston Esq deceased in S.  
Capacity My son Dan Boies of Blanford in our County of  
Hampshire Woman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore.  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this  
Case continued to the next Term without Costs. Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that the Parties have further  
Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Apthorp  
Boies

Robert Hamilton of Conway in the County of  
Hampshire Gentle My son the Inhabitants of the Town of Conway  
Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The Parties  
severally appear & agree to have this case continued to the  
next Term under the former Rule without Cost at that  
Term. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Parties have further Day here untill the third Tuesday May next.

Hamilton  
Inhabitants  
of Conway

Edmond Hubbard of Hadley in the County of  
Hampshire Gentle My son Noah Smith as the same Hadley  
Woman Deft in a Plea & as is of Record heretofore. The  
Parties severally appear. Ruggles Woodbridge Esq & others  
appointed Referees in this Case, come & bring into Court  
their Award as follows vizt. Hampshire ss Feb 11. 1784.  
We the subscribers having given the Parties within mentioned  
a full hearing agreeable to the within Rule, Award that  
the within named Noah Smith do pay to the within  
named Edmond Hubbard My the sum of four Pounds  
lawful Money it being in full of all Demands & also the

Hubbard  
Smith

Costs of Reference taxed at four pounds & eleven shillings  
Exon 10. March 8. 1784.

Charles Ward of the County of New York in the County of Suffolk  
vs  
Griswell Apthorp of Boston in our County of Suffolk Gentleman Administrator on the Estate of Charles Apthorp late of said Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased  
Parks. in & Capacity of Roger Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Clothier Deft in a Plea & Case of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear agree to have this Case continued to the next Term without Costs, Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Moore vs  
Roger Moore of in the County of  
John Campbell of  
Campbell in the County of  
as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear and on a Motion of the Pl<sup>y</sup> agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Thereupon it is considered by the Court that said Parties have further Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Lower vs  
Frank Negro. Abner Fowler of in the County of  
County of Pl<sup>y</sup> Frank Negro of in the  
Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>y</sup> being now three times publicly called to come into Court is non suit the Deft departs & the Action dismissed.

Bridgham vs  
James Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs William Mc Master of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft in a Plea & Case as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>y</sup> being dead, Martha Bridgham Administratrix on the Estate of the s<sup>d</sup> James by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> her Att<sup>y</sup> appears & the Deft tho' three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Martha do recover against s<sup>d</sup> William nine pound nine shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £6: 1: 4 & thereof. Exon 10. Feb 21. 1784.

Thompson vs  
Benjamin Thompson of Ware in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Peter Blackmer of Dunwich in our County of Worcester Yeoman & Jason Wright of Worcester in the County of Worcester Gentle Deft in a Plea & Case as is of Record heretofore. The Parties appear & agree to refer this Case with all Demands to the Award & final Decision of Ruben Mann Esq<sup>r</sup> Josiah Browning & Daniel Burd who are to hear s<sup>d</sup> Parties their several Facts, Allegations & the Award of them or any two of them is to be final, to be returned into this Court Judge to be made up & Execution to issue accordingly. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Samuel Clark of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman Plff vs Samuel Thrall of the same Granville Gent  
 Deft in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally  
 appear & John Ingersoll & others Referees in this case come and  
 bring into Court their Award as follows viz. Hampshire ss.  
 We the Subscribers Referees in the Case depending between  
 Samuel Clark of Granville in our County of Hampshire Plff & Samuel  
 Thrall of Granville Deft the Parties appearing at said  
 Granville on the 23<sup>d</sup> of June 1784 with their Pleas, Proofs &  
 Allegations & due Consideration thereon had as a Judge order  
 and determin that the said Samuel Clark Plff do recover  
 of this Samuel Thrall Deft the sum of one Pound eight  
 shillings & one penny Damages & execution & allings & six  
 pence Costs of Reference & Costs of Court to be taxed by the  
 Court. John Ingersoll Esq<sup>r</sup>. Robinson Oliver Phelps Referees.  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Clark do  
 recover against the said Thrall one pound eight shillings  
 and one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £4-4-11 & thereof &c. Exon ss. Feb 19. 1784.

Clark  
 vs  
 Thrall.

Moses Shearer of Colrain in the County of  
 Hampshire yeoman Plff vs The Inhabitants of the Shearer  
 same Colrain Deft in a Plea as is of Record heretofore.  
 The Plff & David Harran Agent for the Deft appear agree  
 to refer this Case to the Award of Jonathan Ashley Esq<sup>r</sup> of Colrain.  
 Samuel Barnard Atty at Law & Benj<sup>n</sup> Henry Esq<sup>r</sup> who are  
 to hear said Parties their Proofs & the Award of the mor  
 any two of them is to be final to be returned into this  
 Court Judgment to be made up & Execution to be  
 accordingly. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the said Shearer have further Day here untill the third Tuesday  
 of May next.

Michael Duquib of Enfield in the County of Grafton  
 State of New Hampshire yeoman Plff vs Gad Root of Westfield in  
 our County of Hampshire Innkeeper Deft in a Plea as is  
 of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & John  
 Ingersoll & others Referees in this case come into Court their  
 Award as follows viz. Hampshire ss. November 27<sup>th</sup> 1783.  
 We the Subscribers appointed Referees as within mentioned &  
 having fully heard the Parties & their several Pleas Proofs and  
 Allegations & maturely considered the same do award & determin  
 that this Michael Duquib recover against the said Gad Root  
 the sum of four Pounds lawful Money Damages & the Costs of  
 Reference amounting to two pounds & eighteen shillings & the  
 Costs of Court to be taxed by the Court all which is submitted  
 to the Referees. John Ingersoll Esq<sup>r</sup>. William Shephard & Abner Barnard  
 Referees. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 the said Michael do recover against the said Gad four Pounds of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £7-4-0 & thereof &c.

Duquib  
 vs  
 Root

Graves & Co yeoman, & the Austin yeoman Looming  
 both of Enfield in the County of Suffolk & State of  
 Connecticut Plff vs Joshua Preston of Westfield in our  
 County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea as is of Record  
 heretofore. The Plff appear by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> their  
 Atty & the Deft has three times publicly called to come into

Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S. Graves do recover against the said Parker four pounds thirteen Shillings & three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:0:0 & thereof &c. Quoniam 20. 1784

Benjamin Thompson of Ware in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pls Benjamin Billings of Bidekerstown in s<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Deft in a Plea &c as Billings is of Record heretofore. The Pls appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his ATT & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Benjamin <sup>Thompson</sup> do recover against the S. Benjamin Billings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £

Gibbs  
Strang  
Thomas Gibbs <sup>late</sup> of Sunderland in our County of Hampshire Labourer Pls Asa Strang of the same Sunderland Labourer Deft in a Plea &c as it is of Record heretofore. The Pls being now three times publicly called to come into Court ~~and the Deft defaulted~~ the Action dismissed

Chandler  
Herrindon  
John Chandler of Sunderland in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pls Daniel Herrindon yeoman & Asa Herrindon yeoman so thof Adams in our County as it is of Record heretofore. The Pls being now three times publicly called to come into Court is Non suit. Now at this Time the Deft by Asa Strang Esq<sup>r</sup> their ATT comes into Court & prays their Costs may be allowed them. Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the Deft do recover against the Pls their Costs for depending the suit of the S. John taxed at £4:6:6 & thereof &c. Quoniam March 1. 1784

Smith  
Babeach  
Nozell Smith of Stonington in the County of New London State of Connecticut Gentl Pls Joseph Babeach of Barnard town in our County of Hampshire Gentl Deft in a Plea &c as it is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to refer this Case to the Award of Elisha Porter, Jonathan Dadd & Robert Brook Esq<sup>r</sup> who are to hear & Partis & the Award of them or any two of them is to be final. to be returned into this Court Judgment to be made up & Execution to issue according to &c. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next

Merrick  
Pollard  
Benjamin Merrick of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pls Benjamin Pollard of Palmer in s<sup>d</sup> County Gentl Deft in a Plea &c as it is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case written out to the next term. & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next after the second Tuesday of February

Thompson  
Wright  
Henry Thompson of Palmer in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pls Jason Wright of Western in our County of Worcester Gentl Deft in a Plea &c as it is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this

Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final unless an appeal for Trial. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Haria Curtis of a Plantation called Numberswen in our County of Hampshire yeoman Myr Asa Whitney of Conway in S. County yeoman Defendant as is of Record heretofore. The My appears by William Billings Esq. his Att. & the Deft. the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Hosca do recover against S. Asa three Pounds five Shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:11:2 & thereof &c. Exon. May 9. 1704.

Curtis  
&  
Whitney

Nathaniel Hazard of Woodbury in the County of Litchfield & State of Connecticut Merchant Myr Thomas Allen Clerk of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Defendant as is of Record heretofore. The My appears by Theodore Sedgwick Esq. his Att. & the Deft. the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Nathaniel do recover against S. Thomas ninety one Pounds thirteen Shillings & seven Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:6:4 & thereof &c. Exon. May 1. 1704.

Aaron Halladay of Suffield in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut yeoman Myr Enoch White of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear on a Motion of the Deft. it is considered that this Case be continued to the next Term and that said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Halladay  
&  
White

Jos Rogers yeoman & Jacob Miller yeoman both of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire Myr Sam. Rogers & Leonard of the same West Springfield yeoman Defendant as is of Record heretofore. The My appears by Justin Ely Esq. their Att. & the Deft. the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Myr do recover against S. Deft. eight Pounds ten Shillings and eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:10:4 & thereof &c. Exon. Feb. 20. 1704.

Rogers  
&  
Miller

Jessie Smith Widow & Enos Smith Gentle both of Hadley in our County of Hampshire & Administrators on the Estate of Abraham Smith late of S. Hadley Gentle deceased in said Capacity Myr Jacob Smith of the same Hadley Gentle Jonathan Child of Hartford in the County of Cheshire & State of New Hampshire Gentle Defendant as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued for Judgment. And it is considered by the Court that S. Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday May next.

Smith & Child

Bagg Noble Bagg of Biddestown in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
Thayer yeoman Plaintiff Silas Thayer of the same Biddestown  
yeoman Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record here tofore  
The Plaintiff by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the  
Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Noble do recover against  
the D<sup>r</sup> Silas five Pounds five Shillings & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £2:2:10  
and thereof &c  
Upon j<sup>o</sup> Mar 24. 1704.

Freeman Ebenezer Freeman of Norwich in our County of  
vs  
Bennet Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Daniel Bennet of the same  
Norwich yeoman Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record here tofore  
The Plaintiff by Samuel Fowler gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup>  
the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel  
Twenty five Pounds nineteen Shillings & five pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £2:0:9  
and thereof &c  
Upon j<sup>o</sup> Feb 20. 1704.

Clark Anne Clark of Norwich in our County of Hamp-  
vs  
Clapp shire yeoman Plaintiff Eli Clapp of Southampton in our  
said County yeoman Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record here  
tofore. The Plaintiff being now three times publicly called to come  
into Court is non suit, the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed.

Clapp Peter Clapp of Southampton in our County of  
vs  
Hubbard Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Stephen Hubbard of Northamp-  
ton in said County Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea & as is of  
Record here tofore. The Plaintiff being now three times public-  
ly called to come into Court is non suit, the Defendant  
defaulted & the action dismissed.

N. Tarry Nathaniel Tarry of Wilbraham in our County of  
vs  
S. Tarry Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff Stephen Tarry the second and  
David Tarry the second both of Chertseyfield in s<sup>d</sup> County  
yeomen Defendants in a Plea & as is of Record here tofore. The  
Plaintiff by Alexander Walcott gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup>  
the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the P<sup>r</sup> Nathaniel do recover against  
the D<sup>r</sup> Stephen & David seven Pounds one Shilling & nine pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Cost of Court taxed at £2:9:6  
and thereof &c  
Upon j<sup>o</sup> Mar. 24. 1704.

Beal & Coe Jacob Beal yeoman & Joseph Coe yeoman both of  
vs  
Hart Cummington in our County of Hampshire Plaintiff Silas Tarr  
of Hakey in the County aforesaid yeoman Defendant in a  
Plea & as is of Record here tofore. The Plaintiff being now  
three times publicly called to come into Court are non suit  
the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed.

Babcock Oliver Babcock of Barnardstown in our County of  
vs  
Cheare Hampshire Cardwainer Plaintiff Thomas Cheare of Calrain in  
the same County yeoman Defendant in a Plea & as is of Record  
here tofore. The Parties severally appear & Jonathan Ashley Esq<sup>r</sup>  
and others Referees in this case come & bring into Court their

134  
Award as follows viz. Whereas the Subscribers being chosen  
by Oliver Babcock of Barnardston in the County of Hamp Babcock  
shire & Commonwealth of Massachusetts & Thomas Shearer  
of Calrain in the County aforesaid & appointed by the Shearer  
Justices of the Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield  
within & for the County of aforesaid on the second Tuesday  
of November last past, to award & determine concerning  
a certain Action entered at the Court aforesaid in which Action  
the aforesaid Oliver is Plt & the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas Shearer is Dft in which  
Action the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver demands of the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas a certain sum for  
the Property of the said Oliver, do award & determine that the  
said Oliver recover of the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas One pound sixteen shillings  
damage & eight pence & seven pence each at the Court aforesaid & Cost of Reference the Cost of Reference  
contained in the above eight pence & seven pence each  
Dated at Calrain 9<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1783. Jonathan Ashley  
Benjamin Henry Crim Smith. Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Oliver do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas One  
Pound sixteen shillings <sup>of lawful Money</sup> & Cost of Court & Reference  
together with the Cost of this Court taxed at 19<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1783 & thereupon

Oliver Smith, Enos Smith, Jonathan Smith Gent<sup>l</sup> & s<sup>d</sup> C. Smith & s<sup>d</sup> C. Smith  
Smith yeoman & Enos Smith yeoman all of Hadley in our County  
of Hampshire Plt & s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan Smith & s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan Ashley & s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan Ashley  
of Hartford in the County of Berkshire & s<sup>d</sup> of New Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Dft in a Plea & as is of Record here to fore. The Parties  
severally appear & it is considered that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until  
the third Tuesday of May next.

Samuel Mather of W<sup>h</sup>field in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> & Eliza Mather yeoman & Timothy Mather yeoman both of Mather & s<sup>d</sup>  
Northampton in the County of Hampshire aforesaid Executors of  
the last Will & Testament of Samuel Mather late of s<sup>d</sup> Northamp<sup>r</sup>  
ton Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plt & s<sup>d</sup> Phineas Lyman of Hadley in  
in s<sup>d</sup> County Gent<sup>l</sup> Administrator with the Will annexed of s<sup>d</sup> s<sup>d</sup>  
singular the Goods & Chattels Rights & Credits of Gideon Lyman  
late of s<sup>d</sup> Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased which was not administered by  
Eliza Lyman late of s<sup>d</sup> Hadley deceased, who was Executor of the  
last Will & Testament of the s<sup>d</sup> Gideon in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Dft in a Plea  
as is of Record here to fore. The Parties severally appear on a Motion  
of the Dft agree to have this Case continued to the next Term.  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day  
here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Phineas Lyman of Hadley in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Lyman  
shire Gent<sup>l</sup> Administrator on the Estate of Eliza Lyman late  
of s<sup>d</sup> Hadley Gent<sup>l</sup> deceased in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plt & s<sup>d</sup> Nathan Elam & s<sup>d</sup> s<sup>d</sup>  
of W<sup>h</sup>ington in our s<sup>d</sup> County & s<sup>d</sup> in s<sup>d</sup> Dft in a Plea & as  
is of Record here to fore. The Plt appear by Caleb Brown Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Atty & the Dft the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Phineas do recover against  
the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel  
of lawful Money  
damages & Cost of Court taxed at 19<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1783 & thereupon

Morton  
Roper

Elisha Morton of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
Grooman Plaintiff William Roper late of Williamburgh in said  
County Trader Defendant in a Plea Case of Record heretofore  
The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Attorney the Defendant  
three times publicly called to come into Court make default  
of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant  
Pounds five Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £2:4:0 and thereof &c  
Exon Mar 1. 1784

Shaw  
Allen

Jonathan Shaw of Gunnington in our County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Joshua Allen late of  
Brookfield in the County of Worcester Husbandman Defendant  
in a Plea Case of Record heretofore The Plaintiff appears by  
Caleb Strong Esq. his Attorney the Defendant three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Jonathan do recover against the Defendant thirty two Pounds  
eight Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2:13:4 & thereof &c Exon 3<sup>d</sup> Mar 1. 1784

Exton Mgr  
Hanchick

Euton a Negro Man of San Martin in our County  
of Hampshire Laborer Plaintiff Oliver Hanchick of Suffield in  
the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Gentleman  
called Oliver Hanchick of Suffield in our County of Hamp-  
shire Gentleman Defendant in a Plea Case of Record heretofore  
The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Attorney the Defendant  
three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant  
Pounds five Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2:4:0 and thereof &c Exon 3<sup>d</sup> Mar 1. 1784

Queen  
Graves

Timothy Queen of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
Trader Plaintiff Moses Graves of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire  
Gentleman Defendant in a Plea Case of Record heretofore The Parties severally  
appear agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
under the former Rule And it is considered by the Court that the  
Parties have a Day here until the third Tuesday of May next



Shephard & Co. for the Plaintiff a new Bond of five hundred Shillings &  
one penny half penny & therefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Plaintiff should recover against the  
Defendant a new Bond of five hundred Shillings & one penny half  
penny of lawful Money & the magistrates of the County of  
Essex. After all which the Plaintiff by John Chester  
William Esq. his Attorney General, appeals from the  
Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
to be holden at Northampton in the Court of the County of  
Essex on the first Tuesday of April next, & in the afternoon  
at the Court of the said County for his prosecuting his  
appeal with effect & success. Magnificence on the appeal

Jonathan Dwight of Springfield in the County  
of Hampshire. Plaintiff against Uriah Goodwin of Ashfield  
in the County of Hampshire. Defendant in a Plea & assize of Record  
heretofore. The Plaintiff appears by William Billings Esq. his  
Attorney General. The Defendant three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover  
against the Defendant nine pounds & nine Shillings of lawful  
Money & damages & costs of Court taxed at £10.10  
and the costs.

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire. Plaintiff against Amos Brink of Worthington in our  
County of Hampshire. Defendant in a Plea & assize of Record heretofore.  
The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Fowler Esq. his Attorney General. The Defendant  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. And therefore it is consi-  
dered by the Court that this case be continued to the next Term  
for Judgment to be had. In this case the Defendant is not until the  
first Tuesday of May next.

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of  
Hampshire. Plaintiff against John Daniels of Worthington in our  
County of Hampshire. Defendant in a Plea & assize of Record heretofore.  
The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Fowler Esq. his Attorney General. The Defendant  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Plaintiff recover against the said John twelve pounds  
& nine Shillings & one penny of lawful Money & damages & costs of Court  
taxed at £12.10.1 & the costs. Upon February 20. 1703.

Elijah Adams of Chesterfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire. Plaintiff against Edmund Attingil of Worthington in our  
County of Hampshire. Defendant in a Plea & assize of Record heretofore.  
The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Fowler Esq. his Attorney General. The Defendant  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover  
against the said Edmund ten pounds & six Shillings of lawful Money  
& damages & costs of Court taxed at £10.10.1 & the costs. Upon February 20. 1703.

Samuel Gandy of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff against John Gandy of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Defendant in a Plea & assize of Record heretofore. The Plaintiff appears  
by Samuel Gandy Esq. his Attorney General. The Defendant three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover  
against the said John ten pounds & six Shillings of lawful Money  
& damages & costs of Court taxed at £10.10.1 & the costs. Upon February 20. 1703.

fourteen<sup>th</sup> Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty four at  
 nine of the Clock in the forenoon it being the Time & Place agreed  
 upon by the Parties, the above named James Lyman attending with Lyman  
 his Evidence & after waiting one Day & the above named Levi  
 Whitney not appearing neither by himself nor his Att<sup>y</sup> we proceed Whitney  
 to a full hearing of the said James Lyman & his Evidence and  
 after maturely considering the same, we are of the Opinion that  
 the said Lyman has supported the Declaration in his writ and  
 that the said Levi hath incurred the Penalty of twenty Pounds which  
 he ought to pay according to the direction of the Law respecting  
 Advers. Hawkers & City & Chapmen & also all costs arising by said  
 Process we are also of the Opinion that the said Levi had no right  
 or Cause of Action against the said James in the Court of Com-  
 mon Pleas in the County of Middlesex as the said James owed  
 the said Levi only the sum of twenty four Shillings for one Bushel  
 of Salt which the said James was always ready to pay to the said  
 Levi according to Bargain, therefore we are of Opinion that  
 the said Levi ought to pay all Costs in the Action brought by  
 the said Levi against the said James at Concord Court in the  
 County of Middlesex & also Costs of Referees which is as follows  
 to wit Reference Time & Expense One pound & seven shillings  
 Four Witnesses at fourteen shillings & four pence each, amounting  
 to two pounds, sixteen shillings & four pence, said Lyman as  
 a Party, amounting to ten shillings & six pence, expenses in the  
 House, twelve shillings & seven pence, The Total (after deducting  
 twenty four shillings due from the said James to the said Levi) amounting  
 to four Pounds, three shillings & five pence, for the said Levi to  
 pay to the said James together with the sum sued for and the  
 several Costs of Court mentionell in the foregoing Report  
 Winchester January 18<sup>th</sup> 1784 - Samuel Crosby, Samuel Williams  
 Referees - At B the other Referees not present - <sup>Whitney paid damages in arrears & paid it</sup> Thereupon ~~the~~ it  
 is considered by the Court that the said James do recover against the  
 said Levi Twenty Pounds of Lawful Money ~~the said~~ Costs of  
 Court taxed at £4 4s 6d & thereof &c. Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Feb 27. 1784

Aloniah Shimmer of New Concord in the County of  
 Albany & State of New York yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Thomas Judd  
 of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea Shimmer  
 as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Thomas Goldgent Judd  
 his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
 Court in his default of appearance here her. Wherefore it  
 is considered by the Court that the said Aloniah do recover against  
 said Thomas thirty one Pounds, thirteen shillings of Lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £3 10s 2d & thereof &c.  
 Exon ip<sup>o</sup> Feb 21. 1784.

Daniel Galloway Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Zebulon Chapin of Wilbraham in sd County Galloway  
 yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Pl<sup>y</sup> Chapin  
 appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
 times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
 appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the said Daniel do recover against the said Zebulon  
 of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at £



the said Patrick seven pounds. The My being now three 137  
times publicly called to come into Court is notwithstanding And  
then upon the Dft by his Att comes into Court that his costs  
may be allowed which is granted. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said James do recover against the  
Patrick his back for defuding the suit of the said Patrick  
taxed at £

Samuel Hyde of Pelham in our County of Hampshire. Hyde  
woman My is the Inhabitant of the Town of Pelham afore-  
said. Dfts in a Plea of the Case for that the said Mary Inhabitant  
of Pelham to wit Joseph Richard David Gauden Daniel Gray Pelham  
and Caleb Smith at Pelham aforesaid on the eighth Day of  
January seven hundred eighty two being the day to  
auly authorized by the Vote of the said Inhabitants in Town  
Meeting legally assembled made their order in writing with  
their own proper hands subscribed directed to one Ebenezer Gray  
Treasurer of the same Town therein ordering & directing the said  
Treasurer for value received to pay unto the said Samuel twenty  
Pounds eleven shillings & six pence lawful Money, it being for  
Bt to pay the same to him in Gold or Silver with lawful  
Interest for the same untill paid. And afterwards to wit on the  
last Day of August last past at Pelham aforesaid the said Samuel  
presented the same order to the said Ebenezer Gray being Treasurer as  
aforesaid and there requested and demanded the said Treasurer  
to pay to the said Samuel the sum of the same order according  
to the Tenor thereof. And the said Ebenezer being Treasurer as  
aforesaid there refused to pay the same, whereby the  
Inhabitants there instantly had Notice & so became chargeable in  
Law to pay the same Contents to said Samuel on Demand, in con-  
sideration then of that there promised Samuel to pay him the  
same accordingly. Also for that the said Inhabitants of Pelham  
on the same Day & Year owed the said Samuel thirty Pounds in  
lawful Money for so much Money by said Inhabitants of Pelham  
Samuel to the said Samuel's use therefore that time had received  
and there in consideration thereof promised Samuel to  
pay him the same sum on demand. Yet the said Inhabitants tho often  
requested have never performed either of their said Promises  
but neglect the Damage of the said Samuel sixty Pounds.  
The My appear by Simon Strong Esq. his Att & the Dfts the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear-  
ance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said My do recover against the Dfts twenty three Pounds, two  
shillings & ten pence by lawful Money Damages for that  
taxed at £11:2 & there of &c. Given at Exon 26. 1784

Joseph Mark of Ware in our County of Hampshire. <sup>Hyde and</sup> Mark  
woman My is Samuel Andrews late of Wotton in our County  
of Worcester. Husbandman Dft in a Plea of the Case for that  
the said Samuel at Ware aforesaid on the twentieth Day of April  
seventeen hundred & seventy seven being justly indebted to the said Joseph  
in the sum of three Pounds lawful Money for so much Money  
of the said Joseph by the said Samuel & at his request to the use of the  
said Joseph there is so that time had received & being so indebted the  
said Samuel then & there in consideration thereof promised the My  
to pay him the same on Demand with Interest till paid.

Mark  
Andrew

Also for that the said Joseph at Ware aforesaid on the same twentieth Day of April seventeen hundred & seventy seven at the special Instance & Request of the said Samuel did tender & accommodate to the said Samuel one other Sum of three pounds lawful Money & the said Samuel then there in Consideration thereof assured on himself & to the said Joseph faithfully promised to pay the same on Demand with Interest till paid. Also for that the said Samuel at Ware aforesaid on the same Day & year last aforesaid by his Note of Hand for value received promised the said Joseph to pay him one other Sum of three pounds lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid. which Note since the Date thereof being in no part paid or satisfied was by the Providence of God burnt & destroyed. yet the said Samuel tho' often requested has never paid either of said Sums but neglects to do so to the Damage of the said Joseph ten Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued for Judgement which is to be final the next Term Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Huntin  
Jones  
N<sup>o</sup> 5

Robert Huntin of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>le</sup> vs Isaac Jones of Wiston in our County of Middlesex Gent<sup>le</sup> D<sup>ist</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Isaac at Northampton aforesaid on the last Day of February seventeen hundred and eighty three in Consideration that the said Robert had there before that time carried & transported forty hundred weight of Flour fromoughappie in the State of New York to Springfield in our County of Hampshire & also from Springfield aforesaid to Boston in the County of Suffolk the distance of two hundred & five Miles at the special Instance & Request of the said Isaac did then & there promise the said Robert to pay him therefor as much Money as he reasonably deserved to have whenever he should be there to afterwards requested with Interest till paid. & the said Isaac says that he reasonably did deserve to have of the said Isaac for the Transport of the said Flour the Sum of twenty seven pounds six shillings & nine pence lawful Money of which the said Isaac then there had Notice. yet the said Isaac has only paid the Sum of six Pounds lawful Money part of the said Sum, but the residue thereof viz the Sum of twenty ten pounds six shillings & nine pence with the Interest thereof the said Isaac refuses to pay to the Damage of the said Robert thirty Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment which then to be final if defaulted. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Graham  
Bayle  
N<sup>o</sup> 6

John Graham of Holland in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>oman vs John Bayle of Oakham in our County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup>oman D<sup>ist</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Bayle at Northampton aforesaid on the twenty fourth Day of December seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Graham to pay him in Cash or fourteen pounds five shillings and one penny one fourth part lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid. And the said Graham the next day after on the same Day by his Indorsement on the same Note for value received ordered the Contents of the said Note wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the said Bayle or his order of which the said Bayle then there had Notice & by removal of the said Bayle being unable then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said Graham to pay him the Contents of the said Note according to the Tenor & Effect thereof. yet the said Bayle refused to pay the same & the said Graham twenty Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment which then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Joseph Washburn of New Braintree in our County of Worcester  
 yeoman Plffs Eli Putnam of Amherst in our County of  
 Hampshire Blacksmiths Defs in a Plea of the Case for that the  
 said Eli at Northampton aforesaid on the second Day of September  
 seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note for value received  
 promised the Plff to pay him or order twenty nine pounds two  
 shillings & two pence lawful silver or Gold Money on Demand with  
 Interest till paid — yet the said Eli tho requested to pay the same  
 up to the Damage of the said Joseph forty Pounds —  
 The Parties severally appear agree to have this Case continued  
 to the next Term Judgement then to be final — Wherefore it  
 is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill  
 the third Tuesday of May next

Washburn  
 Putnam  
 No 7

Martha Bridgman of Boston in our County of Suffolk Bridgman's  
 Widow Administratrix of all & singular the Goods & Chattels, Rights  
 and Credits of the Estate of James Bridgman late of Boston Depon Thompson  
 son of Palmer in & County of Hampshire Plff is & was the  
 for that the said Thompson on the fourteenth Day of  
 January seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note for value  
 received promised the said Martha <sup>then full</sup> to pay him or order  
 thirteen pounds & fifteen shillings lawful silver Money on Demand  
 with Interest from the thirtieth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> then last past till  
 paid — yet the said Thompson tho often requested never paid the same  
 to the said Martha in his life time or to the Administratrix since the  
 death of the said James but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
 Martha in her & Capacity twenty Pounds — The Parties  
 severally appear agree to have this Case continued to the next  
 Term Judgement then to be final — Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the next  
 Term the third Tuesday of May next

Dwight Foster of Brookfield in our County of  
 Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> Plffs Noah Thompson of Palmer in our  
 County of Hampshire yeoman Defs in a Plea of the Case for  
 that for that the said Thompson on the twenty second  
 Day of August last past by his Note for value received  
 promised the Plff to pay him or order three pounds eight shillings  
 & ten pence lawful silver or Gold Money on Demand  
 with Interest till paid — yet the said Thompson tho often requested has never  
 paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Foster  
 eight Pounds — The Parties severally appear agree to have  
 this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
 final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
 Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Thompson  
 No 9

Dwight Foster of Brookfield in our County of Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Plffs Sherman of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Defs in a Plea of the Case for that the said Sherman  
 on the seventeenth Day of January current being indebted to the Plff  
 in the sum of two Pounds eleven shillings & eight pence agreeable  
 to the account annexed thereto there in consideration thereof promised  
 the Plff to pay him the same sum on Demand — yet the requested  
 refused to pay the same to the Damage of the said Foster six pounds  
 The Plff appears by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> & the Defs tho three times publickly  
 called to come into Court makes default & appears none else — Therefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the said Foster do recover against the said Sherman two pounds eleven shillings  
 & eight pence lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at £1. 14. 6  
 and thereof &c

No 10

Bridgman Ad. *Prothon* Bridgman of Boston in our County of Suffolk Widow  
 1  
 Smith  
 N<sup>o</sup> 11  
 Administratrix of all & singular the goods & Chattels Rights & Credits of  
 the Estate of James B. Bridgman late of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased and  
 Samuel Smith of Weymouth Esq<sup>r</sup> Executor of the said James in  
 his last will & testament was a Plea of Debt for that the said James in  
 his life time viz. on the fourth Tuesday of September last past  
 by the Consideration of our Justices of our Supreme Judicial Court  
 then & there holden at Springfield within & for our County of  
 Hampshire recovered Judgment against the said Samuel for the  
 sum of nine pounds seven shillings & five pence lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at five pounds seven shillings  
 and four pence like Money and at the said James there  
 afterwards on the twenty sixth Day of the same September sued  
 out <sup>Writ of</sup> Execution on the same Judgement. yet the said James  
 since deceased the said Execution having never been committed to  
 the Hand of any Executive Officer to be levied whereby an  
 Action hath returned to the P<sup>ty</sup> in her Capacity to have recover  
 of the said Samuel the aforesaid sum being fifteen Pounds sixteen  
 shillings & nine pence in the whole together with two shillings  
 more for Writ of Execution yet the said Samuel the requested answer  
 paid the same but repaid to the Damage of the P<sup>ty</sup> Mather in  
 her Capacity the said Pounds. The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by Dwight  
 Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> her Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to  
 come into Court makes a usual boy appearance here. Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Mather do recover against the  
 said Samuel sixteen pounds & five shillings of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:15:2 otherwise  
 upon if. Feb<sup>y</sup> 28. 1704

Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 11  
 King  
 N<sup>o</sup> 12  
 Dwight Foster of Brookfield in our County of  
 Worcester Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> is Thomas King of Palmer in our County  
 of Hampshire yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
 Thomas at Palmer on the seventeenth Day of December  
 last past by his Note for value received promised one  
 Elijah Dwight to pay him or order the sum of twenty four  
 Pounds seven shillings & three pence lawful Money on Demand  
 with Interest till paid and the said Elijah Dwight thereupon  
 on the same Day by his Indorsement on the same Note for  
 value received ordered the Contents of said Note due unpaid  
 to be paid to the P<sup>ty</sup> or his order of which the said Thomas then &  
 there had Notice & by reason of the Premises being liable there  
 to in Consideration thereof promised the P<sup>ty</sup> not pay him the  
 Contents of said Note according to the Tenor & Effect thereof yet the  
 requested to pay the same the said Thomas requests to the Damage of  
 the said Foster the said Pounds. The Justices severally appear agree  
 to have this Cause continued to the next term Judgment then to  
 be given. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
 Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Farr  
 1  
 Huddard  
 N<sup>o</sup> 13  
 Elias Farr of Hadley in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
 P<sup>ty</sup> is Solomon Huddard of Northampton W<sup>o</sup> County Esq<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>  
 in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on file  
 The P<sup>ty</sup> being now three times publicly called to come into Court  
 is non suit the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & this action is dismissed

Davenport  
 1  
 Farrand  
 N<sup>o</sup> 14  
 Benjamin Davenport of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 P<sup>ty</sup> is William Farrand of Adams in our County of Berkshire  
 Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said William at said  
 Northampton on the twentieth Day of June seventeen hundred  
 and eighty three by his Note for value received promised  
 said Benjamin to pay him the sum of thirty five pounds five

Shillings & three pence lawful Money on Demand with the  
lawful Interest for the same till paid — Also for that the said  
William a Dr. Northampton on the twentieth twentieth Day of June Davenport  
expressed by his other Note for value received promised that  
Benj<sup>a</sup> to pay him a further sum of fifty two pounds seven shillings  
and nine pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest  
till paid — yet the said William the often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Benj<sup>a</sup>  
Twenty pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Chester Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the said Benj<sup>a</sup> do recover against  
the said William six pounds five shillings & eight pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0. & thereof &c  
Quon<sup>o</sup> 23<sup>o</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 23<sup>o</sup> 1704

Joseph Hall yeoman & Samuel Hall yeoman both of  
Barnardstown in our County of Hampshire Pl<sup>y</sup>s vs Sampson  
Howe of Williamstown in our County of Berkshire Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> Hall &c  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said Sampson at Barnardstown aforesaid Howe  
on the thirtieth Day of March seventeen hundred & twenty three by  
his Note for value received promised the said Joseph & Samuel to pay them  
nine pounds & five shillings lawful Money on or before the  
thirtieth Day of March then next ensuing the Date of the Note with  
Interest till paid — yet the said Sampson the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Joseph & Samuel eight pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>, their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Joseph & Samuel do recover against the said  
Sampson fourteen pounds three shillings & eight pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 19. 10.  
and thereof &c — Quon<sup>o</sup> 23<sup>o</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 23<sup>o</sup> 1704

Obadiah Dickinson of Hatfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gentle Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Jacob Warner of Amherst in our Dickinson  
County aforesaid yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Jacob at Hatfield on the thirtieth Day of December seventeen  
hundred & eighty three was justly indebted to the said Obadiah in the  
sum of sixteen pounds one shilling & one penny lawful Money for  
so much Money before that time had received by him the said  
Jacob to wit the said Obadiah's use he the said Jacob then there in consider  
ation thereof promised the said Obadiah to pay him the same sum  
on Demands — yet the said Jacob the often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Obadiah  
Twenty Pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>, his  
Att<sup>y</sup> & the said Jacob by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>, his Att<sup>y</sup> comes & depends the  
Force & Injury whereof and says that he never promised in  
Manner & Form as the Pl<sup>y</sup> hath alleged & thereof puts himself on  
the Country — And the Pl<sup>y</sup> likewise — Thereupon the Jurors  
of the Jury according to the former Use of the Statute in such case made &  
provided at this time returned & impanelled being likewise demanded  
come here who to say the truth concerning the Persons being duly sworn  
swear upon their Oaths by Nathaniel Edwards their foreman that they  
find the Def<sup>t</sup> promised as set forth in the Declaration & aforesaid

Damages for the M<sup>st</sup> five Pounds six Shillings & eight pence  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that this <sup>d</sup> Obadiah do  
recover against the said <sup>d</sup> Jacob five pounds six Shillings & eight  
pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £5:10:9  
After all with the <sup>d</sup> Jacob by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into  
Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises  
with Surties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his appeal  
with Effect as by <sup>d</sup> Recognizance on file appears

Parker Jun<sup>r</sup> Jonathan Parker Jun<sup>r</sup> of Ringe in the County of Essex  
State of New Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> My<sup>r</sup> George Wheeler Jun<sup>r</sup> of  
Ephraim Whetson Jun<sup>r</sup> both of Greenwich in our County of Hampshire  
Depts in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>d</sup> George & Ephraim a said  
Greenwich on the twenty ninth Day of June Seventeen hundred and  
seventy four by his Note for value received jointly & severally  
promised the <sup>d</sup> Jonathan to pay him or order Thirty Pounds  
lawful Money in two years from the Date of <sup>d</sup> Note with yearly  
Interest meaning Lawful Interest for <sup>d</sup> Sum to be paid yearly  
till paid Also that the <sup>d</sup> George & Ephraim at Greenwich  
aforesaid on the same twenty ninth Day of June aforesaid by their  
other Note for value received promised <sup>d</sup> Jonathan jointly & severally  
to pay him or order a third sum of thirty pounds Lawful Money  
in three years from the Date of <sup>d</sup> Note with the Lawful Interest  
for the same sum yearly till paid yet <sup>d</sup> George & Ephraim  
nor either of them altho thereto requested hath never paid <sup>d</sup> Sum  
but neglect it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Jonathan one hundred  
Pounds The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case  
continued to the next Term Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that <sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday  
of May next

Dickinson Obadiah Dickinson of Hatfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> My<sup>r</sup> Preserved White Jun<sup>r</sup> of Springfield in <sup>d</sup>  
County of Hampshire Depts in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>d</sup> Preserved  
White Jun<sup>r</sup> of Springfield on the twenty fifth Day of April Seventeen hundred  
and eighty three by his Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup>  
Obadiah to pay him or order twenty three pounds nineteen shillings  
and two pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest till  
paid yet <sup>d</sup> Preserved tho often requested hath never paid  
the same but neglect it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Obadiah twenty  
six Pounds The <sup>d</sup> My appears by John Chest<sup>r</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> and the Depts has three times publicly called to come into  
Court on his default of appearance here Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Obadiah do recover against said  
Preserved Twenty five Pounds three Shillings & two pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of suit taxed at £6:0 After all  
where the <sup>d</sup> Preserved by Alexander Wolcott Jun<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes  
into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the  
Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in and for  
County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next &  
he recognises with Surties as the Law directs for his prosecuting  
his said appeal with Effect as by <sup>d</sup> Recognizance appears

John Ghest Williams of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Nathan Perkins of Amherst in our County of said  
 Blacksmith Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Nathan at said  
 Hadley on the seventh Day of October seventeen hundred and  
 eighty two by his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> Williams  
 to pay him or order four pounds two shillings & four pence  
 lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid — Yet  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Nathan tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
 neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Williams six pence  
 The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears in his own proper Person & the Deft tho  
 three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
 of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Williams do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Nathan four  
 pounds nine shillings and three pence of lawful Money Dam-  
 ages & Costs of Suit taxed at £. 4. 0 — & thereupon  
 upon J<sup>st</sup> Feb 27 1784

Williams  
 vs  
 Perkins.  
 N<sup>o</sup> 19

David Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Jonathan Stephenson of Springfield in S<sup>r</sup> County  
 yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Jonathan at Springfield  
 on the sixth Day of October seventeen hundred & eighty three by  
 his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> David to pay  
 deliver him fifteen Bushels of Rack Salt & eight Gallons of West  
 India Rum delivered at Springfield landing by the fourteenth  
 Day of the same Month (meaning October as aforesaid) and the S<sup>r</sup> David  
 saith he has always been ready to receive S<sup>r</sup> Salt & Rum agreeable  
 to the Tenor of S<sup>r</sup> Note & that S<sup>r</sup> Salt so to be delivered as aforesaid  
 was of the Price of seven Pounds & S<sup>r</sup> Rum so to be delivered as aforesaid  
 the Price of four Pounds of lawful Money to wit at Springfield  
 aforesaid — Also for that the S<sup>r</sup> Jonathan at Springfield aforesaid  
 on the last Day of October last past was justly indebted to  
 the S<sup>r</sup> David in other Sum of seventeen shillings & four pence for  
 sundry Articles of Book Account, he the S<sup>r</sup> Jonathan there therein  
 consideration thereof promised the S<sup>r</sup> David to pay him  
 the last S<sup>r</sup> Sum on Demand — Yet the S<sup>r</sup> Jonathan tho often  
 requested hath never paid S<sup>r</sup> Sum but neglects it to the Damage  
 of the S<sup>r</sup> David fifteen Pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John  
 Ghest Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times publicly  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 There being no Judgement in this it is of corse continued  
 for Judgement to the next Term — Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third  
 Tuesday of May next

Smith  
 vs  
 Stephenson  
 N<sup>o</sup> 20

Galeb Gooly of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs John M<sup>r</sup> Clintock of Ware in S<sup>r</sup> County  
 handman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> John at  
 Northampton on the ninth Day of July seventeen hundred &  
 eighty One by his Note for value received promised the S<sup>r</sup> Galeb  
 to pay him twenty New Emission Dollars (which the S<sup>r</sup> Galeb  
 saith is equal to three pounds four shillings lawful Silver Money)  
 on Demand — Yet the S<sup>r</sup> John tho often requested hath never paid the  
 same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Galeb four Pounds  
 The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
 to the next Term — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

Gooly  
 vs  
 M<sup>r</sup> Clintock  
 N<sup>o</sup> 21

Suerance  
Hall  
No 22  
John Suerance Jun<sup>r</sup> of Barnardstown in our County of  
Hampshire Plaintiff vs Simon Hall of S<sup>r</sup> Barnardstown  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> Simon at Barnardstown  
aforesaid on the twentieth Day of December seventeen hundred  
and eighty one by his Note for value received promised  
the said John to pay him or Order twenty Pounds lawful  
Silver Money on Demand with Interest till paid — yet S<sup>r</sup>  
Simon the often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said John twenty six Pounds

Chapin  
Burk  
No 23  
Caleb Chapin of Barnardstown in our County of  
Hampshire Gentle Plaintiff vs Aaron Burk of Northfield in said  
County Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the  
said Aaron at Northfield aforesaid on the last Day of December  
last past was justly indebted to the said Caleb in the sum of  
eighteen pounds seventeen shillings lawful Money for salary  
Articles of Book Account according to the Schedule hereto  
annexed to the said Aaron there & there in consideration thereof  
promised the said Caleb to pay him the same sum on Demand —  
Also for that the said Caleb at Northampton on the last Day of  
December aforesaid had sold & delivered him the said Aaron one  
pair of Mill-stones & had let a Horse to him the said Aaron to go to  
Boston at his the said Aaron's special Instance & Request he the  
said Aaron there & there in consideration thereof promised the said  
Caleb to pay him so much Money as he the said Caleb reasonably  
deserved to have for the Mill-stones so sold & delivered as aforesaid  
& for the hire of the Horse sold to Boston as aforesaid whenever  
after he should be thereto requested & the said Caleb with reason-  
ably deserved to have for the Mill-stones so sold & delivered  
as aforesaid & the hire of the Horse sold to Boston as aforesaid  
other sum of eighteen pounds seventeen shillings to wit at North  
field aforesaid — yet the said Aaron the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of  
the said Caleb twenty pounds — The Plea appears by John  
Christ<sup>l</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear-  
ance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Caleb do recover against the said Aaron eighteen pounds  
seventeen shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.15.6 & thereof &c — Executio<sup>n</sup> Mar. 9. 1784

Smith  
No 24  
James Smith of Putney in the County of Wiltshire and  
State of Connecticut Cordwainer Plaintiff vs William Smith late of  
Wiltshire in the County of Middlesex Trader otherwise called  
William Smith late of Wymouth in the County of Suffolk  
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said William at said  
Northampton on the seventh Day of February seventeen hundred  
and eighty by his Note for value received promised the said  
William to pay meaning deliver him or order fifteen Bushels of  
Wheat or the value thereof on Demand and the said James saith he  
has always been ready to receive Wheat agreeable to the Tenor of said  
Note & that the value of said Wheat so to be delivered as aforesaid  
for pounds to wit at Northampton aforesaid — yet the said William  
the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said James eight pounds

The Pl<sup>y</sup> appear by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the  
 Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by  
 the Court that the said James do recover against the said William  
 of lawful Money Damages  
 and Costs of Court taxed at £  
 & thereof

John Chester Williams of Hadley in our County of Hamp  
 shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> is David Ballard of Granfield in S. County Cordwainer  
 Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that S. David at Montague in said County  
 on the twentieth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty  
 two by his Note for value received promised S. John Chester  
 to pay him eight pounds lawful Money within four Months from  
 the Date of S. Note with Interest (meaning with lawful Interest)  
 till paid yet the S. David tho often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said John Chester  
 Eleven pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears in his own proper Person and  
 the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by  
 the Court that S. John Chester do recover against S. David  
 of lawful Money Damages  
 Costs of Court taxed at £  
 & thereof

Williams  
 is  
 Ballard  
 No 25

Moses Bliss of Windsor in the County of Hartford and  
 State of Connecticut yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Isaac Hartbush of Northfield  
 in our County of Hampshire Physician Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of  
 the Case for that S. Isaac at Northfield aforesaid on the sixth Day  
 of June seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
 received promised S. Moses to pay him or order two pounds nine  
 shillings & six pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till  
 paid yet the said Isaac tho often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Moses three  
 Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
 att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that S. Moses do recover against the said  
 Isaac two pounds four shillings & five pence of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 15. 6  
 & thereof  
 Exon<sup>r</sup> p. Sub<sup>d</sup> 27. 1784

Bliss  
 is  
 No 26

Jonathan Judd Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southampton in our County  
 of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> is John Tiffany of Montgomery in our  
 County aforesaid yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that  
 the said John at S. Southampton on the fourth Day of January seventeen  
 hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the  
 said Jonathan to pay him or order two pounds four shillings &  
 ten pence lawful Money in silver at six shillings & eight pence  
 Pence on Demand with Interest till paid yet the S. John tho  
 often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
 Damage of the S. Jonathan six Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by same  
 Fowler Gink his att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to  
 come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that S. Jonathan do recover against S.  
 John two pounds nine shillings & six pence of lawful Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 6. 0 & thereof

Judd Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 is  
 Tiffany  
 No 27

Smith & Co  
vs  
Walcott & Co  
No 28

William Smith & Charles Sheldon both of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Merchants Plffs vs John Walcott by corner Jonathan Walcott yeoman & Ezra Sudden Gent<sup>l</sup> all of Southampto in? County Defts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Defts at? Springfield on the first Day of August last past by their Note for value received promised the said William & Charles to pay them or order within eight Weeks from the Date of said Note seven pounds lawful Money with Interest till paid yet this? John, Jonathan & Ezra tho often requested have never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> William & Charles ten pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement thin to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have a Day here untill the Third Tuesday of May next.

Burke & Co  
vs  
Chapin  
No 29

John Burke the third & Francis Stebbins both of said Springfield in our County of Hampshire Merchants Plffs vs Enock Chapin ap? Springfield yeoman alias Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that this? Enock at said Springfield on the twenty fifth Day of October last past by his Note for value received promised s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis to pay them or order ten pounds four shillings & eleven pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid. yet this? Enock tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis fifteen Pounds. The Plff appear by Alexander Walcott Gent<sup>l</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Day & the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> John & Francis do recover against said Enock ten pounds & nine shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.11.4 and thereaf &c. Given in? Mar. 25. 1784.

Clough & Co  
vs  
Dewey  
No 30

John Clough & John Caldwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Merchants Plffs vs Moses Dewey of Winstfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman alias called yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that this? Moses at? Winstfield on the fiftenth Day of January last past by his Note for value promised the s<sup>d</sup> Clough & Caldwell to pay them in pounds & six shillings of lawful Money on demand with Interest untill paid yet this? Moses tho often demanded hath never performed his Promise but neglect it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Clough & Caldwell nine pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have the Case continued to the next Term Judgement thin to be final. Therefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

John Cloth & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the  
County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Merchants & Minors  
Pls vs  
Jonathan Shephard of Blanford in the County of Hampshire  
Def in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jonathan  
yeoman left in a Plea of the Case for that the said Jonathan  
Hartford (wife) in Northampton in the County of Hampshire No 31  
on the third Day of November seventeen hundred and  
seventy three by his Note for value received promised  
the said Samuel Shephard to pay him two pounds three shillings  
and two pence lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid & yet the said Jonathan Shephard tho often demanded hath never  
performed his promise but neglects it to the Damage  
of the said Cloth & Caldwell in third Capacity four pounds.  
The Pls appear by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott gent<sup>l</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here & therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Pls do recover against the Def<sup>t</sup> three  
Pounds & nine shillings lawful Money Damages both of Court  
taxed at £1.5.10 & thereof a Depos<sup>t</sup> Mar. 25. 1781

John Cloth & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the  
County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Merchants & Minors  
Pls vs  
John Campbell late of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> otherwise called yeoman Def in a Plea of the Case for No 32  
that the said Campbell at Southwick on the sixteenth Day of  
January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised the said Cloth & Caldwell to pay them eleven  
pounds & eight shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid & yet the said Campbell tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Cloth & Caldwell  
twenty Pounds & The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final & thereupon it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

John Cloth & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the  
County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Merchants & Minors  
Pls vs  
Silas Fowler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire gent<sup>l</sup>  
Def in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Silas at  
Wittfield in the County of Hampshire on the sixteenth Day  
of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for  
value received promised the said Cloth & Caldwell to pay them  
one hundred & fifty two pounds twelve shillings & seven pence  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid & also for that  
the said Silas at Wittfield on the said sixteenth Day of January by his  
other Note for value received promised the said Cloth & Caldwell to  
pay them one other sum of twenty five pounds ten shillings & six  
pence lawful Money on demand with Interest for the same sum  
last aforesaid untill paid & yet the said Silas tho often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Cloth &  
Caldwell two hundred & fifty pounds & The Parties severally appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
then to be final & therefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

Lethbridge Joseph Lethbridge of Wilt Springfield Clerk & Elizabeth Dwight  
 of Springfield Gentlewoman both in the County of Hamp-  
 shire Plffs vs John Loomis of Wilt Springfield Husbandman  
 N<sup>o</sup> 34 Dep in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> John at Springfield  
 on the twenty fourth Day of January seventeenth hundred &  
 eighty two by his Note for value received promised the  
 said Joseph & Elizabeth Charles Synchon late of Springfield  
 Physician his true deced. to pay to them or order four  
 pounds eleven shillings & eight pence lawful Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid & yet the s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath  
 never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
 said Joseph & Elizabeth six pounds The Plffs appear-  
 ing Alexander Wolcott Gent their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times  
 publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
 here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
 said Plffs do recover against the Def<sup>t</sup> four pounds sixteen  
 shillings & three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
 Court taxed at £1. 10. 6  
 Given at Hartford Mar. 26. 1784

Hanchet Oliver Hanchet of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
 and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Plff vs Stephen Sexton of South-  
 wick in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of  
 Sexton. Trespass whereupon the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver complains & says that said  
 N<sup>o</sup> 36 Stephen at Blanford in our County of Hampshire on the  
 thirtieth Day of May last past with force & Arms an assault  
 did make on the Body of him the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver then & there  
 being in our Place & him the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver he the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen did  
 then & there with Force & Arms unlawfully arrest, imprison &  
 restrain of his Liberty & him the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver unjustly & without  
 any lawful Cause he the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen did then in his Custody  
 detain for the space of twenty four Hours next following & untill  
 he the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver was compelled & obliged to become bound unto  
 Elisha Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> in a large sum of Money with sufficient  
 Sureties in order to obtain his enlargement & hath been put  
 to great Expence to defend himself against the Suit of one  
 Foster commenced against him & to which he hath been compelled  
 to answer in consequence of said unlawful arrest & many other  
 wrongs & injuries to him the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver then & there did contrary to  
 Law & against our Peace to the Damage of the said Oliver One  
 hundred pounds The Parties severally appeared & agreed to have  
 this Case continued to the next Term Wherefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third  
 Tuesday of May next

Beall & Leonard James Ball & Ezekiah Bull both of Hartford & State  
 of Connecticut Merchants Plffs vs Benjamin Leonard the second  
 of Wilt Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in  
 Leonard a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>n</sup> at Hartford (viz) in Northamp-  
 N<sup>o</sup> 36 ton in our County of Hampshire on the thirtieth Day of June last past  
 by his Note for value received received promised the s<sup>d</sup> James &  
 Ezekiah to deliver to them or Order by the twenty first Day of June  
 then current at the Common Landing place in Hartford in the  
 County of Hartford aforesaid seven pounds & three shillings worth of  
 Merchantable White Oak Hoghead Staves & Heading said  
 Staves & Heading to be estimated at the rate of four Pounds for  
 a thousand and the s<sup>d</sup> James & Ezekiah in fact say that they

have ever been ready to receive the said Staves & Heading according to the tenor of the Note - yet the Defendant has often demanded hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff twelve Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final if defaulted - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next

Johnson Junr of Bittertown in our County of Hampshire Tradesman Plaintiff is Nathaniel Pils of Amherst in said County Defendant in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on File - The Plaintiff being now three times publicly called to come into Court has desisted the Defendant separated & the Action dismissed

Lyons  
N<sup>o</sup> 37

Daniel Winchester of South Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff is William Bishop of the same South Brimfield Gentleman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said William at South Brimfield on the twenty fifth Day of March seventeen hundred & seventy four by his Note for value received promised the said Daniel to pay him or order the sum of three pounds, one Shilling & half pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid - yet the said William has often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Daniel twelve Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next

Winchester  
Bishop  
N<sup>o</sup> 38

Isabel Lamb of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Physician Plaintiff is Samuel Lilly of the bridge in our County of Worcester Physician Defendant in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on File - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next

Lamb  
Lilly  
N<sup>o</sup> 39

John Nichols of Sudlow in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff is William Cook of New Haven in the State of Conn. Plaintiff Husbandman & Eli Gale of Shrewsbury in our County of Worcester Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said William & Eli at Sudlow on the twenty eighth Day of July seventeen hundred and eighty by their Note for value received promised the said John to pay him twelve hundred Dollars of the value of three hundred & sixty pounds by the first Day of Oct: 1780. meaning with Interest till paid - yet the said William & Eli the often requested have never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said John fifteen Pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Abner Morgan Esq: his Attorney the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said John do recover against the said William & Eli six pounds & seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 17. 0 and thereof

Nichols  
Cook & al  
N<sup>o</sup> 40

Exon<sup>o</sup> July 2<sup>d</sup> 1784

Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> of Bromfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vs Joseph Reynolds of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
 Laborer Debt in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Joseph  
 at the said Bromfield on the first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past was justly indebted  
 to the said Honor in the sum of fourteen Pounds two Shillings & six  
 pence lawful Money for full counsel assistance labor travel & Money  
 expended by the said Honor for him the said Joseph in the prosecution of an  
 action in Law of the said Joseph against one John Warrine, at the said  
 Josephs request according to the account hereto annexed he the said  
 Joseph then & there in Consideration thereof promised the said  
 Honor to pay him the same sum on demand yet the said  
 Joseph tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected  
 it to the Damage of the said Honor twenty Pounds  
 The said Joseph appears in his own proper Person & the Debt tho three  
 times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
 appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the said Honor do recover against the said Joseph fourteen  
 pounds two Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & also  
 Costs of Court taxed at £. 16. 4 and thereof  
 Exec<sup>d</sup> in Feb<sup>y</sup> 21. 1704

Patterson of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman vs Natham Bellows of Brookfield in our County  
 of Worcester Husbandman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that  
 the said Natham at the said Palmer on the twenty third Day of October  
 last past by his Note for value received promised the said Solomon to  
 pay him or order five hundred & a half of good Merchantable  
 bar Iron of the value of Twelve pounds to be delivered at  
 Honors Forge within three Months from the Date (meaning from  
 the Date of the Note) and if not paid then Interest till paid  
 yet the said Natham tho often requested hath never paid the same  
 but neglected it to the Damage of the said Sol<sup>r</sup> eight hundred  
 The Parties severally appear agree to have this Case continued  
 to the next Term Judgements then to be final Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the  
 third Tuesday of May next

William Southam of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
 Gentle vs James Nichols of Brookfield in our County of  
 Worcester Gentle Debt in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
 the said James at the said Palmer on the first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> instant was justly  
 indebted to the said William in the sum of three Pounds four Shillings  
 and three pence for full counsel assistance labour travel & Money  
 expended by the said William for the said James in the Prosecution of his  
 suit in Law against one Samuel Day according to the account  
 hereto annexed he the said James in Consideration thereof promised  
 the said William to pay him the same sum on demand Also for that  
 the said James afterwards to wit on the first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> aforesaid at Palmer  
 aforesaid in consideration that the said William had before that time at  
 the special Instance & Request of the said James prosecuted in the Law against  
 against one Samuel Day for him the said James had committed & advised  
 & assisted him the said James in the same had travelled, labored & expended  
 divers Sums of Money for him the said James in & about the same which  
 all other than before mentioned he the said James undertook then & there  
 promised the said William to pay him on demand so much Money as  
 he reasonably deserved to have for the same Now the said

William in fact says he reasonably deserved to have for the same  
one other Sum of three Pounds four shillings & three pence of which  
he then afterwards on the same Day gave the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ notice  
Also for that the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ afterwards to wit on the same first Day of  
Dec<sup>r</sup> aforesaid at Palmer aforesaid was justly indebted to the said  
Williams in the further Sum of three pounds four shillings & three  
pence for so much Money of the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ William by the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ William to & for the  
use of the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ at the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ Request before that time paid  
said out & expiated & being so indebted he then & there in consideration  
thereof undertook & promised the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ William to pay  
him the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ Sum last mentioned on demand — yet the said <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ James  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the <sup>Scott</sup> ~~S. James~~ William Ten Pounds — The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Judgement then to be final unless an appeal for Trial.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day  
here untill the third Tuesday of May next

Benjamin Thompson of Ware in our County of Hamp<sup>shire</sup> Thompson  
shire yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is vs Noah Thompson of Palmer in our County  
of Hampshire yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ at <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Palmer~~ on the first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past  
was justly indebted to the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ in the Sum of fifteen Pounds  
fourteen shillings & four pence according to the account hereto  
annexed he the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ to the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ then & there in consideration  
thereof promised the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ to pay him the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Sum on demand —  
Also for that the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ at <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Palmer~~ afterwards to wit on the  
same first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> aforesaid in consideration that the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Benj<sup>a</sup>  
had before that time at the special instance & request of the said  
Noah sold & delivered to him the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ diverse other Goods & articles  
and done & performed for him diverse other Labours & services in and  
about husbandry Business he the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ Noah undertook & then & there  
faithfully promised the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Benj<sup>a</sup> to pay him on demand so much  
Money as the last mentioned Goods & articles were reasonably worth  
and as he reasonably deserved to have for the last mentioned Labor &  
services & the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Benj<sup>a</sup> in fact says he reasonably deserved to have  
for <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ services & <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Goods last mentioned one other Sum of fifteen Pounds  
fourteen shillings & four pence of which he then afterwards on the same  
Day gave the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ Noah notice — yet the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Noah~~ Noah tho often requested  
hath never paid the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Sum but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ Benj<sup>a</sup>  
Benj<sup>a</sup> twenty Pounds — The Parties severally appear & agree  
to refer this Case & all demands to the award & final determina-  
tion of Col<sup>l</sup>. Sam<sup>l</sup> Howe Maj<sup>r</sup> Elijah Dwight & Elisha Warner  
who are to hear the Parties their Proofs & and the award of them  
or any two of them is to be final Judgement to be made up & Exon  
to <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Benj<sup>a</sup>~~ accordingly — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Joseph Sherer of Palmer in the County of Hampshire  
yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Joshua Bucketh of Palmer in <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. County~~ Husband Sherer  
main Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Joshua~~ at <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Palmer~~ Palmer  
on the twenty sixth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. Bucketh  
promised <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Joseph~~ Joseph to pay him or order twenty three pounds nineteen  
shillings & one penny lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid. yet the said  
Joshua tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the <sup>Thompson</sup> ~~S. Joseph~~ Joseph thirty Pounds —

The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term — Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Sherr  
Thornston  
N<sup>o</sup> 46

David Sherr of Palmer in our County of Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff is Medad Thornston of Middlesex County Esquire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Medad at Palmer on the twenty fourth Day of April last past by his Note for value received promised the said David to pay him the sum of seven hundred & two shillings lawful Money for demand with Interest till paid — yet the said Medad the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said David ten pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgements then to be final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

M<sup>c</sup> Clure  
Loomis  
N<sup>o</sup> 47

David M<sup>c</sup> Clure of Stafford in the County of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut Gentleman Plaintiff is Elijah Loomis of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Elijah at Wilbraham on the thirtieth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & seventy two by his Note for value received promised one Daniel Winches to pay him or order two pounds twelve shillings & four pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid — & the said Daniel there afterwards on the same Day by his Indorsement on said Note for value received ordered the contents of said Note then unpaid to be paid to the Plaintiff whereof the said Elijah had due notice & thereupon became chargeable to pay said Contents to the Plaintiff on demand & there in consideration thereof promised the Plaintiff to pay him said Contents accordingly — yet the said Elijah the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said David ten pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Henry Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance rise — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said David do recover against the said Elijah two pounds fifteen shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at £. 17. 10 — and thereof Execution given 21. 1704

Cotton  
Fairbanks  
N<sup>o</sup> 48

Daniel Cotton of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff is Joshua Fairbanks of Frammingham in our County of Hampshire Middlesex yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Joshua at Palmer on the twenty fourth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised the said Daniel to pay him or order fifty Pounds lawful Money within six months from the Date (meaning from the Date of said Note) with interest till paid (meaning lawful Interest untill paid) yet the said Joshua the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Daniel fifty Pounds — The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

145.  
Ebenzer Thinsburg of Pompey in the County of Windham and  
State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> vs Rubael Dimmick of South Brimfield  
in our County of Hampshire Miller Deft in a Plea of the Case for  
that the<sup>d</sup> Rubael at<sup>d</sup> South Brimfield on the nineteenth Day  
of August seventeen hundred & twenty six by his Note for value  
received promised the<sup>d</sup> Eben<sup>d</sup> to pay him thirty two pounds No 49  
ten shillings lawful Money at or before the second Day of July  
seventeen hundred & twenty eight with Interest till paid  
yet the<sup>d</sup> Rubael tho often requested hath never paid the same  
but neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Eben<sup>d</sup> sixty Pounds  
The P<sup>l</sup>y appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the<sup>d</sup> Ebenzer do recover against the<sup>d</sup> Rubael forty four  
Pounds sixteen shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 10. & thereof  
Exec<sup>d</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 1784

William Parkhurst of Brookfield in our County of  
Worcester Com<sup>l</sup> vs Thomas Blackmer late of Palmer Parkhurst  
in our County of Hampshire Blacksmith Deft in a Plea of the  
Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Thomas at<sup>d</sup> Palmer on the fifth Day of  
June seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received promised one Andrew Brown to pay him or order  
thirty pounds nineteen shillings in Gold or Silver in five Months  
from the Date (meaning from the Date of the Note) with Interest  
till paid and the<sup>d</sup> Andrew there afterwards to wit on the first  
Day of May last by his indorsement on the Note for value received  
ordained the Contents of the same Note then unpaid to be paid  
to the P<sup>l</sup>y whereof the<sup>d</sup> Thomas had due Notice & thereupon became  
chargeable to pay the Contents to the P<sup>l</sup>y on demand & there  
in consideration thereof promised the P<sup>l</sup>y to pay him the Contents  
accordingly yet the<sup>d</sup> Tho<sup>s</sup> tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> William  
fifty Pounds The P<sup>l</sup>y appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> William do recover  
against the<sup>d</sup> Thomas thirty three pounds twelve shillings &  
five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1. 14. 2. & thereof  
Exec<sup>d</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 1784

Martha Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk Bridgham's Ad<sup>r</sup>  
Widow Administratrix on the Estate of James Bridgham late of  
Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased intestate in<sup>d</sup> Capacity P<sup>l</sup>y vs John Northbrooke Northbrookes  
of Holland in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea  
that he the<sup>d</sup> John render to her the<sup>d</sup> Martha seven pounds seven  
shillings & nine pence which from her he unjustly detains for this writ  
that whereas the<sup>d</sup> James in his life time by the consideration of our  
Justices of our Court of Common Pleas holden at Northampton  
within for our County of Hampshire on the second Thursday of  
April seventeen hundred & eighty two recovered Judgments against  
the<sup>d</sup> John by the Name of John Northbrooke of South Brimfield  
in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> for the sum of four Pounds  
ten shillings & three pence of lawful Money damages & two pounds  
seventeen shillings & six pence like Money Costs of Suit in that

Bridghams Ad. <sup>61</sup>   
 <sup>62</sup>   
 <sup>63</sup>   
 <sup>64</sup>   
 <sup>65</sup>   
 <sup>66</sup>   
 <sup>67</sup>   
 <sup>68</sup>   
 <sup>69</sup>   
 <sup>70</sup>   
 <sup>71</sup>   
 <sup>72</sup>   
 <sup>73</sup>   
 <sup>74</sup>   
 <sup>75</sup>   
 <sup>76</sup>   
 <sup>77</sup>   
 <sup>78</sup>   
 <sup>79</sup>   
 <sup>80</sup>   
 <sup>81</sup>   
 <sup>82</sup>   
 <sup>83</sup>   
 <sup>84</sup>   
 <sup>85</sup>   
 <sup>86</sup>   
 <sup>87</sup>   
 <sup>88</sup>   
 <sup>89</sup>   
 <sup>90</sup>   
 <sup>91</sup>   
 <sup>92</sup>   
 <sup>93</sup>   
 <sup>94</sup>   
 <sup>95</sup>   
 <sup>96</sup>   
 <sup>97</sup>   
 <sup>98</sup>   
 <sup>99</sup>   
 <sup>100</sup>   
 <sup>101</sup>   
 <sup>102</sup>   
 <sup>103</sup>   
 <sup>104</sup>   
 <sup>105</sup>   
 <sup>106</sup>   
 <sup>107</sup>   
 <sup>108</sup>   
 <sup>109</sup>   
 <sup>110</sup>   
 <sup>111</sup>   
 <sup>112</sup>   
 <sup>113</sup>   
 <sup>114</sup>   
 <sup>115</sup>   
 <sup>116</sup>   
 <sup>117</sup>   
 <sup>118</sup>   
 <sup>119</sup>   
 <sup>120</sup>   
 <sup>121</sup>   
 <sup>122</sup>   
 <sup>123</sup>   
 <sup>124</sup>   
 <sup>125</sup>   
 <sup>126</sup>   
 <sup>127</sup>   
 <sup>128</sup>   
 <sup>129</sup>   
 <sup>130</sup>   
 <sup>131</sup>   
 <sup>132</sup>   
 <sup>133</sup>   
 <sup>134</sup>   
 <sup>135</sup>   
 <sup>136</sup>   
 <sup>137</sup>   
 <sup>138</sup>   
 <sup>139</sup>   
 <sup>140</sup>   
 <sup>141</sup>   
 <sup>142</sup>   
 <sup>143</sup>   
 <sup>144</sup>   
 <sup>145</sup>   
 <sup>146</sup>   
 <sup>147</sup>   
 <sup>148</sup>   
 <sup>149</sup>   
 <sup>150</sup>   
 <sup>151</sup>   
 <sup>152</sup>   
 <sup>153</sup>   
 <sup>154</sup>   
 <sup>155</sup>   
 <sup>156</sup>   
 <sup>157</sup>   
 <sup>158</sup>   
 <sup>159</sup>   
 <sup>160</sup>   
 <sup>161</sup>   
 <sup>162</sup>   
 <sup>163</sup>   
 <sup>164</sup>   
 <sup>165</sup>   
 <sup>166</sup>   
 <sup>167</sup>   
 <sup>168</sup>   
 <sup>169</sup>   
 <sup>170</sup>   
 <sup>171</sup>   
 <sup>172</sup>   
 <sup>173</sup>   
 <sup>174</sup>   
 <sup>175</sup>   
 <sup>176</sup>   
 <sup>177</sup>   
 <sup>178</sup>   
 <sup>179</sup>   
 <sup>180</sup>   
 <sup>181</sup>   
 <sup>182</sup>   
 <sup>183</sup>   
 <sup>184</sup>   
 <sup>185</sup>   
 <sup>186</sup>   
 <sup>187</sup>   
 <sup>188</sup>   
 <sup>189</sup>   
 <sup>190</sup>   
 <sup>191</sup>   
 <sup>192</sup>   
 <sup>193</sup>   
 <sup>194</sup>   
 <sup>195</sup>   
 <sup>196</sup>   
 <sup>197</sup>   
 <sup>198</sup>   
 <sup>199</sup>   
 <sup>200</sup>   
 <sup>201</sup>   
 <sup>202</sup>   
 <sup>203</sup>   
 <sup>204</sup>   
 <sup>205</sup>   
 <sup>206</sup>   
 <sup>207</sup>   
 <sup>208</sup>   
 <sup>209</sup>   
 <sup>210</sup>   
 <sup>211</sup>   
 <sup>212</sup>   
 <sup>213</sup>   
 <sup>214</sup>   
 <sup>215</sup>   
 <sup>216</sup>   
 <sup>217</sup>   
 <sup>218</sup>   
 <sup>219</sup>   
 <sup>220</sup>   
 <sup>221</sup>   
 <sup>222</sup>   
 <sup>223</sup>   
 <sup>224</sup>   
 <sup>225</sup>   
 <sup>226</sup>   
 <sup>227</sup>   
 <sup>228</sup>   
 <sup>229</sup>   
 <sup>230</sup>   
 <sup>231</sup>   
 <sup>232</sup>   
 <sup>233</sup>   
 <sup>234</sup>   
 <sup>235</sup>   
 <sup>236</sup>   
 <sup>237</sup>   
 <sup>238</sup>   
 <sup>239</sup>   
 <sup>240</sup>   
 <sup>241</sup>   
 <sup>242</sup>   
 <sup>243</sup>   
 <sup>244</sup>   
 <sup>245</sup>   
 <sup>246</sup>   
 <sup>247</sup>   
 <sup>248</sup>   
 <sup>249</sup>   
 <sup>250</sup>   
 <sup>251</sup>   
 <sup>252</sup>   
 <sup>253</sup>   
 <sup>254</sup>   
 <sup>255</sup>   
 <sup>256</sup>   
 <sup>257</sup>   
 <sup>258</sup>   
 <sup>259</sup>   
 <sup>260</sup>   
 <sup>261</sup>   
 <sup>262</sup>   
 <sup>263</sup>   
 <sup>264</sup>   
 <sup>265</sup>   
 <sup>266</sup>   
 <sup>267</sup>   
 <sup>268</sup>   
 <sup>269</sup>   
 <sup>270</sup>   
 <sup>271</sup>   
 <sup>272</sup>   
 <sup>273</sup>   
 <sup>274</sup>   
 <sup>275</sup>   
 <sup>276</sup>   
 <sup>277</sup>   
 <sup>278</sup>   
 <sup>279</sup>   
 <sup>280</sup>   
 <sup>281</sup>   
 <sup>282</sup>   
 <sup>283</sup>   
 <sup>284</sup>   
 <sup>285</sup>   
 <sup>286</sup>   
 <sup>287</sup>   
 <sup>288</sup>   
 <sup>289</sup>   
 <sup>290</sup>   
 <sup>291</sup>   
 <sup>292</sup>   
 <sup>293</sup>   
 <sup>294</sup>   
 <sup>295</sup>   
 <sup>296</sup>   
 <sup>297</sup>   
 <sup>298</sup>   
 <sup>299</sup>   
 <sup>300</sup>   
 <sup>301</sup>   
 <sup>302</sup>   
 <sup>303</sup>   
 <sup>304</sup>   
 <sup>305</sup>   
 <sup>306</sup>   
 <sup>307</sup>   
 <sup>308</sup>   
 <sup>309</sup>   
 <sup>310</sup>   
 <sup>311</sup>   
 <sup>312</sup>   
 <sup>313</sup>   
 <sup>314</sup>   
 <sup>315</sup>   
 <sup>316</sup>   
 <sup>317</sup>   
 <sup>318</sup>   
 <sup>319</sup>   
 <sup>320</sup>   
 <sup>321</sup>   
 <sup>322</sup>   
 <sup>323</sup>   
 <sup>324</sup>   
 <sup>325</sup>   
 <sup>326</sup>   
 <sup>327</sup>   
 <sup>328</sup>   
 <sup>329</sup>   
 <sup>330</sup>   
 <sup>331</sup>   
 <sup>332</sup>   
 <sup>333</sup>   
 <sup>334</sup>   
 <sup>335</sup>   
 <sup>336</sup>   
 <sup>337</sup>   
 <sup>338</sup>   
 <sup>339</sup>   
 <sup>340</sup>   
 <sup>341</sup>   
 <sup>342</sup>   
 <sup>343</sup>   
 <sup>344</sup>   
 <sup>345</sup>   
 <sup>346</sup>   
 <sup>347</sup>   
 <sup>348</sup>   
 <sup>349</sup>   
 <sup>350</sup>   
 <sup>351</sup>   
 <sup>352</sup>   
 <sup>353</sup>   
 <sup>354</sup>   
 <sup>355</sup>   
 <sup>356</sup>   
 <sup>357</sup>   
 <sup>358</sup>   
 <sup>359</sup>   
 <sup>360</sup>   
 <sup>361</sup>   
 <sup>362</sup>   
 <sup>363</sup>   
 <sup>364</sup>   
 <sup>365</sup>   
 <sup>366</sup>   
 <sup>367</sup>   
 <sup>368</sup>   
 <sup>369</sup>   
 <sup>370</sup>   
 <sup>371</sup>   
 <sup>372</sup>   
 <sup>373</sup>   
 <sup>374</sup>   
 <sup>375</sup>   
 <sup>376</sup>   
 <sup>377</sup>   
 <sup>378</sup>   
 <sup>379</sup>   
 <sup>380</sup>   
 <sup>381</sup>   
 <sup>382</sup>   
 <sup>383</sup>   
 <sup>384</sup>   
 <sup>385</sup>   
 <sup>386</sup>   
 <sup>387</sup>   
 <sup>388</sup>   
 <sup>389</sup>   
 <sup>390</sup>   
 <sup>391</sup>   
 <sup>392</sup>   
 <sup>393</sup>   
 <sup>394</sup>   
 <sup>395</sup>   
 <sup>396</sup>   
 <sup>397</sup>   
 <sup>398</sup>   
 <sup>399</sup>   
 <sup>400</sup>   
 <sup>401</sup>   
 <sup>402</sup>   
 <sup>403</sup>   
 <sup>404</sup>   
 <sup>405</sup>   
 <sup>406</sup>   
 <sup>407</sup>   
 <sup>408</sup>   
 <sup>409</sup>   
 <sup>410</sup>   
 <sup>411</sup>   
 <sup>412</sup>   
 <sup>413</sup>   
 <sup>414</sup>   
 <sup>415</sup>   
 <sup>416</sup>   
 <sup>417</sup>   
 <sup>418</sup>   
 <sup>419</sup>   
 <sup>420</sup>   
 <sup>421</sup>   
 <sup>422</sup>   
 <sup>423</sup>   
 <sup>424</sup>   
 <sup>425</sup>   
 <sup>426</sup>   
 <sup>427</sup>   
 <sup>428</sup>   
 <sup>429</sup>   
 <sup>430</sup>   
 <sup>431</sup>   
 <sup>432</sup>   
 <sup>433</sup>   
 <sup>434</sup>   
 <sup>435</sup>   
 <sup>436</sup>   
 <sup>437</sup>   
 <sup>438</sup>   
 <sup>439</sup>   
 <sup>440</sup>   
 <sup>441</sup>   
 <sup>442</sup>   
 <sup>443</sup>   
 <sup>444</sup>   
 <sup>445</sup>   
 <sup>446</sup>   
 <sup>447</sup>   
 <sup>448</sup>   
 <sup>449</sup>   
 <sup>450</sup>   
 <sup>451</sup>   
 <sup>452</sup>   
 <sup>453</sup>   
 <sup>454</sup>   
 <sup>455</sup>   
 <sup>456</sup>   
 <sup>457</sup>   
 <sup>458</sup>   
 <sup>459</sup>   
 <sup>460</sup>   
 <sup>461</sup>   
 <sup>462</sup>   
 <sup>463</sup>   
 <sup>464</sup>   
 <sup>465</sup>   
 <sup>466</sup>   
 <sup>467</sup>   
 <sup>468</sup>   
 <sup>469</sup>   
 <sup>470</sup>   
 <sup>471</sup>   
 <sup>472</sup>   
 <sup>473</sup>   
 <sup>474</sup>   
 <sup>475</sup>   
 <sup>476</sup>   
 <sup>477</sup>   
 <sup>478</sup>   
 <sup>479</sup>   
 <sup>480</sup>   
 <sup>481</sup>   
 <sup>482</sup>   
 <sup>483</sup>   
 <sup>484</sup>   
 <sup>485</sup>   
 <sup>486</sup>   
 <sup>487</sup>   
 <sup>488</sup>   
 <sup>489</sup>   
 <sup>490</sup>   
 <sup>491</sup>   
 <sup>492</sup>   
 <sup>493</sup>   
 <sup>494</sup>   
 <sup>495</sup>   
 <sup>496</sup>   
 <sup>497</sup>   
 <sup>498</sup>   
 <sup>499</sup>   
 <sup>500</sup>   
 <sup>501</sup>   
 <sup>502</sup>   
 <sup>503</sup>   
 <sup>504</sup>   
 <sup>505</sup>   
 <sup>506</sup>   
 <sup>507</sup>   
 <sup>508</sup>   
 <sup>509</sup>   
 <sup>510</sup>   
 <sup>511</sup>   
 <sup>512</sup>   
 <sup>513</sup>   
 <sup>514</sup>   
 <sup>515</sup>   
 <sup>516</sup>   
 <sup>517</sup>   
 <sup>518</sup>   
 <sup>519</sup>   
 <sup>520</sup>   
 <sup>521</sup>   
 <sup>522</sup>   
 <sup>523</sup>   
 <sup>524</sup>   
 <sup>525</sup>   
 <sup>526</sup>   
 <sup>527</sup>   
 <sup>528</sup>   
 <sup>529</sup>   
 <sup>530</sup>   
 <sup>531</sup>   
 <sup>532</sup>   
 <sup>533</sup>   
 <sup>534</sup>   
 <sup>535</sup>   
 <sup>536</sup>   
 <sup>537</sup>   
 <sup>538</sup>   
 <sup>539</sup>   
 <sup>540</sup>   
 <sup>541</sup>   
 <sup>542</sup>   
 <sup>543</sup>   
 <sup>544</sup>   
 <sup>545</sup>   
 <sup>546</sup>   
 <sup>547</sup>   
 <sup>548</sup>   
 <sup>549</sup>   
 <sup>550</sup>   
 <sup>551</sup>   
 <sup>552</sup>   
 <sup>553</sup>   
 <sup>554</sup>   
 <sup>555</sup>   
 <sup>556</sup>   
 <sup>557</sup>   
 <sup>558</sup>   
 <sup>559</sup>   
 <sup>560</sup>   
 <sup>561</sup>   
 <sup>562</sup>   
 <sup>563</sup>   
 <sup>564</sup>   
 <sup>565</sup>   
 <sup>566</sup>   
 <sup>567</sup>   
 <sup>568</sup>   
 <sup>569</sup>   
 <sup>570</sup>   
 <sup>571</sup>   
 <sup>572</sup>   
 <sup>573</sup>   
 <sup>574</sup>   
 <sup>575</sup>   
 <sup>576</sup>   
 <sup>577</sup>   
 <sup>578</sup>   
 <sup>579</sup>   
 <sup>580</sup>   
 <sup>581</sup>   
 <sup>582</sup>   
 <sup>583</sup>   
 <sup>584</sup>   
 <sup>585</sup>   
 <sup>586</sup>   
 <sup>587</sup>   
 <sup>588</sup>   
 <sup>589</sup>   
 <sup>590</sup>   
 <sup>591</sup>   
 <sup>592</sup>   
 <sup>593</sup>   
 <sup>594</sup>   
 <sup>595</sup>   
 <sup>596</sup>   
 <sup>597</sup>   
 <sup>598</sup>   
 <sup>599</sup>   
 <sup>600</sup>   
 <sup>601</sup>   
 <sup>602</sup>   
 <sup>603</sup>   
 <sup>604</sup>   
 <sup>605</sup>   
 <sup>606</sup>   
 <sup>607</sup>   
 <sup>608</sup>   
 <sup>609</sup>   
 <sup>610</sup>   
 <sup>611</sup>   
 <sup>612</sup>   
 <sup>613</sup>   
 <sup>614</sup>   
 <sup>615</sup>   
 <sup>616</sup>   
 <sup>617</sup>   
 <sup>618</sup>   
 <sup>619</sup>   
 <sup>620</sup>   
 <sup>621</sup>   
 <sup>622</sup>   
 <sup>623</sup>   
 <sup>624</sup>   
 <sup>625</sup>   
 <sup>626</sup>   
 <sup>627</sup>   
 <sup>628</sup>   
 <sup>629</sup>   
 <sup>630</sup>   
 <sup>631</sup>   
 <sup>632</sup>   
 <sup>633</sup>   
 <sup>634</sup>   
 <sup>635</sup>   
 <sup>636</sup>   
 <sup>637</sup>   
 <sup>638</sup>   
 <sup>639</sup>   
 <sup>640</sup>   
 <sup>641</sup>   
 <sup>642</sup>   
 <sup>643</sup>   
 <sup>644</sup>   
 <sup>645</sup>   
 <sup>646</sup>   
 <sup>647</sup>   
 <sup>648</sup>   
 <sup>649</sup>   
 <sup>650</sup>   
 <sup>651</sup>   
 <sup>652</sup>   
 <sup>653</sup>   
 <sup>654</sup>   
 <sup>655</sup>   
 <sup>656</sup>   
 <sup>657</sup>   
 <sup>658</sup>   
 <sup>659</sup>   
 <sup>660</sup>   
 <sup>661</sup>   
 <sup>662</sup>   
 <sup>663</sup>   
 <sup>664</sup>   
 <sup>665</sup>   
 <sup>666</sup>   
 <sup>667</sup>   
 <sup>668</sup>   
 <sup>669</sup>   
 <sup>670</sup>   
 <sup>671</sup>   
 <sup>672</sup>   
 <sup>673</sup>   
 <sup>674</sup>   
 <sup>675</sup>   
 <sup>676</sup>   
 <sup>677</sup>   
 <sup>678</sup>   
 <sup>679</sup>   
 <sup>680</sup>   
 <sup>681</sup>   
 <sup>682</sup>   
 <sup>683</sup>   
 <sup>684</sup>   
 <sup>685</sup>   
 <sup>686</sup>   
 <sup>687</sup>   
 <sup>688</sup>   
 <sup>689</sup>   
 <sup>690</sup>   
 <sup>691</sup>   
 <sup>692</sup>   
 <sup>693</sup>   
 <sup>694</sup>   
 <sup>695</sup>   
 <sup>696</sup>   
 <sup>697</sup>   
 <sup>698</sup>   
 <sup>699</sup>   
 <sup>700</sup>   
 <sup>701</sup>   
 <sup>702</sup>   
 <sup>703</sup>   
 <sup>704</sup>   
 <sup>705</sup>   
 <sup>706</sup>   
 <sup>707</sup>   
 <sup>708</sup>   
 <sup>709</sup>   
 <sup>710</sup>   
 <sup>711</sup>   
 <sup>712</sup>   
 <sup>713</sup>   
 <sup>714</sup>   
 <sup>715</sup>   
 <sup>716</sup>   
 <sup>717</sup>   
 <sup>718</sup>   
 <sup>719</sup>   
 <sup>720</sup>   
 <sup>721</sup>   
 <sup>722</sup>   
 <sup>723</sup>   
 <sup>724</sup>   
 <sup>725</sup>   
 <sup>726</sup>   
 <sup>727</sup>   
 <sup>728</sup>   
 <sup>729</sup>   
 <sup>730</sup>   
 <sup>731</sup>   
 <sup>732</sup>   
 <sup>733</sup>   
 <sup>734</sup>   
 <sup>735</sup>   
 <sup>736</sup>   
 <sup>737</sup>   
 <sup>738</sup>   
 <sup>739</sup>   
 <sup>740</sup>   
 <sup>741</sup>   
 <sup>742</sup>   
 <sup>743</sup>   
 <sup>744</sup>   
 <sup>745</sup>   
 <sup>746</sup>   
 <sup>747</sup>   
 <sup>748</sup>   
 <sup>749</sup>   
 <sup>750</sup>   
 <sup>751</sup>   
 <sup>752</sup>   
 <sup>753</sup>   
 <sup>754</sup>   
 <sup>755</sup>   
 <sup>756</sup>   
 <sup>757</sup>   
 <sup>758</sup>   
 <sup>759</sup>   
 <sup>760</sup>   
 <sup>761</sup>   
 <sup>762</sup>   
 <sup>763</sup>   
 <sup>764</sup>   
 <sup>765</sup>   
 <sup>766</sup>   
 <sup>767</sup>   
 <sup>768</sup>   
 <sup>769</sup>   
 <sup>770</sup>   
 <sup>771</sup>   
 <sup>772</sup>   
 <sup>773</sup>   
 <sup>774</sup>   
 <sup>775</sup>   
 <sup>776</sup>   
 <sup>777</sup>   
 <sup>778</sup>   
 <sup>779</sup>   
 <sup>780</sup>   
 <sup>781</sup>   
 <sup>782</sup>   
 <sup>783</sup>   
 <sup>784</sup>   
 <sup>785</sup>   
 <sup>786</sup>   
 <sup>787</sup>   
 <sup>788</sup>   
 <sup>789</sup>   
 <sup>790</sup>   
 <sup>791</sup>   
 <sup>792</sup>   
 <sup>793</sup>   
 <sup>794</sup>   
 <sup>795</sup>   
 <sup>796</sup>   
 <sup>797</sup>   
 <sup>798</sup>   
 <sup>799</sup>   
 <sup>800</sup>   
 <sup>801</sup>   
 <sup>802</sup>   
 <sup>803</sup>   
 <sup>804</sup>   
 <sup>805</sup>   
 <sup>806</sup>   
 <sup>807</sup>   
 <sup>808</sup>   
 <sup>809</sup>   
 <sup>810</sup>   
 <sup>811</sup>   
 <sup>812</sup>   
 <sup>813</sup>   
 <sup>814</sup>   
 <sup>815</sup>   
 <sup>816</sup>   
 <sup>817</sup>   
 <sup>818</sup>   
 <sup>819</sup>   
 <sup>820</sup>   
 <sup>821</sup>   
 <sup>822</sup>   
 <sup>823</sup>   
 <sup>824</sup>   
 <sup>825</sup>   
 <sup>826</sup>   
 <sup>827</sup>   
 <sup>828</sup>   
 <sup>829</sup>   
 <sup>830</sup>   
 <sup>831</sup>   
 <sup>832</sup>   
 <sup>833</sup>   
 <sup>834</sup>   
 <sup>835</sup>   
 <sup>836</sup>   
 <sup>837</sup>   
 <sup>838</sup>   
 <sup>839</sup>   
 <sup>840</sup>   
 <sup>841</sup>   
 <sup>842</sup>   
 <sup>843</sup>   
 <sup>844</sup>   
 <sup>845</sup>   
 <sup>846</sup>   
 <sup>847</sup>   
 <sup>848</sup>   
 <sup>849</sup>   
 <sup>850</sup>   
 <sup>851</sup>   
 <sup>852</sup>   
 <sup>853</sup>   
 <sup>854</sup>   
 <sup>855</sup>   
 <sup>856</sup>   
 <sup>857</sup>   
 <sup>858</sup>   
 <sup>859</sup>   
 <sup>860</sup>   
 <sup>861</sup>   
 <sup>862</sup>   
 <sup>863</sup>   
 <sup>864</sup>   
 <sup>865</sup>   
 <sup>866</sup>   
 <sup>867</sup>   
 <sup>868</sup>   
 <sup>869</sup>   
 <sup>870</sup>   
 <sup>871</sup>   
 <sup>872</sup>   
 <sup>873</sup>   
 <sup>874</sup>   
 <sup>875</sup>   
 <sup>876</sup>   
 <sup>877</sup>   
 <sup>878</sup>   
 <sup>879</sup>   
 <sup>880</sup>   
 <sup>881</sup>   
 <sup>882</sup>   
 <sup>883</sup>   
 <sup>884</sup>   
 <sup>885</sup>   
 <sup>886</sup>   
 <sup>887</sup>   
 <sup>888</sup>   
 <sup>889</sup>   
 <sup>890</sup>   
 <sup>891</sup>   
 <sup>892</sup>   
 <sup>893</sup>   
 <sup>894</sup>   
 <sup>895</sup>   
 <sup>896</sup>   
 <sup>897</sup>   
 <sup>898</sup>   
 <sup>899</sup>   
 <sup>900</sup>   
 <sup>901</sup>   
 <sup>902</sup>   
 <sup>903</sup>   
 <sup>904</sup>   
 <sup>905</sup>   
 <sup>906</sup>   
 <sup>907</sup>   
 <sup>908</sup>   
 <sup>909</sup>   
 <sup>910</sup>   
 <sup>911</sup>   
 <sup>912</sup>   
 <sup>913</sup>   
 <sup>914</sup>   
 <sup>915</sup>   
 <sup>916</sup>   
 <sup>917</sup>   
 <sup>918</sup>   
 <sup>919</sup>   
 <sup>920</sup>   
 <sup>921</sup>   
 <sup>922</sup>   
 <sup>923</sup>   
 <sup>924</sup>   
 <sup>925</sup>   
 <sup>926</sup>   
 <sup>927</sup>   
 <sup>928</sup>   
 <sup>929</sup>   
 <sup>930</sup>   
 <sup>931</sup>   
 <sup>932</sup>   
 <sup>933</sup>   
 <sup>934</sup>   
 <sup>935</sup>   
 <sup>936</sup>   
 <sup>937</sup>   
 <sup>938</sup>   
 <sup>939</sup>   
 <sup>940</sup>   
 <sup>941</sup>   
 <sup>942</sup>   
 <sup>943</sup>   
 <sup>944</sup>   
 <sup>945</sup>   
 <sup>946</sup>   
 <sup>947</sup>   
 <sup>948</sup>   
 <sup>949</sup>   
 <sup>950</sup>   
 <sup>951</sup>   
 <sup>952</sup>   
 <sup>953</sup>   
 <sup>954</sup>   
 <sup>955</sup>   
 <sup>956</sup>   
 <sup>957</sup>   
 <sup>958</sup>   
 <sup>959</sup>   
 <sup>960</sup>   
 <sup>961</sup>   
 <sup>962</sup>   
 <sup>963</sup>   
 <sup>964</sup>   
 <sup>965</sup>   
 <sup>966</sup>   
 <sup>967</sup>   
 <sup>968</sup>   
 <sup>969</sup>   
 <sup>970</sup>   
 <sup>971</sup>   
 <sup>972</sup>   
 <sup>973</sup>   
 <sup>974</sup>   
 <sup>975</sup>   
 <sup>976</sup>   
 <sup>977</sup>   
 <sup>978</sup>   
 <sup>979</sup>   
 <sup>980</sup>   
 <sup>981</sup>   
 <sup>982</sup>   
 <sup>983</sup>   
 <sup>984</sup>   
 <sup>985</sup>   
 <sup>986</sup>   
 <sup>987</sup>   
 <sup>988</sup>   
 <sup>989</sup>   
 <sup>990</sup>   
 <sup>991</sup>   
 <sup>992</sup>   
 <sup>993</sup>   
 <sup>994</sup>   
 <sup>995</sup>   
 <sup>996</sup>   
 <sup>997</sup>   
 <sup>998</sup>   
 <sup>999</sup>   
 <sup>1000</sup>   
 <sup>1001</sup>   
 <sup>1002</sup>   
 <sup>1003</sup>   
 <sup>1004</sup>   
 <sup>1005</sup>   
 <sup>1006</sup>   
 <sup>1007</sup>   
 <sup>1008</sup>   
 <sup>1009</sup>   
 <sup>1010</sup>   
 <sup>1011</sup>   
 <sup>1012</sup>   
 <sup>1013</sup>   
 <sup>1014</sup>   
 <sup>1015</sup>   
 <sup>1016</sup>   
 <sup>1017</sup>   
 <sup>1018</sup>   
 <sup>1019</sup>   
 <sup>1020</sup>   
 <sup>1021</sup>   
 <sup>1022</sup>   
 <sup>1023</sup>   
 <sup>1024</sup>   
 <sup>1025</sup>   
 <sup>1026</sup>   
 <sup>1027</sup>   
 <sup>1028</sup>   
 <sup>1029</sup>   
 <sup>1030</sup>   
 <sup>1031</sup>   
 <sup>1032</sup>   
 <sup>1033</sup>   
 <sup>1034</sup>   
 <sup>1035</sup>   
 <sup>1036</sup>   
 <sup>1037</sup>   
 <sup>1038</sup>   
 <sup>1039</sup>   
 <sup>1040</sup>   
 <sup>1041</sup>   
 <sup>1042</sup>   
 <sup>1043</sup>   
 <sup>1044</sup>   
 <sup>1045</sup>   
 <sup>1046</sup>   
 <sup>1047</sup>   
 <sup>1048</sup>   
 <sup>1049</sup>   
 <sup>1050</sup>   
 <sup>1051</sup>   
 <sup>1052</sup>   
 <sup>1053</sup>   
 <sup>1054</sup>   
 <sup>1055</sup>   
 <sup>1056</sup>   
 <sup>1057</sup>   
 <sup>1058</sup>   
 <sup>1059</sup>   
 <sup>1060</sup>   
 <sup>1061</sup>   
 <sup>1062</sup>   
 <sup>1063</sup>   
 <sup>1064</sup>   
 <sup>1065</sup>   
 <sup>1066</sup>   
 <sup>1067</sup>   
 <sup>1068</sup>   
 <sup>1069</sup>   
 <sup>1070</sup>   
 <sup>1071</sup>   
 <sup>1072</sup>   
 <sup>1073</sup>   
 <sup>1074</sup>   
 <sup>1075</sup>   
 <sup>1076</sup>   
 <sup>1077</sup>   
 <sup>1078</sup>   
 <sup>1079</sup>   
 <sup>1080</sup>   
 <sup>1081</sup>   
 <sup>1082</sup>   
 <sup>1083</sup>   
 <sup>1084</sup>   
 <sup>1085</sup>   
 <sup>108</sup>

his life to demand & have of the<sup>d</sup>. Joseph the<sup>d</sup>. Sum of <sup>six</sup> ~~twelve~~ shillings & four pence & the sum of four shillings for the  
the<sup>d</sup>. Worth of Execution & the lawful Interest of the several sums  
apressed — Yet the<sup>d</sup>. Joseph tho often requested hath never paid  
the same but neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup>. Martha in  
the Capacity twenty five pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner  
Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>d</sup> the three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup>. Martha do recover against  
the<sup>d</sup>. Joseph eighteen pounds thirteen shillings & six pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 2. 17. 6 —  
and thereof &c —  
Given up Feb<sup>y</sup> 21. 1704

146

Andrew Harwood of Ware in our County of Hampshire Harwood  
shire yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Chargee Train of Greenwich in said Harwood  
County. yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup>. Train  
Eben<sup>d</sup> at Ware on the twentieth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last past by Train  
his Note for value received promised the<sup>d</sup>. Andrew to pay in or  
order eleven pounds lawful Money to be paid in three  
Months from the Date hereof with interest till paid —  
yet the<sup>d</sup>. Eben<sup>d</sup> tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damages of the<sup>d</sup>. Andrew eighteen Pounds.  
The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>d</sup>  
the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the<sup>d</sup>. Andrew do recover against the<sup>d</sup>. Eben<sup>d</sup>  
nine pounds ten shillings & nine pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 1. 10. 4 — & thereof &c  
Given up Feb<sup>y</sup> 21. 1704

Abner Morgan of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Esq<sup>r</sup>. Pl<sup>y</sup> is Timothy Minard of Palmer in said County yeoman Deft Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>  
in a Plea of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup>. Timothy at Palmer on the  
eighteenth Day of Sep<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value received Minard  
promised the<sup>d</sup>. Abner to pay him or order one pound sixteen N<sup>o</sup> 55  
Shillings & six pence lawful Money to be paid in Grain Rye or  
three shillings & four pence for bushel & Indian Corn at two  
shillings & four pence & Bushel to be delivered at the<sup>d</sup>. Abner's  
dwelling House in the<sup>d</sup>. Brimfield within three Months (meaning  
three Months from the Date of the Note) with Interest till paid —  
Also for that the<sup>d</sup>. Timothy afterwards to wit on the same nine  
teenth Day of Sep<sup>r</sup> apressed at the<sup>d</sup>. Palmer by his other Note for  
value received promised the<sup>d</sup>. Abner to pay him or order  
four Bushels & one half of Rye of the value of eighteen shillings  
to be delivered at his dwelling house in the<sup>d</sup>. Brimfield within  
three Months (meaning three Months from the Date of the Note) with  
Interest till paid — Yet the<sup>d</sup>. Timothy tho ~~three times~~ <sup>three times</sup> publicly often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the<sup>d</sup>. Abner ten pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>d</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the<sup>d</sup>. Abner do recover against the<sup>d</sup>. Timothy two pounds  
seven shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £ 2. 13. 4 — and thereof &c —  
Given up Feb<sup>y</sup> 21. 1704.

Brigham Ad.

Blodget

N<sup>o</sup> 56

Martha Brigham of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
Widow Administratrix on the Estate of James Brigham late  
of Boston deceased intestate & in & Capacity My & Solomon  
Blodget of South Brimfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman otherwise called Solomon Blodget of Holland in said  
County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for  
that the said Solomon at & South Brimfield on the tenth Day of  
April last past by his Note for value received promised the  
James then alive to pay him fifteen pounds one Shilling and  
six pence silver Money on demand with Interest till paid.  
yet the said Solomon the often requested hath never paid the  
same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Martha in her said  
Capacity twenty four Pounds. The Parties severally appear  
& agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge  
ment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of  
May next.

Fowler

Jos

N<sup>o</sup> 57

Silas Fowler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
Gent. My & David Joes of the same Southwick yeoman Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said David at & Southwick on the  
seventeenth Day of February now last past by his Note for  
value received promised the said Silas to pay him or order  
two hundred & five pounds seven shillings & two pence  
lawful silver Money on demand with Interest till paid.  
yet the said David the often requested hath never paid the  
same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Silas three  
hundred pounds. The Parties now severally appear and  
agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge  
ment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday  
of May next.

S. Fowler

S. Fowler

N<sup>o</sup> 58

Samuel Fowler of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent. My & David Fowler Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in & County  
yeoman Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said  
David at & Wiltfield on the thirtieth Day of July last past according  
to custom & usage of Merchants made his certain Note or Bill of  
exchange in Writing, subscribed with his own proper hand of that  
Date & directed the said Note or Bill to the said Samuel, by which said  
Note or Bill the said David requested the said Samuel to pay to one Ebenezer  
Smith the Bearer of said Note in sight five pounds lawful Money  
and he the said David would pay the said Samuel the same sum by  
the then next August Court which said Note Order or Bill of  
exchange afterwards to which the same Day & year at & Wiltfield the  
said Samuel accepted & paid to the said Ebenezer the said sum of five pounds  
lawful Money according to the Tenor of said Note or Order of exchange  
the said David had & the said Ebenezer or chargeable the said David then & there  
promised the said Samuel to pay him the same by the said August Court.  
Also for that the said David at & Wiltfield on the last Day of August last past  
being justly indebted to the said Samuel in a note or bill of five  
pounds lawful Money for so much Money by the said David for the  
said Samuel for the use of the said Samuel before that time had received  
and being so indebted the said David then & there in consideration thereof  
assumed on himself & to the said Samuel faithfully promised that he  
the said David would well & truly pay & satisfy him the said Samuel the

the last mentioned sum on demand — yet the S<sup>r</sup> David tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel ten pounds — The M<sup>y</sup> appears ~~before~~ in his own proper Person the 2<sup>d</sup> the 3<sup>rd</sup> & the 4<sup>th</sup> times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel do recover against the S<sup>r</sup> David three pounds eight shillings & the pence of lawful Money Damages and both of Court taxed at £. 10. 9. & therefor

Given at the 20. Feb. 1724

Quoniam Feb 20. 1704

Daniel Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire Townsman Peter Ruben Williams of the same Westfield comes  
Left in a Plea wherein the said Daniel demands against the said Ruben  
sixteen Acres of Land lying in Westfield a parcel with the appurtenances  
as bounded as follows westerly by the County Road, northerly by a certain  
stream of water there called White's Brook, easterly by Lands belonging  
to Israel Ashley & southerly by a Truce including Lands belonging  
to Zebadiah Williams as his the said Daniel right of Inheritance and  
whereupon the said Daniel complains that in a peaceable Time within  
thirty years now last past one Nathaniel Williams was seized of the  
said demanded Premises in his demise as of Fee & right he being so  
there seized within the said thirty years in a peaceable Time viz on  
the third Day of October seventeen hundred & seventy one the said Nathaniel  
at Westfield aforesaid made his deed poll by him well executed  
sealed with his seal & in Court to be produced & hereby for & in con-  
sideration of the sum of one hundred Pounds to him the said Nathaniel  
by the said Daniel before that time paid did truly fully & absolutely give  
grant bargain sell assign release confirm unto him the said Daniel  
his heirs assigns forever the said demanded Premises to have & to hold  
the said demanded Premises unto him the said Daniel & to his heirs  
assigns to his then own proper use benefit & behoof forever by means  
of which said the said Daniel & was there afterwards on the same  
Day seized of the said demanded Premises in his demise as of Fee &  
right taking the Profits thereof to the value of three pounds by the  
and ought still to hold & possess the same, never the less the said Ruben  
since the time last mentioned in a peaceable Time unjustly and  
without Judgement hath entered into the said demanded Premises  
disseized the said Daniel thereof & still unjustly holds him out to the  
damages of the said Daniel three hundred pounds The Plea  
appearing Samuel Fowler Gent. his Att. & the Def. the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default or appearance  
here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said  
Daniel do recover against the said Ruben sixteen & Possession of the  
Lands sued for & also the costs of Court taxed at £ 10. 3. & thereupon  
Writ of Fac. Sub. Pops. jp. Feb 22. 1784

Silas Fowler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
 gent<sup>l</sup> All ye Benjamin Rising yeoman, Benjamin Rising Jun<sup>r</sup>  
 yeoman both of sd Southwick & also in a P<sup>ar</sup> of the Case for that Rising &c  
 the sd Benjamin & Benj<sup>r</sup> Jun<sup>r</sup> Benj<sup>r</sup> Rising & Benj<sup>r</sup> Rising J<sup>r</sup>  
 Southwick on the twelfth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & seventy No 60  
 nine by their Note for value received promised the sd Silas to pay  
 him or order one hundred & sixty six Bushels of good Wheat or the  
 value thereof in currency or Cattle priced by Wheat at one Dollar  
 & Bushel to paid within one year from the Date of sd Note with  
 Interest till paid Also for that the sd Benj<sup>r</sup> Rising & Benj<sup>r</sup>  
 Rising Jun<sup>r</sup> at sd Southwick on the same twelfth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> in  
 the same year last mentioned by their Note for value received  
 promised the said Silas to pay him or order another one hundred  
 and thirty three Bushels of good Wheat or the value thereof in  
 currency or Cattle appraised by Wheat at one Dollar & Bushel

Towson  
is  
Risinge &c  
all with to be paid within two years from the Date of said Note  
with Interest till paid & and thed. Silas avers that he has always  
been ready to receive thed. Wheat, Cattle or the Currency as they  
or either of them & yet thed. Benj<sup>d</sup> Risinge & Benjamin Risinge  
Jun<sup>r</sup> the after requested have never performed their Promise  
but neglect it to the Damage of thed. Silas two hundred Pounds  
The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Samuel Towler Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
the three times publicly called to come into Court make  
default of appearance here & Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that thed. Silas do recover against thed. Pl<sup>y</sup> ninety pounds  
nine shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £11:11:4 & thereof &c &c  
Exon<sup>y</sup> Feb<sup>y</sup> 20. 1704

King  
is  
Cleland  
N<sup>o</sup> 61  
Samuel King of Chesham in the County of Cheshire &  
State of Lancashire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> & James Cleland of Montague  
otherwise called James Cleland of Northfield in the County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that thed. James  
at D. Northampton on the twentieth Day of March seventeen hundred  
and eighty three by his Note for value received promised the said  
Samuel to pay him or order two pounds eleven shillings & four  
pence hard Money (meaning lawful Silver Money on Demand with  
Interest till paid & yet thed. James the after requested hath never  
paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of thed. Samuel  
three Pounds & The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here & Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that thed. Samuel do recover against thed.  
James two pounds fourteen shillings & one penny of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:13:0 & thereof &c &c  
Exon<sup>y</sup> May 4. 1704

Tarrand  
is  
Billings  
N<sup>o</sup> 62  
Benoni Tarrand of Burfield in our County of Hamp-  
shire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> & Thomas Billings of Sunderland in said County  
Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that thed. Thomas at said  
Sunderland on the last Day of December last past was justly indebted  
to the said Tarrand in the sum of fifteen Pounds five shillings &  
five pence one farthing lawful Money to balance Book Accounts  
according to the schedule hereto annexed & thed. Thomas there  
upon in consideration thereof promised thed. Benoni to pay him  
the same sum on demand & yet thed. Thomas the after requested  
hath never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the  
said Benoni seventeen pounds & The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by  
John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here & Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that thed. Benoni do recover against thed. Thomas fifteen  
Pounds five shillings & five pence one farthing of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:7:5 & thereof &c &c  
Exon<sup>y</sup> April 20. 1704

Goldwell  
is  
Wright  
N<sup>o</sup> 63  
Solomon Gould Yeoman Jonathan Gould Gentleman and  
Leopina Montague Esq<sup>r</sup> all of Linneth in our County of Hampshire  
Pl<sup>y</sup> & Joel Wright of Northampton in said County Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of the Case for that thed. Joel at D. Northampton on the  
eighth Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised the Pl<sup>y</sup> as a Bill Committee for D. Town  
of Linneth to pay them three pounds lawful Money in Silver  
within two Months from the Date of D. Date with Interest after

2<sup>d</sup> two Months told paid: yet S. Jael tho often requested hath never  
paid the same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of  
S. Phipps seven pounds. The Phipps appears by John Chas<sup>t</sup> Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Dep<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that S. Phipps do recover against S. Jael three pounds eight  
Shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1.8.0 & there of &c. Exon<sup>ij</sup> Mar. 4. 1704

148

Jonathan Warner & Saadiah Warner both of Hadley in Warnock  
our County of Hampshire Joint Traders Phipps Julius Ellis of  
Berkfield in S. County yeoman Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that  
S. Julius at S. Hadley on the last Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last past was justly  
indicted to the Phipps in the Sum of nine pounds six Shillings &  
six pence lawful Money according to the Schedule hereto annexed: N<sup>o</sup> 64  
He th<sup>o</sup> S. Julius in Consideration thereof promised S. Phipps to pay  
them the same Sum on Demand with Interest till paid &  
also for that th<sup>o</sup> S. Phipps at Hadley aforesaid on the last Day of Dec<sup>r</sup>  
had sold & delivered him th<sup>o</sup> S. Julius sundry Goods, Wares & Merchants  
wares at his special Instance & request: He th<sup>o</sup> S. Julius then & there in  
Consideration thereof promised the Phipps to pay them so much Money  
as th<sup>o</sup> S. Goods Wares & Merchandises so sold & delivered as aforesaid were  
reasonably worth at the time of the sale & delivery thereof whenever  
after he should be thereto requested: and th<sup>o</sup> S. Phipps say the Goods  
Wares & Merchandises so sold & delivered as aforesaid were reasonably  
worth at the time of the sale & delivery thereof other Sum of ten pounds  
to wit at Hadley aforesaid: yet th<sup>o</sup> S. Julius tho often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of th<sup>o</sup> S. Phipps twelve  
Pounds. The Phipps appears by John Chas<sup>t</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & the  
Dep<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that S. Phipps do recover against S. Dep<sup>t</sup> eight Pounds &  
thirteen Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1.4.4 & there of &c. Exon<sup>ij</sup> Mar. 22. 1704

John Inquants of Brattleborough in the County of  
Windmor & State of Vermont Gentle<sup>n</sup> Phipps Ebenezer Eaton of Atton Sergeant  
ham in our County of Worcester Husbandman Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the  
Case for that th<sup>o</sup> for that th<sup>o</sup> S. Ebenezer at Northampton aforesaid Eaton  
on the twenty ninth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty one by  
his Note for value received promised S. John to pay him or order four  
ten pounds lawful Money within two Months from the Date of S. Note  
with Interest till paid: yet the Ebenezer tho often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of th<sup>o</sup> S. John eighteen  
Pounds. The Phipps appears by John Chas<sup>t</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and  
the Dep<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that S. Phipps do recover against S. Dep<sup>t</sup> fifteen Pounds sixteen Shillings  
and five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
£1.16.0 & there of &c. Exon<sup>ij</sup> Mar. 14. 1704

William Dudge of Mason in the County of Hillsborough  
in the State of New Hampshire yeoman Phipps Frederick Reed of  
Surrey in the County of Cheshire & State of New Hampshire yeoman  
now resident at Springfield in our County of Hampshire Dep<sup>t</sup> in a  
Plea of the Case for that S. Frederick at Springfield aforesaid on the sixth  
Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty together with one James Reed by their  
Note for value received jointly & severally promised the said William to pay  
him four hundred & forty five Dollars in silver or Gold which the said  
William says is equal to one hundred thirty three pounds ten Shillings lawful  
Dudge

Dodge  
vs  
Read

Money of this Court m<sup>ch</sup>all in one year from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Frederick nor James have never paid s<sup>d</sup> James neither hand. Frederick however fulfilled his Prom. i<sup>e</sup> by his Joint & several Note as aforesaid at the request but neglected it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> William One hundred & sixty Pounds - The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next -

Read  
vs  
Foster & al  
N<sup>o</sup> 67.

Nathaniel Lard of Putney in the County of Windsor & State of Vermont yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Joseph Foster yeoman & Eliphalet Purce yeoman both of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Foster & Purce at Northampton upon said in the seventh Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty three by their Note for value received jointly & severally promised s<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel to pay him or order six pounds two pence lawful Money in four Months from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Joseph & Eliphalet tho often requested have never paid the same but neglected but neglected it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel eight pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Lake - Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Dep<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court made default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Joseph & Eliphalet six pounds six shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:12:6 - there of -  
Done at F<sup>ri</sup> 27<sup>th</sup> of 17<sup>th</sup> 1784

Dickinson  
vs  
Morton  
N<sup>o</sup> 68.

Obadiah Dickinson of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Elisha Morton of Thwame Hatfield yeoman Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha at s<sup>d</sup> Hatfield on the seventh Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Obadiah to pay him or order fourteen Pounds ten shillings lawful silver Money in one Month from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest till paid. And afterwards to wit on the twelfth Day of March in the year aforesaid the s<sup>d</sup> Hatfield the s<sup>d</sup> Giles Tray by his Indorsement on the back of s<sup>d</sup> Note with his own proper hand subscribed ordered the contents of s<sup>d</sup> Note then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the s<sup>d</sup> Obadiah for value of s<sup>d</sup> him then & there he received of all which the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha then & there instantly had Notice and so became liable to pay the contents of s<sup>d</sup> Note to s<sup>d</sup> Obadiah agreeable to the Tenor thereof & there in consideration thereof promised the s<sup>d</sup> Obadiah to pay him the same accordingly on demand - yet the s<sup>d</sup> Elisha tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Obadiah seventeen Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next -

Thurston  
vs  
Jordan  
N<sup>o</sup> 69.

Justus Thurston of Greenwich in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Dudley Jordan of Hardwick in our County of Worcester Tinner Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Dudley at s<sup>d</sup> Northampton on the sixth Day of November last past by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Thurston to pay him or order eleven Pounds one Shilling & eight pence lawful Money on demand with interest till paid.

And there afterwards on the same Day the <sup>d</sup> Asa by his Indorsement on the back of the <sup>d</sup> Note for value received ordered the Contents thereof then due to be paid to the <sup>d</sup> Ply according to the Tenor of said Note of all which <sup>d</sup> Judah there instantly had Notice & thereupon became liable by Law to pay the <sup>d</sup> Ply the Contents of <sup>d</sup> Note according to the Tenor thereof and then & there in consideration thereof promised the <sup>d</sup> Ply to pay him the same accordingly — yet the <sup>d</sup> Judah tho often requested hath not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Justus twenty Pounds — The Parties severally appear agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next —

Orange Warner of Hally in the County of Hampshire yeoman <sup>d</sup> Ply is Isaac Dudge late of <sup>d</sup> Hadley Labourer Deft in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Isaac a <sup>d</sup> Hadley on the nineteenth Day of Sep<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note for value received promised <sup>d</sup> Orange to pay him two pounds fourteen shillings & nine pence lawful silver Money on demand with Interest till paid. yet <sup>d</sup> Isaac tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Orange four pounds — The <sup>d</sup> Ply appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Orange do recover against the <sup>d</sup> Isaac two pounds sixteen shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.3.10. and thereof — Exon<sup>o</sup> <sup>d</sup> Mar. 14. 1704

Isaac Hulbert of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Physician <sup>d</sup> Ply is Zebadiah Smith of Montague in <sup>d</sup> County Husband Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>d</sup> Smith a <sup>d</sup> Montague on the nineteenth Day of August seventeen hundred & twenty four by his Note for value received promised the <sup>d</sup> Isaac to pay him or order three pounds eighteen shillings & three pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid: yet the <sup>d</sup> Smith tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Isaac six Pounds — The <sup>d</sup> Ply appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Isaac do recover against said Zebadiah four pounds fourteen shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £1.13.6. & thereof — Exon<sup>o</sup> <sup>d</sup> Mar. 22. 1704

Roger Rye of Bicester in our County of Middlesex yeoman <sup>d</sup> Ply is Seth Wales of Liverth in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the <sup>d</sup> Seth a <sup>d</sup> Northampton aforesaid on the third Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two was justly indebted to the <sup>d</sup> Roger in the sum of two pounds one shilling & nine pence for several Articles of Book Account according to the Schedule hereto annexed the <sup>d</sup> Seth then & there in consideration thereof promised the <sup>d</sup> Roger to pay him the same sum on demand — yet the <sup>d</sup> Seth tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Roger four pounds — The <sup>d</sup> Ply appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Roger do recover against <sup>d</sup> Seth two pounds one shilling & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.7.6. and thereof — Exon<sup>o</sup> <sup>d</sup> Mar. 22. 1704

Nash  
Bidding  
No 73.

At our Court of whatty in our County of Hampshire Geo: man  
Plf is Samuel Bidding of Hatfield in the County of Northampton  
Def in a Plea of the Case for that the said Samuel at Hatfield  
aforesaid on the fourteenth Day of August seventeen hundred  
and eighty three by his Note for value received promised  
the said Abner to pay him six pounds five shillings lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid: yet the said Samuel the  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said Abner ten pounds. The Plf appears  
by John the said William Esq: his Att: & the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Abner do recover against the said Samuel six pounds eight  
shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £1.4.0 & thereof £1. Geo: Esq: Feb: 27. 1704.

Harwood  
Galpin  
No 74.

Nathanial Hazards of Woodbury in the County of  
Litchfield & State of Connecticut Merchants Plf is Caleb Galpin  
of Northbridge in our County of Berkshire Deft in a Plea of the  
Case for that the said Caleb on the eighteenth Day of May seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
the said Nathanial to pay him eighty eight Pounds one Shilling and  
four pence lawful Money in Spanish Milled Dollars on demand  
with Interest till paid. Yet the said Caleb the often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Nathanial One hundred & sixty Pounds. The Parties mutually  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have a  
Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Hurlburt  
Gardner  
No 75.

John Hurlburt of Chesterfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gent: Plf is Caleb Gardner of Hancock in our County  
of Berkshire Geo: man Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Caleb  
at Hancock in Northampton aforesaid on the seventh Day of March  
seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised  
the said John to pay him five pounds eighteen shillings & eight pence  
on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the said Caleb the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said John ten  
Pounds. The Plf appears by Thomas Gold Gent: his Att: & the  
Def: the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said John do recover against the said Caleb three pounds nineteen shillings  
& six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
£1.15.2 & thereof £1. Geo: Esq: Feb: 27. 1704.

Parsons  
Marshfield  
No 76.

Jacob Parsons of Northbridge in our County of Berkshire  
Gent: Plf is Edward Marshfield of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Geo: man Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the said Edward on the fourth  
seventeen hundred & eighty seven at Northampton by his  
Note for value received promised the said Jacob to pay him six pounds &  
fifteen shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid.  
Yet the said Edward the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said Jacob fifteen Pounds. The Plf appears by Thomas  
Gold Gent: his Att: & the Def: the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Jacob do recover against the said Edward eight pounds four  
shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
£2.2.2 & thereof £1. Geo: Esq: Feb: 27. 1704.

Alexander Miller of Worthington in the County of Hampshire Ironmaster 150  
Pls is Jared Smith of Lamborough in our County of Berkshire Whaleright Deft  
in a Pla of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Jared on the twenty seventh Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> Miller  
seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the Smith  
Alexander to pay him Eleven pounds three Shillings & two pence on demand  
Interest till paid - Also for that the<sup>d</sup> Jared at the<sup>d</sup> Worthington on the  
fourth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value  
received promised the<sup>d</sup> Alexander to pay him three pounds eight  
Shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet the said  
Jared tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Alexander twenty pounds - The Pls appears by  
Thomas Gold Gnt<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the Deft the three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Alexander do recover  
against the<sup>d</sup> Jared  
of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 13. 10 - & thereof &c -

Samuel Hatch of Hockbridge in our County of Berkshire Hatch  
yeoman Pls is Garder Parker of Coventry in the County of Windham  
and State of Connecticut Physician Deft in a Pla of Trespass on the Case Parker  
for that the<sup>d</sup> Garder on the twenty third Day of January seventeen hundred N<sup>o</sup> 70  
and seventy six at Hockbridge aforesaid to wit the<sup>d</sup> Spring field by his Note  
for value received promised one Gardner & one Fitch by the Name of Gardner &  
Fitch to pay them or Order the sum of thirteen pounds & nine Shillings lawful Money  
on demand & Interest from the date of the<sup>d</sup> till paid: And afterwards viz on the six  
the twenty fourth Day of October seventeen hundred & seventy eight at Spring field  
aforesaid the<sup>d</sup> Gardner & Fitch by their Indorsement on the<sup>d</sup> Note with their  
proper hands delivered assigned the<sup>d</sup> Note to the<sup>d</sup> Samuel Hatch & ordered the  
contents thereof then wholly unpaid (except seven pounds & two Shillings)  
to be paid to the<sup>d</sup> Samuel of all which the<sup>d</sup> Garder then & there instantly  
afterwards had Notice & so became liable to pay the contents of the<sup>d</sup> Note to said  
Samuel according to the Tenor thereof & being so liable the<sup>d</sup> Garder then and  
there in Consideration thereof promised the<sup>d</sup> Samuel to pay him the same  
accordingly on demand - yet the<sup>d</sup> Garder tho often requested hath never  
paid the same or any part thereof (except five pounds & seven Shillings) but  
neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Samuel six pounds - The Pls appears by  
Thomas Gold Gnt<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the Deft the three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Samuel do recover against the<sup>d</sup> Garder  
two pounds eight Shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £2. 3. 10 - & thereof &c - Exon<sup>is</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 21. 1784

Aaron King of Westfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman King  
Pls is Ruben Hicock of Williamstown in our County of Berkshire  
yeoman Deft in a Pla of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Ruben on the twentieth Hicock  
Day of January seventeen hundred & seventy four at Granville in our<sup>d</sup> Hicock  
County of Hampshire by his Note for value received promised said N<sup>o</sup> 79  
Aaron together with one Stephen Hicock who is now deceased to pay him  
the full just sum of twelve Pounds lawful Money at or before the first  
Day of October next with Interest till paid - yet the<sup>d</sup> Ruben tho often  
requested hath never paid the same nor <sup>help</sup> the<sup>d</sup> Stephen in his lifetime ever  
pay the sum or any part thereof but they & each of them have neglected so to do  
to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Aaron twenty Pounds - The Pls appears by  
Thomas Gold Gnt<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the Deft the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Aaron do recover against the<sup>d</sup> Ruben ten pounds ten Shillings  
and nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 15. 6.  
and thereof &c - Exon<sup>is</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 21. 1784

Sheldon  
vs  
Hulen  
N<sup>o</sup> 00.

Thomas Sheldon of the Town & City in the County of Albany & State of New York Merchant. Pls vs Ebenezer Hulen of Pittsfield in the County of Berkshire yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Eben<sup>r</sup> on the tenth Day of March seventeen hundred & seventy six at Northampton aforesaid by his Note for value received promised the said Thomas to pay him the sum of one pound fifteen shillings at or before the first Day of November next with Interest. Yet the said Eben<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglect<sup>d</sup> it to the Damage of the said Thomas six pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes default by appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Thomas do recover against the said Eben<sup>r</sup> two pounds fourteen shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:2:6 and therefore. Exonif<sup>d</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> 1784

Sackett  
vs  
Henry  
N<sup>o</sup> 01.

Adnah Sackett of Westfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman. Pls vs Malcomb Henry of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire gent<sup>l</sup> Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the said Malcomb on the tenth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & seventy four at Murrayfield in our County of Hampshire by his Note for value received promised the said Adnah to pay five pounds & ten shillings of lawful Money to be paid in five Months from the Date hereof with use of meaning lawful Interest till paid. Yet the said Adnah tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof except twenty shillings but neglect<sup>d</sup> it to the Damage of the said Adnah eleven pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Adnah do recover against the said Malcomb six pounds ten shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:13:10 & thereof 6 Exonif<sup>d</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> 1784

Vanderwoort  
vs  
Hutchinson  
N<sup>o</sup> 02.

Peter Vanderwoort of the City, County & State of New York Merchant. Pls vs Benjamin Hutchinson of Windsor in our County of Berkshire yeoman Debt in a Plea The Case for that the said Benj<sup>n</sup> on the ninth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & seventy four at Cagborough in Northampton aforesaid by his for value received promised one Jeremiah Gady to pay him or order five pounds ten shillings & six pence lawful Money to be paid at or before the first Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> next with Interest till paid: And afterwards (viz) on the same ninth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> & year last aforesaid at Northampton the said Jeremiah by his Indorsement on the said Note with his own proper hand subscribed assigned the same note to the said Peter & ordered the Contents thereof then wholly unpaid to be paid to the said Peter of all which the said Benj<sup>n</sup> & those there instantly afterwards had notice & so became liable to pay the Contents of the same Note to the said Peter according to the tenor thereof & the said Benj<sup>n</sup> in Consideration thereof by force of the Law in such Case made and provided undertook & thereupon faithfully promised the said Peter to pay him the same sum according to the tenor thereof. Yet the said Benj<sup>n</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglect<sup>d</sup> it to the Damage of the said Peter thirteen pounds. The Parties severally appear agree to have this Case continued till the Pl<sup>y</sup> may mind his Writ<sup>t</sup> Judgement to be final at the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day rise untill the third Tuesday of May next.

William Whiff late of the City County State of New York Merch.  
Plff vs Abel Stephens of Windsor in our County of Berkshire a woman  
Def in a Pla of Trepass on the case for that the S. Abel on the nineteenth  
Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred and seventy ~~four~~ at Gageborough in  
Northampton aforesaid by his Note for value received promised  
one Jeremiah Cuddy to pay him or order six pounds eight shillings  
and nine pence lawful Money on demand, interest untill paid  
and afterwards (viz) on the same nineteenth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> & year last above  
S. at S. Northampton the S. Jeremiah by his Indorsement on<sup>d</sup> Note  
with his proper hand subscribed assigned the same Note to the said  
William and ordered the Cont<sup>r</sup>th thereof then wholly unpaid to be  
paid to the S. William of all which the S. Abel th<sup>r</sup> & thereinstan<sup>t</sup>ly  
afterwards had Notice & became liable to pay the same according  
to the Tenor thereof and the S. Abel in consideration thereof & before  
of the Law in such case made & provided promised the S. William  
to pay him the same accordingly on demand & yet the S. Abel  
the often requested hath never paid the same but neg<sup>l</sup>ect<sup>d</sup> it  
to the Damage of the S. William thirteen Pounds. The Plff  
appears by Thomas Gold Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. William  
do recover against S. Abel nine pounds ten shillings & nine pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2. 14. 6. thereof &c  
Given at Sept 21. 1784.

Daniel Lawrence of Montague in our County of Hampshire  
Plff vs John Grosbe of Windhall in s<sup>d</sup> County a woman Def  
in a Pla by the case for that the S. John at S. Northampton on the  
seventeenth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value received  
promised S. Daniel to pay him two pounds four shillings & eight pence  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet the S. John the  
often requested has never paid the same but neg<sup>l</sup>ect<sup>d</sup> it to the Damage  
of the S. Daniel four Pounds. The Plff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that S. Daniel do recover against S. John two pounds  
four shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £4. 3. 2. & thereof &c  
Given at Mar. 21. 1784.

Other William of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plff vs John Heaton of Shelburn in s<sup>d</sup> County a woman Def  
and a Deputy Sheriff under Elisha Porter Esq<sup>r</sup> Sheriff of s<sup>d</sup> County  
Def in a Pla of the case for that the S. John at S. Deerfield on the twenty  
ninth Day of March 1773 by his Note for value received promised the  
Thomas to pay him or order ten pounds two shillings & four pence lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet the S. John the often  
the often requested hath never paid the same or any part thereof to S. Thom<sup>s</sup>  
in his life-time nor to the S. Other since the Death of the S. Thomas but neg<sup>l</sup>ect<sup>d</sup>  
it to the Damage of the S. Other in his Capacity twenty pounds. The Plff  
appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Other do recover against  
S. John fifteen Pounds six shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0. & thereof &c  
Given at Mar 11. 1784

Peter Gates of Barre in s<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire Blacksmiths  
Plff vs John Heaton of Shelburn in s<sup>d</sup> County a woman Def  
under Elisha Porter Esq<sup>r</sup> Sheriff of s<sup>d</sup> County Def in a Pla of the case  
for that the S. John at Deerfield in s<sup>d</sup> County on the twenty first Day  
of August last past by his Note for value received promised S. Peter  
to pay him or order one pound ten shillings lawful Money on  
Demand with interest till paid & also for that the said John at  
said Deerfield on the same twenty first Day of August by his Note for  
value received promised the S. Peter to pay him twelve shillings & six

Gates  
Heaton

pinney of lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid: yet  
tho<sup>t</sup> John tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of th<sup>t</sup> Peter four Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by  
Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that th<sup>t</sup> Peter  
do recover against th<sup>t</sup> John two pounds three shillings & eight  
pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 9. 10.  
and thereof. Exon<sup>d</sup> Mar. 5. 1704

Latham  
Brown  
N<sup>o</sup> 07

Pinneas Latham of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Benjamin Brown of Meripitt in our County  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that th<sup>t</sup> Ben<sup>t</sup> at Northampton  
on the first Day of June sweeten hundred & twenty seven by  
his Note for value received promised th<sup>t</sup> Pinneas to pay him or order  
Eighty Pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid.  
yet th<sup>t</sup> Ben<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of th<sup>t</sup> Pinneas sixty pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that th<sup>t</sup> Pinneas do recover against  
th<sup>t</sup> Benjamin fifty eight Pounds nine shillings of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 17. 0. & thereof. Exon<sup>d</sup> Mar. 5. 1704

Allis  
Nichols  
N<sup>o</sup> 00.

Lebediah Allis Jun<sup>r</sup> of Montague in our County of  
Hampshire Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Nathaniel Nichols of Granfield in  
County of Devon Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that th<sup>t</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup>  
at Montague on the second Day of September last past by his  
Note for value received promised th<sup>t</sup> Lebediah to pay him  
or order six pounds lawful Money woth<sup>o</sup> good Merchants  
Boards, three pounds worth thereof to be paid within one Month  
and a residue thereof in two Months from the date of th<sup>t</sup> Note. yet  
th<sup>t</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of th<sup>t</sup> Lebediah eight pounds. The  
Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> and a Def<sup>t</sup> in the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that th<sup>t</sup> Lebediah  
do recover against th<sup>t</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup> six Pounds three shillings of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 11. 0. & thereof.  
Exon<sup>d</sup> Mar. 5. 1704

Gains  
Goodrich  
N<sup>o</sup> 09

David Gains of Guilford in the County of Windham &  
State of Vermont (so called) Yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> vs George Goodrich of  
Granfield in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea  
of the Case for that th<sup>t</sup> George at Northampton on the twenty first  
Day of August last past by his Note for value received promised  
th<sup>t</sup> David to pay him or order fifty Pounds sixteen shillings & nine  
pence silver Money on demand with Interest till paid. Also  
for that th<sup>t</sup> George at Northampton on the same twenty first Day  
of August by his other Note for value received promised th<sup>t</sup> David  
to pay him or order twenty six pounds fifteen shillings and  
seven pence half penny silver Money on demand with Interest till  
paid. Also for that th<sup>t</sup> George at Northampton on the same  
twenty first Day of August by his other Note for value received  
promised th<sup>t</sup> David to pay him or order one pound six shillings  
silver Money on demand with Interest till paid. Also for that  
th<sup>t</sup> George at Northampton on the same twenty first Day of  
August by his Note for value received promised th<sup>t</sup> David to  
pay him or order nineteen shillings & ten pence silver  
Money on demands with Interest till paid. yet the said George  
tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid either of the Sums aforesaid  
but neglects it to the Damage of th<sup>t</sup> David eighty five pounds.

Wherefore it is considered by the Court that this Action be continued to the next Term for Judgement by agreement of Parties & that said Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

Joseph Robbins of Durfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff vs William Rutter of Barnardstown in s<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Abbins  
in a Plea of the Case for that the said William at Durfield aforesaid on the last Day of December last past was justly Indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph Rutter in the sum of fourteen Pounds & two pence Lawful Money to balance Book accounts according to the schedule hereto annexed & the said William then & there in consideration thereof assumed on himself & to the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph faithfully promised that he would pay to him the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph the aforesaid sum of fourteen pounds & two pence on demand yet the s<sup>d</sup> William the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph sixteen pounds The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>le</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three time publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> William fourteen pounds & two pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.11.6. & there of &c  
Exon ip<sup>m</sup> Mar 17 1704

Jonathan Ashley of Shelburn Esq<sup>r</sup> & Ebene Ashley of Durfield Physician both in the County of Hampshire Executors of the last Will & Testament of Jonathan Ashley late of Durfield deceased & in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity Plaintiff vs John Clark of Colrain in s<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> John at s<sup>d</sup> Northamp<sup>ton</sup> on the first Day of May seventeen hundred & twenty five by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan then living to pay nine pounds six shillings & eight pence Lawful Money by the first Day of May seventeen hundred & twenty eight with Interest annually till paid yet the s<sup>d</sup> John the often requested hath never paid the same to the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan in his life time nor to the Plaintiff since the Death of the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan & Ebene Executors twenty pounds The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>le</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> fourteen pounds two shillings & three pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.13.0 & there of &c  
Exon ip<sup>m</sup> Mar 5 1704

David Dickinson of Durfield in our County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff vs Joseph Tubbs of Shelburn in s<sup>d</sup> County Husbandman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph at Durfield on the sixteenth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received promised to pay & deliver to him at his dwelling in s<sup>d</sup> Durfield seven Bushels three Fubs of good Merchantable Wheat by the first Day of December then next after the Date of Note with Interest from the said first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> till paid The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>le</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> David do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph two pounds eleven shillings and four pence of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.10.0 & there of &c  
Exon ip<sup>m</sup> Mar 17 1704

Dickinson  
Hindell  
N<sup>o</sup> 93

David Dickinson of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> Ple<sup>s</sup> John Hindell of Deerfield Townsman Deft in a Plea  
of the Case for that the D<sup>r</sup> John at S<sup>r</sup> Deerfield on the fifth Day of  
Nov<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value  
received promised to pay him or order seven  
pounds ten shillings & four pence half penny in silver & gold  
on Demand with Interest till paid - yet the D<sup>r</sup> John the often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the D<sup>r</sup> David nine pounds - The Ple<sup>s</sup> appears by Samuel  
Barnard gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> David do recover  
against the D<sup>r</sup> John eight pounds ten shillings & seven pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.9.6 -  
and thereof &c - Exon<sup>r</sup> ip<sup>s</sup> Mar 6. 1704.

Idem  
Harvey  
N<sup>o</sup> 94.

David Dickinson of Deerfield in our County of Hamp  
shire gent<sup>l</sup> Ple<sup>s</sup> Moss Harvey of Montague in said County  
gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the Moss at S<sup>r</sup> Deerfield  
on the fiftenth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty three  
by his Note for value received promised to pay him or order  
two pounds four shillings & seven pence on demand with Interest  
till paid - yet the D<sup>r</sup> Moss the often requested hath never paid same  
sum but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> David five pounds  
~~The Ple<sup>s</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Deft the~~  
~~three times publicly called to come in Court makes default of~~  
~~appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court~~  
~~that the D<sup>r</sup> David do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Moss~~ The Parties  
unverally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term Judge ment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next

Arising  
Belding  
N<sup>o</sup> 95

Jonathan Arling of Shelburn in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Ple<sup>s</sup> Samuel Belding of Ashfield in S<sup>r</sup> County gent<sup>l</sup> Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that the Samuel at Deerfield in said  
County on the fifth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty three  
by his Note for value received promised to pay him  
or order one pound nine shillings & two pence on demand  
with Interest till paid - yet the D<sup>r</sup> Samuel the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
said Jon<sup>l</sup> six pounds - The Ple<sup>s</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard  
gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Jon<sup>l</sup> do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Samuel two pounds  
six shillings & eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.11.7 - and thereof &c - Exon<sup>r</sup> ip<sup>s</sup> Mar 6. 1704

Stebbins  
Merrill  
N<sup>o</sup> 96

Joseph Stebbins of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire  
Townsman Ple<sup>s</sup> Daniel Merrill of Conway in S<sup>r</sup> County Townsman  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel at S<sup>r</sup> Deerfield  
on the last Day of December last past was justly indebted to the  
said Joseph in the sum of seven pounds fourteen shillings and  
four pence two farthings lawful Money to balance Book Accounts

according to the Schedule hereto annexed - And the<sup>d</sup> Daniel  
thinks there is consideration thereof apurged on himself to the<sup>d</sup> Joseph faithfully promised that he would pay him the same  
Sum on Demand - yet the<sup>d</sup> Daniel the often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Joseph ten pounds - The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent.  
his A<sup>tt</sup> and the Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Joseph do recover against the  
Daniel seven pounds four shillings & four pence two farthings  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0  
and thereof &c -  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Mar. 5. 1704.

Levi Cook of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Uriah Goodwin of Ashfield in s<sup>d</sup> County yeoman Cook  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Uriah at s<sup>d</sup> Amherst on  
the sixth Day of August last past by his Note for value received Goodwin  
promised s<sup>d</sup> Levi to pay him or order two pounds eight shillings No 97  
lawful Silver Money within one Month from the Date of said  
Note with Interest till paid - yet the<sup>d</sup> Uriah the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Levi six pounds - The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent.  
his A<sup>tt</sup> and the Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Levi do recover against said  
Uriah two pounds nine shillings & six pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 7. 6 and thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Mar. 5. 1704.

David Dickinson of Deerfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> is John Blackmer of Buckenhill in  
our County aforesaid Husbandman Deft in a Plea of the Dickinson  
Case for that the<sup>d</sup> John at s<sup>d</sup> Deerfield on the twenty ninth  
Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> sixteen hundred & eighty one by his Note for Blackmer  
value received promised the<sup>d</sup> David to pay him or order  
seven pounds three shillings & six pence in Silver & Gold on  
Demand with Interest till paid - yet the<sup>d</sup> John the often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the<sup>d</sup> David nine pounds - The P<sup>ly</sup> appears  
by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his A<sup>tt</sup> and the Deft the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the<sup>d</sup> David do recover against the<sup>d</sup> John seven pounds nine  
shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1. 10. 0 and thereof &c  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> Mar. 5. 1704

Jonathan Tillotson of Granville in our County of Hamp<sup>shire</sup> Tillotson  
shere yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Thaddeus More yeoman Eli. More yeoman  
& Martin Red Grove all of Salisbury in the County of Hartford More & al  
and State of Connecticut Defts in a Plea of Trespass for that the<sup>d</sup>  
Defts at Southwick in s<sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire on the twenty fourth  
Day of January last past with force & arms made an assault  
on the Body of the<sup>d</sup> Jon<sup>a</sup> who was then & there in the care  
of God & our Peace & him the<sup>d</sup> Jon<sup>a</sup> they the<sup>d</sup> Defts the said  
here took from this Dwelling-house & carried him out of our  
Commonwealth into the State of Connecticut him the<sup>d</sup> P<sup>ly</sup>

They this? Debt felony imprisoned restrained of his liberty  
for a long time to wit for the space of twenty four Hours and  
obliged the D. Son<sup>d</sup> to expend large Sums of Money make  
large Promises to indemnify one Jacob Phelps to his Bail  
that he the D. Son<sup>d</sup> might go at large contrary to Law against  
our Peace & to the Damage of the D. Son<sup>d</sup> two hundred Pounds  
The Parties severally appear on a Motion of the Debt agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here  
untill the third Tuesday of May next

Caldwell  
Campbell  
N<sup>o</sup> 100  
John Caldwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Merchant P<sup>ly</sup> is James Campbell  
of Southwick in the County of Hampshire yeoman Debt  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the D. James at  
D. Southwick on the tenth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note  
for value received promised the D. John to pay him seven  
Pounds & ten Shillings lawful Money on demand with  
Interest till paid yet the D. James tho often requested  
hath not performed his D. Promise but neglects it to the Damage  
of the D. John fifteen Pounds The Parties severally appear  
agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Judgements then to be final Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next

Choate  
Campbell  
N<sup>o</sup> 101  
John Choate yeoman & John Caldwell yeoman  
both of Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of  
Connecticut Administrators on the Estate, Right & Credit of  
Samuel Choate late of Hartford Merchant deceased Intestate  
in D. Capacity P<sup>ly</sup> is James Campbell of Southwick in the  
County of Hampshire yeoman Debt in a Plea of the Case  
for that the D. James at Southwick afore said on the seven<sup>th</sup> Day of  
January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his  
Note for value received promised the P<sup>ly</sup> to pay them forty  
five Pounds three Shillings & nine pence lawful Money in  
Silver at the Rate of six Shillings eight pence & the Shilling  
on demand with Interest till paid yet the D. James tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the P<sup>ly</sup> twenty Pounds The Parties severally  
appear agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term Judgements then to be final Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill  
the third Tuesday of May next

Gates  
Bunday  
N<sup>o</sup> 102  
Brace Gates of a Place called Little Sagadahoc in the  
County of Albany & State of New York yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Peter Bunday  
of Northampton in our County of Hampshire yeoman Debt in a  
Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the D. Peter at D. Northampton on  
the seventh Day of November seventeen hundred & eighty two by  
his Note for value received promised the D. Brace to pay him or  
Order eighty five Pounds in lawful Silver within one Year from  
the Date of the Note with Interest till paid yet the D. Peter tho often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D.  
Brace one hundred pounds The Parties severally appear it is considered  
by the Court that this Case be continued to the next Term & that the Parties  
have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

John Sturges of New Haven in the County of New Haven  
and State of Connecticut yoman P<sup>ly</sup> is William French of  
Montgomery in the County of Hampshire yoman Dep<sup>t</sup> in  
a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup>. William at <sup>d</sup>. Northampton on the  
sixth Day of March last past by his Note for value received  
promised <sup>d</sup>. John to pay him or order four pounds seventeen  
Shillings seven pence of lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid — yet the <sup>d</sup>. William tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup>. John  
seven pounds — The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
<sup>d</sup>. Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

154

Sturges  
French  
N<sup>o</sup> 103.

Matthew Blair of Blandford in our County of Hamp<sup>shire</sup>  
there yoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Elijah Pitcher of Westfield in <sup>d</sup>. County  
yoman <sup>d</sup>. Eben<sup>ezer</sup> Herrick of Montgomery in <sup>d</sup>. County yoman Pitcher  
Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original  
 writ on File — The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Blair

Pitcher  
N<sup>o</sup> 104

Silas Fowler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> is Asaph Mitchell of Southwick in <sup>d</sup>. County yoman Dep<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the <sup>d</sup>. Asaph at <sup>d</sup>. Southwick Mitchell  
on the first Day of January seventeen hundred & seventy four by his  
Note for value received promised <sup>d</sup>. Silas to pay him twenty Pounds  
within two years from the Date of <sup>d</sup>. Note & w<sup>th</sup> meaning interest  
for the same till paid — yet the <sup>d</sup>. Asaph tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup>. Silas  
twenty Pounds — The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his V<sup>er</sup>  
on the 2<sup>d</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that <sup>d</sup>. Silas do recover against <sup>d</sup>. Asaph Ten pounds &  
nine Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1.11.9 — & there of &c —  
Exec<sup>ut</sup> <sup>d</sup>. Feb<sup>r</sup> 19. 1774

Fowler  
Mitchell  
N<sup>o</sup> 105

David Wheaton of a Place called White Oak in the County  
of Charlotte & State of New York Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> is Waitstill Strong yoman Wheaton  
& Sarah Strong yoman both of Northampton in the County of Hamp<sup>shire</sup>  
there Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the <sup>d</sup>. Dep<sup>t</sup> at <sup>d</sup>. Strong &c  
<sup>d</sup>. Northampton on the twelfth Day of May seventeen hundred & eighty  
three by their Note for value received promised Daniel to pay  
him or order eighty eight Pounds lawful Money in six Months  
from the Date of <sup>d</sup>. Note with Interest till paid — yet the <sup>d</sup>. Dep<sup>t</sup>  
tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the <sup>d</sup>. Daniel one hundred Pounds — The Parties  
severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term Judgement then to be final — Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that <sup>d</sup>. Parties have Day here untill the the third Tuesday of May next

N<sup>o</sup> 106

John de Tunn<sup>r</sup> of Westfield in our County of  
Hampshire yoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Solomon Williams of Great Barrington  
in our County of Berkshire yoman Dep<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass  
on the Case for that the <sup>d</sup>. John at <sup>d</sup>. Northampton on the last  
Day of November last past at the special instance and  
request of the said Solomon had before that time sold & delivered

de Tunn<sup>r</sup>Williams  
N<sup>o</sup> 107

See to him sundry Goods, Wares, Merchandize & Articles of Account  
in the S. Solomon in Consideration thereof that the  
Appraiser himself & faithfully promised the said John  
Williams to pay him therefor as much Money as the same Good  
Wares, & Merchandize & Articles of Account were reason-  
ably worth at the time of the sale thereof on demand  
Now in fact the said Appraiser avers that the same Good  
Wares, Merchandizes and Articles of Account were reason-  
able worth at the time of the sale, & delivers thereof the  
Sum of six pounds three shillings & two pence lawful  
Money & the P<sup>ty</sup> further avers that the S. Solomon hath  
paid no more than the value of twenty three shillings &  
six pence so that there remains due to the said John the  
Sum of five pounds & four pence - yet the S. Solomon  
tho often requested hath not performed his Promise  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said John seven pounds.  
The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
third times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the said John do recover against the S. Solomon  
five pounds & four pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at £1. 17. 2 - and thereof &  
upon aff. Feb<sup>y</sup> 19. 1784

Pinney Mosley of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
here Gent<sup>l</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> is Schell M<sup>rs</sup>inger yeoman & John  
Stratton yeoman both late of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the Def<sup>t</sup> a W<sup>o</sup> Wiltfield on  
the twenty sixth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty  
two by their Note for value received promised the  
P<sup>ty</sup> to pay him forty Pounds weight of Good live Goose  
Feathers which the P<sup>ty</sup> avers to be worth five pounds lawful Money  
by the first Day of May then next and the P<sup>ty</sup> avers that he has  
always been ready to receive the same Feathers - yet the  
Def<sup>t</sup> tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Pinney seven pounds  
The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
third times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here - Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said Pinney do recover against the said  
Def<sup>t</sup> five pounds seventeen shillings & two pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 6. 6 - and thereof &  
upon aff. Feb<sup>y</sup> 19. 1784

Aaron Phelps of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman P<sup>ty</sup> is Noah Ashley of the same Wiltfield yeoman Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of Covenant broken for that whereas the S. Noah Ashley  
Wiltfield on the ninth Day of September seventeen hundred & eighty  
one by his Dull roll of that Date in Court to be produced for and  
in Consideration of seven pounds & two shillings current Money  
or the p<sup>er</sup> of the same to him in hand paid by Aaron Phelps of the  
same Wiltfield the receipt whereof the S. Noah Ashley acknowledged to be  
fully satisfied & paid did give, grant, bargain, sell, alien, release  
confirm unto him the S. Aaron Phelps & his Heirs & assigns forever.

one tract or parcel of land lying & being in the New Addition  
 meaning in Wiltfield aforesaid True Addition now in the Township of  
 Montgomery the first second lot in Number containing two Acres  
 in the second Tier of Lots being in width the twenty Rods & in length  
 eighty Rods bounded westerly by Jonathan Weller's Land northerly  
 by the first tier of lots easterly by Isaac Sackett's Land to have &  
 to hold the before granted Premises with the appurtenance and  
 Privileges thereto belonging to him the said Aaron Phelps his Heirs and  
 assigns to rise & their own proper use, benefit & behoof forever the  
 Noah Ashley for himself his heirs, Executors & Administrators did  
 covenant, promise & grant unto the said Aaron Phelps his Heirs and  
 assigns forever that before & untill the Enrolling of the same deed  
 that he the said Noah Ashley was the true, sole, proper & lawful owner  
 and possessor of the said granted Premises with the appurtenances &  
 that he had good right full power & lawful authority to give  
 grant bargain sell alone release convey & assign the same as  
 aforesaid & that he the said Noah Ashley & fully & clearly acquitted  
 acquitted & discharged of & from all former Gifts, Grants, Bargains, Sales  
 Leases Mortgages, Wills, Entails, Joyntures, Survivor's thirds Executors  
 Incumbrances whatsoever & furthermore the said Noah for himself &  
 Heirs, Executors & Administrators did thereby covenant promise &  
 engage the said granted premises with the appurtenances unto the  
 Aaron Phelps his Heirs & assigns forever to Warrant Secure and  
 defend against the lawful Claims or demands of any Person or  
 Persons whatsoever And the said Aaron in faith whereof that the said  
 Noah Ashley never was the rightfull owner or possessor the said two  
 Acres of Land in & did mentioned & that he had no right to sell  
 convey & confirm the same as he hath done in & that another.

Phelps  
 Ashley

Person other than the said Noah is the true sole & proper owner  
 of the said two Acres of Land & was at the time of the said Noah's Executing  
 the said deed the said Noah hath not kept his Covenant with the said Aaron  
 but hath broken the same to the Damage of the said Aaron twenty Pounds.  
 The said Plaintiff by John Phelps Gent. his Att. the said Plaintiff the three times  
 publicly called to come into Court makes default to appearance  
 here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Aaron  
 do recover against the said Noah nine pounes of lawful Money Damages  
 & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 10. 0 & there of 10 - Exon. Feb 19. 1704.

Ozias Pittbone of Simsbury in the County of Hartford, Pittbone  
 State of Connecticut Esq. vs Samuel Thrall of Granville  
 in the County of Hampshire Gent. Debt in Action of Trepass on the Thrall  
 Case for that the said Samuel at Northampton on the eleventh Day No 110.  
 of August seven hundred & seventy by his Note for value  
 received promised the said Ozias to pay him sixteen pounds twelve  
 shillings & eleven pence Money on demand with Interest till paid  
 yet the said Samuel the often requested hath never paid the same  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the said Ozias thirty Pounds  
 The Parties severally appeared agt to have this Case continued to  
 the next Term Indly ment then to be final Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here untill  
 the third Thursday of May next

Ingersoll  
vs  
Lee  
N<sup>o</sup> 111

John Ingersoll of Writfield in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> My vs Stephen Lee of the same Writfield yeoman & s<sup>r</sup>  
in a Pla of the case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen at s<sup>d</sup> Writfield on  
the sixth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty one  
by his Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> John to pay  
him thirty Pounds lawful Silver Money Dollars at six  
Shillings each with use meaning with Interest till paid.  
yet the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen tho often requested hath never paid the same  
but neglect it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> John fifty Pounds.  
The s<sup>d</sup> My appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> tho  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is consid-  
ered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> John do recover against the  
s<sup>d</sup> Stephen thirty five pounds two Shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 10. 2 & thereof  
Given i<sup>d</sup> June 22. 1784.

Phelps  
vs  
Rising  
N<sup>o</sup> 112

John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> of Writfield in our County of  
Hampshire My vs Benjamin Rising yeoman & Benjamin  
Rising Jun<sup>r</sup> yeoman both of Southwick in s<sup>d</sup> County Deft<sup>r</sup> in  
a Pla of the case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> at s<sup>d</sup> Northampton on  
the twenty fourth Day of March seventeen hundred & seventy three  
by their Note for value received promised the s<sup>d</sup> John to pay  
him or order eleven pounds & fifteen Shillings lawful Money  
at or before the first Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> then next with Interest till  
paid. yet the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> tho often requested have never paid  
the same but neglect it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> John twelve  
pounds. The s<sup>d</sup> My appears in his own proper person and  
the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> My do recover against the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> seven  
Pounds twelve Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £. 12. 6 & thereof  
Given i<sup>d</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 19. 1784.

Idem  
vs  
Blakelley  
N<sup>o</sup> 113

John Phelps of Writfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> My vs Moses Blakelley yeoman & Asa Todd yeoman both  
of the same Writfield Deft<sup>r</sup> in a Pla of the case for that  
the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> at s<sup>d</sup> Writfield on the twenty seventh Day of June seven  
teen hundred & eighty one by their Note for value received promised  
the s<sup>d</sup> John to pay him or order twelve pounds lawful Money within  
two years from the date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest till paid. yet the  
s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> tho often requested have never paid the same but neglect  
it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Phelps fifteen Pounds. The s<sup>d</sup> My appears in  
his own proper person & the s<sup>d</sup> Deft<sup>r</sup> tho three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> My do recover against the s<sup>d</sup>  
Deft<sup>r</sup> thirteen pounds seventeen Shillings & three pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 13. 2 & thereof  
Given i<sup>d</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 19. 1784.

Washam Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> 156  
Pl<sup>y</sup> is Joseph French of Southwick & Samson Church Esq<sup>r</sup> late of Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Witfield both of ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ County yeoman both in a Plea of the Case for that  
the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ both at ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Witfield on the second day of December seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by their Note for value received jointly French & al  
& severally promised ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Washam to pay him or order ninety N<sup>o</sup> 114  
Pounds Silver Money on or before the first day of June then next  
with Interest from the time of pay must till paid & yet the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~  
both tho often requested have never paid the same but neglected  
it to the Damage of the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Washam one hundred & ten pounds  
The Part<sup>r</sup> severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Judgement then to be final & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Parks recover of ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ French & al  
third Tuesday of May next

Joseph Sackett of Witfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> John Kent of Southwick in ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ County Gent<sup>l</sup> Sackett  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ John at ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Northamp Thent  
on the second day of December seventeen hundred & eighty by  
his Note for value received promised ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Sackett to pay him forty Dollars N<sup>o</sup> 115  
or twelve pounds hard Money within one year from the date of  
~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Note with interest till paid or nine pounds in Wheat at  
four shillings by the Bushel or Rye at three shillings or Barley or  
Indian Corn at two shillings by the Bushel meaning within one  
year from the date of ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Note & the Pl<sup>y</sup> aver that he always has  
been ready to receive ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Wheat Rye or Corn according to the tenor  
of ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Note & yet the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ John tho often requested hath not performed  
his ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Sackett twelve  
Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> & the  
Sect<sup>r</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the Pl<sup>y</sup> do recover against ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Debt six  
pounds fourteen shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ 1:12:0 & the cost of  
Execution ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Feb 10. 1704

Timothy Munn of Montgomery in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Nathan Parks of Witfield in ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ County Munn  
yeoman Sect<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Trespass on the Case for that Munn  
~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Nathan at ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Witfield on the twenty eighth day of April  
seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received Parks  
promised the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Munn to pay him three pounds lawful Money N<sup>o</sup> 116  
by the first day of November then next with interest from the  
first day of August next following the date of ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Note till  
paid & yet the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Parks tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Munn  
fifteen Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his  
Atty<sup>r</sup> & the Sect<sup>r</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Pl<sup>y</sup> do recover against ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Debt  
three pounds one shilling & seven pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ 1:9:4 & the cost of  
Execution ~~S<sup>r</sup>~~ Feb 10. 1704

Gran  
is  
Parks.  
No 117.  
Joshua Gran late of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
gentl<sup>man</sup> P<sup>l</sup>y is Mary Parks of Westfield gentl<sup>woman</sup> deft in a  
Please as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on File  
The Parties severally appeared & agree to have this case continued  
to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that S<sup>r</sup> Parks have Day here untill the third Tuesday of  
May next -

Tillettson  
is  
Thorn  
No 110.  
Jonathan Tillettson Jun<sup>r</sup> of Granville in our County  
of Hampshire yeoman P<sup>l</sup>y is Barnabas Thorn of Southwick in  
S<sup>r</sup> County yeoman deft in a Plea of Trespass on the case for that  
the S<sup>r</sup> Barnabas at S<sup>r</sup> Northampton on the eleventh day of May  
last past by his S<sup>r</sup> to for value received promised S<sup>r</sup> Jonathan  
thirteen Bushels of Wheat & one peck or thirteen dollars & one  
shilling in hard Money on demand with Interest till paid  
and the P<sup>l</sup>y avers that he has always been ready to receive  
S<sup>r</sup> Wheat - yet this S<sup>r</sup> Thorn tho after requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the damage of the said  
Jonathan seven pounds - The P<sup>l</sup>y appears by John Phelps Gen<sup>l</sup>  
his atty & the deft tho three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> P<sup>l</sup>y do recover against S<sup>r</sup> deft  
two pounds five shillings & four pence of lawful Money damages  
and costs of Court taxed at £1. 14. 2 - & thereof  
Execut<sup>or</sup> Feb 19. 1704

Hannum  
is  
Strong  
No 119.  
Timothy Hannum of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman P<sup>l</sup>y is Silah Strong of Westhampton in  
S<sup>r</sup> County yeoman deft in a Plea of Trespass on the case for  
that at Northampton forced on the fourth day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last  
past the S<sup>r</sup> Silah being justly indebted to the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy in the  
sum of eight hundred Continental Dollars as they passed in the  
Month of July seventeen hundred & eighty which the P<sup>l</sup>y avers  
to be equal to three pounds & six shillings lawful Silver Money  
due on his Order or Bill on Abner Clark of Norwiche in S<sup>r</sup> County  
yeoman thereby discharging him the S<sup>r</sup> Abner for value received  
to pay to him this S<sup>r</sup> Timothy the sum of eight hundred Continental  
Dollars as they passed in the Month of July seventeen hundred &  
eighty (which the P<sup>l</sup>y saith is equal to three pounds & six shillings  
lawful Money on demand which Order or Bill the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy on  
the twenty seventh day of the same October aforesaid at S<sup>r</sup> Northampton  
presented to this S<sup>r</sup> Abner Clark for acceptance & payment & this S<sup>r</sup> Abner  
then & there refused to accept or pay the same of all which the S<sup>r</sup> Silah  
then & there instantly had Notice whereby the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy hath by Law  
a right to recover back to the S<sup>r</sup> Silah & to recover of him the sum  
mentioned in S<sup>r</sup> Order or the value thereof & in consideration thereof the S<sup>r</sup>  
Silah then & there promised the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy to pay him the same on demand  
yet the S<sup>r</sup> Silah tho after requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to  
the damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy seven pounds - The P<sup>l</sup>y appears by John Phelps  
gentl<sup>man</sup> his atty & the deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
S<sup>r</sup> Timothy do recover against S<sup>r</sup> Silah three pounds thirteen shillings & ten  
pence of lawful Money damages & costs of Court taxed at £1. 4. 7  
and thereof &c

John Stewater of New Haven in the County of New Haven & State of Connecticut, grooman P<sup>ly</sup> vs Thomas Thimely grooman & John Thimely grooman both of Stamford in our County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Septs and Northamp<sup>r</sup> Stewater is  
-town on the twenty third day of March sixteen hundred eighty three by their Note for value received promised S<sup>d</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> jointly & severally to pay him or order two pounds lawful Money in Gold or Silver Coins on demand with Interest till paid ~~but the S<sup>d</sup> Septs tho~~ ~~three times publicly called to come into Court make default of~~ ~~appearance here~~ ~~we yet the S<sup>d</sup> Septs tho~~ ~~the~~ after requested ~~an~~ have never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> seven pounds. The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his A<sup>tt</sup> & the Septs tho three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Septs of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 13. 2. & there of &c.

Israel Williams Jun<sup>r</sup> of Hatfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> vs Benoni Farrand of Deerfield this County Williams  
y<sup>e</sup> woman & s<sup>on</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that th<sup>t</sup> Benoni at Hatfield  
on the fifteenth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note  
for value received promised s<sup>r</sup> Israel to pay a hundred six pounds eleven s<sup>ts</sup> 121.  
Shillings & six pence lawful silver Money on Demand with Interest  
till paid & also for that th<sup>t</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Benoni at Hatfield aforesaid on  
the nineteenth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty three by his  
other Note for value received promised th<sup>t</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Israel to pay him  
twenty Pounds eleven Shillings lawful silver Money on demand with  
Interest till paid & yet th<sup>t</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Benoni tho often requested hath  
never paid th<sup>t</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Israel aforesaid but neglected it but neglected it to  
the Damage of th<sup>t</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Israel thirty Pounds & The Plea appears by  
William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> th<sup>t</sup> he s<sup>et</sup> the three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here & therefore  
it is considered by the Court that s<sup>r</sup> Israel do recover against th<sup>t</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Benoni  
fourteen pounds three Shillings of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1.5.0 & thereof &c  
Given at Mar 24. 1784

Nathaniel Howard woman, Azariah Howard woman and  
 William Wait woman all of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 Plffs vs Moses Chandler of Sheffield in sd County woman Def in a  
 Plea of the Case for the sd. Mores at sd South Hadley on the twenty ninth  
 day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & twenty six by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> Chandler  
 promised sd Plffs to pay them or order three pounds six shillings and  
 two pence with Interest till paid & yet the sd Mores tho often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglected it to the damage of the sd Def six  
 Pounds. The Plff appear by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> and  
 the Def the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here & therefore it is considered by  
 the Court that sd Plff recover against the sd Def four pounds nine  
 shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages by Costs of Court  
 taxed at £. 6. 9 & there of &c  
 Upon j<sup>o</sup> Mar 26. 1784

24<sup>th</sup> M<sup>rs</sup> Israel Williams of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
vs Elisha Murray of Bedford in County of Gloucest<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
Pl<sup>a</sup> of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Elisha at Hatfield on the twenty second day  
of June sweeten hundred eighty two by his Note for value received  
promised the D<sup>r</sup> Israel to pay him thirteen pounds & eleven shillings  
lawful Silver Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet the  
D<sup>r</sup> Elisha tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglected  
to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Israel sixteen pounds

William  
is  
Murray

The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
P<sup>ty</sup> shall do recover against the Def<sup>t</sup> eleven pounds sixteen shillings  
and eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £14:0. After all which the Def<sup>t</sup> Elisha by Samuel Barnard  
Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the comes into Court & appeals from the Judge  
ment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
of April next he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his  
prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by P<sup>ty</sup> Recognizance of Elisha appears.

Billings  
is  
Baker  
N<sup>o</sup> 124

Aaron Billings of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman P<sup>ty</sup> is Elisha Baker of Hampshire Captivity yeoman Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of the Case for that the Def<sup>t</sup> Elisha at P<sup>ty</sup> Amhurst on the  
second day of October seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note  
for value received promised the P<sup>ty</sup> Aaron to pay him twenty eight  
pounds seven shillings to be paid in Silver or Gold on demand  
with Interest till paid. Yet the Def<sup>t</sup> Elisha tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>ty</sup> Aaron  
thirty Pounds. The Parties severally appears on a Motion of the  
Def<sup>t</sup> Elisha by order of Court to have this Case continued to the next  
Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have  
Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Allis & L  
is  
Murray  
N<sup>o</sup> 125

Eliu Allis yeoman and Sarah the Wife of the Def<sup>t</sup> Eliu both of  
Dorfield in the County of Hampshire P<sup>ty</sup> is Elisha Murray of  
the same Dorfield yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the  
Def<sup>t</sup> Elisha at Dorfield on the seventeenth day of Dec<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred  
and eighty one by his Note of ~~the~~ received received promised  
the P<sup>ty</sup> Eliu & the Wife to pay her five pounds fourteen shillings and  
six pence by the Day of May next with Interest till paid. Yet the said  
Elisha tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>ty</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> eight pounds. The  
P<sup>ty</sup> appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the  
three times publicly called to come into Court Court makes  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that P<sup>ty</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> to recover against the Def<sup>t</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> four pounds  
sixteen shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages and  
Costs of Court taxed at £1:7:1. & the case. Wherefore they  
~~are continued~~ by Sam<sup>l</sup> Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the comes into Court  
and appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the  
County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next and  
he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs directs for his pro  
secuting his Appeal with Effect as by P<sup>ty</sup> Recognizance on Elisha appears.

William Billings of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> is Soniah Rice of the same County yeoman Def<sup>t</sup>  
in a Plea of the Case for that P<sup>ty</sup> Soniah at Conway on the twelfth  
day of October seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value  
received promised the P<sup>ty</sup> William to pay him or order four pounds  
eleven shillings & three pence lawful Money on demand with  
Interest till paid. Yet the Def<sup>t</sup> Soniah tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath not  
paid said sum but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
William six pounds. The P<sup>ty</sup> appears in his own proper  
Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>Dr</sup> William do recover against the <sup>Dr</sup> Daniel four pounds thirteen shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup> 9:2  
 After all which the <sup>Dr</sup> Daniel comes into Court by Daniel Colap his <sup>Att</sup> & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton viz for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & re new strikes with Sureties as the law is in that behalf made his Appeal with Effect subject to Recognizance on the Appeal

Billings  
 13  
 4  
 8:127

William Billings of Conway in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Daniel Smith of same County Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
 A Plea of the Case for that the <sup>Dr</sup> Daniel a <sup>Dr</sup> Conway on the second day of June seventeen hundred & eighty three by his vote for value received promised the <sup>Dr</sup> William to pay him or order thirteen pounds sixteen shillings & three pence lawful Money on demand with Interest to be paid annually - yet the <sup>Dr</sup> Daniel hath often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>Dr</sup> William fifteen Pounds - The <sup>Pl</sup> appears in his own proper person & the <sup>Def</sup> the three terms publickly called to come into Court make default of appearance - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the <sup>Dr</sup> William do recover against the <sup>Def</sup> Daniel fourteen pounds seven shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>£</sup> 7:00 - & the <sup>Pl</sup> & the <sup>Def</sup> upon <sup>js</sup> Nov 24. 1784

Aaron Billings of Conway in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> both Plaintiff & Defendant William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> both of Hatfield in the County aforesaid Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff & Defendant  
 A Plea of the Case for that the <sup>Dr</sup> John a <sup>Dr</sup> Hatfield on the twenty second day of November seventeen hundred & seventy three by his vote for value received promised the <sup>Pl</sup> William to pay him or order four pounds six shillings & two pence half penny lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet the <sup>Dr</sup> John hath often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Aaron & William seven pounds - The Parties severally appear and agree to have this Case continued ~~without Court~~ to the next Term without cost at this time - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

Billings  
 13  
 8:128

Samuel Ware Esq<sup>r</sup> of Conway in our County of Hampshire Plaintiff John Goodale of Hatfield in the County aforesaid Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
 A Plea of the Case for that the <sup>Dr</sup> John a <sup>Dr</sup> Conway on the ninth day of August seventeen hundred & seventy two by his vote for value received promised the <sup>Pl</sup> Samuel to pay him or order four pounds four shillings & ten pence on demand with Interest till paid - yet the <sup>Dr</sup> John hath often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>Pl</sup> Samuel ten pounds - The Parties appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the next third Friday of May next

Ware & Co  
 13  
 8:129

Samuel Ware Esq<sup>r</sup> of Conway in our County of Hampshire Plaintiff John Goodale of Hatfield in the County aforesaid Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
 A Plea of the Case for that the <sup>Dr</sup> John a <sup>Dr</sup> Conway on the ninth day of March seventeen hundred & eighty two by his vote for value received promised the <sup>Pl</sup> Samuel to pay him or order five pounds two shillings Silver Money on demand with Interest

Idem  
 13  
 8:130

Ware  
4  
Treasurer

till paid - yet this? Moses tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of this? Samuel  
six Pounds - The My appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his 1874  
the 28th the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is consider  
ed by the Court that S. Samuel do recover against? Moses  
three pounds thirteen Shillings & three pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.9.9 - & the reof  
Given up March 24. 1704.

Arms  
11  
Lawyer  
8<sup>th</sup> 1704

David Irons of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman. My is Iduthum Sawyer of Ashfield in? County yeoman  
a Plea of the Case for that? Iduthum at Ashfield of  
the 20th the twenty eighth Day of April seventeen hundred  
& six gave by his Note for &c we received promised on Bond  
Arms to pay him or order one pound eight Shillings & seven  
pence of lawful Money and demand with Interest till paid  
and the? Iduthum being unpaid he the? Iduthum afterwards to wit  
on the twelfth Day of Month of 1700 at Ashfield Indorred the  
same Note with his proper hand & thereby appointed the  
Court to this of to be paid to the? David value received  
Whereof the? Iduthum afterwards to wit the same Day 4 years ago  
at Ashfield had Notice by reason of which? Iduthum was  
became liable to pay the? David the same according  
to the tenor of the? Note & being so liable he the? Iduthum afterwards  
to wit on the same Day 4 years ago said in Consideration thereof  
understood to the? David then there promised to pay him the  
same Sum according to the Tenor of the same Note yet this  
Iduthum tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of this? David three pounds  
The My appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his 1874 the 28th the  
three times publicly called called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that? David do recover against? Iduthum one pound  
fifteen Shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1.0.7 - & the reof  
Given up Mar 24<sup>th</sup> 1704

Mar 2<sup>nd</sup> 1704  
Lawyer  
24<sup>th</sup> 1704

Unverser Marsh Jun<sup>r</sup> of Montague in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman My is Elias Sawyer of Ashfield in? County  
yeoman a Plea of the Case for that the? Elias at Ashfield  
gave on the sixth Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty  
three according to the usage & custom of Merchants time & by Mind  
up & approved of made his within in land Bill of Exchange common  
by an Order subscribed with his own hand bearing date the Day and  
year above said value &c and directed this? Bill to one William  
Billings of where? Bill the? Elias requested him the? Unverser  
two pounds seven Shillings & five pence two farthings lawful Money  
and afterwards to wit on the seventh Day of March the? Elias  
gave Notice to the? William of the Bill & there these requested  
him to accept the same & pay the Contents thereof there of according  
to the Tenor of the same but the? Unverser there & there refused to  
accept the same Bill or to pay the Contents thereof and the said  
Unverser afterwards to wit the same Day last mentioned at Ashfield  
gave Notice to the? Elias by reason of which promised the said  
became liable to pay the Contents of the? Order to the? Unverser  
he the? Elias being so liable afterwards to wit on the same Day and  
year last above said at Ashfield aforesaid promised the said  
Unverser to pay him the Contents of the? Order when he should be there to requested yet  
the? Elias tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it

to the Damage of the D. Eben<sup>r</sup> three pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> the three times publickly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Ebene<sup>r</sup> do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Eben<sup>r</sup> two pounds seven shillings & five pence half penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:9:9 — and thereof &c. Exon<sup>r</sup> ip<sup>s</sup> Mar 24. 1704

William Warren of Conway in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Josiah Bond of the same Conway yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the C<sup>ase</sup> for that the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah at D<sup>r</sup> Conway on the third Day of August seventeen hundred & eighty by his Note for value received promised the D<sup>r</sup> William to pay him nineteen silver Dollars which is equal to five pounds fourteen shilling lawful Money on demand and meaning with lawful Interest till paid — yet the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> William three pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appearing by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> the three times publickly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> William do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah two pounds three shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:9:10 — and thereof &c. Exon<sup>r</sup> ip<sup>s</sup> Mar 24. 1704

Josiah Parsons of Conway in our County of Hampshire yeoman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Josiah Bond of the same Conway yeoman D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the C<sup>ase</sup> for that the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah at D<sup>r</sup> Conway on the tenth Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah to pay him an order nine pounds two shillings & seven pence on demand with Interest till paid — yet the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah ten pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appearing by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> the three times publickly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Josiah do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Josiah two pounds ten shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:9:1 — and thereof &c. Exon<sup>r</sup> ip<sup>s</sup> Mar 24. 1704

Jonathan Lyon of Ashfield in our County of Hampshire Laborer Pl<sup>y</sup> is Uriah Goodwin of the same Ashfield Gentle D<sup>ft</sup> in a Plea of the C<sup>ase</sup> for that the D<sup>r</sup> Uriah at D<sup>r</sup> Ashfield on the twenty fifth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the D<sup>r</sup> Jonathan to pay him as five pounds ten shillings lawful Money by the first Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> then next with Interest till paid — yet the said Uriah tho often requested hath not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Jonathan seven pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appearing by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> the three times publickly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>r</sup> Jonathan do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Uriah five pounds four shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:11:3 — and thereof &c. Exon<sup>r</sup> ip<sup>s</sup> Mar 24. 1704

Darrows  
Strickland  
N<sup>o</sup> 136—  
John Darrows of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
Laborer Plaintiff Roger Strickland now resident at Conway  
Laborer Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant Conway  
on the fifteenth Day of November seven hundred & eighty three  
by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him  
twelve pounds lawful Money on Demand with Interest till  
paid— yet the Defendant Roger the often requested hath never paid  
the same but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff ten pounds  
£10 And the Plaintiff agrees to refer this Case to the  
Award of nine arbitrators of John Glaze Oliver Roote  
Joel Parsons who are to be heard Parties the Award of them or  
any two of them is to be final & to be returned into this Court  
Judgment to be made up & upon to issue accordingly—  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff have  
pay here untill the third Thursday next

Lie  
Larrand  
N<sup>o</sup> 137—  
Benjamin Lie of Barry in our County of Worcester  
Gentle Plaintiff Benjamin Larrand of Dursfield in our County  
of ~~Worcester~~ Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that  
the Defendant Larrand on the ninth Day of June seven hundred & eighty three  
by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order seven pounds lawful Money  
on Demand with Interest till paid— yet the Defendant Larrand the  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the Plaintiff eight pounds— The Plaintiff appears by  
William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> at 11 o'clock the Defendant three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here—  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover  
against the Defendant seven pounds five shillings & seven pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 1.16.0—  
and thereof &c. Upon 25<sup>th</sup> Mar. 24. 1784

Brick Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Mills  
N<sup>o</sup> 138—  
Robert Brick of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Benjamin Mills of Chesterfield in our  
County Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant  
at Northampton aforesaid on the fifth Day of November  
seven hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received  
promised to pay the Plaintiff fourteen pounds eighteen shillings  
and six pence lawful Money on Demand with lawful Interest—  
yet the Defendant Benjamin the often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff twenty Pounds— The  
Plaintiff appears by his own proper Person & the Defendant three times  
publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here— Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do  
recover against the Defendant sixteen pounds nineteen shillings &  
six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 5.12.0  
and thereof he may have his Execution—

Morchaux  
Thornblin  
N<sup>o</sup> 139—  
Andrew Morchaux of a Place called pastrings  
in the County of Bucking<sup>ham</sup> & State of New York  
Gentleman Plaintiff John Thornblin of West Stockbridge in our County  
of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that  
the Defendant Thornblin aforesaid on the twelfth Day of November seven hundred & eighty three  
by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay  
him six pounds eight shillings & four pence current Money  
of New York equal to four pounds sixteen shillings & four pence

of our lawful Money at or before the first Day of May then next with Interest till paid - yet the <sup>d</sup> John has never performed his <sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Andrew ten pounds - The <sup>d</sup> Plt appears by John Chas<sup>d</sup> Williams Gent<sup>e</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the <sup>d</sup> Jst the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Andrew do recover against the <sup>d</sup> John seven pounds eleven shillings & one penny lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 6d.

Exon<sup>d</sup> Mar 9. 1704

Daniel Andrews of New Lebanon in the County of Albany & State of New York yeoman Plt is John Hamlin Andrews of Le in our County of Berkshire yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that <sup>d</sup> John at Northampton aforesaid Hamlin on the eleventh Day of December seventeen hundred & eighty No 140. one by his Note for value received promised that <sup>d</sup> Daniel to pay him on demand thirteen pounds eleven shillings & six pence meaning of York Currency equal to ten pounds three shillings and seven pence half penny of our lawful Money with Interest untill paid - yet the <sup>d</sup> John tho often requested has never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Daniel two pounds - The <sup>d</sup> Plt appears by John Chas<sup>d</sup> Williams Gent<sup>e</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the <sup>d</sup> Jst the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Daniel do recover against said John fifteen pounds & five shillings of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 10d. & thereupon

Exon<sup>d</sup> Mar 9. 1704

Ruben Gunn of Blanford in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> his yeoman Plt is Elisha Miller of Hanover in our County of Berkshire Blacksmith Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Elisha at Hanover to wit at Northampton aforesaid on Miller the eighth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> last by his Note for value received promised No 141 one John Atwater to pay him a order on demand two pounds eleven shillings & six pence lawful Money with Interest till paid and afterwards to wit at Northampton aforesaid on the first Day of March last the <sup>d</sup> John by his Indorsement on the back of <sup>d</sup> Note with his proper hand subscribed assigned the <sup>d</sup> Note to the <sup>d</sup> Ruben & ordered the Court to thesey him wholly due to be paid unto the <sup>d</sup> Ruben of all which the <sup>d</sup> Elisha thist, there instantly afterwards had Notice & so became liable & chargeable to pay the Court to upon said of the Note aforesaid unto the <sup>d</sup> Ruben & being so liable & chargeable thist, there in Consideration thereof assumed on himself & promised <sup>d</sup> Ruben to pay in the same on demand - yet the <sup>d</sup> Elisha tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Ruben six pounds - The <sup>d</sup> Plt appears by John Chas<sup>d</sup> Williams Gent<sup>e</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the <sup>d</sup> Jst the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Ruben do recover against <sup>d</sup> Elisha two pounds fifteen shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at 2s. 16d. & thereupon

Exon<sup>d</sup> Mar 9. 1704

Fowler  
Gaddy  
o. v. 142.

Samuel Fowler of Wiltshire in our County of Hampshire  
Complaineth in our County of Berkshire Gentry. Defendant  
of Treason on the Case for that the said Jeremiah at Northampton  
at Northampton aforesaid on the twentieth sixth day of January  
last by his Solicitors we have received promised to pay to the  
Debt of to pay him in order on demand two pounds five shillings  
and four pence lawfull Money with use meaning lawfull interest  
till paid and afterwards to wit on the same day at Northampton  
the said Fowler by his Indorsement on the said Note with his proper  
hand subscribed for value received assigned the said Note to the  
said Samuel & ordered the Counters thereof then and thereupon  
to be paid to the said Samuel of all which the said Jeremiah after  
wards to wit on the same day at Northampton aforesaid  
had notice & so became liable & chargeable to pay the contents  
of said Note unto the said Samuel & being so liable & chargeable  
there & there in consideration thereof assigned on himself  
promised the said Samuel to pay him the same on demand  
Also for that the said Jeremiah at Northampton on the last  
day of Sept. last by his other Note for value received promised  
the said Fowler to pay him in order on demand two  
pounds ten shillings & nine pence lawfull Money with interest  
for the same annually untill paid & afterwards to wit on the  
same day at Northampton aforesaid the said Fowler by his  
Indorsement on the same Note with his proper hand subscribed  
for value received assigned the same Note unto the said Samuel  
and ordered the Counters of the said Note then wholly due to be paid  
unto the said Samuel of all which the said Jeremiah afterwards to wit  
on the same day had notice & so became liable & chargeable to  
pay the Counters of said Note unto the said Samuel & being so liable  
chargeable there & there in consideration thereof assigned on him  
self & promised the said Samuel to pay him the same on demand  
yet the said Jeremiah tho often requested hath never paid the same  
but neglected it to the damage of the said Samuel ten pounds  
The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Chandler Williams Gentry his Att<sup>y</sup> and  
the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Samuel do recover against the said Jeremiah four  
pounds nineteen shillings & three pence of lawfull Money Damages  
& Costs of Court taxed at £1. 13. 2 & three pence

Given under the Seal of the Court the 9th day of Nov. 1704.

Cleveland  
Gilbert  
No. 143

Aaron Cleveland of Dorchester in the County of New London  
in the State of Connecticut Merchant P<sup>ty</sup> vs. Job Gilbert of Lenox Gentry  
Debtor in a Plea of Treason on the Case for that the said Job Gilbert at  
Northampton on the same day of June last by his Note for value  
received promised the said Aaron jointly & severally to pay him in order  
one hundred & twenty four pounds ten shillings & six pence by the  
first day of October with Interest till paid & upon the signing of the  
Note it was agreed that the said Sum should be paid on the first day of Nov<sup>r</sup>  
then next & now the said Aaron avers that the said Sum of one hundred  
pounds & twenty four pounds & six pence was long since paid yet the said Job  
tho often requested have never paid the said Sum but neglected it to the damage of the said  
Aaron one hundred & forty pounds The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John  
Chandler Williams Gentry his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Aaron do recover against the said Job one hundred  
pounds & twenty four pounds & six pence of lawfull Money Damages & Costs  
of Court taxed at £1. 14. 9 & three pence

After all which the<sup>d</sup> Depts by Thomas Gold gent<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> come into Court & appeal from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting this<sup>d</sup> Appeal with Effect as by<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File doth appear

161

Abiel Hyde of Norwich in the County of New London State of Connecticut Plaintiff vs. Rob<sup>t</sup> Gilbert of Lenox gent<sup>r</sup> Hyde and Lewis Backus Gent<sup>r</sup> of Pittsfield both in the County of Berkshire Defts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> Deft at<sup>d</sup> Lenox to wit the<sup>d</sup> Northampton on the second day of June last by their Note for value received promised the<sup>d</sup> Abiel to pay him or order ten pounds four shillings and four pence by the first day of Nov<sup>r</sup> then next with Interest till paid - yet the<sup>d</sup> Deft tho often requested have never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Abiel twelve pounds - The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Chan<sup>s</sup> Williams Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Defts tho three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> do recover against the<sup>d</sup> Defts eleven pounds three shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.10.3 - After all which the<sup>d</sup> Defts by Tho<sup>s</sup> Gold gent<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> come into Court & appeal from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting this<sup>d</sup> Appeal with Effect as by<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File appears -

James Noble & David Noble both of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire & Administrators of all & singular the Goods Rights & Credits of David Noble late of the same Pittsfield deced<sup>d</sup> Gent<sup>r</sup> in<sup>d</sup> Capacity P<sup>ty</sup>s vs. Elisha Miller of New Lebanon in the County of Albany State of New York Defendant Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the<sup>d</sup> David <sup>in his life time built a new house on the North side of the street in Northampton & sold the same</sup> fourteen hundred & seventy five at<sup>d</sup> Pittsfield <sup>having thereof</sup> that time sold & delivered unto the<sup>d</sup> Elisha divers Goods Wares and Merchandise at the special Instance & request of him the<sup>d</sup> Elisha then & then in consideration thereof the<sup>d</sup> Elisha promised the<sup>d</sup> David in full life to pay him therefor on demand so much Money as the same Goods Wares & Merchandise at the time of the sale & delivery thereof were reasonably worth - And the<sup>d</sup> James & David in their<sup>d</sup> Capacity in fact say the Goods Wares & Merchandise aforesaid at the time of the sale & delivery thereof to wit on the day & year last aforesaid in the<sup>d</sup> David's life time were reasonably worth four pounds fifteen shillings and eleven pence lawful Money to wit at<sup>d</sup> Pittsfield in Northampton aforesaid whereof the<sup>d</sup> Elisha afterwards to wit on the same day & year aforesaid at<sup>d</sup> Pittsfield in Northampton had notice - Also for that the<sup>d</sup> Elisha on the tenth day of June year last aforesaid at<sup>d</sup> Pittsfield in Northampton was justly indebted unto the<sup>d</sup> David (then living) in the sum of four pounds fifteen shillings & eleven pence lawful Money for so much Money then before that time & in the life time of the<sup>d</sup> David by the<sup>d</sup> Elisha to the use of the<sup>d</sup> David had received, there - there in consideration thereof promised the<sup>d</sup> David then in full life to pay him in the same sum - yet the<sup>d</sup> Elisha tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> James & David ten pounds -

Noble's Admin<sup>r</sup>

Miller

N<sup>o</sup> 125

The Plaintiff appears by Thomas Gold Gent. their Attorney the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant four pounds fifteen shillings and eleven pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £2:2:1 & thereof £1 Exonors. Feb 21. 1704

Noble

Hayes

N<sup>o</sup> 146.

Jam<sup>s</sup> Noble of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff Philip Hayes of Southwick in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the Plaintiff on the first Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> seven hundred & seventy six at Pittsfield aforesaid took into the County of Northampton his certain writing under his Hand and Seal was justly indebted to the Defendant in the Sum of six Pounds lawful Money for so much Money there before that time by the Plaintiff to the use of the Defendant had received: then & there in consideration thereof the Plaintiff promised the Defendant to pay him the same Sum on demand. Also for that the Plaintiff on the twentieth Day of November in the year & last aforesaid at Northampton was justly indebted to the Defendant in the further Sum of six pounds lawful Money for so much Money there before that time by the Plaintiff to the use of the Defendant had received: then & there in consideration thereof promised the Defendant to pay him the same on demand. Yet the Plaintiff the often requested has never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the Defendant twelve pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Ingram

Smith

N<sup>o</sup> 147.

Jonathan Ingram of Alham in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Warkham Smith of Badley in the County of Devon Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant Warkham at Alham on the fifth Day of May seven hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him forty four pounds in lawful Silver Money on demand with interest till paid. Yet the Defendant the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff forty five pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Wilde

Ewing & al

N<sup>o</sup> 148

Silvert Wilde of Shutebury in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff William Ewing Jun<sup>r</sup> Yeoman & John Smith Yeoman both of Shutebury Defendants in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendants on the twenty third Day of August last past by their Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order four hundred lawful Money on demand with interest till paid. Yet the Defendants the often requested have never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the Plaintiff eight pounds. The parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Field

Franklin

N<sup>o</sup> 149.

John Field of Amherst in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Plaintiff Henry Franklin of the same Amherst Yeoman & otherwise called Henry Johnson Franklin of Amherst Yeoman Defendant in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original which on file. The Parties severally appear & on a Motion of the Defendant it is ordered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final if there is no trial by Jury. And thereupon it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

John Johnson of Pelham in our County of Hampshire yeoman 162  
Plffs Daniel Shays of Pelham Gent<sup>le</sup> Deft in a Plea of Johnson  
the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Daniel at Pelham on the sixteenth Day  
of October seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value  
received promised D<sup>r</sup> John to pay him or order twelve pounds in  
Morris Notes (equal in value to twelve pounds in lawful Money)  
within two Months from the Date. yet the D<sup>r</sup> Daniel tho after  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
D<sup>r</sup> John nineteen Pounds. The Plff appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. After which it is agreed between  
D<sup>r</sup> Partis that D<sup>r</sup> John be stayed three Months. Thereupon it is con-  
sidered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Plff do recover against D<sup>r</sup> Deft twelve  
pounds of lawful Money Damages, Costs of Court taxed at  
and there of &c.

N<sup>o</sup> 150.

Seth Field of Liverett in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
Plffs Silas Ball of the same Liverett Physician Deft in a Plea of Field  
the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Silas at Liverett on the fourth Day of  
April last past by his Note for value received promised D<sup>r</sup> Ball  
to pay him or order five pounds fifteen Shillings & five pence  
lawful Money on or before the fifteenth Day of October then next  
with Interest till paid. yet the D<sup>r</sup> Silas tho after requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Seth  
ten pounds. The Plff appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> &  
the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Whereupon it is consider-  
ed by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Plff do recover against D<sup>r</sup> Deft six pounds  
one Shilling & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £. 7. 10. After all which the D<sup>r</sup> Deft by John  
Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the  
Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
at Northampton in & for the County of Hampshire on the last  
of April next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for  
his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by D<sup>r</sup> Recognizance appears.

N<sup>o</sup> 151.

William Robinson Eddy of Hadley in our County of Hamp-  
shire yeoman Plffs Noah Smith yeoman, Washam Smith yeoman &  
Edmond Hubbard Gent<sup>le</sup> all of D<sup>r</sup> Hadley Defs in a Plea of the Case for Smith & c  
that D<sup>r</sup> Edmond, Noah & Washam at Hadley on the thirty first Day  
of March seventeen hundred & eighty seven by their Note for value re-  
ceived promised D<sup>r</sup> William to pay him thirty pounds five Shillings &  
four pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid. yet  
the D<sup>r</sup> Defs tho often requested have never paid the same but neglects it  
to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> William fifty pounds. The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Jud<sup>l</sup>  
then to be final. Whereupon it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here untill the third Thursday of May next.

N<sup>o</sup> 152.

Benjamin Eddy of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plffs Noah Smith yeoman Washam Smith yeoman  
and Edmond Hubbard Gent<sup>le</sup> all of D<sup>r</sup> Hadley Defs in a Plea of Smith & c  
of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Defs at D<sup>r</sup> Hadley on the thirty first Day  
of March seventeen hundred & eighty seven by their Note for  
value received promised D<sup>r</sup> Benjamin to pay him twenty six pounds  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid. yet D<sup>r</sup> Defs  
tho often requested have never paid the same but neglects it  
to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Benjamin for the pounds. The Parties severally appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final.  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the second  
Thursday of May next.

N<sup>o</sup> 153.

Graham Bethulia Graham of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire  
Administrator of the Goods Chattels and Personal Estate of late  
Bragg of Sunderland deceased in the County of Plym. Attil Bragg of  
Whately in the County of Devon Distant in the County of Devon  
at large with the original Writ in File — The Parties severally  
appeared, agreed upon this Case with all demands to the award  
final Determination of Noah Leonard Simon Cooley &  
Phineas Graves who are to hear the Parties the Award of them  
any two of them is to be final to be returned into this Court  
Judgment to be made up, Exon to issue accordingly — Wherefore  
it is ordered by the Court that the Parties have Day here until  
the third Tuesday of May next —

Hampshire p. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
To the Sheriff of our County of Hampshire his unders  
Sherrif or Deputy — Greeting —

Recd  
Perkins  
No 158  
Whereas Ezra Root of Amherst in our County of Hampshire Gent  
before our Justices of our Court of Common Pleas holden at Northamp  
ton within for our County of Hampshire, on the last Tuesday  
of August last past by the Consideration & Judgment was said  
Justices recovered against Nathan Perkins of the same Amherst  
Blacksmiths Administrator on the Estate of Benj<sup>a</sup> Benson late of  
P. Amherst of our County the sum of two pounds fourteen  
shillings & ten pence Damages, one pound six shillings & two  
pence Costs of suit in lawful Money — and whereas the said  
Ezra on the twenty eighth Day of October last past sued out  
our Writ of Execution on the same Judgment whereby we com-  
manded you that of the Goods, Chattels or Lands of the said Benj<sup>a</sup>  
in the Hands of the said Nathan you should cause to be paid &  
satisfied unto the said Ezra at the value thereof in Money the aforesd  
sums with one shilling & four pence more for the same Writ and  
thereof also to satisfy yourself for your own fees, and to make  
return of the same Writ into our Court of Common Pleas then  
next to be holden at Springfield within for our County of  
Hampshire aforesaid on the second Tuesday of November then  
next, and whereas the same Writ having been committed to  
you in due form of Law to be Executed, you did accordingly  
Return the same at the proper Return Day thereof into our said  
Court, and in your Return indorsed on the same did certify to us in  
our Court that you had made diligent search for the Goods and  
Estate of the said Benj<sup>a</sup> in the Hands & Possession of the said Nathan & that you  
could find none within your Precincts, that the said Nathan had  
wasted the said Goods & Estate so that for want thereof you did return  
the same Execution wholly unsatisfied, and whereas the said Ezra  
instantly thereupon did suggest to us in our Court that the said  
Nathan had wasted the Goods & Estate of the said Benj<sup>a</sup> & thereby  
supplanted us that Execution on the same Judgment might be  
issued against the proper Goods & Estate of the said Nathan & in want  
thereof against the Body of the said Nathan as the Law in such  
Cases hath provided — We command you therefore that you  
make known unto the said Nathan Perkins that he be before our Justices  
of our Court of Common Pleas next to be holden at Northampton  
within and for our County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday  
of February next to shew Cause if any he have wherefore Execution  
on the same Judgment ought not to be adjudged & awarded against  
him of his own proper Goods and Estate — and for want hereof Good or  
Estate against the Body of the said Nathan in Manner & form as  
is by Law provided & further to recover that which our Court

shall then Consider &c. — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Sirr's strong  
Eq<sup>d</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come  
into Court made default of appearance here. — Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that Sirr do move against the said  
Nathan four pounds & one shilling of lawful Money Damages  
& Costs of Court Taxed at £2:14:10 — & there of &c. — Ex<sup>pt</sup> 23<sup>d</sup> 1704

Nathaniel Edwards of Amherst in our County of Harris  
 their Governor Pleys Joel Billings ag<sup>t</sup> Amherst Gent<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a  
 Plea of the Case for that<sup>d</sup> Joel at<sup>d</sup> Amherst on the twenty  
 seventh Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his  
 Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised<sup>d</sup> to pay him ~~the~~ six  
 pounds fifteen Shilling & four pence in lawful Silver Money on  
 Demand with Interest till paid — Yet<sup>d</sup> Joel tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath  
 never paid the same but neglect<sup>d</sup> it to the Damage of the<sup>s</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup>  
 Ten Pounds — The Ple<sup>y</sup> appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> and  
 the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>o</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that<sup>d</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup> do receive against<sup>d</sup> Joel seven pounds twelve  
 Shillings of lawful Money Damages — Costs of Court to pay at  
 £1:5:10. — & then of £0 —  
 Given in<sup>d</sup> April 29<sup>th</sup> 1784

Their helps of Granville were County of Hamp-  
 shire by-<sup>2</sup> P<sup>y</sup> is William Carter of Wiltfield w<sup>2</sup> County of Down Phelps  
 Sept in a Power as may be seen at large in the original writ  
 on file. The Parties severally appear & agree to have this  
 Case continued to the next - when for it is considered by  
 the Court that J<sup>2</sup> Parties have day here until the third Tuesday of  
 May next.

Slah Strong of West Hampton in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman My self Stephen Bates of Southampton in sd County Husbandman Strong  
 both in a plea of Trespass on the Case for that the sd Stephen of Southampton  
 spon said on the twelfth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two by Bates  
 his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Jonathan. Budd Jun<sup>r</sup> to pay  
 him or order eleven p<sup>cs</sup> and six shillings & seven pence lawful there  
 in Silver at the Rate of six shillings & eight pence by the Curie on  
 demand with Interest till paid & afterwards to wit on the same twelfth  
 Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> at Southampton aforesaid the aforesaid Sum of Money  
 in the Note aforesaid or any part thereof not being paid by a certain  
 Indorsement in writing on the same Note subscribed with the proper  
 hand of the sd Jon<sup>s</sup> Budd the sd Jon<sup>s</sup> ordered the sd Stephen to pay the contents  
 of the same Note to the sd Slah according to the Tenor & Effect of the same  
 Note & Indorsement aforesaid of which Indorsement the sd Stephen  
 afterwards to wit on the same Day & year aforesaid at Southampton aforesaid  
 had Notice by reason whereof by force of the Law in such Case the sd Stephen  
 became liable & chargeable to pay the contents of the same Note to the sd  
 Slah according to the Tenor thereof & the sd Stephen in consideration  
 thereof afterwards to wit on the same Day & year aforesaid at Southampton  
 aforesaid assumed on himself & to the sd Slah the wt<sup>ty</sup> therefore fully  
 promised to pay him the contents of the same Note according to  
 Tenor thereof on demand & yet the sd Stephen has tho<sup>t</sup> often requested to pay the  
 never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the sd Slah  
 fifteen Pounds — The Parties severally appeared at the Court to have this Case  
 continued to the next Term Judgment there to be final — Whereon  
 it is considered by the Court that the sd Parties have Day here untill the  
 third Tuesday of May next —

Donatarius Judd Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southampton in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Nathaniel Loomis of the same Southampton Geoman  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that he &c. &c. &c. Southampton Judd Esq<sup>r</sup>  
on the eighth Day of April next then hundred Eighty two by his Note for Loomis  
value re<sup>d</sup> promised. P. Don<sup>r</sup> to pay him six pounds seven Shillings & six  
N<sup>o</sup> 159.

penie lawful Money in Silver at six Shillings eight pence & 2  
Pence on Demand with Interest till paid - yet the Plaintiff  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the  
Damage of the Defendant twelve pounds - The Parties severally appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment  
there to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next -

Goodale  
y  
Ballard  
N<sup>o</sup> 160.

Ezekiel Goodale of the Provincial Patent so called in  
the County of Charlotte State of New York yeoman. Plaintiff  
Ballard of New Salem in our County of Hampshire Tanner otherwise  
called ~~Harband~~ and Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
the said Daniel at New Salem on the eighth Day of April seventeen  
hundred eighty two by his Note for value received promised to  
Samuel Cook to pay him or order seven pounds & four pence by  
the first Day of October three next with use till paid & afterwards  
to wit on the same Day of said at New Salem the sum of Money in  
the Note aforesaid or any part thereof not being paid by a certain  
Indorsement in writing on the same Note subscribed with the proper  
hand of the said Samuel & he the said Samuel ordered the aforesaid Daniel to  
pay the contents of the same Note to the said Ezekiel for value received of which  
Indorsement the said Daniel afterwards to wit the same Day & year aforesaid  
at New Salem aforesaid had Notice by reason whereof the said Daniel became  
liable to pay to the said Ezekiel the contents of the said Note according to the tenor  
thereof in consideration thereof afterwards to wit the same Day & year  
aforesaid at New Salem, appeared on himself to the said Ezekiel & there  
faithfully promised to pay him the contents of the same Note according  
to the tenor thereof by the time mentioned in the same Note -  
yet the said Daniel tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglected it to the Damage of the said Ezekiel ten pounds - The Parties  
severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term Judgment there to be final - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next -

Hawle  
y  
Dwight  
N<sup>o</sup> 161.

Nothaniel Hawle of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Tailor Plaintiff Eustace Dwight of said Northampton yeoman  
Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Eustace at  
the fifteenth Day of October seventeen hundred eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised the said Nothaniel to pay him or order three pounds  
six Shillings in Silver or Gold on demand with Interest till paid -  
Also for that the said Eustace at Northampton on the twenty third  
Day of August seventeen hundred eighty one by his other  
Note for value received promised the said Plaintiff to pay him or order one  
pound thirteen Shillings in Silver or Gold on demand with  
Interest till paid - yet the said Eustace tho often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Nothaniel  
seven pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup>  
and the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court  
in his default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Plaintiff recover against the said Defendant three pounds nine  
Shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £1.1.2 - this of &c - Given at New York the 1<sup>st</sup> of May 1784

Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup>  
y  
Clark  
N<sup>o</sup> 162.

Caleb Strong of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff Henry Clark of Norwich in said County Ironworker Defendant in a  
Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Henry at Northampton on the  
sixth Day of May seventeen hundred eighty two being justly indebted  
to the said Caleb in the sum of five pounds nine Shillings lawful Money  
for the like sum of Money there before paid, said and expended  
and advanced by the said Henry at his the said Henry's special  
Instance in consideration thereof the said Henry thereupon assumed  
on himself to the said Caleb faithfully promised to pay him the same sum

and the Interest thereof whenever after he this Defendant should be there to  
 requested — Also for that the said Defendant Northampton on the  
 twenty second Day of May gave unto the said Plaintiff a Note for value  
 received promised that he should pay him or order in the full sum of  
 five silver Money on Demand with Interest from the first Day of Sept  
 then last, yet that the said Defendant the often requested hath never paid the  
 same or any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
 Plaintiff ten pounds — The Plaintiff appears in his own proper person  
 & the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant six pounds ten shillings & two  
 pence of lawful Money & a magister of Court taxed at £2:0  
 and thereof — Exon. 1704

164 —  
 Strong  
 15  
 Clerk

Samuel Broadstreet of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
 Merchant Plaintiff Josiah Tarnum of Northampton in our County of  
 Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
 that the said Josiah Tarnum on the seventh Day of August between  
 ten hundred & eighty one by his note for value received promised  
 one Elisha Alvord to pay him or order twenty Pounds eleven  
 shillings & seven pence lawful silver Money on demand with Interest  
 till paid — & afterwards to wit the same Day & year above said at North  
 ampton aforesaid the said Elisha by his Indorsement on the back of the  
 with his own proper hand subscribed ordered this Josiah to pay the  
 contents of the said Note to the said Samuel for value received of which the said Josiah  
 afterwards to wit the same Day & year aforesaid had Notice & thereof  
 became liable & chargeable to pay the contents of the said Note to the said  
 Samuel & the lawful Interest thereof according to the tenor of the same  
 Note on demand — yet that the said Josiah the often requested hath not  
 paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Samuel  
 twenty eight pounds — The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
 Attorney the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the said Samuel do recover against the said Josiah  
 twenty three pounds thirteen shillings & three pence of lawful  
 Money & a magister of Court taxed at £2:12:4 — & thereof 66  
 Exon. 1704

Broadstreet  
 15  
 Tarnum  
 N<sup>o</sup> 163

Adam Beale Jun<sup>r</sup> of Goshen in our County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman Plaintiff James Sturt of Williamsburgh in S<sup>c</sup> County  
 yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said James at  
 Northampton on the seventh Day of August seventeen hundred & eighty  
 three by his note for value received promised this Adam to pay him fifteen  
 Pounds <sup>twelve</sup> shillings lawful (meaning lawful Money) on or before the first  
 Day of November then next & Interest — yet that the said James the often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Adam  
 nineteen pounds — The Parties severally appeared & agree to have this  
 Case continued continued for Judgment to the next Term which is then to  
 be final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties  
 have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next —

Beale Jun<sup>r</sup>  
 4  
 Sturt  
 N<sup>o</sup> 164

Selah Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire  
 Gentle Plaintiff Zachariah Yeoman & Ezekiel Thomas yeoman both of Goshen  
 in S<sup>c</sup> County & John Williams late of a Place called Dummer in S<sup>c</sup> County  
 yeoman Defendants in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Defendants  
 Northampton on the seventh Day of March seventeen hundred & seventy four  
 by their Note for value received promised the said Selah jointly & severally to pay  
 him or order eleven pounds ten shillings lawful Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid — Also for that the said Defendants at Northampton  
 aforesaid on the first Day of August seventeen hundred & seventy four

Wright  
 15  
 Thomas  
 Williams  
 N<sup>o</sup> 165

Wright  
Berkshire

being justly indebted to the said John is another sum of twelve  
shillings & six pence for the like sum of Money there before that  
time paid but not repaid & advanced by the said John for the said  
at this special Instance Request we Consideration thereof the  
said John undertakes to the said John that he will faithfully promise  
to pay him the said sum & the Interest on demand & yet the  
said John the after requested have never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said John twenty five pounds  
The Plaintiff by Calib Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Defendant three  
times publicly called come into Court makes default of appearance  
here, wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover  
against the Defendant fourteen pounds seven shillings of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1.5.6 & thereof &c  
Exon March 27 1704

Bradish  
Walker  
No 166

James Bradish of Cummington in our County of  
Hampshire Physician Plaintiff Robert Walker of Windsor in our  
County of Berkshire Gentle Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that the said Robert at the said Northampton on the eighteenth day of Feb<sup>r</sup>  
seventeen hundred & eighty four by his Note for value re-  
ceived promised the said Plaintiff to pay him or order two pounds one  
shilling on demand & Interest till paid & yet the said Robert  
the after requested hath not paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said James six pounds  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Judgment then to be final & therefor  
it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here  
untill the third Tuesday of May next

Idem  
Claveland  
No 167

James Bradish of Cummington in our County of Hamp-  
shire Physician Plaintiff William Claveland of Windsor in our  
County of Berkshire Gentle Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the  
Case for that the said William at the said Northampton on the fifteenth  
day of June seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note  
for value received promised the said James to pay him or order  
one pound eighteen shillings & seven pence in hard Cash  
(meaning lawful silver Money) or Flax at the Price  
Species (meaning Flax) was sold for in year 1774 on demand  
and Interest till paid & yet the said William the after requested  
hath never paid the contents of the said Note but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said James seven pounds  
The Plaintiff appears by  
Calib Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Defendant three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said James  
do recover against the said William two pounds four shillings &  
eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.11.10 & thereof &c  
Exon 9 Mar 9 1704

Bradish  
Corn  
No 168

Samuel Bradish of Boston in our County of  
Suffolk Merchant Plaintiff Abner Porrover of Southampton in  
our County of Hampshire Gentle Defendant in a Plea of Trespass  
on the Case for that the said Abner at the said Northampton on the twenty  
second day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty four by his Note for value received promised  
one Eliza Alwood to pay or order four Pounds thirteen shillings  
lawful Money on demand & Interest till paid and afterwards  
to wit on the same day & year aforesaid at the said Northampton the said  
Eliza by his Indorsement on the back of the said Note with his own proper  
hand subscribed ordered the said Abner to pay the contents of the  
said Note to the said Samuel according to the tenor thereof & afterwards  
to wit this same day & year aforesaid at the said Northampton the said  
Abner had notice & thereby became liable to pay the contents of  
the said Note according to the tenor thereof & afterwards to wit on the

same day & year aforesaid that Abner appeared on himself & 165  
to the said Samuel then & there faithfully promised to pay him  
the contents of said note accordingly on demand & yet the Abner  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected to  
the damage of the said Samuel eleven pounds. The Plf  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel  
do recover against the said Abner eight pounds five shillings & ten  
pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £2:12:2 & thereof &c. Exon jfi. Mar. 1. 1704

Joshua Remington of Cummington in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Plf vs Jonathan Ripley of Windsor in Remington  
our County of Berkshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of Trespass on  
the Case for that the said Jonathan at Northampton on the twenty  
seventh day of August last past by his Note for value received. N<sup>o</sup> 169.  
promised the said Joshua to pay him or order three pounds twelve  
pence of lawful Money in four Months from the Date of said  
Note with interest till paid & yet the said Jonathan tho often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglected it to the damage of the  
said Joshua six pounds. The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq.  
his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Joshua do recover against the  
said Jonathan the Parties severally appeared agree to have this  
Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Obadiah Trayer & Samuel Prague both of Boston in  
our County of Suffolk Merchants Plfs vs Sarah Hayden woman  
and Samuel Bagley yeoman both of Wiltshire in our County of Hampshire Defts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that the said Sarah at Boston to wit at Northampton aforesaid on the  
thirtieth day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty by their Note N<sup>o</sup> 170  
for value received promised the Plfs by the Names of Trayer & Prague  
to pay them or order two thousand two hundred & fifty eight  
pounds nine shillings with Depreciation and the Plfs aver  
that it was then & there agreed between the said Parties that the  
said Hayden & Bagley should pay to the Plfs the lawful interest  
of said Sum untill the same should be paid & yet the said Defts  
tho often requested have never paid the contents of said Note  
but neglected it to the damage of the said Plfs fifty five pounds.  
The Plfs appear by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Defts the three  
times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Plfs do recover against the said Defts thirty eight pounds ten shillings  
& four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:12:0.  
and thereof &c. Exon jfi. Mar. 1. 1704

Thomas Weeks of Goshen in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plf vs Ezra Taylor of Southborough in our County of  
Worcester Esq. Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the  
said Ezra at Northampton aforesaid on the first day of May seventeen  
hundred & eighty two was indebted to the said Thomas in the sum of N<sup>o</sup> 171  
seventy seven pounds lawful Money for the like sum of Money of the  
said Thomas for his use by the aforesaid Ezra before that time received  
and being indebted the said Ezra in consideration thereof afterwards to wit the  
same day & year aforesaid at Northampton took upon himself that

Wills  
is  
Taylor

there faithfully promised that <sup>the</sup> Thomas that he <sup>the</sup> Ezra would pay & satisfy the <sup>the</sup> sum of twenty seven pounds to <sup>the</sup> Thomas when he should be there to afterwards requested Also for that whereas <sup>the</sup> Ezra on the same first day of May at <sup>the</sup> Northampton was indebted to <sup>the</sup> Thomas the sum of another sum of twenty seven pounds lawful Money for the like sum of Money by him <sup>the</sup> Thomas for <sup>the</sup> Ezra at his special Instance Request before that time paid laid out expended & advanced & being so indebted <sup>the</sup> Ezra in consideration thereof afterwards to <sup>the</sup> Thomas the same day of May Place understood & so <sup>the</sup> Thomas then and then faithfully promised that <sup>the</sup> Ezra would well & truly pay & satisfy to <sup>the</sup> Thomas the same sum of twenty seven Pounds when he should be there to afterwards requested yet <sup>the</sup> Ezra the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of <sup>the</sup> Thomas one hundred Pounds The Parties severally appeared & agree to refer this Case to the award of Col. Partridge, Col. Porter & Capt. Innes who are to hear <sup>the</sup> Parties their Proofs &c - and the award of them or any two of them is to be final to be returned into this Court & Judgement to be made up & given to issue accordingly Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have agreed until the third Tuesday of May next

Wills  
is  
Oliver  
No 172

Samuel Wills of Conway in our County of Hampshire Yeoman Plf vs Alexander Oliver of the same County First Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that <sup>the</sup> Alexander at <sup>the</sup> Conway on the seventh day of June seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value recd promised <sup>the</sup> Samuel to pay him or order thirty five Pounds ten shillings & eight pence on demand with Interest till paid yet <sup>the</sup> Alexander the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of <sup>the</sup> Samuel thirty five Pounds The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>the</sup> Samuel do recover against <sup>the</sup> Alexander twenty six pounds two shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at <sup>the</sup> Court's Office & the scope & Execution Mar 1. 1784

Hayden  
is  
Bryant  
No 173

John Hayden of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Plf vs Benjamin Bryant of the same Chesterfield Husbandman Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that <sup>the</sup> Bryant at <sup>the</sup> Chesterfield on the twenty seventh day of May last past by his Note for value recd promised <sup>the</sup> John to pay him eighteen pounds lawful Money within six Months from the date of <sup>the</sup> Note & if not then paid Interest till paid yet <sup>the</sup> Bryant the often requested hath not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of <sup>the</sup> John nineteen pounds & nineteen shillings The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>the</sup> John do recover against <sup>the</sup> Bryant eighteen Pounds eleven

Settlements & three pence of lawful Money Damages, costs of Court  
taxed at 1:7:10. After all with this. Perry in his own proper  
Person comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court  
to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton in  
and for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of  
April next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs  
for his presenting his Appeal with Effect as by S. Recognizance  
on File appears

166.

William Sammon of Ware in our County of Hampshire  
Labourer Plffs Peter Sammon of Lulow in s<sup>d</sup> County Husband  
man Deft in a Plea &c as may be seen at large in the Original Sammon  
Writ on File. The Plff being now three times publicly N<sup>o</sup> 174.  
called to come into Court is Nonsumt the best defaulted &  
the Action dismissed

Ezekiel Sammon of Cambridge in the County of  
Charlotte's State of New York yeoman Plffs Israel Randall Sammon  
of Belchertown in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft  
in a Plea of Supra on the Case for that S. Israel at said Randall  
Belchertown on the first Day of July last past by his Note  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised this S. Ezekiel to pay him twenty  
five Pounds on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the  
S. Israel tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of this S. Ezekiel thirty Pounds.  
The Parties severally appears agree to have the Case continued  
to the next Term Judgement then to be final. Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that S. Parties have Day more untill  
the third Tuesday of May next

Samuel Buffington of Cummington in our County  
of Hampshire Gent Plffs Ebenezer Putney of Goshen in s<sup>d</sup> County Husbandman Deft in a Plea &c as may be seen  
at large in the Original Writ on File. The Parties severally  
appears on a Motion of the Deft agree to have this Case  
continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that S. Parties have Day more untill the third  
Tuesday of May next

Timothy Gifford of Williamsburgh in our County  
of Hampshire Cordwainer Plffs Josiah Dwight of the same Gifford  
Williamsburgh Gent Deft in a Plea &c as may be seen at  
large in the Original Writ on File. The Parties severally  
appears agree that this Case be continued to the next  
Term for Judgement which is then to be final. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that S. Parties have Day more  
untill the third Tuesday of May next

John Field of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
Gent Plffs The Inhabitants of the Town of Amherst in s<sup>d</sup> County. Moses Cook Junr a Deputy Sheriff under Escha Inhabitants of  
County. Porter Esq Sheriff of the same County being one of them Deft Amherst  
in a Plea of Supra on the Case for that whereas Nathaniel  
Cushman Junr Treasurer of the S. Town of Amherst at Amherst  
aforesaid on the nineteenth Day of April last past by his Note  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised in behalf of the S. Town of Amherst

Field  
11  
Inhabitants  
Amherst  
promised the s<sup>d</sup> John to pay him or order one hundred Pounds  
meaning that sum of lawfull Money on or before the first day of  
of Oct<sup>r</sup> th<sup>n</sup> next & if not then paid Interest afterwards till paid  
and the s<sup>d</sup> John that the s<sup>d</sup> Nath<sup>l</sup> by a legal Vote of s<sup>d</sup> Inhabit  
ants at Amherst aforesaid on the thirteenth day of August  
seventeen hundred & eighty two was authorized & empowered  
in Behalf of the s<sup>d</sup> Inhabitants to make & deliver to the s<sup>d</sup> John  
John the rate of Hand upon s<sup>d</sup> yet the s<sup>d</sup> Nathaniel tho  
often requested hath not paid the Contribution s<sup>d</sup> Note nor  
have the s<sup>d</sup> Inhabitants or any of them ever paid the same or  
any part thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> John  
one hundred & twenty pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by  
Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>r</sup> & the s<sup>d</sup> John the three times publicly  
called to come into make default of appearance here  
whereupon it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> John do  
recover against the s<sup>d</sup> John the sum of one hundred & two pounds five  
shillings of lawfull Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1. 6. 6 — & thereof — Exon<sup>r</sup> s<sup>d</sup> Feb 23. 1784

Lymman  
is  
Wheaton  
N<sup>o</sup> 179  
Oliver Lymman of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Labor Wheaton of Walsworth in  
our County of Middlesex Trader Debt in a Plea of Trespass  
on the Case for that the s<sup>d</sup> Labor at Northampton on the last  
day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two in Consideration  
that the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver at the special Instance & Request of the  
s<sup>d</sup> Labor had then before that time kept & fed for him the  
said Labor a Horse of him the s<sup>d</sup> Labor for the term of  
fifteen Weeks & had also at the like Instance & Request of the said  
Labor performed two Journeys for the said Labor from said  
Northampton to Gosport in the same County upon which  
s<sup>d</sup> to the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver the s<sup>d</sup> Labor faithfully promised to pay  
him therefor so much Money as the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver for the keeping  
and feeding the same Horse & performing the same Journeys  
should reasonably deserve to have & the Lawfull Interest thereof  
whenever after he should be thereto requested and the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver  
over that for keeping & feeding the same Horse & performing  
the s<sup>d</sup> Journeys he reasonably deserved to have, the s<sup>d</sup> Labor  
seven pounds nineteen shillings lawfull Money to wit at s<sup>d</sup>  
Northampton of which the s<sup>d</sup> Labor then afterwards the same  
day had Notice — Also for that the s<sup>d</sup> Labor at s<sup>d</sup> Northampton  
on the last day of Feb<sup>r</sup> last past being justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup>  
Oliver in and then sum of seventeen pounds four shillings lawfull  
Money for the like sum of Money then before that time paid  
laid out & expended & advanced by the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver for the s<sup>d</sup> Labor  
and to his use at his special Instance & Request in Consideration  
thereof the s<sup>d</sup> Labor then & thereunto the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver faithfully  
promised to pay him the same sum when he should be thereto  
afterwards requested — Also for that the s<sup>d</sup> Labor at s<sup>d</sup> Northampton  
on the same last day of Feb<sup>r</sup> being justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver  
in another sum of eight pounds eight shillings lawfull Money  
for the like sum of Money then before that time had & rec<sup>d</sup> by the  
s<sup>d</sup> Labor for the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver & to his use at his the s<sup>d</sup> Labor's special Instance  
and Request in Consideration thereof the s<sup>d</sup> Labor assumed on himself  
and to the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver then & there faithfully promised to pay him  
the same sum when he should be thereto requested & yet  
the s<sup>d</sup> Labor tho often requested hath not paid s<sup>d</sup> sum but neglects  
it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Oliver twenty five pounds —

The P<sup>l</sup>y appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>tt</sup>o the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Oliver do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Aaron of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £1:16:6 — & thereof &c

167-

Samuel Wells of Conway in our County of Hamp  
shire Husbandman P<sup>l</sup>y is Aaron Hayden of s<sup>d</sup> Conway yeoman  
otherwise called qu<sup>er</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trovass on the Case for  
that this Aaron at s<sup>d</sup> Conway on the fifth<sup>th</sup> Day of October  
seventen hundred eighty two by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
th<sup>d</sup> Samuel to pay him thirteen pounds five Shillings & five  
pence by the first Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> then next in good Beef Cattle as  
they went in the year seventeen hundred seventy four for cash  
with Interest till paid & the P<sup>l</sup>y avers that he has always been  
ready to receive s<sup>d</sup> Cattle since the making th<sup>d</sup> Note — yet th<sup>d</sup>  
Aaron tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of th<sup>d</sup> Samuel twenty Pounds — The P<sup>l</sup>y  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his A<sup>tt</sup>o the Sept the three times  
publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Samuel do  
recover against th<sup>d</sup> Aaron thirteen pounds five Shillings and  
two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1:10:6 — & thereof &c

Wells  
vs  
Hayden  
N<sup>o</sup> 100.

Asa Smith of Pelburne in our County of Hampshire  
Jainer P<sup>l</sup>y is Edward Smith of Belchertown in s<sup>d</sup> County Groom  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea & as many besumatt large in the Original writ  
on File — The P<sup>l</sup>y being now three times publicly called to come  
into Court is nonsub<sup>t</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed

Smith  
vs  
Smith  
N<sup>o</sup> 101

Samuel Hinkley of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
Groom Administrator of all singular the goods Chattels Rights  
and Credits which were of Job Hinkley late of s<sup>d</sup> Brookfield Trader  
deceased in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity P<sup>l</sup>y is Alexander Miller of Worthington  
in our County of Hampshire Groom Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trovass on  
the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Alexander at s<sup>d</sup> Worthington on the twenty seventh  
Day of August last past by his Note for value received promised  
th<sup>d</sup> Job then alive to pay him sixteen pounds five Shillings &  
nine pence half penny lawful silver Money on Demand with  
Interest till paid — yet th<sup>d</sup> Alexander tho often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of  
th<sup>d</sup> Samuel in his Capacity twenty Pounds — The Parties  
severally appear agree to have this Case continued to the next  
term Judgement then to be final — Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday  
of May next

Hinkley ad<sup>r</sup>  
vs  
Miller  
N<sup>o</sup> 102.

Hampshire ss. The Commonwealt<sup>h</sup> of Massachusetts  
To the Sheriff of our County of Worcester his under  
Sheriff or Deputy — Greeting  
Whereas Joseph Howe yeoman of Brookfield in our s<sup>d</sup> County of  
Worcester personally appeared before our Justices of our Court of  
General Sessions of the Peace holden at Northampton within & for  
our County of Hampshire on the sixth Tuesday of August last past  
and acknowledged himself indebted to Laughlin Ryer late of s<sup>d</sup> Brook  
field yeoman in the sum of sixty pounds to be hired of his goods  
Chattels Lands & Tenements and in want thereof of his Body to the  
use of th<sup>d</sup> Laughlin if Default should be made in the performance of

Ryer  
vs  
Howe  
N<sup>o</sup> 102-

By order  
of  
Howe

Condition following to wit that if the sd Joseph Howe should personally appear before our Justices of our Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Springfield within for our County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of November next to answer to such Matters & things as should be objected against him on our Behalf particularly to a Presentment against him for stealing twelve fat <sup>over</sup> ~~hath~~ the property of him the sd Laughlin & should do & receive thereof against our Court should then there be enjoined him to not depart without licence from the Recognizance & bail was to be void and of none Effect otherwise to remain in full force as by the Record of the Recognizance & process in the same Court remaining appears — And whereas at our Court of General Sessions of the Peace holden at Springfield within for our County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of November last past the said Joseph Howe being three times publicly called to come into Court then & there did not appear but made default thereof & by the same Josephs Default of Appearance as aforesd the sd Sum is forfeited to the sd Laughlin & has not been paid & still remains due to be levied in Manner aforesd for the use of the sd Laughlin as we have heard from the suggestions of the sd Laughlin Bygon & the sd Laughlin hath supplicated us to provide Remedies for him in this Behalf and we willing that Justice be done in the Premises command you that you make known to the sd Joseph Howe that he appear before our Justices of our Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton within for our County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>r</sup> next to shew Cause if any he hath the sd Laughlin Bygon ought not to have his Execution against him the sd Joseph for the sd Sum of sixty pounds forfeited as aforesaid and Costs of such to do & receive what our Court shall then and there consider — The sd Applicant Caleb Strong by his Att<sup>y</sup> the sd Tho<sup>s</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the sd Laughlin do recover against the sd Joseph Howe Sixty Pounds of Lawful Money Debt & Costs of Court to be at 2:5:10 & thereof —  
Upon sp. Feb<sup>r</sup> 23<sup>d</sup> 1784

By order  
of  
Commonwealth

Endorse

N<sup>o</sup> 104.

Hampshire ss. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
To the Sheriff of our County of Worcester his under  
Sheriff or Deputy — Greeting.  
Whereas Joseph Howe of Concord of Braintree in our County of Worcester personally appeared before our Justices of our Court of General Sessions of the Peace holden at Northampton within and for our County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of August last past & acknowledged himself indebted to us in the Sum of fifty Pounds to be levied of his goods & Chattels Lands & Tenements in want thereof of his Body to our use if default should be made in the performance of the condition following to wit that if the sd Joseph Howe should personally appear before our Justices of our Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Springfield within for our County of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of November then next to answer such Matters & things as should be objected against him on our Behalf particularly to a Presentment against



State  
11

Merrifield

and to be lived in manner aforesaid for our self, & we being  
willing to have the summe due speedily paid to us & satisfied as  
Justice require, command you that you make known to the said  
Abraham Merrifield & to the<sup>r</sup>. Moses Winters & John Smith that  
they appear before our Justices of our County of Hampshire  
to be holden at Southampton within & for our<sup>s</sup>. County of Hamp-  
shire on the second Tuesday of the next to shew Cause why  
they have why Execution should not issue against Abraham  
Merrifield for the<sup>r</sup>. sum of twenty Pounds & against the<sup>r</sup>. Moses  
Winters & John Smith for the sum of ten pounds each & offered  
to us as aforesaid & both of Suite further to do & receive what  
our<sup>s</sup>. Court shall think there considerer. After this appears  
by ~~but nothing~~ ~~in this Court~~ ~~the Deft the three times~~ ~~called~~  
~~called to come into Court to make default of appearance~~  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Deft do not  
appear. The Parties severally appear on a Motion of  
the Deft it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued  
and that the Parties have day here untill the third Tuesday of  
May next.

Idem  
11

Summons

No 186

Hampshire p The Commonwealt<sup>h</sup> of Massachusetts  
To the Sheriff of our County of Worcester his exec<sup>r</sup>  
Sheriff or Deputy - Greeting -  
Whereas on the twenty third Day of September last past John  
Semmore of New Braintree Gent<sup>l</sup> Robert Hunter of New Bran-  
tree Gent<sup>l</sup> & Edmond Willis of Hardwick yeoman all of our  
County of Worcester personally appeared at Hardwick in  
the<sup>r</sup>. County before Paul Marshall Esq<sup>r</sup> one of the Justices of the  
Peace within & for our County of Worcester & acknowledged them-  
selves to be severally indebted to us in the respective sums  
following to wit the<sup>r</sup>. John Semmore as Principal in the sum of  
fifty Pounds and the<sup>r</sup>. Robert Hunter & Edmond Willis as sureties  
in the sum of twenty five pounds each to be lived on their  
Goods & Chattels Lands or Tenements in want thereof on their  
Bodies to our use if default should be made in the perform-  
ance of the condition following to wit that if the<sup>r</sup>. John Semmore  
should personally appear before our Justices of our County  
of General Sessions of the Peace then next to be holden at Spring  
field within & for our County of Hampshire on the second  
Tuesday of November then next to answer to such Matters and  
things as should be objected against him on our Behalf  
more especially for making an assault on one John Euell of  
Sheffields in our<sup>s</sup>. County of Hampshire & receiving & putting  
at large Joseph M<sup>r</sup> Hall legally taken by the Constables by him  
committed to the custody of the<sup>r</sup>. John Euell for safe keeping &  
and should do & receive that which by our<sup>s</sup>. Court should  
think there be injured him & not depart without license then  
the Recognizance aforesaid was to be void & of none Effect other-  
wise to abide in full Force Power & Virtue as by the Recognizance  
aforesaid in Court to be produced will appear and whereas at  
our<sup>s</sup>. Court of General Sessions of the Peace holden at Spring  
field on the<sup>r</sup>. second Tuesday of November the<sup>r</sup>. John  
Semmore being three times solemnly called to come into  
Court then & there did not appear nor come into Court  
but made default thereof by the same John's default of appearance

aforesaid th<sup>is</sup> sum are forfeited to us & have not been paid but  
 still remain due & to be held in manner aforesaid for our use. State  
 and we being willing to have the sum so due speedily paid to us  
 and satisfied as Justice requires, Command you that by your make Bennetts  
 known to th<sup>is</sup> John Denmore & to th<sup>is</sup> Robert Hunter & Edmond  
 Willis that they appear before our Justices of our Court of Common  
 Pleas to be holden at Northampton within and for our County  
 of Hampshire on the second Tuesday of Feb<sup>r</sup> next to shew cause  
 if any they have why Execution should not issue against the  
 John Denmore for th<sup>is</sup> sum of fifty pounds & against  
 th<sup>is</sup> Robert Hunter & Edmond Willis for the sum of twenty  
 five pounds each forfeited to us as aforesaid & costs of suit  
 and further to do & receive what our Court shall think them  
 consider & The Pl<sup>ts</sup> appear by <sup>but</sup> ~~but~~ strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup>  
 and the Def<sup>s</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
 Court make default of appearance here - Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that th<sup>is</sup> Pl<sup>ts</sup> do recover against  
 th<sup>is</sup> Def<sup>s</sup> fifty pounds of lawful Money Damages & costs of  
 Court taxed at £1. 10. 4 - & thereof - Exec<sup>u</sup> on 1<sup>st</sup> Mar. 10. 1704

Benjamin Hunt of Suffield in the County of B. Hunt  
 Hartford & State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>ts</sup> vs Anna  
 Hunt of Washington in our County of Hampshire woman & Hunt  
 otherwise called Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>s</sup> in a Plea of the Case for th<sup>is</sup> No 108-  
 D. Aaron a <sup>in plea called</sup> Suffield in s<sup>d</sup> Washington on the ninth Day  
 of June seven hundred & eighty one by his Note for  
 value rec<sup>d</sup> by the same of th<sup>is</sup> Hunt sum<sup>m</sup> Promised the  
 Pl<sup>ts</sup> to pay him nineteen pounds lawful Money within  
 six months from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest till paid  
 yet the D. Aaron tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same  
 but neglects it to the Damage of th<sup>is</sup> B. Hunt twenty five  
 Pounds - The Pl<sup>ts</sup> appear by Justice Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the  
 Def<sup>s</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court make  
 default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that th<sup>is</sup> B. Hunt do recover against D. Aaron  
 twenty two pounds & nine pence of lawful Money Damages  
 and costs of Court taxed at £1. 12. 0 - & thereof -  
 Exec<sup>u</sup> on 1<sup>st</sup> Feb 20. 1704

Benjamin Ashby of West Springfield in our County  
 of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>ts</sup> vs Anna Rice of Westfield in said Ashby  
 County woman Def<sup>s</sup> in a Plea of the Case for th<sup>is</sup> D. Aaron Rice  
 at Westfield on the twenty eighth Day of June seven No 109  
 hundred & twenty four by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 B. Hunt to pay him for the same of B. Ashby of Springfield  
 one pound ten shillings lawful Money by the first Day  
 of June then next with Interest till paid - yet the D. Aaron tho<sup>o</sup>  
 often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
 Damage of th<sup>is</sup> B. Hunt Three Pounds - The Pl<sup>ts</sup> appear  
 by Justice Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Def<sup>s</sup> the three times publicly called to  
 come into Court make default of appearance here - Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that th<sup>is</sup> B. Hunt do recover against D.  
 Aaron two pounds seven shillings & four pence & half penny  
 of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at £1. 9. 8  
 and thereof - Exec<sup>u</sup> on 1<sup>st</sup> Feb 20. 1704

King  
11  
Strong & Graham  
No 190.  
Alexander King, of Suffield in the County of Hartford &  
State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plffs John Strong Gentle, and Sheldon  
Graham Yeoman both of Pittfield in the County of Berkshire  
Joint Defendants. Defs in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>d</sup> Strong  
and Graham at S<sup>d</sup> Northampton on the last Day of October last  
past being justly Indebted to the Plff in the Sum of eight  
pounds nine Shillings lawful Money for sixty one Gallons  
and an half Gallon of Cyder Brandy there before that time  
sold & delivered to the S<sup>d</sup> Strong & Graham at their Request by  
by the Plff in Consideration thereof S<sup>d</sup> Strong & Graham promised  
the Plff to pay him the same Sum on Demand. Also for that  
whereas at S<sup>d</sup> Northampton a Place called Pittfield in S<sup>d</sup> North  
ampton on the eleventh Day of January last past the said  
Graham & Strong having rec<sup>d</sup> of the Plff sixty one Gallons  
and an half Gallon of Cyder Brandy in Consideration thereof  
by their Note under their Hands of that Date the S<sup>d</sup> Strong &  
Graham promised the Plff to account for the same meaning  
to pay for the same at six Shillings & a Gallon. & yet the S<sup>d</sup> Strong  
and Graham the often Requested have never paid the same  
but neglect it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Alexander twenty  
Pounds. The Plff appear by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the  
Def<sup>s</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court make  
default of appearance here. The Parties severally appear  
by their respective Att<sup>s</sup> and agree that Damages be estimated at  
fourteen Pounds seventeen Shillings & six pence & thereupon it  
is considered by the Court that the S<sup>d</sup> Plff do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Defs  
fourteen pounds seventeen Shillings & six pence of lawful Money  
Damages & costs of Court taxed at 16:0 & 2 thereof &c.

Rule  
11  
Phillips  
No 191.  
Tilly Price of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plffs James Phillips of West Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire Yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Ejectment wherein he  
demands against the S<sup>d</sup> James one Messuage viz. one Tract of Land  
lying in S<sup>d</sup> West Springfield containing by Estimation one hundred  
and sixty Acres together with the Dwelling House, Barn & other  
Buildings standing thereon being the House lot where the S<sup>d</sup> James  
lately lived, bounded easterly on the high-way, northwardly on  
land lately owned by Daniel Leonard deceased & Lazarus Ball  
westwardly on land of Simon Phillips & southwardly on Timothy  
Blawie Land. Also sixty Acres of Land lying the East side  
of the high-way, opposite to S<sup>d</sup> Dwelling House, bounded west  
wardly on the high-way, southwardly on Jacob Winchells Land  
and Eastwardly on S<sup>d</sup> Winchells Land & northwardly on Land of  
Deacon John Leonard (excepting only two Acres of Land in the first  
mentioned Land sold to Asahel Thib<sup>s</sup> & one Acre sold to Joseph  
Copley). Also one Tract of Land lying in Granville in S<sup>d</sup> County  
containing by estimation Two hundred & eighty Acres originally  
laid out to one Siris, bounded easterly on the sitting Lot westwardly  
on the River, northwardly on Hathaway's Land which Land  
the S<sup>d</sup> James bought of Thoburn Leonard Jun<sup>r</sup> & one Loomis.  
Also about twenty eight Acres of Land lying in S<sup>d</sup> West Springfield  
which he bought of Abraham Burbank Esq<sup>r</sup> bounded eastward  
on Shephards Land westward on Westfield Bounds on the Ridge  
of the Mountain & northward on Roger Leonard's Land  
South on Land lately belonging to Daniel Leonard deceased  
and John Thellom deceased. The S<sup>d</sup> Lot at Granville lying

in common undivided with Land belonging to Colo. Nathan  
Rawlee together with the Appurtenances & Privileges thereto belong-  
ing - whereon said Tilly says that at 2<sup>d</sup> West Springfield on  
the third Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two the  
S<sup>r</sup> James being seized of the demanded Premises as of his Inherit-  
ance in Fee, he by his Deeds of that Date in Court to be produced  
conveyed the same to the S<sup>r</sup> Tilly Rice to hold the same to him  
in Fee simple by Virtue whereof the S<sup>r</sup> Tilly then & there became  
seized of the same as Right & in Fee taking the Profit thereof  
to the value of forty Shillings by the Year & afterwards the S<sup>r</sup>  
James unjustly entered into the Demanded Premises & ejected  
the S<sup>r</sup> Tilly the P<sup>ty</sup> thereof held him out thereof the said  
James hitherto hath & still doth continue unjustly to with-  
hold the same from the S<sup>r</sup> Tilly - The Parties severally  
appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Judgement there to be final - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third  
Tuesday of May next

Joseph Morgan of West Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> is Benoni Farrand late of Deerfield  
in S<sup>r</sup> County Yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for the said Farrand  
Benoni at S<sup>r</sup> Deerfield on the fifth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past by  
his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> Promised the P<sup>ty</sup> to pay him three pounds N<sup>o</sup> 192  
thirteen Shillings & on demand with Interest - yet S<sup>r</sup> Benoni  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it  
to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Joseph nine Pounds - The P<sup>ty</sup>  
appear by Justice Dy Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> tho three times  
publicly called to come into Court made default of appearance  
here - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Joseph do  
recover against the S<sup>r</sup> Benoni four pounds one Shilling & nine  
pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £7: 10:  
and thereof - Given up. Feb<sup>r</sup> 20. 1704

Abiel Pease of Sumner in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> is John Delavan late  
of Granville in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>r</sup> in Plea  
a Plea of the Case for the S<sup>r</sup> Delavan at 2<sup>d</sup> Northampton  
the tenth Day of November Instant was justly Indebted to Delavan  
S<sup>r</sup> Abiel in the sum of sixty Pounds lawful Money for so  
much Money upon that time had & rec<sup>d</sup> by the S<sup>r</sup> Delavan of S<sup>r</sup> Abiel  
Abiel to his use, & in consideration thereof S<sup>r</sup> Delavan then &  
there promised S<sup>r</sup> Abiel to pay him the same whenever after  
he should be there to requested - yet the S<sup>r</sup> Delavan tho often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the  
Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Abiel sixty five Pounds - The P<sup>ty</sup> appear  
by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court made default of appearance here -  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Abiel do recover  
against S<sup>r</sup> John sixty Pounds of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
of Court taxed at £2: 1: 0 - & thereof - Given up. Feb<sup>r</sup> 20. 1704

Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Leonard  
N<sup>o</sup> 194

Moses Bliss of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
vs  
Abraham Leonard of Washington County of  
Berkshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that  
Abraham at Springfield on the fifth day of July seventeen  
hundred & twenty three by his Note for value received promised  
one James Easton to pay him or order two pounds sixteen  
shillings & seven pence lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid. and afterward to wit the same day & year upon  
this James by his Indorsement on the back of said Note with his  
own proper Hand subscribed, ordered the contents of the same Note  
there wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the said Moses, of all which the  
said Abraham had notice & so became liable & chargeable to pay  
the contents of said Note to him the said Moses according to the Tenor thereof  
and in consideration thereof the said Abraham promised the said Moses to pay  
him or order the same sum on Demand. yet the said Abraham tho often  
requested hath never performed his said Promise but neglects it to the  
Damage of the said Moses five Pounds. The Parties severally appear  
and agree that this Case be continued to the next Term for Judgment  
which is then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Parties have day here until the second Tuesday of May next

Pynchon Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Miller  
N<sup>o</sup> 195

William Pynchon Esq<sup>r</sup> of Springfield in the County of  
Hampshire Treasurer of the said Town of Springfield who sues in  
Behalf & for the use of said Town vs  
Thomas Miller of West Springfield in said County yeoman Deft in a Plea  
of the Case for that Thomas at Springfield on the twenty sixth  
day of October seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for  
value received promised William by the Name of William Pynchon  
Jr<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> Treasurer of the Town of Springfield to pay him or  
his successor in said Office for the use of said Town or order one hundred and  
thirty five Pounds fourteen shillings & six pence lawful Money in  
Silver at six shillings & eight pence by the ounce or in Gold equivalent  
on demand with Interest to be paid annually until paid  
yet the said Thomas tho often requested hath never performed his said  
Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said William in said Capacity  
one hundred & fifty Pounds. The Parties severally appear & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Parties have day here until the  
third Tuesday of May next

Inhabitants of  
Springfield  
vs  
Day & al  
N<sup>o</sup> 196

The Inhabitants of the Town of Springfield in the County  
of Hampshire vs  
Calista Day yeoman & Eschell Day yeoman  
both of West Springfield in said County Defts in a Plea of the Case for  
that the said Calista Eschell at Springfield on the first day of April  
seventeen hundred & twenty three by their Note for value received  
promised one Edward Pynchon then Treasurer of said Town of Springfield  
who is now deceased to pay him or his successor in said Office for the use  
of the said Town three pounds sixteen shillings & six pence lawful Money  
within six Months with Interest till paid. yet the said Calista Eschell  
tho often requested have never performed their said Promise but neglect  
it to the Damage of the said Inhabitants eight Pounds. The Plea  
appear by Maps Esq<sup>r</sup> this Court at the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Inhabitants  
do recover against the said Calista Eschell six pounds & six shillings  
of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at \$11.11.2  
and then of &c

Exon ip. Feb 7 27. 1704

Luther Vanhook yeoman, John Bridges yeoman both of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Plps is Joseph Kellogg of Chatham in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut yeoman & John Hunt of Granby in sd County of Hampshire yeoman both in a Plea of the Case for that sd Debt at sd Springfield on the sixteenth day of May last past by their Note for value recd promised sd Plps to pay them sixty six pounds thirteen shillings lawful Money by the first day of July then next ensuing - yet sd Debt the oft requested have never performed their Promise but neglect it to the damage of the sd Plps forty pounds. The Plps appear by Moses Bliff Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> the Debt the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the sd Plps do recover against sd Debt twenty nine pounds five shillings of lawful Money damages & costs of Court taxed at £1.9.6 - *Exonip<sup>t</sup> Feb 27. 1702*

Vanhook  
Kellogg  
No 197

Mary Alsop of Middletown in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut widow Administratrix on the Estate of Richard Alsop Merchant late of sd Middletown decd in sd Capacity Plps is Frederick Senio of Granfield in our County of Hampshire Executor of the last will & Testament of Aaron Senio in w<sup>ch</sup> late of said Granfield decd in sd Capacity Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the sd Aaron in his life time at sd Northampton on the twelfth day of July sworthan hundred & twenty four by his Note for value received promised sd Richard then living to pay him twenty three pounds thirteen shillings & four pence lawful Money in four Months from the date thereof with Interest after sd Time of Payment till paid - yet sd Aaron in his life time the oft requested never paid the same nor hath the sd Frederick ever paid sd Sum since the death of sd Aaron but neglect it to the damage of the sd Mary forty Pounds - The Plps appear by Moses Bliff Esq<sup>r</sup> her Att<sup>r</sup> the Debt the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that sd Mary do recover against sd Frederick thirty six pounds thirteen shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money damages & costs of Court taxed at £1.13.2 - *Exonip<sup>t</sup> Feb 27. 1704*

Alsop Ad<sup>r</sup>  
Senio's Ex<sup>r</sup>  
No 198

Mary Alsop of Middletown in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Widow Administratrix on the Estate of Richard Alsop Esq<sup>r</sup> late of sd Middletown decd in sd Capacity Plps is Butts Senio yeoman & David Wills yeoman both late of sd Granfield in the County of Hampshire Executors of the last will & Testament of Aaron Senio late of sd Granfield decd in sd Capacity Debt in a Plea of the Case for that sd Aaron in his life time at sd Granfield on the first day of December last past was justly indebted to sd Richard in his life time in the Sum of three pounds thirteen shillings & six pence lawful Money by Book Amount to balance for wares & Merchandizes then before that time sold & delivered by sd Richard to sd Aaron at his Request in consideration thereof sd Aaron then & there promised said Richard to pay him the same on demand - yet the sd Aaron the oft requested ~~never~~ in his life time hath never paid the same nor hath the sd Butts nor paid the same since the death of the sd Aaron but neglect it to the damage of the sd Mary four pounds - The Plps appear by Moses Bliff Esq<sup>r</sup> her Att<sup>r</sup> the Debt the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that sd Mary do recover against sd Butts three pounds thirteen shillings & six pence of lawful Money damages and costs of Court taxed at £1.13.2 - *Exonip<sup>t</sup> Feb 20. 1704*

Idem  
Senio's Ex<sup>r</sup>  
No 199

Sythes  
Bliss  
No 200

James Sythes of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup>  
vs  
M<sup>r</sup> Thomas Bliss of Springfield in our County Gent<sup>r</sup> Deft in  
a Plea of the Case for that Thomas a<sup>d</sup> Bliss on the twenty  
fourth Day of September seventeen hundred eighty one by his  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised James to pay him thirty five  
Pounds ten Shillings Silver Money on demand with Interest  
till paid - yet the said Thomas tho<sup>t</sup> after requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
James thirty Pounds - The Parties severally appears agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement there  
to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next.

Platt  
Woolworth  
No 201.

Ebenezer Platt of New York in the County of  
vs  
Pineas Woolworth of Granville  
in our County of Hampshire Trader Deft in a Plea of Debt  
for that this Pineas by the name of Pineas Woolworth  
of the Town of Granville in the State of Massachusetts Trader  
at Northampton on the twenty fifth Day of April seventeen  
hundred eighty three by his certain Bill or writing obligatory  
under his Hand & Seal of that Date in Court to be produced  
bound himself unto this Ebenezer by the name of Ebenezer  
Platt of the City of Philadelphia Merchant in the sum of six  
hundred & twenty eight Pounds current lawful Money of the  
State of Pennsylvania which this Ebenezer says is of the value  
of five hundred forty two pounds eight Shillings of this  
our Commonwealth to be paid unto this Ebenezer  
whenever after he should be thereto requested - yet the  
said Pineas tho<sup>t</sup> after requested hath never paid the same  
but neglects it to the Damage of this Ebenezer six hundred Pounds  
The Parties severally appears agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Judgement there to be final on the Part of  
the Deft - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday  
of May next.

Woodward  
Patrick  
No 202.

Aaron Woodward of Tolland in the County of  
vs  
Hartford & State of Connecticut yeoman P<sup>r</sup> vs Thomas  
Patrick of Ware in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for that this Thomas a<sup>d</sup> Ware on the third  
Day of September seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note  
for value received promised Aaron to pay him or order five pounds  
sixteen Shillings & nine lawful Silver Money on demand with Interest  
till paid - yet the said Thomas tho<sup>t</sup> after requested hath never per  
formed his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of this Aaron  
Ten Pounds - The Parties severally appears agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement there to be  
final if defaulted - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that said Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday  
of May next.



Symon  
Phillips

have & recover the same Mortgage, Land & Tenement & Mortgage  
to P. Charles as aforesaid in order that he may duly administer  
thereupon & nevertheless he the P. James since the time appointed  
for the payment of P. Sum hath intended thereunto, ejected him  
the P. Charles in his lifetime, he the P. Sum still doth & still doth  
hold him out him the P. Sum & therefore to the damage of  
the P. Samuel fifty Pounds. The P. appears by Moses Bliss  
Esq. his Att. & the Def. the three times publicly called to  
come into Court make default of appearance here where  
fore it is considered by the Court that P. Samuel do move  
against P. James  
of lawful Money damages, Costs of Suit taxed at 10/-  
and there of &c.

Washington  
Lundam  
N<sup>o</sup> 205

John Washington Esq. of Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire P. James Phillips of West Springfield in  
P. Caution yeoman left in a Ejectment wherein the P. John  
demands against the P. James the one Moiety or half part of a  
Mortgage Farm of Land lying & being in P. West Springfield  
here before known & called by the name of River Farm, contain-  
ing ninety Acres, bounding Southwardly on a High-way, Eastwardly  
on John Lamiters Land, northwardly partly on a River  
& partly on Land of Abner Leonard, westwardly partly on Land of  
Benjamin Day, Abner Leonard & Timothy Horton & partly on a High-  
way lying in common & undivided & also the whole of the Farm  
of Land wherein the P. James Family now live lying in said  
West Springfield containing one hundred & fifty Acres, bounding  
Eastwardly on a High-way, north on Lazarus Ball & David  
Leonards Land, west on James Phillips Land & south on Land of  
Timothy Flowers, also one other Tract of Land containing sixty  
Acres, lying over against P. last mentioned Farm & bounding  
west on the same High-way with their Appurtenances whereupon  
the P. John complains & says that he within five years now last  
past was seized in his Demise as of Free Right of all the said  
demanded Premises with their Appurtenances by Forests virtue  
as the P. James' Deed of Mortgage to the P. John bearing date the  
eighth day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two in Court  
to be produced & afterwards the P. James within the P. Term of five  
years unjustly & without Judgement entered there into & ejected &  
ejected him the John the P. Sum still doth & still doth hold him out  
therefrom to the damage of the P. John fifty Pounds. The P. appears  
by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att. & the Def. the three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here  
wherefore it is considered by the Court that P. John do move  
against P. James seizure & Possession of the Land & Appurtenances  
and for Costs of Court taxed at £1.18.6/- & there of &c.  
Given at June 1. 1784.

Glover  
Proutie  
N<sup>o</sup> 206

John Glover of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman P. is Son & then Proutie of P. Wilbraham Physician left  
in a Plea of the Case for that P. Son & P. Wilbraham on the twenty seventh  
day of August last past by his vote for value rec. promised P. John  
to pay him (meaning on demand) five pounds within ten shillings &  
two pence (meaning lawful Money) with Interest till paid  
yet the P. John the three times publicly called to come into Court  
make default of appearance & on often requested hath never performed  
his P. Promise but neglects it to the damage of the P. John seven pounds.

The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Do not do recover against  
P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan six pounds & four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
of Suit taxed at £1: 15: 2 — & thereof — Quoniam? Nov 2. 1704 — 179

Samuel Dwell of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire  
yoman Plt is David Wells of Shelburn in S<sup>r</sup> County Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> David at S<sup>r</sup> Dursfield on the thirty first  
Day of March seventeen hundred & twenty four by his Note for Wells  
value rec. promised S<sup>r</sup> Samuel to pay him four pounds ten  
Shillings within one year from the Date of S<sup>r</sup> Note with Interest  
from Six Months after the Date of S<sup>r</sup> Note till paid — Yet S<sup>r</sup> David tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the  
Damage of this S<sup>r</sup> Samuel ten pounds — The Plt appears by Sam<sup>l</sup>  
Barnard Gunt, his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Samuel do recover against  
P<sup>r</sup> David seven pounds & eight pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Suit taxed at £1: 9: 2 — & thereof — Whereupon the  
S<sup>r</sup> David by William Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & appeals  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to  
be holden at Northampton within & for our County of Hampshire  
on the last Tuesday of April next & he recognises with Sureties  
as the Law directs for his prosecuting S<sup>r</sup> Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup>  
Recognizance on File appears — N<sup>o</sup> 207

Izackiah Newcomb of Barnardstown in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup>  
shire yoman Plt is Joseph Emory S<sup>r</sup> Barnardstown Gent Deft. Newcomb  
in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on File —  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the  
next Term that the Plt may mind his Writ & the Judgement at  
that time is to be final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next — N<sup>o</sup> 200

Daniel Fisk of Montague in our County of Hampshire  
yoman Plt is Elisha Bush & Sheldon of Barnardstown in S<sup>r</sup> County Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft  
in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ on File —  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the  
next Term with saving of all advantage — Whereupon it is considered  
by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May  
next — N<sup>o</sup> 209

Samuel Field of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plt is Daniel Vims of Shelburn in S<sup>r</sup> County yoman Deft. Field  
in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> Daniel at S<sup>r</sup> Dursfield on the second  
Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note for value Vims  
received promised S<sup>r</sup> Samuel to pay him or order eleven Pounds  
and two Shillings Silver Money on demand with Interest  
till paid — Yet S<sup>r</sup> Daniel tho often requested hath never paid  
the S<sup>r</sup> same but neglected it to the Damage of this S<sup>r</sup> Samuel eight  
Pounds — The Plt appears by Samuel Barnard Gunt his  
Att<sup>r</sup> and Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Samuel do recover against  
P<sup>r</sup> Daniel twelve Pounds nine Shillings & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £1: 10: 6 — and thereof —  
Quoniam? Nov 5. 1704 — N<sup>o</sup> 210

Plumb  
is  
Kingsley  
N<sup>o</sup> 211

Jared Plumb of Darts in the County of New Haven State of Connecticut yeoman Plaintiff Amos Kingsley of Berkshire in our County of Berkshire yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Amos at D. Northampton on the sixteenth Day of May last past by his Note for value received promised the said Jared to pay him four Pounds & ten Shillings Lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid - yet the said Amos tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Jared eight pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Samuel Fowler Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> in & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jared do recover against the said Amos four Pounds fourteen Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:13:6. - & then of &c

J<sup>d</sup> Feb 20. 1784

James  
Noble  
N<sup>o</sup> 212

Abner Fowler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Aaron Noble of Pittsfield in the County of Berkshire yeoman alias called George Deft<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the said Aaron at D. Northampton on the twenty seventh Day of December seven hundred & eighty two by his Note for value received one Pound Noble to pay him or order sixteen pounds nine Shillings & eleven Pence half penny Lawful Money by the fifth Day of the next ensuing the Date of said Note with Interest till paid - And afterwards to wit on the same twenty seventh Day of December at D. Northampton the said James Noble by his Indorsement on the back of the same Note with his proper hand subscribed & appeared the same Note to the said Abner the Plaintiff ordered him to pay there of then wholly & successively to be paid to the Plaintiff as all which the said Abner there instantly had Notice and so became liable & chargeable to pay the Contents of said Note according to the Tenor thereof being so liable - the said Aaron tho the same promised promised the said Abner to pay him the same sum accordingly by the first Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> 1784 yet the said Abner tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Abner thirty pounds - The Plaintiff appears & agrees to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge ment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next

Wright  
Hayward  
N<sup>o</sup> 213

Carmi Wright of Darts in our County of Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Moss Hayward of Berwyn in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the said Moss at D. Northampton on the fifth Day of January last past by his Note for value received the said Carmi to pay him or order six pounds seven Shillings and two pence Lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet the said Moss tho often requested hath never performed said promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said Carmi eight pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge ment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next

Thomas Amory of Botton in our County of Suffolk Distiller 174  
Pls vs Seth Wait of Ashfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
Defr in a Plea of the Case for that the D. Seth at Ashfield on the  
fourteenth Day of November seventeen hundred eighty two by his  
Note for value received promised the D. Thomas to pay him or order  
eighteen Pounds lawful silver Money on demand with Interest  
till paid - yet the D. Seth tho often requested hath never performed  
his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the said Thomas  
twenty two pounds - The Pls appears by John Chester William  
Esq in his Affidavit the Defr tho three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the D. Thomas do recover against the D. Seth nineteen  
Pounds seven shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2.15.6 - Thereof -  
Enon Esq. Mar 22. 1704

William Harkness of Pilham in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Pls vs Ichabod Allen of Ashfield in our County of Berkshire  
Gentle Defr in a Plea of the Case for that the D. Allen at Pilham aforesd. I'llow  
on the seventh Day of September seventeen hundred eighty three  
by his Note for value received promised the D. Harkness to pay him twelve  
pounds & nine shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid - yet the D. Allen tho often requested hath never performed  
his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the D. Harkness fifteen  
Pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case  
continued to the next Term Judgement there to be final -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. Parties have Day here  
untill the third Tuesday of May next -

Robert Gray of Ashfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Pls vs Ebenezer Sprague of Buckland in S. County yeoman  
in a Plea of the Case for that the D. Ebenezer at Buckland on the twenty  
eighth Day of April seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note  
for value received promised the D. Robert to pay him nine pounds seven  
shillings & six pence on demand with Interest till paid: yet the D.  
Ebenezer tho often requested hath never performed his Promise but  
neglects it to the Damage of the D. Robert twelve pounds - The  
Pls appears by William Billings Esq in his Affidavit the Defr tho three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. Robert do  
recover against the D. Ebenezer ten pounds seven pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £1.15.2 - Thereof -  
Enon Esq. Mar 26. 1704

Thomas Mosley of Montague in our County of Hamp  
shire Cooper Pls vs John Montague of Hadley in S. County yeoman Mosley  
Defr in a Plea of the Case for that the D. John at Hadley on the  
seventeen Day of October seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note  
for value received promised the D. Thomas to pay him or order five pounds  
ten shillings lawful Money by the first Day of June then next with  
Interest till paid - yet the D. John tho often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
D. Thomas seven pounds - The Parties severally appear & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
there to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the D. Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of  
May next -

William Billings of Comox in our County of Hampshire  
Esq. & Mr. John Ball of the said County of Hampshire  
both of the said County of Hampshire in a Plea of the Case for the said  
Ball &c. in the first Day of August, seventeen hundred  
& eighty three by their Vote for value received jointly &c. severally  
promised that William Billings to pay him or order thirty three  
Pounds for the said Billings one penny three Farthings lawful  
Silver Money on demand with Interest to be paid annually—  
yet that the said Plaintiff have never paid the same but  
replevied to the Damage of the said William Billings forty pounds—  
The Plaintiff in his own proper person &c. the said three  
times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here— Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
said William Billings do recover against the said Plaintiff four pounds  
five shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages & costs  
of such taxed at £1.9.0 &c. thereof &c. Exon ip. Mar. 24. 1704

Elisha Allis of Williamsburgh in our County of Hamp-  
shire Esq. & Mr. Isaac Degroot of the same County Woodbridge  
in a Plea of the Case for the said Isaac Degroot in a Plea of the Case  
for the said Isaac Degroot at the said County of Hampshire on the twenty fifth  
Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty four by his Vote for  
value received promised that Elisha Allis should pay  
him or order thirty three shillings lawful Money on demand  
with Interest till paid— yet that the said Isaac Degroot have never paid the same but replevied to the Damage of the said Elisha  
four pounds— The Plaintiff by William Billings Esq.  
his Attorney the said three times publicly called to come into  
Court make default of appearance here— Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Isaac Degroot do recover against the said Plaintiff  
two pounds six shillings eight pence of lawful Money  
Damages & costs of such taxed at £1.7.0 &c. thereof &c.  
Exon ip. Mar. 24. 1704

Gashorn Kofbrooks of Holland in our County of  
Hampshire Husbandman. Plaintiff & John Kofbrooks of Holland  
Esq. Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the said John Kofbrooks at the said Holland  
on the twenty third Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty three  
by his Vote for value received promised the said Gashorn to pay or cause  
to be delivered to him six hundred & eighty three  
pounds of good Sheeps wool of the value of eight Pounds at or  
before the first Day of June then next following next after the  
Date of the said Plea yet that the said John Kofbrooks have never  
performed his Promise but replevied to the Damage of the said  
Gashorn fifteen Pounds— The Plaintiff by Abner Morgan  
Esq. his Attorney the said three times publicly called to come into  
Court make default of appearance here—  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said John Kofbrooks do recover  
against the said Gashorn five pounds eight shillings & eight pence  
of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at £1.17.0  
and thereof &c. Exon ip. Feb. 21. 1704.

James Shephard of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup>. Pl<sup>y</sup> is Stephen Tracy of Warrickshire County Clerk Def<sup>nd</sup> in  
a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Shephard at Northampton  
on the thirtieth Day of December hundred eighty two by his  
bills &c for value re<sup>d</sup> promised to pay him in order  
five pounds nine shillings & nine pence half penny on demand N<sup>o</sup> 221  
with Interest till paid - yet the said Shephard the often requested  
hath never performed his Promise but neglects it to the damage  
of the said James eight pounds - The Parties severally appear  
to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement thus  
to be final - & therefore it is considered by the Court that since  
Parties have Day been with the third Tuesday of May next

Rufus Lyman of Northampton in our County of Hamp  
shire gent<sup>l</sup>. Pl<sup>y</sup> is David Wood of Southampton in our County  
gent<sup>l</sup> & Henry Clark of Norwich in our County Insuper Def<sup>nd</sup> Lyman  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Def<sup>nd</sup> on the twenty  
fifth Day of June hundred eighty two by their bills  
for value re<sup>d</sup> promised to pay him in order twelve  
pounds twelve shillings lawful Money in silver & six shillings  
and eight pence & pence on demand with Interest till  
paid - yet the said Def<sup>nd</sup> the often requested have never per  
formed their Promise but neglects it to the damage of the  
said Rufus sixteen Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Call Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>nd</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
Court make default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Rufus do recover against said  
Def<sup>nd</sup> eleven pounds nineteen shillings & nine pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.9.0. - & there of  
Evon J<sup>st</sup> Feb<sup>y</sup> 28. 1704.

Timothy Walker of Northampton in our County of Hamp  
shire Husbandman Pl<sup>y</sup> is Oliver Lyman of Northampton gent<sup>l</sup>. Ma<sup>r</sup> Ken  
Def<sup>nd</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Oliver at Northamp  
on the said on the third Day of November hundred eighty Lyman  
bills by his bills for value received promised the said Timothy to pay  
him two pounds six shillings & ten pence in silver on demand with  
Interest till paid - yet the said Oliver the often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the damage of the said Timothy ten  
Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Call Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>nd</sup> the  
three time publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Tim<sup>y</sup>  
do recover against the said Oliver two pounds seven shillings & seven pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.1.2.  
and there of &c - Evon J<sup>st</sup> Mar 1. 1704

James Robinson late of Durham in the County of Durham  
and late of Connecticut gent<sup>l</sup> & Josiah Coe late of Durham  
gent<sup>l</sup> & John Robinson in the City of Durham Robinson  
late of Durham gent<sup>l</sup> & John Robinson deceased in our County Pl<sup>y</sup> is  
Stephen Bates of Southampton in the County of Hampshire gent<sup>l</sup> &  
otherwise called gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>nd</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
the said Robinson at Durham to wit at Northampton on the twentieth  
Day of Feb<sup>y</sup> hundred eighty five by his bills  
for value re<sup>d</sup> promised to pay him administration of the said  
twelve pounds eleven shillings & six pence lawful Money & there of  
before the first Day of June then next with Interest till paid -

Yet the <sup>d</sup> Stephen the often requested hath never performed  
his <sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Supplication  
Pounds. The Particulars of the said <sup>d</sup> Supplication  
continued to the next Term Judgement then to be made  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Parris have Day  
ten until the third Tuesday of May next.

Live Shephard Northampton in our County of Hampshire

Shephard

is

Allen

N<sup>o</sup> 225

Apothecary & Agent to the Estate of David Caldwell late of  
Gosfield in the same County an Attorney in <sup>d</sup> Capacity Plaintiff  
vs David Allen of Gosfield yeoman otherwise called gent. Defendant  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for the <sup>d</sup> Allen at <sup>d</sup> Northampton  
on the thirtieth Day of July seven hundred eighty one  
by his <sup>d</sup> Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the <sup>d</sup> Live to pay him or  
order fifty one Shillings & six pence in lawful Silver Money  
on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the <sup>d</sup> Allen the  
often requested hath never performed his <sup>d</sup> Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Live five pence. The Plaintiff appears  
by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Defendant three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Live do recover  
against <sup>d</sup> Allen two pounds nineteen Shillings & four pence of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs suit taxed at £1: 6: 2  
Quoniam. Feb 23<sup>d</sup> 1704

Live Shephard of Northampton in our County of

Allen

is

N. Allen

N<sup>o</sup> 226.

Hampshire Gentleman & Agent to the Estate of David Caldwell late  
of Gosfield in <sup>d</sup> County an Attorney in <sup>d</sup> Capacity Plaintiff  
vs Noah Allen of Gosfield yeoman otherwise called gent. Defendant  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for the <sup>d</sup> Noah at <sup>d</sup> Northampton  
on the twelfth Day of July seven hundred eighty one by his  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised <sup>d</sup> Live to pay him or order three  
pounds one Shilling & four pence in lawful Silver Money on  
demand with Interest till paid. Yet the <sup>d</sup> Noah the often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Live eight Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Whereupon it is considered by  
the Court that <sup>d</sup> Live do recover against <sup>d</sup> Noah three Pounds  
two Shillings & eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
sue taxed at £3: 6: 2 & thereof &c. Exoniam. Feb 23<sup>d</sup> 1704.

Solomon Allen of Northampton in our County of Hampshire

Allen

is

Trayer

N<sup>o</sup> 227

Gent<sup>l</sup>. vs Joshua Trayer of Wiltshire in <sup>d</sup> County Innkeeper  
Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for the <sup>d</sup> Joshua at <sup>d</sup> Northampton  
on the tenth Day of November last past his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
promised <sup>d</sup> Solomon to pay him or order four pounds ten Shillings  
within one Month from the Date of <sup>d</sup> Note with Interest till paid  
also for that the <sup>d</sup> Joshua at <sup>d</sup> Northampton on the twentieth Day of  
Dec<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Caleb Strong to  
pay him or order forty three Shillings lawful Silver Money on demand  
with Interest & the <sup>d</sup> Caleb then afterwards the same Day by his  
Innocentment on the back of <sup>d</sup> Note issued the Continents thereof  
then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to <sup>d</sup> Solomon of which the <sup>d</sup>  
Joshua had notice then by him chargeable to pay the same

according to the Tenor thereof & being so chargeable this <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~John~~ <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ to pay him the same  
in consideration thereof <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ to pay him the same  
according to the Tenor thereof — yet this <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ the often requested  
to the other paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of this <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~  
two pounds — The Plf appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> &  
the Deft the three times publicly called came into Court makes  
default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ do recover against <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ six pounds fourteen  
shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Suit  
taxed at £11: 2 — & thereof &c

Warham Parks of Blandford in our County of Hampshire <sup>Parker & Co</sup>  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all & singular the Goods Chattels Right &c  
Credits which were of Edward Parks late of Westfield in our County Esq<sup>r</sup>  
deceased in D. Capacity Plf is Aaron Phelps of Westfield yeoman <sup>Phelps</sup>  
Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Aaron at Westfield op<sup>d</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> 22<sup>nd</sup>  
on the second day of April seventeen hundred & twenty three by his  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised this <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ in his life time to pay  
him or order twelve pounds eight shillings & six pence lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid — yet the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Aaron  
the often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to  
the Damage of this <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Warham thirty four pounds — The Plf  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Warham do recover  
against the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Aaron twenty Pounds eight shillings & nine pence  
of lawful Money Damages & costs of Suit taxed at £1: 10: 2 —  
and thereof &c  
Exon<sup>d</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Mar 1. 17<sup>th</sup> 1741

John King of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
gent<sup>l</sup> Plf is Andrew Crawford of Northampton in our County Cordwainer  
Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew at Northampton  
on the first day of June last past being justly indebted to the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew  
John in the sum of nine Pounds one shilling & four pence lawful M<sup>y</sup>  
Money for diverse Goods Wares & Merchandize then before that time  
sold & delivered to the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew by the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ John at his the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew  
Special Instance & Request in consideration thereof that <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew assumed  
on himself to the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ John three shillings & six pence faithfully promised to pay him  
the same sum on demand — Also for that the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew at the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Northampton  
on the second day of June last past in consideration that the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew at the  
Special Instance & Request of the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew had there before that time sold  
and delivered to him diverse <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ goods, wares & Merchandizes assumed on himself  
and to the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ John three shillings & six pence faithfully promised to pay him so much  
Money as the same Goods, wares & Merchandizes at that time of the sale and  
delivery thereof were reasonably worth the cost of Suit & thereon sum  
after he should be thereto requested by the Plf & avers that the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew  
Wares & Merchandizes at the time of the sale & delivery thereof were then  
reasonably worth the sum of nine pounds one shilling & four pence  
of which the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew then afterwards the same day paid &c  
yet the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew the often requested hath never performed his <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ promise  
but neglected it to the Damage of the <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ John fifteen Pounds — The Plf  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> and the Deft the three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ John do recover against <sup>under the promise</sup> ~~Solomon~~ Andrew  
nine pounds one shilling & four pence of lawful Money Damages and  
costs of Suit taxed at £1: 1: 4 — & thereof &c  
Exon<sup>d</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Mar 1. 17<sup>th</sup> 1741

Chesham  
Williams Esq<sup>r</sup>  
No 230

Leonard Chester of Wetherfield in our County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> My s<sup>r</sup> John Lawton Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in a Plea of  
Trespas on the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> John at Hadley of and on  
the first Day of January seven hundred & twenty four being  
justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard in the sum of five hundred & twenty  
Pounds Lawful Money for seven Goods Wares & Merchandizes then  
in on that time delivered to the s<sup>d</sup> John by the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard at his the  
s<sup>d</sup> John's special Instance & Request in Consideration thereof the s<sup>d</sup> John  
expressed on himself to the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard that he faithfully promised to pay  
in the same sum the said Interest thereof whenever after he the s<sup>d</sup>  
John should be thereto requested. Also for that the s<sup>d</sup> John at Hadley  
on the same first Day of January in Consideration that the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard  
at the s<sup>d</sup> John's special Instance & Request of the s<sup>d</sup> John had then before that  
time sold & delivered to the s<sup>d</sup> John seven Goods Wares & Merchandizes other than  
the above mentioned promised on himself to the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard that he faithfully  
promised the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard to pay him so much Money as the same Goods Wares and  
Merchandizes at the time of the said delivery thereof were reasonably worth &  
the lawful Interest thereof whenever afterwards he should be thereto requested -  
and that the s<sup>d</sup> John over the s<sup>d</sup> the same Goods Wares & Merchandizes at the time of the  
said delivery thereof were then reasonably worth one thousand Pounds Lawful  
Money of which the s<sup>d</sup> John then afterwards the same Day had notice -  
yet the s<sup>d</sup> John the after requested hath never performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise  
but neglects it the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Leonard six hundred pounds -  
The Parties severally appeared on a Motion of the Deft agree to have this  
Case continued to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Parties severally have Day here until the third Tuesday  
of May next -

Moston  
Dickinson  
No 231

Phillip Sellow of Glastonbury in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut yeoman My or James Hunt of Williamsburgh in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the one for the said James at Williamsburgh of record on the sixth day of August last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised. Phillip to pay him <sup>or</sup> order twenty four Pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - Also for that the said James at Williamsburgh on the same sixth day of August acknowledged a receipt for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised. Phillip to pay him <sup>or</sup> order ten shillings in Moneys meaning lawful Money & the Interest thereof till paid yet the said James tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he the never performed his Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said Phillip thereby he is - The Parties severally appears agree to have this case continued for judgment which is to be tried the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next

177  
Sellow  
Hunt  
No 232.

Stephen Baker of Northampton in our County of Hampshire yeoman My or Gad Lyman of the same Northampton yeoman Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the one for that the said Gad at Northampton on the first day of May seven shillings hundred & sixty four being indebted to the said Stephen in the sum of fifty five Pounds lawful Money for six fat Oxen then repaid by him that time sold & delivered to the said Gad by the said Stephen at his the said Gad's special Instance & Request in Consideration thereof the said Gad assumed on himself to the said Stephen then & there faithfully promised to pay the same sum & the Interest thereof whenever afterwards he the said Gad should be there to request - Also for that the said Gad at Northampton on the same first day of May being indebted to the said Stephen in another sum of fifty three pounds lawful Money for six other fat Oxen then before the time sold & delivered to the said Gad by the said Stephen at his the said Gad's special Instance & Request in consideration thereof the said Gad assumed on himself to the said Stephen then & there faithfully promised to pay him the same sum & the lawful Interest thereof whenever afterwards he the said Gad should be there to request - Also for that the said Gad at Northampton on the first day of April twenty two hundred & sixty six in consideration that the said Stephen a the said Gad's special Instance & Request of the said Gad had then before that time sold & delivered to the said Gad six fat Oxen other than those above mentioned assumed on himself to the said Stephen then & there faithfully promised that he the said Gad so much Money as the same six Oxen at the time of their delivery thereof were reasonably worth & the lawful Interest thereof whenever after he the said Gad should be there to request & would faithfully would pay & Content and the Money at the same & to Oxen at the time of their delivery thereof were then reasonably worth & the same day had Pounds lawful Money of which the said Gad then afterwards the same day had Notice - Also for that the said Gad at Northampton on the same first day of April being indebted to the said Stephen in the sum of six pounds eight shillings & six pence lawful Money for the like sum of Money then before that time had rec<sup>d</sup> by the said Gad for the said Stephen & for the use of the said Gad the said Gad assumed on himself to the said Stephen then & there faithfully promised to pay him the same sum & the Interest thereof whenever after he the said Gad should be there to request - Also for that the said Gad at Northampton on the first day of May seven hundred & sixty six being indebted to the said Stephen in another sum of six pounds eight shillings & six pence for the like sum of Money then before that time paid & laid out, expended and advanced by the said Stephen for the said Gad at his the said Gad's special Instance and Request in Consideration thereof the said Gad assumed on himself to the said Stephen then & there faithfully promised to pay him the same sum when ever after he the said Gad should be there to request - yet the said Gad tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he the never performed his Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said Stephen nineteen pounds - The Parties appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the said Gad by John Phelps Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & deposes the Force & Injury & and knowing liberty of giving any special Matter in Evidence under the Gen<sup>l</sup> issue says that he never promised the said Lyman to pay as he has alleged against him & thereof puts himself on the Country - And the said Lyman likewise - Whereupon the Jurors on the Jury according to the former Effect of the Statutes in such made & provided at this time returned & impannelled being lawfully demanded come here who to say the Verdict concerning the Premises being duly sworn declare upon their Oath by Nathaniel Edwards their Foreman that they find the Deft promised as declared in the writ aforesaid Damages for the Plea at fourteen pounds two shillings eight pence and costs of suit taxed at £5:14:0 -

No 233.

Baker

After all which the said Court by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Northampton within & for the County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday of April next to be recognized with sureties at the law direct for his prosecuting his said appeal with Eshbachy said Recognizance on file appears

Lymann

He new

N<sup>o</sup> 234

Joseph White Jun<sup>r</sup> of North Hadley in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> vs. Benjamin French of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said French on the twentieth Day of June seventeen hundred & 20, did take by his Note for value received of Joseph White Esq<sup>r</sup> the sum of thirty pounds in the twentieth month of the said year of the said French - yet the said French being requested hath never performed his said Promise but neglects to the Damage of the said Joseph White Esq<sup>r</sup> - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court and make default and a default being returned it is considered by the Court that the said Joseph does owe and is to pay to the said Benjamin French six pounds two shillings & nine pence of lawful money Damages - Cost of suit taxed at \$1:9

Shepherd & Co

Bartlett

N<sup>o</sup> 235

Five Shephard of Northampton vs. Ebenezer French Jun<sup>r</sup> of Northampton. The record both in our County of Hampshire Pl<sup>ff</sup> Phineas Bartlett of Ashfield in our County aforesaid Physician Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Phineas at Northampton on the eighth Day of June seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received of Ebenezer French to pay to him of order eight pounds sixteen shillings & eight pence in lawful Silver Money on Demand with Interest till paid - Also for that the said Phineas at Northampton on the third of August 1801 was given a hundred and eighty two by his other Note for value received of Ebenezer French by the name of Shephard & Co to pay them or order the sum of eight hundred & twenty four dollars in lawful Money on demand with Interest - yet the said Ebenezer French never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Phineas Bartlett twenty four pounds - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court and make default and a default being returned it is considered by the Court that the said Ebenezer French does owe and is to pay to the said Phineas Bartlett eight shillings & eight pence of lawful money - Cost of suit taxed at \$1:4:00

Whit

Jones

N<sup>o</sup> 236

Lemuel West of Chatham in the County of Hampshire and State of Connecticut Husbandman vs. Ahijah W. Jones of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Ahijah at Chatham to wit at Northampton aforesaid on the twentieth third Day of May last past by his Note for value received of the said Lemuel West to pay him twelve pounds ten shillings lawful Silver Money with Interest till paid - yet the said Ahijah the other neglected to do so and performed his said Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the said Lemuel West ten pounds - The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly

called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Lemuel do recover against P. Thijah 13 thirteen pounds nine  
pence of lawful Money Damages, costs of Court taxed at  
L: 12: 0 - & thereof &c

Exon ip. Mar. 1. 1704

Jonathan Ashley of Newburne in our County of  
Hampshire Esq. Pleys John Black of Cole in our County of  
Seth in a Plea of Turpasse in the Case for the D. John at  
Thampton aforesaid in the twentieth Day of June last  
past by his Att. for value recd promised P. Thijah to  
or order fifteen pounds seven shillings lawful silver Money  
on Demand with Interest annually till paid - yet that John  
tho often requested he has never performed & so promise but  
neglects it to the Damage of the P. Thijah nine pounds -  
The Pley appears by Caleb Strong Esq. in the D. John that  
P. Thijah publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that P. Thijah do recover against D. John thirteen pounds ten  
pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at L: 11: 0  
and thereof &c

Exon ip. Mar. 1. 1704

Peter Strong of Chichester in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Pleys Josiah Reed of Montagu in our County  
Husbandman otherwise called tote of Swanley in the County  
of Cheshire & State of New Hampshire Husbandman Sept in a  
Plea that the P. Josiah owes to him the P. Peter eight pounds  
six shillings & three pence lawful Money which to him he owes  
& from him unjustly detains for this to wit that whereas the P.  
P. by the Consideration of the Justices at the Court of Common  
Plas holden at Charlestown within for the County of Cheshire  
in the State of New Hampshire to wit at the Thampton aforesaid  
on the second Tuesday of April last past do recover against the  
P. Josiah five pounds six shillings nine pence lawful Money  
Damages two pounds nine shillings & six pence costs of Suit & thereof  
the P. Josiah is convicted as by a copy of the Record in Court to  
be produced is manifest & appears when before Judge committive  
full strength the Effect remains not satisfied or discharged for at the  
the P. Peter hath sued a Writ of Exon from the Clerk's Office of the  
P. Court of Common Plas for the P. County of Cheshire yet the same  
Execution hath been long since returned into the same Clerk's Office  
which notwithstanding whereby Action hath been returned to the P. Peter to  
demand have of the P. Josiah the P. sum eight pounds six shillings &  
three pence lawful Money & one shilling four pence for the P. cost of  
Execution - yet that the P. Josiah tho often requested he has never performed  
his P. Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the P. Peter twelve  
pounds - The Pley appears by Caleb Strong Esq. in the D. John that  
the P. Peter three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
P. Peter do recover against D. Josiah eight pounds seven shillings nine  
pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at  
L: 9: 0 - & thereof &c

Exon ip. Mar. 1. 1704

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>

11

Sack: &

N<sup>o</sup> 239

Watham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Administration of the Goods & Chattels Rights, Credits which  
were of Elisha Parks late of Wiltshire in our County Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in said  
Capacity Esq<sup>r</sup> Easton Sack: late of Pittfield in our County of Hampshire  
Deceased Esq<sup>r</sup> man Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
Esq<sup>r</sup> at Wiltfield aforesaid on the seventh Day of January  
seventeen hundred & seventy two by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
Re<sup>d</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> in his life time to pay him or order twenty  
one pounds sixteen shillings & two pence meaning that sum of lawful  
Money on Demand with Interest to be paid - yet the said Easton  
thereafter requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Watham in his Capacity of fifty Pounds  
The Parties severally appeared & agreed to have this Case continued  
at the next Term Judge sent them to be here on the Part of the  
Def<sup>t</sup> - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have  
agreed until the third Tuesday of May next -

Shephard

Miller

N<sup>o</sup> 240

James Shephard of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Alexander Miller of Northampton in  
our County Esq<sup>r</sup> man Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
said Alexander at Springfield aforesaid on the twentieth seventh  
Day of October seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value  
rec<sup>d</sup> promised James to pay him or order Eighteen Pounds seven  
shillings & eleven pence half penny with Silver on Demand with  
Interest to be paid - Alexander thereafter requested hath never performed  
his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> James thirty pounds  
The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> James  
do recover against the Alexander twenty Pounds eight shillings  
and four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit  
fixed at £. 4. 6. - & thereof  
Given by the Court 20. 1784

Dawse

11

Daniels

N<sup>o</sup> 241

Samuel Dawse of Charlottown in our County of Middlesex  
Trader Plaintiff John Daniels of Northampton in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> man Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that the<sup>d</sup> John at Boston with at Northampton aforesaid on the  
twenty third Day of December seventeen hundred & eighty by his  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Samuel to pay him or order on  
Demand six hundred & eight pounds Lawful Money - And  
the Plaintiff averred that he demanded the contents of the same Note  
of the<sup>d</sup> John at Northampton on the fifteenth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup>  
there next following the date of the<sup>d</sup> Note & that by reason thereof the<sup>d</sup>  
John became liable to pay the lawful Interest of the same  
from the<sup>d</sup> fifteenth Day of January a boord till paid - yet the  
John thereafter requested hath never performed his Promise but  
neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>d</sup> Samuel eight hundred  
The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the<sup>d</sup> Samuel  
do recover against the John nine hundred & nine shillings of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Suit fixed at £. 2. 16. 3. - & thereof  
Given by the Court 20. 1784

Levi Shephard Apothecary & Surgeon Hunt Junr. Physic both  
of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Joint Dealers in Trade  
Plps vs Silas Ball late of Lavertham<sup>d</sup> County Physician & Deft in a Plea  
of Trepass on the Case for that D. Silas at Northampton you said on the  
twenty fourth Day of January 1782 by his Oath for value recd. promised Ball  
D. Plp (by the names of Shephard & Hunt to pay them or order on demand N<sup>o</sup> 242  
with Interest three pounds ten shillings & six pence in law & after  
Monie Also for that D. Silas at D. Northampton on the third Day  
of May last past in Consideration that D. Levi & Eben<sup>d</sup> at the special  
Instance & Request of this D. Silas had then before that time sold & delivered  
to this D. Silas divers Goods, wares, Merchandises as then this D. Levi  
and Eben<sup>d</sup>, understood & have therefore the promise & that the  
this D. Silas would well & truly pay or contract for the same much  
Money as the same Goods, wares, Merchandises at the time of  
the said delivery of them were reasonable worth with Lawful  
Interest thereof on demand & the D. Plp aver that the same Goods, wares  
and Merchandises above said at the time of the said delivery thereof  
were reasonably worth the sum of ten pounds & six pence & that he  
since to wit at Northampton aforesaid of which this D. Silas agreed  
this D. Silas had taken Also for that the D. Silas at D. Northampton  
on the thirtieth Day of July last being first admitted to this D. Plp  
in the sum of ten shillings & six pence of lawful Money for the time  
sum of Money thus paid & advanced by them at his request & for  
his use in Consideration thereof the D. Silas should have promised  
this D. Plp to pay them the same sum on demand - yet the D. Silas  
has often requested that he never performed his Promise but neglect  
it to the Damage of this D. Levi & Eben<sup>d</sup> save them Pounds - The  
D. Plp appear by Celestine Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & the D. Silas three times  
publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that this D. Plp  
do lie over against the D. Silas six ten pounds & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1.5.0  
and there of &c -  
Essex<sup>d</sup> May 1784

Ex 4 179  
13  
243

John Call of Northampton in our County a Justice  
Essex<sup>d</sup> John Toulton a Justice in our County of Hampshire  
Graders otherwise called yeomen Deft in a Plea of the Case for that  
for that this D. John at D. Northampton on the fifteenth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1783  
hundred & twenty four being justly Owed to the D. John  
in the sum of nine pounds four shillings & six pence lawful Money  
for diverse Goods, wares, Merchandises then upon that time of the  
special Instance & Request of this D. John sold & delivered to the said  
John by the D. John in Consideration thereof the D. John should have  
himself to this D. John the sum of ten pounds & six pence promised to pay him  
the same sum within six Months & the Interest thereof & that he  
performed his Promise but neglect it to the Damage of the D.  
John twenty Pounds - The Parties severally appeared & agreed to  
have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be  
final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D. John  
have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next  
Ladock King of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Plp vs Donah Daves of Wharfedale County Yorkshire  
called Pariah of Hatfield in our County of Middlesex Deft in a Plea  
my husband at large in the Original writ on Take - The D. Plp  
have three times publicly called to come into Court & neglect the  
Deft defaulted & the Plea  
N<sup>o</sup> 244

King  
244

Day  
Cotton  
N<sup>o</sup> 245

Samuel Day of Adams in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>l</sup> My  
vs Andrew Cotton of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Defendant. I shew in a Plea of Travers upon the last for that w<sup>th</sup> Andrew  
at Springfield on the first Day of June last went to a fair or market  
four being justly indebted to the said Samuel in the sum of ten pounds  
and six pence lawful Money for diverse goods wares, Merchandises  
then before that time sold delivered to the said Andrew by the said Samuel  
at his the said Andrew's special Instance Request in Consideration thereof  
the said Andrew promised on himself to the said Samuel the next then  
faithfully promised to pay him the same money and the Interest  
thereof on demand. Also for that the said Andrew at Springfield on the  
first Day of June next hundred and seventy five in Consideration that  
the said Samuel a like special Instance Request of the said Andrew had had  
the same sum of ten pounds lawfully delivered to him. And knew well and other  
wise that the said Merchandises assumed on himself to the said Samuel  
then there faithfully promised to pay him so much Money as  
the same goods wares, Merchandises at the time of the sale well  
delivering thereof were reasonably worth and the lawful Interest thereof  
whenever after he the said Andrew should be thereto requested. And the said  
Andrew at the same goods wares, Merchandises at the time of the sale  
and delivery thereof were reasonably worth another of twelve pounds  
lawful Money of which the said Andrew then afterwards the same Day  
and Date paid to the said Samuel <sup>Andrew</sup> Springfield on the first Day of July  
next in amount of twenty nine pounds lawfully promised to the said Samuel  
in the sum of twenty nine pounds lawfully promised to the said Samuel  
the same sum of Money then before that time paid, laid out expended  
advanced by the said Samuel for the said Andrew at his the said Andrew's special  
Instance Request in Consideration thereof the said Andrew promised  
himself to the said Samuel then there faithfully promised to pay  
him the same sum whenever after he the said Andrew should be thereto  
requested. Also for that the said Andrew at Springfield on the  
same first Day of July being justly indebted to the said Samuel in  
another sum of twenty nine pounds lawfully promised for the same sum  
of Money then before that time received by the said Andrew for the said  
Samuel to his use at his the said Andrew's special Instance Request  
in Consideration thereof the said Andrew undertook to the said Samuel  
then there faithfully promised to pay him the same sum when  
ever after he the said Andrew should be thereto requested. Yet the said Andrew  
tho often requested hath never performed either of his said Promises but  
neglects it to the Damage of the said Samuel nineteen pounds.  
The Parties were all appeared in person to report this Case to the Award  
final Verdict of William Byrneson Esq<sup>r</sup> James Byrnes Esq<sup>r</sup> John Morgan  
who are to hear. Parties their Proofs Allegations and Awards of  
them or any two of them is to be final to be returned into this  
Court Judgment to be made up & Execution to issue accordingly  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parties have lay over  
until the third Tuesday of May next.

Wright  
Cotton  
N<sup>o</sup> 246

Silah Wright of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> vs Joseph Cotton of Conway in s<sup>d</sup> County husbandman  
Defendant. I shew in a Plea of Travers upon the last for that the said Joseph at Northampton  
promised on the twelfth Day of June last seven hundred and twenty  
five his vote for value received promised the said Silah to pay him twenty five  
shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest full paid. Yet the  
said Joseph tho often requested hath never performed his said Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Silah six Pounds. The Plea appears by Certificate  
of the Court that the said Joseph publicly called to come into Court in  
default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
the said Silah do recover against the said Joseph two pounds six shillings two pence  
of lawful Money Damages costs suit taxed at £.3.11  
and thereof &c.

Exon<sup>g</sup> Feb 23 1784

Jonathan James of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman. Myr Elisha Clary of Waverthorpe County Carpenter Deft  
 in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that D<sup>d</sup> Elisha at Amherst in the  
 same County on the twenty first Day of January, seventen hundred  
 & eighty two by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Moses Cook  
 to pay him on order four pound six shillings meaning that  
 said in lawful Money in Spanish milled Dollars with the Interest  
 of the first Day of September then next and afterwards to wit on the same  
 twenty first Day of January at Northampton aforesaid the aforesaid sum  
 of Money in the Note aforesaid or any part thereof not being paid in  
 a certain Indorsement in writing on the same subscribed with the  
 proper hand of the D<sup>d</sup> James in that D<sup>d</sup> James ordered the aforesaid Elisha to  
 pay the Contents of the same Note to the aforesaid Jonathan for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> of which Indorsement the D<sup>d</sup> Elisha afterwards to wit the same  
 Day & year above said at Northampton aforesaid had Notice by means  
 whereof & by Force of the Law in such Case the D<sup>d</sup> Elisha became liable  
 & chargeable to pay to the D<sup>d</sup> James the Contents of the same Note according  
 to the Tenor & Effect of the same Note & Indorsement aforesaid the  
 D<sup>d</sup> Elisha being so liable and chargeable as aforesaid afterwards viz  
 the same Day & year above said at Northampton aforesaid assumed  
 on himself & to the D<sup>d</sup> James there faithfully promised to pay  
 him the Contents of the same Note according to the Tenor & Effect of the same  
 Note & Indorsement aforesaid by the time of Payment mentioned in  
 the same Note & yet the D<sup>d</sup> Elisha tho often requested hath never per-  
 formed his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>d</sup> James  
 six Pounds The Parties severally appeared on a Motion of the Pl<sup>ff</sup>  
 agree to have this Case continued to the next Term that the Officer  
 may amend his Return Thereupon it is considered by the Court  
 that the Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Aaron Billings of Conway in our County of Hamp-  
 shire yeoman Myr Thomas Billings of Sunderland in the County of Billings  
 Bricklayer Deft in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>d</sup> Thomas at  
 Conway aforesaid on the sixteenth Day of December seven-  
 hundred & eighty by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the said  
 Aaron to pay him for the Shillings Campue Money in which at  
 four shillings & Bushel by the fifteenth Day of August then  
 next with Interest till paid & yet the Thomas tho often  
 requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects it  
 to the Damage of the D<sup>d</sup> Aaron four Pounds The Pl<sup>ff</sup> appears  
 by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times put in  
 called to come into Court made default of appearance here  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>d</sup> Aaron do recover  
 against the D<sup>d</sup> Thomas three pounds seven shillings & five pence  
 of lawful Money Damages & cost of suit taxed at L<sup>d</sup> P<sup>d</sup> &  
 and thereof &c

Elizabeth Bliss of Springfield in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Gentlewoman Myr Levi Clark late of West Springfield  
 in the County of Hartford at the County of Hampshire Deft in a Plea of  
 the Case for that D<sup>d</sup> Levi at Springfield on the first Day of September  
 seven hundred & eighty seven was justly indebted to the D<sup>d</sup> Elizabeth  
 in the sum of three pounds lawful Money for the use & occupation of one  
 Room in the Dwelling House of the D<sup>d</sup> Elizabeth for the term of six Months  
 and in consideration thereof then & there promised the Pl<sup>ff</sup> to pay her  
 the aforesaid sum of three pounds whensoever after he should be thereto  
 requested & yet for that where the D<sup>d</sup> Elizabeth then a W<sup>d</sup> Springfield  
 on the first Day of September in the same year at the special Instance  
 and Request of the D<sup>d</sup> Levi had there before that time within the D<sup>d</sup> Levi one

Bliss  
10

Clarke

the Room in the D. Swelling House to be used occupied by the  
Live for a long time as both Parties should please them & there  
promised. Elisabeth to pay her on Demand for the next occupation  
thereof a much Money as the same was reasonably worth for the time  
the same should be occupied by. Live which Room the? Elisabeth  
says the Live had then used & occupied for the term of six Months next  
preceding 1<sup>st</sup> day of September and the? Elisabeth in fact says  
that the next occupation thereof was reasonably worth one other sum  
of four pounds eight shillings whereof Live thinks the whole value  
yet Live the often requested hath never performed his? Promise but  
neglected to the Damage of the? Elisabeth eight pounds  
The Parties severally appear and agree to have  
this case continued to the next Term & therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have day here until the third Tuesday  
of May next

Abbott  
11

Damon

N<sup>o</sup> 250

Lebina Abbots of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
woman M<sup>r</sup> Peter Damon of Lulaw in? County woman Sept in  
a Plea of the Case for the? Peter and? Springfield on the first day of  
December last past was justly indebted to? Lebina in the sum of  
three pounds seven shillings three pence lawful Money to balance  
Accounts according to the Account here to annexed and consider  
ation thereof. Peter then & there promised Lebina to pay another  
sum on Demand & yet? Peter the often requested hath never  
performed his? Promise but neglected to the Damage of the said  
Lebina four pounds The M<sup>r</sup> appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
M<sup>r</sup> & the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court  
may default of appearance here & therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the? Lebina do recover against? Peter three pounds  
seven shillings three pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
to be taxed at L<sup>1</sup>g. 6 & therefore & George Feb<sup>r</sup> 27. 1784

Alcop Ad<sup>r</sup>  
14

Smith & al

N<sup>o</sup> 251

Mary Alcop of Middletown in the County of Hartford  
State of Connecticut gentlewoman Administratrix on the Estate  
of Richard Alcop late of? Middletown deceased in? Capazito M<sup>r</sup>  
Thomas Smith yeoman and Samuel Cook yeoman both of  
Haddam in our County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea of the Case for  
that? Sept at Haddam aforesaid on the eighth day of June sixteen  
hundred & twenty three by their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
Richard in his life time to pay her three pounds fifteen  
shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
yet the? Thomas & Samuel the often requested have never per  
formed their? Promise but neglected to the Damage of the?  
Mary seven pounds The Parties severally appear & agree to have  
this case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final  
& therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have day  
here until the third Tuesday of May next

Morris  
15

Eddy & c

N<sup>o</sup> 252

John Harris of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire  
Physician M<sup>r</sup> Joshua Eddy yeoman of Wilbraham & Charles  
Eddy yeoman of Palmer both in the County aforesaid Sept in a Plea  
the Case for the? John & Charles and? Wilbraham on the twenty  
ninth day of September last past by their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
John to pay him nineteen pounds thirteen shillings eight pence  
lawful Silver Money on demand with Interest till paid  
yet the? John & Charles the often requested have never performed  
their? Promise but neglected to the Damage of the? John twenty  
two pounds

181—

Hearns

Enny.

No 253

## Chapter

Bagdad

N.º 254

Ansley

Part 1.

No 235

Child  
 11  
 Nathaniel  
 N<sup>o</sup> 256  
 David Child of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 vs Samuel Shattuck of Greenfield in our County of Maine Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 In a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Samuel at D<sup>r</sup> Dursfield on the  
 sixth Day of January Int<sup>o</sup> was justly indebted to the S<sup>d</sup> David in  
 the sum of five pounds four shillings eight pence lawful  
 Money to balance Book Accounts according to that Schedule  
 hereto annexed and that S<sup>d</sup> Samuel thereupon in Consideration  
 thereof assented on himself to that S<sup>d</sup> David faithfully promised  
 that he would pay him the same on Demand & yet the  
 S<sup>d</sup> Samuel tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he never paid the same but  
 neglected it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> David six pence The J<sup>st</sup> J<sup>st</sup>  
 appearing Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the J<sup>st</sup> the three  
 times publickly called to come into Court make default & appearance  
 here & therefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup>  
 David do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Samuel five Pounds four shillings  
 and eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit  
 taxed at £. 10:0 and thereupon Exon<sup>o</sup> 17<sup>th</sup> Mar<sup>o</sup> 1794

Adam  
 11  
 Scott  
 N<sup>o</sup> 257  
 David Child of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> vs Moses Maffay of Barnagastock in our County of Gwent Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 In a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Moses at D<sup>r</sup> Dursfield on the twenty  
 sixth Day of July last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 S<sup>d</sup> David to pay him twenty seven pounds five pence valid & due  
 on Demand with Interest until paid & yet the S<sup>d</sup> Moses tho<sup>t</sup> often  
 requested hath never performed his Promise but neglected it  
 to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> David thirty Pounds The J<sup>st</sup> J<sup>st</sup>  
 appearing Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the J<sup>st</sup> the three times  
 publickly called to come into Court make default & appearance  
 here & therefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> David  
 do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Moses eight Pounds fifteen shillings  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit  
 taxed at £. 11:6 & thereupon Exon<sup>o</sup> 27<sup>th</sup> April 1794

Adam  
 11  
 Dawson  
 N<sup>o</sup> 258  
 David Child of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 vs Daniel Dawson of Conway in our County of Gwent Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 In a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Daniel at D<sup>r</sup> Dursfield on the first Day of  
 June seventeen hundred & seventy one by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 S<sup>d</sup> David to pay him in or Order one pound fifteen shillings on  
 Demand with Interest till paid & yet the S<sup>d</sup> Daniel tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> David  
 ten Pounds The J<sup>st</sup> J<sup>st</sup>  
 appearing Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the J<sup>st</sup> the three times publickly called to come into Court  
 make default & appearance here & therefore it is considered  
 by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> David do recover against the S<sup>d</sup> Daniel  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit  
 taxed at £. 9:0 and thereupon Exon<sup>o</sup>

Child  
 11  
 Norton  
 N<sup>o</sup> 259  
 Oliver Child of Dursfield in our County of Hampshire Trader  
 vs John Norton of Charlmonth in our County of Gwent Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 In a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>d</sup> John at D<sup>r</sup> Dursfield on the eighth Day of December  
 seventeen hundred & seventy four by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 S<sup>d</sup> Oliver to pay him in or Order five pounds one shilling four pence  
 lawful Money within four Months from the date of the Note with  
 Interest after four Months till paid & also for that S<sup>d</sup> John at Dursfield  
 on the thirtieth Day of January Int<sup>o</sup> was justly indebted to the  
 S<sup>d</sup> Oliver in the sum of one pound nineteen shillings & two pence  
 for sundry Articles of Book & other according to that Schedule  
 hereto annexed he the S<sup>d</sup> John thereupon there in Consideration

thence promised S. Oliver to pay him the same sum on Demand  
 yet this S. Oliver has often requested but never performed his Promise  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Oliver Twelve Pounds  
 The Jly appears by Daniel Barnard Junr his atty the best tho  
 three times publicly called to come into Court make default again  
 appeared here  
 When present it is considered by the Court that vs?  
 Oliver no answer given  
 Nine pounds eight shillings  
 and there of 6-0-0  
 Exon 17 April 7 1794

Timothy Robinson of Granville in the County of Hampshire  
 Esqr My is Robert Esqr of Marlborough in our County of Berkshire  
 woman best in a Place as may be seen at large in the original  
 writ on file - The Jly being now three times publicly called to  
 come into Court vs. Thomas the best defaulted in the action  
 dismissed  
 Fast  
 No 260

John Woods of a Place called Willarning in the County of  
 Land called the State of Vermont Esqr My is Thomas Drake of Westfield  
 in our County of Hampshire woman best in a Place of Trespass on  
 the Case for that vs. John a D Northampton on the last Day of Oct  
 last past was possessed of one Black Horse of the Price of twelve  
 pounds one saddle of the value of 10 shillings one pair of new  
 Boots of the value of 10 shillings one pair of saddle Bags of the  
 value of eight shillings one Bridle of the value of three shillings  
 his own proper goods & Chattels being thereof possessed afterwards  
 to wit on the same last Day of October he the S. John casually  
 lost the same Horse saddle Bridle Boots saddle Bags and  
 possession which same Horse saddle Bridle Boots saddle Bags after  
 he did viz at S. Northampton on the last Day of October appeared by  
 finding came into the Hands & Possession of the S. Thomas by his finding  
 the same & nevertheless the S. Oliver has now all the same Price  
 saddle Bridle Boots saddle Bags to be the proper goods & Chattels of the  
 S. John & of right to him belonged yet withdrawing & instead of to receive  
 & refund the S. John of the Goods of that afterwards viz S. Northampton  
 converted the same to his own use to the Damage of the S. John twenty  
 pounds  
 The Justice severally appeared & one of the best  
 it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued to the next  
 Term  
 S. Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday of  
 May next

Wildad Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 woman My is Jonas Henry of Blanford in our County of woman vs. Fowler  
 Depute Sheriff for our County under Exchequer Post & Exchequer Causus  
 it is a Case as may be seen at large in the original writ  
 file - The Jly being now three times publicly called to come into Court  
 & a writ appears & agree to have this Case went over to the next  
 Term  
 When present it is considered by the Court that vs. S. Oliver  
 have Day here untill the third Tuesday of May next  
 Ezra Conant of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
 Husbandman My is Simon Green of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
 with of Granville in our County of Hampshire vs. Conant  
 Simon & Moses a D. Granville on the twenty eighth Day of June  
 present & appeared & in the case of their Debt for a sum of money  
 S. Ezra to pay him money viz 100 shillings within  
 one Month from the date of the writ & to be paid  
 for that vs. Simon & Moses at Granville appeared on the twenty eighth  
 Day of June by their then Debt for value & promised S. Ezra to pay him  
 fifteen pounds deliver Money in one Month from the Date of the writ & to







David Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire yeoman & his  
 is Joshua Goss of Montague in our County of Devon & his  
 of the Care for that. Joshua a. & the day on the eighth Day of  
 January seventeen hundred eighty two by his Note for value re.  
 promised D. David to pay him seven Bushels & two thirds of a  
 Bushel of Rye worth six shillings for the Bushel & to deliver the  
 same to him at Montague on the sixth Day of September the next  
 with lawful Interest for the same till paid &c. David in fact says  
 he was there always ready to receive the same Rye of Joshua  
 yet Joshua the often requested hath never paid the same but  
 neglect it to the Damage of the D. David ten Pounds & the P.  
 appears by Simon Strong Esq. his Atty. & the D. the three times  
 publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 here & therefore it is considered by the Court that D. David  
 recover against D. Joshua three hundred & fourteen shillings  
 ten pence of lawful Money & Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at £. 6. 4 with thereof &c.

104  
 Smith  
 is  
 Goss  
 No. 273

Samuel Bathwood of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman & his is Peter Snow just & Sarah Snow yeoman both of South  
 Hadley in our County of Devon & his of the Care for that. Bathwood  
 on the sixth Day of September seventeen hundred eighty four a. Bathwood  
 by their Note for value re. promised Samuel to pay him or order or  
 twelve Pounds in lawful Money within one Month from the Date of  
 P. Note with Interest for the same till paid &c. Bathwood  
 the often requested have never performed their P. Promise but neglect  
 it to the Damage of the D. Samuel twenty five Pounds & the Parties  
 severally appears & agree to have this case continued to the next Term  
 Judgment then to be final & therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that Parties have Day here until the third Tuesday of May next.

Bathwood  
 is  
 No. 274

Charles Brewer of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman & his is Obadiah Lillie yeoman & Nathaniel Lillie yeoman  
 both of Ludlow in our County of Devon & his of the Care for that. The  
 D. Brewer on the seventh Day of May seventeen hundred  
 and eighty one by his Note for value re. promised D. Charles to pay him  
 fifty Pounds in lawful Money in silver or Paper Money Equivalent  
 thereto on or before the first Day of April seventeen hundred eighty three  
 with Interest till paid &c. Brewer on the seventh Day of April  
 the same day of April May by their other Note for value  
 re. promised D. Charles to pay him thirty nine Pounds fifteen shillings  
 & six pence in lawful Money on or before the first Day of April  
 the next with Interest till paid &c. Obadiah & Nathaniel  
 the often requested have never paid the same but neglect it to the  
 Damage of the D. Charles one hundred Pounds & the Parties severally  
 appears & in a Motion of the D. it is considered by the Court  
 that this case be continued to the next Term that Parties have Day  
 here until the third Sunday of May next.

Brewer  
 is  
 No. 275

John Phelps of Littleport in our County of Hampshire gentle. & his  
 is James Clark of Botolph Claydon in our County of Norfolk & his of the Care for that. Phelps  
 on the seventh Day of May  
 seventeen hundred eighty two by his Note for value re. promised D. John  
 to pay him four pounds & eighteen shillings lawful silver & now within  
 twenty with Interest till paid &c. Phelps the often requested hath  
 never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the D. John nine Pounds  
 the P. appears without proper Person & the D. the three times publicly called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here & therefore it is  
 considered by the Court that D. John recover against D. Phelps five  
 Pounds eight shillings & two pence of lawful Money & Damages & Costs of  
 Court taxed at £. 10. 6 & thereof &c.

Phelps  
 is  
 Clark  
 No. 276

Hayden  
Warner  
No 277  
Moses Hayden of County of Hampshire, Physician  
Pls Joshua Warner of Wiltshire County, Gent. Defendant  
Pls of the Case for that D. Joshua Warner hath wronged the  
said Day of December Instantly his Debt for value recd. promised the  
P. House to pay him or order nineteen Pounds twelve shillings on demand  
with Interest till paid. yet this D. Joshua tho. of his requested  
hath never paid the same but negatived to the Damage of this D. No  
twelve pence Pounds. The Parties orally agreed that  
this Case be continued to the next Term Judgment there to be given  
next. It is ordered by the Court that D. Joshua have Day  
here until the third Thursday of May next.

Colton  
Mixer  
No 278  
Gideon Colton of Myfield in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman My 31<sup>st</sup> Decemr. 1703 of D. Myfield Husbandman  
Defendant Pls of the Case for that D. Phineas at D. Myfield on the  
fourteenth Day of October last paid by his Vote for value recd.  
promised to deliver him forty Bushels of Merchantable Rye or the value  
thereof in Wheat or Indian Corn at a Dwelling House in D. Myfield  
by the first Day of January then next. Also for that this D. Phineas  
at D. Myfield on the fourth Day of August last by his other Vote  
for value recd. promised to deliver to pay him eight Pounds at his own  
D. Phineas Dwelling. These in Myfield of old maid on demand with  
Interest till paid. yet this D. Gideon tho. of his requested hath never  
performed his D. Promise but negatived to the Damage of this D. Gideon  
sixty Pounds. The Pls appearing Thomas Gold Gent. his Att.  
and the Deft tho. three times publicly called to come into Court and  
discontinue appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that D. Gideon do recover against D. Phineas four Pounds four  
shillings of lawful Money Damages Cost of Suit taxed at 2:3:0  
and thereof. Quoniam Sub 23. 1704

Bagg's Petition  
for sale of real  
Estate  
No 279  
Humblyshew Roger Bagg of Wiltfield in our County of  
Hampshire, Administrator on the singular the Good & Lawful Rights  
and Credit of Daniel Bagg Esq. late of D. Wiltfield Gent. deceased that the  
Personal Estate of D. Bagg is insufficient to pay the Debts that are  
due from D. Estate the sum of one hundred & sixty four Pounds nine  
shillings five pence as appears from the Certificate of the Register of  
Probate here with Exhibited, your Petitioner therefore prays your honours  
to grant him liberty to sell as much of the real Estate of D. Daniel deceased as  
will enable him to pay off the just demands against D. Estate  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that D. Administrator be  
permitted to make sale of the real Estate for the Purpose aforesaid to  
the amount of one hundred & sixty seven Pounds lawful Money he  
duly observing the law touching such sale.

The foregoing Judgments Orders &c. being  
made and entered up in Manner as  
aforesaid and then the Court adjourned  
without Day. Mr. Rob. Breck etc

185

Hampshire Co. to the Court of Common Pleas holden at  
 Springfield in and for the County of Hamp-  
 shire on the third Tuesday of May being May Term  
 the 18<sup>th</sup> day of said Month and from Day 1784  
 to Day to the 22<sup>d</sup> day of the said Month  
 Anno Domini 1784

Justices of the said Court  
 present  
 Timothy Danielson Esq  
 Oliver Parker Esq  
 John Bliff Esq  
 Samuel Hather Esq

Jury of Trials  
 Eben Warriner Juror Sr.  
 Philip Smith  
 Philip Clark exor. No  
 Eben Magan dim. 2<sup>d</sup> day  
 John Miller Juror exor. 4<sup>th</sup>  
 Josiah Smith absent 5<sup>th</sup> day  
 James Hancock exor. 6<sup>th</sup>  
 Jabez Poinoy 7<sup>th</sup>  
 John Fielding 8<sup>th</sup>  
 James Smith Juror exor. 9<sup>th</sup>  
 Stephen Cookes 10<sup>th</sup>  
 John B. Borden 11<sup>th</sup>  
 David Weller 12<sup>th</sup>  
 Lovewell Thomas 13<sup>th</sup>  
 John Tulling exor. 14<sup>th</sup>

In Case Tilletson vs Read De Tal. Nathl White Wm Whitt James  
 Hendrick Phineas Woolworth

Chaise vs Hendrick De Tal. Andrew Colton Wm Whitt  
 Moses Stebbins Seth Turner Goburn

Noble vs Hays De Tal. Reuben B. Jacob Cookes Con. resp  
 Ashley David Starnum

None vs Campbell De Tal. Nathl White Reuben B. B.  
 and Elisha Smith

Thomas  
Doolittle

Lowell Thomas of Westfield in our County of Hampshire yoman  
vs  
Plym Titus Doolittle of P. Westfield yoman Defr in a Plea & case of  
Richard Doolittle - The Parties severally appear & Samuel Mather for them  
come in with their award as follows viz. In the Court depending  
between docture vs Plym Titus Doolittle Defr - The Parties severally not ap-  
pear & defr on the 12 Day of May 1774 with their A. Ego. tions  
and charge were having only on record the same as in the award  
and it is in that the line between the Lot 1st is found in the  
following manner, to begin at the River at the South East corner of the  
Original & is said to be a line of 100 rods running on the South side of  
Lot 1st to the one hundred & 15 rods to a stake with stones for  
a corner, thence running north to a Stake & Line with Blanford Port  
Line to the 1st the line of the Original Lot 1st laid out to the stake  
Doolittle the Land the West side of the last a line ran belongs to Titus  
Doolittle the Defr, further more it is so in the award in that the  
Defr is not guilty of the Trespass as alleged & that the Defr recover  
against the Plym is Cost the costs here to be taxed by the Court  
and Costs of Arbitration being seven Pounds, seven shillings & ten pence  
the above being in full of all demands between the Parties, all which  
is submitted by Sam. Mather, Esq. John Brown & John Budd & Messrs

Bigelow

Titus Bigelow of Boston  
yoman vs  
Plym Titus Doolittle of P. Westfield yoman Defr in a Plea & case of  
Richard Doolittle - The Parties severally appear & Samuel Mather for them  
come in with their award as follows viz. In the Court depending  
between docture vs Plym Titus Doolittle Defr - The Parties severally not ap-  
pear & defr on the 12 Day of May 1774 with their A. Ego. tions  
and charge were having only on record the same as in the award  
and it is in that the line between the Lot 1st is found in the  
following manner, to begin at the River at the South East corner of the  
Original & is said to be a line of 100 rods running on the South side of  
Lot 1st to the one hundred & 15 rods to a stake with stones for  
a corner, thence running north to a Stake & Line with Blanford Port  
Line to the 1st the line of the Original Lot 1st laid out to the stake  
Doolittle the Land the West side of the last a line ran belongs to Titus  
Doolittle the Defr, further more it is so in the award in that the  
Defr is not guilty of the Trespass as alleged & that the Defr recover  
against the Plym is Cost the costs here to be taxed by the Court  
and Costs of Arbitration being seven Pounds, seven shillings & ten pence  
the above being in full of all demands between the Parties, all which  
is submitted by Sam. Mather, Esq. John Brown & John Budd & Messrs

Hitchcock

John  
vs  
Plym Titus Doolittle of P. Westfield yoman Defr in a Plea & case of  
Richard Doolittle - The Parties severally appear & Samuel Mather for them  
come in with their award as follows viz. In the Court depending  
between docture vs Plym Titus Doolittle Defr - The Parties severally not ap-  
pear & defr on the 12 Day of May 1774 with their A. Ego. tions  
and charge were having only on record the same as in the award  
and it is in that the line between the Lot 1st is found in the  
following manner, to begin at the River at the South East corner of the  
Original & is said to be a line of 100 rods running on the South side of  
Lot 1st to the one hundred & 15 rods to a stake with stones for  
a corner, thence running north to a Stake & Line with Blanford Port  
Line to the 1st the line of the Original Lot 1st laid out to the stake  
Doolittle the Land the West side of the last a line ran belongs to Titus  
Doolittle the Defr, further more it is so in the award in that the  
Defr is not guilty of the Trespass as alleged & that the Defr recover  
against the Plym is Cost the costs here to be taxed by the Court  
and Costs of Arbitration being seven Pounds, seven shillings & ten pence  
the above being in full of all demands between the Parties, all which  
is submitted by Sam. Mather, Esq. John Brown & John Budd & Messrs

Commonwealth

Robert Treat  
of Massachusetts & in their behalf com  
vs  
Plym Titus Doolittle of P. Westfield yoman Defr in a Plea & case of  
Richard Doolittle - The Parties severally appear & Samuel Mather for them  
come in with their award as follows viz. In the Court depending  
between docture vs Plym Titus Doolittle Defr - The Parties severally not ap-  
pear & defr on the 12 Day of May 1774 with their A. Ego. tions  
and charge were having only on record the same as in the award  
and it is in that the line between the Lot 1st is found in the  
following manner, to begin at the River at the South East corner of the  
Original & is said to be a line of 100 rods running on the South side of  
Lot 1st to the one hundred & 15 rods to a stake with stones for  
a corner, thence running north to a Stake & Line with Blanford Port  
Line to the 1st the line of the Original Lot 1st laid out to the stake  
Doolittle the Land the West side of the last a line ran belongs to Titus  
Doolittle the Defr, further more it is so in the award in that the  
Defr is not guilty of the Trespass as alleged & that the Defr recover  
against the Plym is Cost the costs here to be taxed by the Court  
and Costs of Arbitration being seven Pounds, seven shillings & ten pence  
the above being in full of all demands between the Parties, all which  
is submitted by Sam. Mather, Esq. John Brown & John Budd & Messrs

Robert Treat Paine Esq. Atty General for the Commonwealth  
vs  
Plym Titus Doolittle of P. Westfield yoman Defr in a Plea & case of  
Richard Doolittle - The Parties severally appear & Samuel Mather for them  
come in with their award as follows viz. In the Court depending  
between docture vs Plym Titus Doolittle Defr - The Parties severally not ap-  
pear & defr on the 12 Day of May 1774 with their A. Ego. tions  
and charge were having only on record the same as in the award  
and it is in that the line between the Lot 1st is found in the  
following manner, to begin at the River at the South East corner of the  
Original & is said to be a line of 100 rods running on the South side of  
Lot 1st to the one hundred & 15 rods to a stake with stones for  
a corner, thence running north to a Stake & Line with Blanford Port  
Line to the 1st the line of the Original Lot 1st laid out to the stake  
Doolittle the Land the West side of the last a line ran belongs to Titus  
Doolittle the Defr, further more it is so in the award in that the  
Defr is not guilty of the Trespass as alleged & that the Defr recover  
against the Plym is Cost the costs here to be taxed by the Court  
and Costs of Arbitration being seven Pounds, seven shillings & ten pence  
the above being in full of all demands between the Parties, all which  
is submitted by Sam. Mather, Esq. John Brown & John Budd & Messrs

Charles Ward Ap  
York Esq. & General Ap  
Common Admin

Macham

The Parties severally appear & Samuel Mather for them  
come in with their award as follows viz. In the Court depending  
between docture vs Plym Titus Doolittle Defr - The Parties severally not ap-  
pear & defr on the 12 Day of May 1774 with their A. Ego. tions  
and charge were having only on record the same as in the award  
and it is in that the line between the Lot 1st is found in the  
following manner, to begin at the River at the South East corner of the  
Original & is said to be a line of 100 rods running on the South side of  
Lot 1st to the one hundred & 15 rods to a stake with stones for  
a corner, thence running north to a Stake & Line with Blanford Port  
Line to the 1st the line of the Original Lot 1st laid out to the stake  
Doolittle the Land the West side of the last a line ran belongs to Titus  
Doolittle the Defr, further more it is so in the award in that the  
Defr is not guilty of the Trespass as alleged & that the Defr recover  
against the Plym is Cost the costs here to be taxed by the Court  
and Costs of Arbitration being seven Pounds, seven shillings & ten pence  
the above being in full of all demands between the Parties, all which  
is submitted by Sam. Mather, Esq. John Brown & John Budd & Messrs

Charles L. Burdett, Sheriff of New York in the County State of New York. 106  
I am very sorry to hear of the death of your daughter. I am  
gentle woman who was with me for some time. I am  
and Boston. I am very sorry to hear of the death of your daughter.  
I am very sorry to hear of the death of your daughter. I am  
I am very sorry to hear of the death of your daughter. I am

In this case come into Court their award as follows  
 to the subscribers being appointed by the Court in this case to  
 of the same nature depending  
 the Court do award & determine that the  
 the Court do award & determine that the

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22<sup>nd</sup> inst. in relation to the proposed tax on the liquor. I have the honor to inform you that the same has been referred to the Board of Finance, and they have decided to tax the same at the rate of 20 cents per gallon. I have the honor to inform you that the same has been referred to the Board of Finance, and they have decided to tax the same at the rate of 20 cents per gallon. I have the honor to inform you that the same has been referred to the Board of Finance, and they have decided to tax the same at the rate of 20 cents per gallon.

[illegible]

I have been thinking of you very much lately, and  
 wondering how you are getting on. I hope you are  
 well and happy. I have been very busy lately, but  
 I have managed to find some time to write to you.  
 I have been thinking of you very much lately, and  
 wondering how you are getting on. I hope you are  
 well and happy. I have been very busy lately, but  
 I have managed to find some time to write to you.

C. ...  
is ...  
...  
Dinner ...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...



Benjamin Thompson of Ware in our County of Hampshire 187  
vs Peter Blackmer of Granwich in our County  
vs James Wright of Wiltshire in the County of Worcester Thompson  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea<sup>t</sup> as is Record heretofore. The Parties  
severally appeared Ruben Munn & others Referees in this case Wright  
came & being with the Court their Award as follows viz  
Hampshire p. Brimfield 13 May 1784. With the Subscribers being  
appointed & agreed upon to hear & determine all Debts, Duets  
demands between the<sup>s</sup> Benj<sup>r</sup> Thompson P<sup>l</sup>y & James Wright  
another. Def<sup>t</sup> have this Day attended & service & after hearing  
the Parties & their witnesses their Pleas & allegations & maturely  
considering thereof do award & find due to the P<sup>l</sup>y on a settle-  
ment of all demands to the sum of one hundred & thirty pounds  
two shillings eleven pence with the Costs of this Reference  
taxed at three pounds fourteen shillings & Costs of Court  
Ruben Munn, Joseph Browning Daniel Burt  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the<sup>s</sup> Benjamin  
do recover against the<sup>s</sup> Peter, James & others as the<sup>s</sup>  
Pounds two shillings eleven pence of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court & Reference taxed at £ 3. 2. 4.  
and thereof &c. Given at August 20. 1784.

Thomas Shearer of Colrain in our County of Hampshire  
vs The Inhabitants of Colrain former Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea<sup>t</sup> as is  
Record heretofore. The Parties severally appeared Jonathan  
Ashley & others Referees in this case comes & brings to Court their Inhabitanth  
Award as follows viz. With the Subscribers being chosen by Thomas of Colrain  
Referees P<sup>l</sup>y vs The Inhabitants of Colrain & appointed by the Court  
to award & determine an action depending in the Court of  
Common Pleas, in which Action the<sup>s</sup> Shearer demands of the<sup>s</sup>  
Inhabitanth twenty five pounds, having award the Parties do  
award that the<sup>s</sup> Shearer shall not receive any thing from  
the<sup>s</sup> Inhabitanth - And we do further award that the<sup>s</sup> Inhabitanth  
do receive against the<sup>s</sup> Shearer Costs of Court <sup>to be</sup> taxed by the Court  
and that the<sup>s</sup> Shearer pay the Costs of Reference taxed at two  
pounds fourteen shillings & four pence. Given at Brimfield 1784  
Jonathan Ashley Samuel Barnard Benjamin Henry  
Thus upon it is considered by the Court that the<sup>s</sup> Inhabitanth  
do recover against the<sup>s</sup> Shearer six Pounds & four shillings lawful  
Money for their Costs of defending the<sup>s</sup> & of the<sup>s</sup> Shearer  
and thereof &c.

Royzel Smith of Honeington in the County of New London Smith  
and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>r</sup> vs Joseph Babcock of Barnard<sup>r</sup> is  
town in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea<sup>t</sup> as is 18a Babcock  
Record heretofore. The Parties severally appeared as or to  
have this case continued under the former Rule. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the<sup>s</sup> Parties have further Day  
here untill the third last Tuesday of August next.

Benjamin Morick of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire 18b Morick  
vs Benjamin Pollard of Palmer in  
County Gent<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea<sup>t</sup> as is Record heretofore. Pollard  
The P<sup>l</sup>y being now three times publicly called to come into Court  
is nonuit & the Def<sup>t</sup> defaulted & the action dismissed.

Henry Thompson of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Thompson  
vs James Wright of Worcester in our County of Worcester Gent<sup>r</sup> 18c Wright  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea<sup>t</sup> as is Record heretofore. The P<sup>l</sup>y being now  
three times publicly called to come into Court is nonuit & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
defaulted & the action dismissed.

Halladay  
White

Sarah Halladay of Suffield in the County of Hartford & State of Connecticut yeoman Plff<sup>s</sup> Enoch White of South Hadley in the County of Hampshire yeoman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appeared agree to refer their Cross & all demands to the award of J<sup>st</sup> Jonathan White, Benjamin Tittsins & Silas W. Bliss who are to award. And his their allegations were on the award of them. And it was ordered by the Court that the Parties be referred to the Court Judge to be made up & Execution to issue accordingly. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have further Day on till the last Tuesday of August next.

Smiths Ad<sup>rs</sup>  
Kinners

Samuel Smith & John Smith Gent<sup>l</sup> both of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Administrators of the Estate of Enoch Smith late of South Hadley Gent<sup>l</sup> dec<sup>d</sup> in his Capacity Plff<sup>s</sup> Asahel Smith of the same Hadley Trader and Jonathan Child of Hartford in the County of Cheshire & State of New Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plff<sup>s</sup> being now three times publicly called to come into Court to contest the Def<sup>t</sup>s default & the Action dismissed.

Mather's Ex<sup>r</sup>  
Lymen

Samuel Mather of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Elisha Mather yeoman & Mary Mather yeoman both of Northampton in our County Executors of the last will & Testament of Samuel Mather late of Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in his Capacity Plff<sup>s</sup> Elias & Joseph of Hadley in our County Gent<sup>l</sup> Administrators with the will annexed of all & singular the Goods & Chattels & High & low Credits of Gideon Lymen late of Northampton Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased which was not administered by Elisha Mather late of South Hadley Gent<sup>l</sup> deceased who was Executor of the last will & Testament of the said Lymen in his Capacity Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appeared it is considered by the Court that this case be continued & that the Parties have further Day here on till the last Tuesday of August next.

Queen  
Graves

Timothy Queen of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire Trader Plff<sup>s</sup> Moses Graves Jun<sup>r</sup> of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appeared Israel Chapin & others Referees in this case were serving into Court this Award as follows viz. Hampshire March 11<sup>th</sup> 1784. At the Suburban being appointed Referees in this case & having met and fully heard the Parties & their several Pleas & maturely considered the same do award & determine that the said Moses Graves shall never against the said Timothy Queen thirteen pounds four shillings & five pence and that the Actions aforesaid of Moses Graves against Timothy Queen, Tim<sup>l</sup> Queen vs Moses Graves shall finally cease & determine. Israel Chapin Esq<sup>r</sup> Master in our Court now. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Moses do move against the said Timothy thirteen pounds four shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages and costs and things. Brown & Mar 18. 1785

Jonathan Daugless of Tam Kennek in the County of Albany  
 and State of New York Gent. My Jos. Dickinson of Pittsfield in the  
 County of Berkshire Gent. & James Easton of Pittsfield Gent. Esqrs. Daugless  
 in a Plea & ass of Record heretofore. The My appears by that  
 Gold Gent. his Att. & the Esqrs the three time publicly called to come  
 into Court make default of appearance & when for it is  
 considered by the Court then. My do recover against Jos. James  
 nine pence & one penny of lawyer Money  
 Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £4:19:0  
 Exon. May 24. 1784

Hayn  
 To  
 Noble

Eliah Adams of Custerfield in our County of Hampshire  
 there Physician. My Amos Smith of Washington in our County  
 of Hampshire Esq. in a Plea & ass of Record heretofore. The Parties  
 severally appear & no Judgement being made by the Court, this case is  
 (by the Rule of Court) is adjourned until next week. & upon it is  
 considered by the Court that the Parties have further say here  
 until the last Tuesday of August next.

Joseph Marsh of Ware in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman My is Samuel Andrews of Wiston in our County of  
 Worcester Husbandman Esq. in a Plea & ass of Record heretofore.  
 The My appears by Dwight Foster Esq. his Att. & the Esqrs the three  
 times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
 appearance & when for it is considered by the Court  
 that Jos. do recover against S. Samuel three pounds fourteen  
 shillings & one penny of lawyer Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £2:10:2 & thereupon  
 Exon. May 24. 1784

Robert Hunter of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
 Gent. My Isaac Jones of Wiston in the County of Middlesex  
 Esq. in a Plea & ass of Record heretofore. The My Hunter  
 appears by Dwight Foster Esq. his Att. & the Esqrs by Caleb Strong Esq.  
 his Att. & the Esqrs moving liberty to waive this file & to file a Plea at the Supreme Court  
 as the My within hath declared against him & things & and thus  
 Robert Hunter consenting to the above resolution with that the Plea  
 of said of the Isaac in manner & form as the same is above  
 pleaded is insufficient in law & therefore pray Judgement  
 & and that Isaac with his Plea of said is sufficient &  
 then upon all & singular the Premises being seen by the Court  
 are fully understood in as much as it appears to the Court that  
 the Plea of said of the Isaac by him above pleaded & the Matter  
 therein contained are insufficient answers to the Declaration of  
 of the Robert & ought not to preclude the Robert from having  
 and maintaining his Action of said & because the Isaac hath not  
 any manner denied the aforesaid Action & Plea of the Robert  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Robert do recover against  
 P. Isaac twenty one pounds & ten shillings & nine pence of lawyer  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £6:8:1  
 Then upon the Isaac by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att. & the Esqrs comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme



Jonathan Parker Jun<sup>r</sup> of Kings in the County of Cushing and State of New Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> vs George Wheeler woman and Ephraim Wheeler woman both of Grimes in our County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. Parker appears agree to have the case continued - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Parker pay damages until the last Tuesday of August next -

David Smith of Dedham in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> vs Jonathan S. Johnson of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. Johnson appears agree to have this case continued until the last Tuesday of August next -

Caleb Colver of Dedham in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> vs John S. Colver of Dedham in our County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. Colver appears agree to have this case continued until the last Tuesday of August next -

William Smith & Co. Executors on the one part vs Jonathan White the woman on the other part in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. White appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court make a lawful appearance - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said White recover against the said executors the sum of \$1000 with interest of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at \$110 - Therefor -  
Given in May 20<sup>th</sup> 1741

John Chothe & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Merch<sup>ts</sup> vs John Campbell Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. Campbell appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court make a lawful appearance - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Chothe & Caldwell recover against the said Campbell the sum of \$1000 with interest of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at \$110 - Therefor -  
Given in May 20<sup>th</sup> 1741

John Chothe & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Merch<sup>ts</sup> vs John Campbell Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. Campbell appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court make a lawful appearance - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Chothe & Caldwell recover against the said Campbell the sum of \$1000 with interest of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at \$110 - Therefor -  
Given in May 20<sup>th</sup> 1741

John Chothe & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Merch<sup>ts</sup> vs John Campbell Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the County of Hampshire Sept in a Plea & assy of Record heretofore in the In this case Mr. Campbell appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> White Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court make a lawful appearance - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Chothe & Caldwell recover against the said Campbell the sum of \$1000 with interest of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at \$110 - Therefor -  
Given in May 20<sup>th</sup> 1741





Bridgman  
Adm't  
Blodget

John Trucland of Boston aforesd. Merchants who are appointed  
administrators in the Name of this Martha who is superceded  
may be admitted as Plffs in this case, and if it is granted, but  
all regard to this matter the Court are pleased to order that  
this case be continued to the next Term for advisement whether  
the Administration can be admitted

Hawley  
Jury

Plas Butler aforesd. in our County of  
Hampshire Gent. Plff. David Jones of the same Southwick  
in a Plea case of Record heretofore in the Plff  
appears by counsel and is at the Defth the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
herefore it is considered by the Court that  
do move against David  
of lawful Money damages costs of Court taxed at £  
and thereof

Dodge  
Reed

William Dodge of Mason in our County of Hills  
borough State of New Hampshire aforesd. Plff. & Bradrick  
Gent of Surrey in the County of Cheshire State of New Hampshire  
aforesd. Plff. in a Plea case of Record heretofore in the Plff  
appears by counsel and is at the Defth the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
herefore it is considered by the Court that  
do move against William Dodge  
of lawful Money damages costs of Court taxed at £  
and thereof

William  
Morton

Obadiah Dickinson of Hatfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gent. Plff. Elisha Norton of the same Hatfield aforesd. Plff.  
in a Plea case of Record heretofore in the Plff appears by  
counsel and is at the Defth the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
herefore it is considered by the Court that  
do move against Obadiah Dickinson  
of lawful Money damages costs of Court taxed at £  
and thereof

Arthur  
Jurdon

Justus Thurston of Granwich in our County of Hampshire  
aforesd. Plff. Dudley Jurdon of Hardwick in our County  
of Hampshire aforesd. Plff. in a Plea case of Record heretofore in the Plff  
appears by counsel and is at the Defth the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
herefore it is considered by the Court that  
do move against Justus Thurston  
of lawful Money damages costs of Court taxed at £  
and thereof

Thomas  
Galpin

Nathanial Hazard of Walsburg in the County of Litchfield  
State of Connecticut aforesd. Plff. Caleb Galpin of Stockbridge  
in our County of Berkshire aforesd. Plff. in a Plea case of Record heretofore in the Plff  
appears by counsel and is at the Defth the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
herefore it is considered by the Court that  
do move against Nathanial Hazard  
of lawful Money damages costs of Court taxed at £  
and thereof

Charles  
Harrison

Peter Sanderwood of the City County & State of New York aforesd. Plff.  
Benjamin Harrison of Windsor in our County of Berks  
aforesd. Plff. in a Plea case of Record heretofore in the Plff  
appears by counsel and is at the Defth the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
herefore it is considered by the Court that  
do move against Peter Sanderwood  
of lawful Money damages costs of Court taxed at £  
and thereof

When on it is considered by the Court that it is do recover against  
P. Benjamin ~~Light~~ Pounds 18/- the Shillings & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages Costs of such taxed to £ 2. 9. 4. & there are  
£ 2. 11. 4. 1/2

191

David Gains of Gifford in the County of Durham in Gains  
State of New York Plaintiff vs George Goodridge  
of Guilford in the County of Hampshire a man Deft in a  
Plaint as is of Record here to see. The Court is now agreed  
and agree to have this case continued to the next Term when  
it is considered by the Court that it is do recover against  
the last Tuesday August next

David Dickinson of Sarfield in the County of Middlesex  
Plaintiff vs John Harve of New York in the County of New York  
Deft in a Plaint as is of Record here to see. The Court is now agreed  
three times previously called to come into Court to answer the  
Deft defaulted & the action dismissed

Jonathan Tilton & Granville in our Court of Sessions  
Plaintiffs vs John Shadrach Moore a man of the County of  
Hartford in the State of Connecticut Deft in a Plaint as is of Record here to see.  
The Plt appears by ~~himself~~ <sup>his Attorney</sup> ~~Shadrach Moore~~ <sup>his Attorney</sup> & the Deft by ~~himself~~ <sup>his Attorney</sup> Shadrach  
& Eliza Moore & the Court is now agreed that the Deft is not  
liberty to give any special Matter in evidence under the general  
issue say they are not guilty in manner & form as the Plt hath  
alleged against them & they put themselves on the Country  
And the Plt agreeing to a Reservation whenever it is come  
that if the Plt objects after the present trial to give in evidence  
Matter in evidence under the general issue the same shall be  
pleaded at the Supreme Court  
And the Deft is now agreed to give a special Matter in evidence  
you not give in evidence a special Matter in evidence  
alleged in the present trial in the County of Hartford  
And at this time returned & impanelled, being sworn  
and sworn to take the Oath on the promises, being duly  
sworn declare upon their Oath that they find the Deft frequently  
and abuse Damages for the Plt at the rate of two pence  
that upon it is considered by the Court that it is do recover against  
accused P. Shadrach Moore & Eliza Moore a man of the County of  
Money Damages Costs of such taxed to £ 2. 9. 4. & there are  
Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of such taxed to  
by John Strong & the Court is now agreed that the Deft is not  
of this Court to the Supreme Court to be tried  
Springfield in the County of Hampshire Deft in a Plaint as is of Record here to see.  
The Court is now agreed that the Deft is not  
as the Law directs for the Deft is now agreed that the Deft is not  
£ 2. 11. 4. 1/2

John Caldwell as Trustee in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Plaintiff vs John Campbell  
of Granville in our Court of Sessions Plaintiff vs John Campbell  
as is of Record here to see. The Plt appears by John Campbell  
Deft by the third time previously called to come into Court to answer  
as appearance here to see. When it is considered by the Court that it  
is do recover against P. Campbell a man of the County of  
of lawful Money Damages Costs of such taxed to £ 2. 1. 9. 4. & there are  
Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of such taxed to  
by John Campbell & the Court is now agreed that the Deft is not  
of this Court to the Supreme Court to be tried  
Springfield in the County of Hampshire Deft in a Plaint as is of Record here to see.  
The Court is now agreed that the Deft is not  
as the Law directs for the Deft is now agreed that the Deft is not  
£ 2. 11. 4. 1/2

Chas Ad 32  
Campbell.

John Oloth & John Caldwell both of Hartford in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Administrators of the Estate of Samuel Oloth late of Hartford  
The Sheriff of said State in Capacity of Myself James Campbell of Granville in our County of Hampshire a woman Defendant as is of Record heretofore & The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent his Att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court made no appearance wherefore it is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Deft fifty one pounds ten shillings & costs of suit & taxed at 2s 6d & 8d  
Given at June 22. 1784

Gates  
B. 140

Isaac Gates of a Place called Little Haerth in the County of Hampshire State of New York Myself Peter Bunday of Montpelier in our County of Hampshire a woman Defendant as is of Record heretofore & The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent his Att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court made no appearance wherefore it is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Deft seventy eight pounds sixteen shillings & costs of suit & taxed at 2s 6d & 8d  
Given at June 22. 1784

At  
For

John the son of New Haven in the County of New Haven State of Connecticut Myself William Frenet of Montpelier in our County of Hampshire a woman Defendant as is of Record heretofore & The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent his Att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court made no appearance wherefore it is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against William five pounds & costs of suit & taxed at 2s 6d & 8d  
Given at June 22. 1784

Blair  
For

Matthew Blair of Danford in our County of Hampshire Myself Eliza Blair of Writfield a woman Defendant as is of Record heretofore & The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent his Att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court made no appearance wherefore it is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Deft five pounds & costs of suit & taxed at 2s 6d & 8d  
Given at June 22. 1784

Wheaton  
For

Daniel Wheaton of a Place called White Creek in the County of Hampshire Myself John Phelps Gent of Hampton in the County of Hampshire a woman Defendant as is of Record heretofore & The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent his Att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court made no appearance wherefore it is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Deft twenty one pounds ten shillings & costs of suit & taxed at 2s 6d & 8d  
Given at June 22. 1784

Thrall

Myself John Phelps Gent of Granville in our County of Hampshire a woman Defendant as is of Record heretofore & The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent his Att. & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court made no appearance wherefore it is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Deft thirty one pounds ten shillings & costs of suit & taxed at 2s 6d & 8d  
Given at June 22. 1784

Warham Parks of Blarford in our County of Hampshire 27<sup>th</sup> July 192  
vs Joseph French of Southwick & Samuel French his late wife & child  
both in the County aforesaid yoman Defendants in a Plea & assise of  
Record heretofore. The Plff appeared as John Phelps Junr his atty  
& the Dfts the three & were publicly called to come to Court make  
surrender of appearance & to be heard & it is considered by the Court  
that the Dfts do recover against the Plffs in the sum of seven pounds seven  
shillings & six pence of lawful Money & damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £2. 4. 2 & the same to be paid by the Plffs to the Dfts from to 22<sup>nd</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1841

Joshua Grant of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plff vs Mary Parks of Hatfield of the same County by her atty  
as is of Record heretofore. The Plffs were three times publicly  
called to come into Court & it is considered by the Court that the Dfts  
do recover against the Plffs in the sum of seven pounds seven  
shillings & six pence of lawful Money & damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £2. 4. 2 & the same to be paid by the Plffs to the Dfts from to 22<sup>nd</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1841

Aaron Billings of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
yoman Plff vs Elijah Baker of Amherst in the County of Hampshire  
yoman Dft in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Parties severally  
appeared & agree to have this case continued & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the Parties have day here until the  
last Tuesday of August next.

Aaron Billings of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
yoman Plff vs William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> of Bournemouth  
Conway in our County of Hampshire Plff vs John Goodell  
Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Dft in a Plea & assise of Record  
heretofore. The Parties severally appeared & agree to have this  
case continued to the next Term. Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties have day here until the last Tuesday of  
August next.

Samuel Ware Jun<sup>r</sup> of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plff vs John Goodell of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire  
Dft in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Parties severally appeared  
and agree to have this case continued & wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Parties have day here until the last Tuesday of  
August next.

John Barrow of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
Labourer Plff vs Roger Thouldred now resident at S<sup>r</sup> Conway  
Labourer Dft in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Parties  
severally appeared & on a Motion of the Plff it is considered that  
this case be continued to the next Term under the former rule.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have day here  
until the last Tuesday of August next.

James Noble of Pittsfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plff vs Philip Taylor of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
yoman Dft in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Plff appeared  
by Thomas Gold Jun<sup>r</sup> his atty & the Dft by John Phelps Junr his atty  
& it is considered by the Court that the Parties have day here until the  
last Tuesday of August next. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Parties have day here until the last Tuesday of August next.  
Whereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to the former Statute of the  
Statute in such case made & provided at that time were sworn & upon  
being likewise demanded to come here to do their duty in the Declaration  
concerning the Premises being likewise duly sworn & declared upon  
their Oaths by the Jurors in this manner that they find the Dft  
never promised in Manner & Form a net worth in the Declaration.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plffs do recover against  
the Dfts his Costs for defending them & that the Dfts pay to the Plffs  
whereupon the Dfts by Thomas Gold Jun<sup>r</sup> his atty appeals from the

Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next he recognises with sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by Recognizance on File does appear.

Ingraham woman. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Jonathan Ingraham of Athol in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> William Smith of Dudley in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Defendant in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is ordered by the Court that he do recover against William Smith forty pounds and seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:3:4. Thereupon the Defendant John Chester Littleton Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next he recognises with sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by Recognizance on File does appear.

Wilde <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> John Wilde of Shutesbury in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> William Wilde of Shutesbury in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Defendant in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he do recover against John Wilde four pounds three shillings and seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:0:10. and thereof. Exon<sup>o</sup> if. May 25. 1704.

Biddle <sup>15</sup> <sup>Franklin</sup> John Biddle of Northwair County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Franklin</sup> Henry Franklin of Northwair County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Franklin</sup> Defendant in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he do recover against Henry Franklin four pounds three shillings and seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:0:10. and thereof. Exon<sup>o</sup> if. May 25. 1704.

Edeley <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> William Edeley of Hadley in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Noah Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Defendant in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he do recover against Noah Smith forty two pounds fourteen shillings and two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:13:6. and thereof. Exon<sup>o</sup> if. May 25. 1704.

Smith <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Benjamin Edeley of Hadley in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Noah Smith of Hadley in our County of Hampshire a man. <sup>15</sup> <sup>Smith</sup> Defendant in a Plea & assise of Record heretofore. The Plaintiff by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he do recover against Benjamin Edeley four pounds thirteen shillings and four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:13:6. and thereof. Exon<sup>o</sup> if. May 25. 1704.

Bethulia Graham of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire  
Administrator of the Goods Chattels of Samuel Graham late of  
Sunderland deceased in his Capacity Myself Abel Bragg of who teley Admt is  
in his County of man Dith in a Part of Record heretofore  
The Ply being now three times publicly called to come into Court is  
Non suit the Dith defaulted & the action dismissed

Bragg

Oliver Phelps of Granville in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Phelps  
Myself William Carter of Westfield in his County of man Dith in a Part  
of Record heretofore. The Ply being now three times publicly called  
to come into Court is Non suit the Dith defaulted & the action dismissed

Phelps

Carter

Isiah Strong of Southampton in our County of Hampshire  
Myself Stephen Bates of Southampton in his County of man Dith in a Part  
of Record heretofore. The Ply appears by  
Isiah Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> is att<sup>r</sup> to the Dith the three times publicly call  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that Mr. Isiah to recover  
against Mr. Stephen twelve pounds seventeen shillings & one penny  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.14.4  
and there of

Strong

Bates

Given in June 1. 1794

Jonathan Jedd Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southampton in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Myself Nathaniel Loomis of Southampton in his County of man Dith in a Part  
of Record heretofore. The Ply appears by  
Jonathan Jedd Jun<sup>r</sup> is att<sup>r</sup> to the Dith the three times publicly call  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that Mr. Jonathan to recover against the D<sup>r</sup>  
fourteen pounds & one shilling & one penny of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.14.4  
and there of

Jedd Esq<sup>r</sup>

Loomis

Given in June 1. 1794

Ezekiel Goodale of the Province of New York in our County of Hampshire  
Myself Daniel Ballard of New Salem in our County of Hampshire Farmer Dith in a Part  
of Record heretofore. The Ply appears by  
Ezekiel Goodale Esq<sup>r</sup> is att<sup>r</sup> to the Dith the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that Mr. Ezekiel to recover against the D<sup>r</sup>  
seven pounds & one shilling & one penny of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.6.0  
and there of

Goodale

Ballard

Given in June 1. 1794

Adam Beals Jun<sup>r</sup> of Goshen in our County of Hampshire  
Myself James Smith of Goshen in his County of man Dith in a Part  
of Record heretofore. The Ply appears by  
Adam Beals Jun<sup>r</sup> is att<sup>r</sup> to the Dith the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that Mr. Adam to recover against the D<sup>r</sup>  
sixteen pounds & one shilling & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
£2.0.0  
and there of

Beals

Smith

Given in May 24. 1794

James Brattish of Cannington in our County of Hampshire  
Myself Robert Walker of Winton in our County of man Dith in a Part  
of Record heretofore. The Ply appears by  
James Brattish Esq<sup>r</sup> is att<sup>r</sup> to the Dith the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that Mr. James to recover against the D<sup>r</sup>  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £  
and there of

Brattish

Walker

Remington  
vs  
Ripley

Isaac Remington of Cummington in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff Jonathan Ripley of Windsor in our County of Berkshire  
yeoman Defendant in a Plea Case of Record heretofore, wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that Isaac do recover against Jonathan  
the sum of five pounds of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court at £5:10:0 the 1st of June 1794

Rich

Thos

Thomas Duke of Goshen in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
John Taylor of Southborough in our County of  
Dorset Defendant in a Plea Case of Record heretofore  
Col. Porter one of the Referees being unable thro sickness to attend at  
the time and Place assigned a full and fair hearing this agreed between  
the Parties with the Thomas in his own name and Dwight Foster Esq  
Att to D. Egge on his behalf that the other Referees shall proceed to hear  
and determine the Case if they agree in Judgement that no advantage  
shall be taken of Col. Porter not being present & hearing the Case  
Apr 14 1794 Dwight Foster Thomas Duke  
Present this Case the Parties appeared their Partridge Son & Budd Jun  
Referees in this Case came overing into South their Edward as follows  
viz) We the undersigned two of the Referees in this Case having duly  
Notified the Plaintiff as above Dwight Foster Esq Att to Egge Taylor & Co  
to meet at the House of Col. Pitt Murray in Hatfield on the  
second Wednesday of April current the Plaintiff with his Att as above  
Dwight Foster Esq appeared and after hearing the  
mutual allegations made in this Cause & the several Pleas & Allegations  
on both sides & having mutually considered the same do aware  
and determine that the Thomas Duke shall have recover  
of John Taylor Esq the sum of twenty seven Pounds  
Damages & Costs of Court as also the Costs of the Reference which  
Costs of the Reference is five pounds & more than the things of four pence  
Hatfield April 14 1794 Oliver Partridge Son & Budd Jun  
whereupon it is considered by the Court that the Thomas Duke do recover  
against John Taylor seven Pounds of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court at £27:0:0 the 1st of June 1794  
Egon May 25 1794

Samson  
vs  
Randall

Isaac Samson of Cambridge in the County of Charlotte  
and State of New York yeoman Plaintiff Israel Randall of Belchertown  
in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant in a Plea Case of Record  
heretofore The Plaintiff appearing by Citation Esq his Att the  
Defendant tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default & appears not here Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that Isaac do recover against Israel twenty three pounds  
nin Shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court at £23:11:0 the 1st of June 1794

Buffington  
vs  
Putney

Samuel Buffington of Cummington in our County of  
Hampshire Plaintiff Peter Putney of Southwick in our County  
of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea Case of Record heretofore  
The Plaintiff appearing by Citation Esq his Att the Defendant  
tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default & appears not here Therefore it is considered by the  
Court that Samuel Buffington do recover against Peter Putney  
the sum of five pounds of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
at £5:0:0 the 1st of June 1794

Asa Smith of Shelburne in our County of Hampshire Joiner 194  
vs Edmund Smith of Belchertown ind<sup>r</sup> County Gent<sup>r</sup> Debt in a Plea & <sup>Smith</sup>  
as is of Record heretofore The Pl<sup>y</sup> being now three times publicly called <sup>Smith</sup>  
to come into Court is bound to the deft default & the action dismissed

Samuel Sunday of Brookfield in our County of Worcester Gent<sup>r</sup>  
Administration of all singular or the Goods & Chattels Rights & Credits <sup>Hinkley</sup>  
which were of Job Hinkley late of Brookfield Trader deceased ind<sup>r</sup>  
Capacity Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Alexander Miller of Woburn in our County <sup>Miller</sup>  
of Hampshire Innholder Debt in a Plea as is of Record hereto  
fore The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the deft  
tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
D<sup>r</sup> Samuel do recover against D<sup>r</sup> Alex<sup>r</sup> seventeen pounds & five pence  
of lawful Money Damages Cost of Court taxed at £2:7:0  
and there of Exon<sup>r</sup> if<sup>r</sup> May 27. 1784

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Abraham <sup>Commonwealth</sup>  
Merrifield yeoman Moses Winton yeoman & John Smith yeoman  
all of Shutesbury in our County of Hampshire Debt in a Plea  
as is of Record heretofore The Parties severally appear on <sup>Merrifield</sup>  
a Motion of the deft agree to have this case continued <sup>& al</sup>  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Parties have <sup>agreed</sup>  
untill the last Tuesday of August next

Tilly Rice of Brookfield in our County of Worcester Gent<sup>r</sup>  
Pl<sup>y</sup> vs James Phillips of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire <sup>Rice</sup>  
yeoman Debt in a Plea as is of Record heretofore <sup>Phillips</sup>  
The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the deft tho three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Tilly do  
recover against D<sup>r</sup> James seizure & possession of the lands described  
in the Writ unless D<sup>r</sup> James pay the sum of six hundred & thirty eight  
pounds seventeen shillings & nine pence of lawful Money to the  
Pl<sup>y</sup> in two Months & Cost of Suit taxed at £2:7:0 & there of  
Writ of Habeas Corpus issued July 19. 1784

Moses Bliss of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Abraham Leonard of Woburn in our County of <sup>Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup></sup>  
Berksire yeoman Debt in a Plea as is of Record hereto <sup>Leonard</sup>  
fore The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears in his own proper person and  
the deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here Wherefore it is consider  
ed by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Moses do recover against D<sup>r</sup> Abraham  
four pounds fifteen shillings & four pence of lawful Money  
Damages Cost of Court taxed at £2:1:2 & there of  
Exon<sup>r</sup> if<sup>r</sup> June 2. 1784

William Pynchon Esq<sup>r</sup> of Springfield in our County of <sup>Pynchon</sup>  
Hampshire Treasurer ind<sup>r</sup> Capacity Pl<sup>y</sup> vs Thomas Miller of West <sup>Miller</sup>  
Springfield ind<sup>r</sup> County yeoman Debt in a Plea as is of Record  
heretofore The Pl<sup>y</sup> being now three times publicly called to come  
into Court is bound to the deft default & the action dismissed

Sykes  
Bliss  
James Sykes of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Gent.  
Pls Thomas Bliss of Springfield ind. County Smt. Deftr in a Plea  
as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by. Hoses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>r</sup> the Deftr tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of Appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that he do move against. Thomas fifty five Pounds  
and nine shillings of lawful Money Damages. Costs of Court taxed  
at £1:18:10. and therefore  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 3<sup>d</sup> 1704

Plat  
Woolworth  
Elizabeth Plt of New York in the County of State of New  
York. Nathan Plt. Pinus Walworth of Granville in our County  
of Hampshire Deftr in a Plea as is of Record heretofore.  
The Plt appears by. Hoses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deftr tho three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he do move  
against. Pinus two hundred fifty three pounds & four shillings  
of lawful Money & Costs of Court taxed at £1:19:2. and therefore  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 3<sup>d</sup> 1704

Woodward  
Patric  
Aaron Woodward of Talland in the County of Hartford  
State of Connecticut yoman Plt is Thomas Patric of Dan in  
our County of Hampshire yoman Deftr in a Plea as is of Record  
heretofore. The Parties severally appears agree to have this  
Case continued for Judgement. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of August  
next.

Graves  
McMaster  
Aaron Graves of Palmer in our County of Hampshire Gent.  
Plt is Joshua Mc Master of Palmer yoman & John Dention of  
Dan in our County of Hampshire yoman Deftr in a Plea as is of Record heretofore.  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that Aaron do move against  
J. Dention John ninety two Pounds two shillings & three pence of  
lawful Money Damages. Costs of Court taxed at £2:3:0.  
and therefore  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 3<sup>d</sup> 1704

Newcomb  
Evan  
Hazeliah Newcomb of Barnardstown in our County of  
Hampshire yoman Plt is Joseph Evan of Barnardstown Smt. Deftr  
in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plt being now three time  
publicly called to come into Court is. Vnsuit the Deftr defaulted &  
the Action dismissed.

Hicks  
Sheldon  
David Hicks of Montague in our County of Hampshire yoman  
Plt is Elisha Burks Sheldon of Barnardstown ind. County Cordwainer  
Deftr in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plt now being now  
three times publicly called to come into Court is. Vnsuit the Deftr  
defaulted & the Action dismissed.

Hawler  
Noble  
Abner Hawler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
yoman Plt is Aaron Noble of Pittfield in our County of Berkshire  
yoman alias called Gunk Deftr in a Plea as is of Record heretofore.  
The Plt appears by Samuel Hawler Gent<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deftr tho three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he do move  
against. Aaron twenty Pounds & seven shillings & one penny of  
lawful Money Damages. Costs of Court taxed at £2:0:4. and therefore  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> May 25<sup>d</sup> 1704

Carmi Wright of Burfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman 195  
Plff vs Moses Hayward of Conway in sd County yeoman Defendant  
as is of Record here to fore. The Plff appears by John C Williams Esq  
his Att & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that he Carmi do recover against the Deft. Moses six  
pounds fourteen shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1:19:3 & there of &c. Exon. June 30. 1704

William Harkness of Pelham in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plff vs John Good Allen of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire  
Gentle, Deft in a Plea as is of Record here to fore. ~~The Plff appears by John C Williams Esq~~ Harkness  
his Att & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance. ~~Allen~~  
The Court ordered by the Court that he William do recover against the Deft  
John twelve pounds & nineteen shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:2:0 & there of &c. Exon. June 30. 1704

Thomas Morley of Montague in our County of Hampshire  
Cooper Plff vs John Montague of Hadley in sd County yeoman Deft  
in a Plea as is of Record here to fore. The Plff appears by John C Williams Esq  
his Att & the Deft the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that he Thomas do recover against the Deft  
John five pounds thirteen shillings & ten pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:0:7 & there of &c. Exon. June 4<sup>th</sup> 1704

James Shepherd of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Plff vs Stephen Tracy of Barnstable in sd County Clerk Deft  
as is of Record here to fore. The Plff appears by John C Williams Esq  
his Att & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that he James do recover against the Deft Stephen  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
& there of &c.

James Robb now a late Burman in the Grant; & between  
and State of Connecticut yeoman & John C. Robb late of sd County  
yeoman Administrators on the Estate of Thomas Robb now a late  
of sd County yeoman deceased in sd County Plff vs Stephen Bates  
Bates  
of Northampton in our County of Hampshire yeoman the wine  
balled Gentle. Deft in a Plea as is of Record here to fore. The  
Plff appears by John C Williams Esq his Att & the Deft the three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that he James  
do recover against the Deft Stephen nine pounds nine shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages  
& Costs of Court taxed at £1:11:6 & there of &c. Exon. June 1. 1704

Leonard Chetney of Northfield in the County of Hartford  
and State of Connecticut Gentle Plff vs John C Williams Esq  
in our County of Hampshire Esq Deft in a Plea as is of Record  
here to fore. The Parties usually appeared agree to refer this case  
and all demands to the Award & final Determination David Sexton  
John Williams & the Court do as to hear & Part is their Property  
and the Award of them or any two of them is to be final to be returned  
into this Court Judgment to be made up by to issue a writ of  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have by reason still  
the Court Friday August next.

Blow  
is  
Hunt

Phillip Fellow of Glastonbury in the County of Hartford State  
at Court in before me. Upon James Hunt of Williamsburgh in  
our County, vs. Temperance you man Debt in a. That is of  
Record as is of the Record. The Plaintiff by Caleb Strong Esq. his  
Att. & the Def. the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default by appearance here. Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant  
five pence or twelve shillings & one penny of lawful Money  
Damages Costs; Suit taxed at £1. 10s. 2d. & thereof &c.  
Exon. 1st June 1. 1704

Moston

Dickinson

Parks  
is  
Jusket

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hamp  
shire Esq. Administrator as all & assignee The Good and  
Charitable Right &c. Credit. which were of Eliza Parks of  
Wiltshire in our County Esq. deceased in a. Sup. in a. That is of  
Record as is of the Record. The Plaintiff by Caleb Strong Esq. his  
Att. & the Def. the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default by appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant seven pence or  
twelve shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages. Costs of Court  
taxed at £2. 5s. 0d. and thereof &c.  
Exon. 1st June 1. 1704

Gill  
Lawler

Moses Gill of Princeton in our County of Worcester  
vs. John Traler of Wiltshire in our County of Hampshire  
Traler otherwise called you man Debt in a. That is of the Record  
as is of the Record. The Plaintiff by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att. & the  
Def. the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default by appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant four pence or  
twelve shillings & one penny of lawful Money Damages. Costs of  
Court taxed at £2. 3s. 10d. & thereof &c.  
Exon. 1st June 1. 1704

Gov.  
Galloway

Samuel Daw of Adams in our County of Berkshire Gent.  
vs. Andrew Galloway of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Galloway in a. That is of the Record as is of the Record. The  
Parties severally appear, agree to have this case continued  
to the next Term under the former Rule. Wherefore it is con  
sidered by the Court that the Parties have day here until the last  
Tuesday of August next.

Jones  
is  
Glary

Jonathan Jones of Wiltshire in our County of Hampshire  
you man Debt in a. That is of the Record as is of the Record. The  
Parties severally appear, agree to have this case continued to the next  
Term Judgment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that the Parties have day here until the last Tuesday of  
August next.

Elizabeth Bliss of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Gentlewoman 196  
Myndivi Clark of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire Gentlewoman  
Plaintiff as of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Plt six pounds four shillings of lawful Money Damages both of Court taxed at £1:4:2 and there of. Exon<sup>gr</sup> June 22<sup>d</sup> 1784.

Mary Alsop of Middletown in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Gentlewoman Administrator on the Estate of Richard Alsop of Middletown deceased in said County Plt is Thomas Smith yeoman & Samuel Cook yeoman both of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Plt six pounds four shillings of lawful Money Damages both of Court taxed at £1:8:10 and there of. Exon<sup>gr</sup> June 22<sup>d</sup> 1784.

John Thurns of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire Physician Plt is Joshua Eddy of Wilbraham yeoman & Charles Eddy yeoman of Palmer in our County of Hampshire as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Plt twenty pounds eight shillings eight pence of lawful Money Damages both of Court taxed at £2:16:2 and there of. Exon<sup>gr</sup> June 22<sup>d</sup> 1784.

John Thurns of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire Physician Plt is Joshua Eddy of Wilbraham yeoman & Charles Eddy as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Plt twenty pounds eight shillings eight pence of lawful Money Damages both of Court taxed at £2:16:2 and there of. Exon<sup>gr</sup> June 22<sup>d</sup> 1784.

Seth Chapin of Springfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Plt is Hannah Bagg widow & Stephen Morgan yeoman both of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Plt twelve pounds ten shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages both of Court taxed at £1:10:6 and there of. Exon<sup>gr</sup> June 22<sup>d</sup> 1784.

John Truckley of the Manor of Livingston in the County of Dutchess State of New York Labourer Plt is Howard Cook of Great Barrington in our County of Berkshire Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by Moses Bliss Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> and the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Def<sup>t</sup> do recover against the Plt six pounds two shillings six pence of lawful Money Damages both of Court taxed at £3:13:10 and there of. Exon<sup>gr</sup> June 22<sup>d</sup> 1784.

Wood Es.  
vs  
Brake - John Esac of Place called the Willing in a Tract of Land called the  
State of Vermont Esq. vs. Moses Drake of Wiltfield in our County  
of Hampshire yeoman Esq. in a Pleasure as is of Record heretofore.  
The Parties mutually agree to have this Case continued to the  
next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties  
have day here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Fowler  
vs  
Hunty Belvid Fowler of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
vs. John Hunty of Wiltfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
in a Pleasure as is of Record heretofore. The Plt being now three times  
publicly called to come into Court in Pursuit the debt defaulted  
the Action dismissed.

Cornant  
vs  
Horn & al Ezra Cornant of Warwick in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman vs. Simon Stone of Cornant. Moses Stone & al  
both of Granwick in our County of Hampshire in a Pleasure as is of Record  
heretofore. The Plt appears by John Chester Williams Esq. his Attor the  
Plt the three times publicly called to come into  
Court made default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the Plt do recover against the Defs  
twenty eight pounds seven shillings & nine pence of lawful  
Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £2.9.10  
and there of &c. Exonigd. June 20. 1784

Thellogg  
vs  
Munn Seth Thellogg of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman vs. Samuel Munn of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
Esq. in a Pleasure as is of Record heretofore. The Plt being now  
three times publicly called to come into Court in Pursuit the  
Debt defaulted & the Action dismissed.

Dickinson  
vs  
Pratt Obadiah Dickinson Junr. of Northfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq. vs. Micah Pratt of Alham in our County  
yeoman Esq. in a Pleasure as is of Record heretofore. The Plt  
appears by John Chester Williams Esq. his Attor the three  
times publicly called to come into Court made default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plt do recover  
against the Defs five pounds & fifteen shillings of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1.13.1. & there of &c.  
Exonigd. June 20. 1784

Shearman  
vs  
Danielson James Shearman Junr. of Brimfield in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman vs. Nathaniel Danielson of Brimfield Esq.  
Esq. in a Pleasure as is of Record heretofore. The Plt appears by  
Amos Morgan Esq. his Attor the three times publicly  
called to come into Court made default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plt do recover against  
the Defs eleven pounds & six shillings & two pence of lawful  
Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £2.1.6. &c.  
Wherefore this Debt by Timothy Danielson Esq. his Attor is  
brought on appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and  
he recognizeth with Sureties as the Law directs for prosecuting his  
Appeal with Effect as by the Recognizance on file appears.

Edward Upham of Granville in our County of Hampshire Trader 197  
Plf vs Timothy Rice of Belcherstown in S<sup>d</sup> County of New Hampshire Deft in  
a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plf appears by Samuel  
Barnard Gent his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times publickly called  
to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> Edward do recover against S<sup>d</sup>  
Timothy twenty seven Pounds five Shillings & ten pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £2:5:0 & thereof &c.  
Given May 20<sup>th</sup> 1784

Upham  
Rice

Samuel Blair of Rutland in our County of Worcester  
yeoman Appellant vs Zachariah Bush of Westfield in our Blair  
County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> Appellee in a Plea as is of Record  
heretofore. The Parties severally appear agree to refer this case to Bush  
the Award of Timothy Robinson Esq<sup>r</sup> Warner or Parker Esq<sup>r</sup> and  
Nathaniel Tringly Esq<sup>r</sup> who are to hear S<sup>d</sup> Parties their Propose  
and the Award of them or any two of them is to be final to be returned  
into this Court Judgement to be made up & execution to give award  
ingly. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> Parties have  
George May until the last Tuesday of August next.

Samuel Botwood of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plf vs Jacob Snow Gent<sup>l</sup> & Josiah Snow yeoman both of South Botwood  
Hadley in S<sup>d</sup> County Deft in a Plea as is of Record heretofore.  
The Plf appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three  
times publickly called called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
S<sup>d</sup> Plf do recover against S<sup>d</sup> Deft eight ten pounds the same Shillings  
and four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
£1:14:3 & thereof &c.  
Given May 21<sup>st</sup> 1784

Charles Brewer of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire Brewer  
yeoman Plf vs Obediah Little yeoman & Nathaniel Little yeoman  
both of Sudlow in S<sup>d</sup> County Deft in a Plea as is of Record heretofore  
The Parties severally appear agree to have this case continued for  
Judgement which is to find the next Term. Wherefore it is consider  
ed by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day in until the last Tuesday  
of August next.

Brewer  
Little

Moses Hayden of Conway in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plf vs Joshua Warner of Williamsburg in S<sup>d</sup> County Hayden  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea as is of Record heretofore. The Plf appears  
by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times  
publickly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> Moses  
do recover against S<sup>d</sup> Joshua Warner Twenty Pounds one  
Shilling & ten pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit  
taxed at £2:1:0 & thereof &c.  
Given May 21<sup>st</sup> 1784

Hayden  
Warner

William Tring of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire New Entries.  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plf vs Moses & Thomas Tring yeoman Timothy Robbins yeoman Tring  
Moses Robbins Jun<sup>r</sup> yeoman all of Wilbraham Deft in a Plea where  
he demands against S<sup>d</sup> Deft that one moiety or half part of the Lot numbered  
one hundred & three originally laid out to Samuel Robbins &c. being  
in width nine Rods eleven & a half inches and nothing six feet  
to the Rod in the South Division of the outland & a narrow called  
formerly in the Town of Springfield & now in the Town of Wilbraham  
bounding north on the Lot Number one hundred & two & called

Tring  
Robbins  
Robbins

thing  
14  
Stebbins

South on lot number one hundred & four so called Stebbins own  
bounded bounding East on Land of Joel Chaffee West on land of  
Langdon also the one moiety of the lot one hundred & five in number  
so called in the second division of P. O. & Edward Common so called  
bounding North on the lot in number one hundred & five & South on  
the lot in number one hundred & six & East on land of Joel Chaffee West on land of Edward Stebbins original  
laid out to James Barker die. being in width five Rods & four inches  
also the one moiety or half part of the lot one hundred & eight in  
number in the same South division of P. O. & Edward Common so called  
originally laid out to Thomas Stebbins die bounding north on P. O.  
in number one hundred & nine & South on the lot in number one  
hundred & nine East on Land of Joel Chaffee West on Land of  
Edward Stebbins the lands now demanded being the moiety or half part  
of P. O. & above bounded lying between Land of Joel Chaffee on the East &  
North of Lewis Langdon & Edward Stebbins before named on the West  
all in said Abraham lying in Common & undivided & being in length  
one Mile & one third of a Mile where with the Appurtenances of the said  
William is Right Inheritance & hereunto that J. J. have no  
now Entry but by defect in by them unjustly & without Judgement  
when upon the twenty first of the last past in a time of Peace  
Baron held in their twenty years time in the last past in a time of Peace  
was seized in Common undivided of the lands above demanded  
& described with the Appurtenances in his term as of Free  
Right taking the Profits thereof to the value of Ten pound by the  
years when into the last past have no Entry but by defect in by them  
unjustly & without Judgement committed in the last past twenty  
years now last past where of the said William complains that  
they still do force & hold him out therefrom thereby he brings in  
suit where to the Damage of the said William fifty Pounds  
The Parties severally appeared a Motion of the P. J. agree to have  
this case continued. Then upon it is considered by the Court that  
J. J. have day here until the last Tuesday of August next

Stebbins  
1  
Truman  
N. 2

Francis Stebbins of Springfield in our County of Hamp  
shire Gent. P. J. is Asa Truman of Chesterfield in our County of Hamp  
shire called Asa Truman of Warrenton in our County of Essex Gent.  
in a Plea of the Case for that Asa a to Springfield on the twenty  
seventh day of September & return hundred & eighty one by his  
Vote for value re. promised Francis to pay two pounds  
two shillings silver Money on demand with Interest till paid  
yet the said Asa the often requested hath never performed his promise  
but neglects it to the Damage of the said Francis four Pounds  
The Parties severally appeared agree to have this case continued  
to the next Term Judgment then to be given. Whereupon  
it is considered by the Court that the Parties have day here until  
the last Tuesday of August next

Merrick  
1  
Wood  
N. 3

Thomas Merrick of Woburn in our County of  
Mass. Gent. P. J. is Joseph Wood of Sudbury in said  
County of Mass. Gent. in a Plea of the Case for that J. Joseph  
to Woburn on the twentieth day of April last past by  
his Vote for value re. promised J. Thomas to pay him sixty  
pounds lawful Money by or before the first day of January  
then next with Interest till paid & yet J. Joseph the often  
requested hath never performed his promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Thomas eighty Pounds

The Parties overallly appear, it is considered by the Court that this  
Case be continued & that the Parties have Day here until the  
last Tuesday of August next.

Jonas Parsons of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vs. James Noble of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire  
 Gent<sup>l</sup> & Daniel Dubois of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea that the<sup>r</sup> James & Daniel render to the<sup>r</sup> Jonas eight  
 hundred Pounds lawful Money which to him they owe & from him  
 unjustly detain &c. upon the<sup>r</sup> Jonas Complaint & says that the<sup>r</sup>  
 James & Daniel by the<sup>r</sup> of the Day of June 1777  
 in Court to be produced bound themselves unto the<sup>r</sup> Jonas  
 Parsons in the Sum of Eight hundred pounds lawful Money to  
 be paid him the<sup>r</sup> Jonas whenever after they should be thereto  
 requested, yet the<sup>r</sup> James & Daniel they have requested have  
 never performed the<sup>r</sup> Promise but neglect it to the Damage  
 of the<sup>r</sup> Jonas Eight Hundred Pounds. The Parties severally  
 appears agree to refer this Cause Case to the award of William  
 Williams, Elisha Porters & Caleb Hyde Esq<sup>r</sup>s. who are to hear said  
 Parties & the award of them or any two of them is to be final to be  
 returned into this Court Judgement to be made up & Executed  
 upon accordingly. & therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August.

I was ~~present~~ <sup>present</sup> at the case in the Court of Sessions  
in the State of Connecticut on the 2<sup>d</sup> of June in B. in the  
Palmer in our Court. I was ~~present~~ <sup>present</sup> at the  
of the case for that the S. Joshua a <sup>1<sup>st</sup></sup> Palmer on the eleventh  
day of June seven hundred & eighty two by his Note for value  
received S. I was to pay a sum of ~~one~~ <sup>one</sup> hundred & thirty  
by the fifth day of October then next or if not paid, Interest  
from thence till paid - if it S. Joshua the other requested by the  
paid the same but neglected it to the damage of the S. I was  
ten pounds - The Justice overruled the objection to have  
his case continued but no transfer of the case was made  
at the next Term, at which Term Judgment is to be final  
Therefore this consideration by the Court that the Justice is to  
decide until the last Tuesday of June it appears

[illegible]

Exon. p. Aug 4. 1784

Ward In Samuel Ware Junr of Conway in the County of Hampshire  
Burdett vs Ware Junr the American Paper Print & Bordwell of Williamsburgh in County  
No 1 of York is a Plea of the Case for that said Paper at the County  
the twentieth fifth day of April in the year one thousand eight hundred  
of his date for value received promised Samuel to pay him or  
order two pounds silver Money on demand with Interest  
till paid - yet the said Paper requested both never performed  
said Promise but negates it to the Damage of the said Saml  
three Pounds - The Plea appears by William Billings Esq  
and the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court  
in default of appearance here, & therefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that the said Samuel do recover against the  
Paper two pounds four shillings & ten Pence of lawful Money  
& for Costs Court taxed at £. 5. 9. with interest  
Given at June 4. 1784

Kings Esq William Billings of Conway in the County of  
Kings No 2 the American Paper Print & Bordwell of Williamsburgh in County  
No 3 of York is a Plea of the Case for that said Paper at the County  
the twentieth fifth day of April in the year one thousand eight hundred  
of his date for value received promised William to  
pay him or order twenty Pounds lawful Money on  
demand with Interest till paid - yet the said Thomas the of the re-  
quested both never performed said Promise but negates it  
to the Damage of the said William twenty five Pounds - The  
Plea appears by William Billings Esq and the Deft the three  
times publicly called to come into Court in default of  
appearance here - therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Thomas do recover against the Paper  
twenty five Pounds - The Parties overruling  
appears agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
July in addition to the final - therefore it is considered by the  
Court that the said Case be heard on the next Tuesday  
at 10 o'clock

Bartholomew vs Bartlett, Ashfield in the County of Hampshire  
C. C. No 4 the American Paper Print & Bordwell of Williamsburgh in County  
No 5 of York is a Plea of the Case for that said Paper at the County  
the twentieth fifth day of April in the year one thousand eight hundred  
of his date for value received promised Phineas to pay him twenty six Bushels of  
good Merchantable Rye on demand or the value thereof in lawful  
Money with Interest till paid - which Rye is well worth the three  
Pounds eight shillings lawful Money - yet the said Phineas the  
of the requested both never performed said Promise but negates it  
to the Damage of the said Phineas three Pounds - The Plea appears by  
William Billings Esq and the Deft the three times, which called  
in default of appearance here, & therefore it is considered by the Court that the  
said Phineas do recover against the Paper three Pounds four shillings eight  
pence of lawful Money & for Costs Court taxed at  
£. 5. 1. with interest  
Given at July 13. 1784

John Wathins of Buckland in our County of Hampshire  
 woman. Myr John Gilmore of a Place called Number seven  
 in our County of Hampshire in Debt to the said John Wathins  
 the sum of one hundred and eighty two pounds six shillings  
 and six pence by his late wife's debt to the said John Wathins  
 to pay in or under eight months from the date of the said  
 till paid - yet the said Gilmore tho' it is requested he should  
 perform his promise but neglected it to the damage of the  
 said John Wathins. The Parties severally appeared and  
 to this case continued to the next Term Judgment  
 then to be final - wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the Parties have day here until the next Tuesday of  
 August next -

Wathins  
 Gilmore  
 V. 10

Philip Troker a Weaver in the County of Dorset  
 a Slave of the County of Hampshire Myr John Gilmore of a Place called  
 in a Plea of the Case for the said John Gilmore to pay in or under  
 twelve months of the said debt to the said Philip Troker the sum of  
 for value received. Philip Troker to pay in or under eight  
 months lawful interest Money by the fourth day of April then next  
 with Interest till paid - yet the said John tho' often requested he  
 never performed his promise but neglected it to the damage of  
 the said Philip Troker. The Parties severally appeared and  
 to refer this case to the justice of the Peace for the County of Dorset  
 who are to hear the Parties and to award of them as any two of  
 them is a final to be returned into this Court Judgment to  
 be made and Execution to issue thereupon. Therefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that the Parties have day here until the next  
 Tuesday of August next -

Gilmore  
 N. 11

Ladach King a Weaver in our County of Dorset  
 a Slave of the County of Hampshire Myr Caleb Gidley of a Place called  
 in a Plea of the Case for the said Ladach King to pay in or under  
 eight months of the said debt to the said Caleb Gidley the sum of  
 together with concerning livestock of the said Ladach King  
 due owing by the said Caleb to the said Ladach King for the said  
 he the said Caleb then there found in arrears towards the said  
 Ladach King the sum of five pounds and six shillings and  
 six pence the said Caleb in consideration thereof promised the  
 said Caleb to pay him the same sum of money by the fourth day of  
 the said Ladach King then requested he should perform his promise  
 to the damage of the said Ladach King. The Parties  
 by William Bellings Esq. his Attorney the said Ladach King  
 called to come into Court made oath that he appeared and  
 whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Ladach King  
 against the said Caleb five pounds of lawful Money to be paid by  
 of Court taxed at £1 10s 0d - & thereupon

King  
 Gidley  
 N. 12

Exon ip. June 4. 1784

Thing. 4  
 Davis N<sup>o</sup> 13  
 Tadaok Thing of Conway County of Hampshire County  
 M<sup>rs</sup> Sarah Davis of said County Laborer Defendant in  
 the Case for that said Tadaok to the Plaintiff in said County on  
 the seventh day of the 17<sup>th</sup> September hundred & eighty four by  
 Note for value received. Tadaok to pay to the Plaintiff  
 the sum of ten shillings and six pence with  
 Interest till paid & yet the said Tadaok refused to do so  
 & thereupon the Plaintiff brought the same to the Court of the  
 P. Tadaok six pence. The Plaintiff appeared in Court  
 & the Defendant did not appear & the Plaintiff called to  
 come into Court & make default & appeared in Court & whereupon  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Tadaok do recover against  
 the Plaintiff four pounds & ten shillings & five pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & the Court taxed at £1. 17. 10. & thereupon  
 Exon<sup>ist</sup> June 4. 1784

Thing. 4  
 Davis N<sup>o</sup> 14  
 Tadaok Thing of Conway County of Hampshire County  
 M<sup>rs</sup> Sarah Davis of said County Laborer Defendant in  
 the Case for that said Tadaok to the Plaintiff in said County on  
 the seventh day of the 17<sup>th</sup> September hundred & eighty four by  
 Note for value received. Tadaok to pay to the Plaintiff  
 the sum of ten shillings and six pence with  
 Interest till paid & yet the said Tadaok refused to do so  
 & thereupon the Plaintiff brought the same to the Court of the  
 P. Tadaok six pence. The Plaintiff appeared in Court  
 & the Defendant did not appear & the Plaintiff called to  
 come into Court & make default & appeared in Court & whereupon  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Tadaok do recover against  
 the Plaintiff four pounds & ten shillings & five pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & the Court taxed at £1. 17. 10. & thereupon  
 Exon<sup>ist</sup> June 4. 1784

Thing. 4  
 Davis N<sup>o</sup> 15  
 Tadaok Thing of Conway County of Hampshire County  
 M<sup>rs</sup> Sarah Davis of said County Laborer Defendant in  
 the Case for that said Tadaok to the Plaintiff in said County on  
 the seventh day of the 17<sup>th</sup> September hundred & eighty four by  
 Note for value received. Tadaok to pay to the Plaintiff  
 the sum of ten shillings and six pence with  
 Interest till paid & yet the said Tadaok refused to do so  
 & thereupon the Plaintiff brought the same to the Court of the  
 P. Tadaok six pence. The Plaintiff appeared in Court  
 & the Defendant did not appear & the Plaintiff called to  
 come into Court & make default & appeared in Court & whereupon  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Tadaok do recover against  
 the Plaintiff four pounds & ten shillings & five pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & the Court taxed at £1. 17. 10. & thereupon  
 Exon<sup>ist</sup> June 4. 1784

Thing. 4  
 Davis N<sup>o</sup> 16  
 Tadaok Thing of Conway County of Hampshire County  
 M<sup>rs</sup> Sarah Davis of said County Laborer Defendant in  
 the Case for that said Tadaok to the Plaintiff in said County on  
 the seventh day of the 17<sup>th</sup> September hundred & eighty four by  
 Note for value received. Tadaok to pay to the Plaintiff  
 the sum of ten shillings and six pence with  
 Interest till paid & yet the said Tadaok refused to do so  
 & thereupon the Plaintiff brought the same to the Court of the  
 P. Tadaok six pence. The Plaintiff appeared in Court  
 & the Defendant did not appear & the Plaintiff called to  
 come into Court & make default & appeared in Court & whereupon  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Tadaok do recover against  
 the Plaintiff four pounds & ten shillings & five pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & the Court taxed at £1. 17. 10. & thereupon  
 Exon<sup>ist</sup> June 4. 1784

Jacob Bancroft yeoman & Lois Bancroft wife of S<sup>r</sup> Jacob both of  
 Granville in our County of Hampshire Administrators on the  
 Estate of George Pynchon late of S<sup>r</sup> Granville the deceased  
 Capable of the Estate of S<sup>r</sup> Timothy Miller of S<sup>r</sup> Granville, born in S<sup>r</sup> John  
 in a Plea of the Case for the S<sup>r</sup> Jacob a S<sup>r</sup> Granville was justly  
 indebted to the S<sup>r</sup> George in his lifetime the sum of six Pounds  
 lawful Money to be paid on Demand according to the said  
 George's Book, in Consideration thereof the S<sup>r</sup> Jacob being  
 indebted at that time since the S<sup>r</sup> George's death promised  
 to pay the same to the S<sup>r</sup> Administration on demand - yet  
 S<sup>r</sup> Jacob the other Requested as the never performed his promise  
 in neglect to it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Jacob six ten pounds.  
 The Plea appeared by John Phelps Jun<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the 2<sup>d</sup> time the  
 times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
 appearance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court  
 that S<sup>r</sup> Administration do recover against S<sup>r</sup> Jacob the  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
 of Court taxed at £

Miller  
 N<sup>o</sup> 17

Elisha Porter of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> the Sheriff of our County of S<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer Woods of Aldham  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer Gibbs of Belchertown both in S<sup>r</sup> County yeoman  
 James Perry of Eastern in our County of Bristol Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in  
 a Plea of Deft the 1<sup>st</sup> they render to him sixty Pounds lawful Money  
 which to him they owe from him unjustly detain for the husband  
 the S<sup>r</sup> Perry. the said Gibbs at S<sup>r</sup> Belchertown on the second Day of  
 February last past by their Bond in writing obligatory of the date  
 by them signed & sealed with the seals of their in Court to be  
 produced acknowledged themselves to be holden to stand firmly  
 bound & obliged unto the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha Porter in the sum of sixty  
 Pounds lawful Money to be paid to the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha Porter whenever  
 afterwards they should be thereto requested - yet the S<sup>r</sup> Deft the  
 often requested have never performed their S<sup>r</sup> Promise but neglect  
 it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Elisha six ten pounds - The Plea appears  
 by Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the 2<sup>d</sup> time the 3<sup>d</sup> time publicly  
 called to come into Court make default of appearance here -  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Elisha do recover  
 against S<sup>r</sup> Deft thirty two Pounds seven shillings of lawful  
 Money Gibbs Costs of Suit taxed at £1.13.4 - by the Court  
 Given in May 21. 1704

Porter Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Woods Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 N<sup>o</sup> 18

Dwight Foster of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> the Plaintiff Benjamin Thompson of Ware in our County of  
 Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin  
 at S<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the thirtieth Day of May five hundred  
 and eighty three by his Note for value received promised S<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff to pay  
 him or order three pounds ten shillings lawful Money on  
 Demand with Interest till paid - Also for the S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin  
 at S<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the tenth Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> last by his Note for  
 value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order seven shillings  
 lawful Money on demand with Interest - Also for the S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin  
 on the first Day of April Instant being justly indebted to the Plaintiff  
 in the sum of three shillings for so much Money as the Plaintiff to  
 his use by him the S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin there before that time had received  
 being so indebted S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin then & there in bond upon the promise  
 the Plaintiff to pay him the same sum on demand - yet the Plaintiff requested  
 as the Plaintiff to pay the same to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin twelve pence  
 The Plea appears by his own Proper person & the Deft the 3<sup>d</sup> time publicly called to  
 come into Court make default of appearance here - Therefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Foster do recover against S<sup>r</sup> Benjamin four pounds  
 seven shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £1.19.6 - by the Court  
 Given in May 21. 1704

Foster Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Thompson

Paddock  
Baker  
N<sup>o</sup> 20.

Lauton

Woodward

N<sup>o</sup> 21

Clark Lauton of Ingham in our County of Hampshire  
Sinner Sadder Myr Ephraim Woodward of Granwich in County  
Husbandman Ditt in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Ephraim at  
Dartmouth in County on this and Day of September last  
by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the P<sup>y</sup> to pay him or order  
three pounds nine shillings lawful Money on demand meaning  
with Interest till paid &c. yet the requested he hath not paid  
the same but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Lauton two  
Pounds &c. the P<sup>r</sup> appeared by Delight to Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> in Court  
and the D<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Clark do recover against said  
Ephraim three pounds nine shillings of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1.12.6 & thereof &c  
Exonij<sup>r</sup> May 22<sup>th</sup> 1704

Bates

Samuel Bates of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Myr Eldad Halian Boy Granville in County yeoman  
in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Eldad at Spring field on  
the thirtieth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two by his Note  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one William Williams to pay him or  
order twenty pounds in one year from the Date of D<sup>r</sup> Note with  
Interest till paid &c. the D<sup>r</sup> William Williams there  
afterwards on the same Day by his Indorsement on the same  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> appointed the Content of D<sup>r</sup> Note unpaid  
to be paid to the P<sup>y</sup> or his order of which the D<sup>r</sup> Eldad thinks  
that had Notice & by reason of the Promise being in the  
P<sup>r</sup> there in Consideration thereof promised the P<sup>y</sup> to pay  
the Content of D<sup>r</sup> Note according to the Tenor thereof  
yet the D<sup>r</sup> Eldad the other requested he hath never paid the same  
but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Samuel Bates  
the Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case con-  
sidered to the next Term Judgment therein to be final &  
therefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Bates have  
Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

David Hitchcock of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
 Gunt<sup>r</sup> P<sup>y</sup> Abiel Bush of Granby in our County of Hampshire  
 Grooman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the D<sup>r</sup> Abiel Capt<sup>r</sup> Granby  
 on the twentieth fourth day of November when under de<sup>r</sup> right one by  
 his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the P<sup>y</sup> to pay him or order  
 twelve pounds six pence lawful silver or Gold on demand  
 with Interest till paid in yet the request to pay the same D<sup>r</sup> Abiel  
 refused to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> David four New Pounds  
~~The Parties severally appeared & agreed to have the Case continued~~  
~~to the next Term~~ wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the Parties have long been in arrears until the last Monday of August  
 1794 at which time David & Bush & Capt<sup>r</sup> Granby the Deft the  
 three were publicly called to come into Court to satisfy such as  
 appeared here wherefore it is ordered that the Court the  
 David do deliver again to Bush five pounds twelve shillings  
 and six pence of lawful silver or Gold on demand  
 at L<sup>d</sup> 10: 3: 6 nothing of the  
 Given at the Court the 17th of May 1794

201  
 Hitchcock  
 Bush  
 N<sup>o</sup> 21  
 1794  
 1794  
 1794  
 1794

Joseph Graves of Melbourn in our County of Hampshire  
 Gunt<sup>r</sup> P<sup>y</sup> William Raa of Granby in our County of Hampshire  
 Grooman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the D<sup>r</sup> William Raa  
 at Melbourn on the Monday of Sept last by his Note for  
 value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Eliza Dwight to pay him or order  
 fifteen pounds six shillings and six pence lawful silver  
 or Gold by the first day of January the next year the Deft  
 on the 1st of Sept till paid and the D<sup>r</sup> Eliza Dwight  
 thereupon on the same day gave out a receipt to B<sup>r</sup>  
 whereupon by his Informer on the same day he ordered  
 the Court to give the Deft then due & refused to pay the  
 of this order of which the D<sup>r</sup> William Raa then there had notice in reason  
 of the promise being liable there they in consideration thereof  
 the P<sup>y</sup> to pay him the Court to give the Deft a receipt to the D<sup>r</sup>  
 yet William the Deft requested he should not perform the promise  
 but neglect it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Joseph the Deft  
 The Parties severally appeared & agreed to have the Case continued  
 for Judgment to the next Term wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Raa have a Lien until the last  
 Tuesday of August next

Graves  
 Raa  
 25

Patrik

Blair

N<sup>o</sup> 26

John Foster, Brookfield in our County of  
Windsor Esq. vs. Joshua & Son of Brookfield in our  
County of Hampshire Attendants on a writ called  
Return to a writ of Habeas Corpus & they do not  
return a man & from a Plea of the Case for that the P. Joshua  
& Son at Hampton in the County of Hampshire on the eighth  
day of September in the year eight hundred and  
fourteen promised the P. to pay him or order  
one hundred and twenty shillings & one penny law fees &  
costs in demand of the P. & to pay him or order  
that the P. Joshua & Son at Hampton on the eighth day of Sept  
in the year eight hundred and fourteen paid to the P.  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the P. to pay him or order four  
hundred and twenty shillings & one penny law fees &  
costs in demand of the P. & to pay him or order  
that the P. Joshua & Son at Hampton on the eighth day of Sept  
in the year eight hundred and fourteen paid to the P.  
in the sum of three pounds seven shillings & one penny  
law fees to balance the account of the P. with the P. Joshua  
& Son there is consideration thereof promised the P. to  
pay him the same in demand with interest till paid  
to the P. Joshua & Son the P. requested but never performed either  
of the P. promises but agreed to it to the damage of the said  
Foster for other Particulars The P. appears in his own  
proper Person & the Sept the three times publicly called to come  
into Court made default of appearance here Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the P. do recover against  
the P. Joshua & Son four pounds two shillings & two pence of law fees  
Money Damages & Costs taxed at £1. 15. 2  
and three of the  
Exonija. May 24<sup>th</sup> 1704

Chase

Jacob Chase of Shewsbury in our County of Andover County  
vs  
James Hendrick of Andover in our County of  
Hampshire Gent<sup>e</sup> Defendant  
In a Plea of the Case for that <sup>the</sup> James  
at Andover on the last day of February seventeen hundred  
eighty four owed <sup>to</sup> the thirty eight pounds fifteen shillings  
seven pence half penny lawful money for the which contained  
in the annexed account I think there in consideration thereof  
promised that <sup>he</sup> Jacob to pay him this money on demand  
Now for that <sup>he</sup> Jacob at Andover on the last day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen  
hundred eighty five had done performed for James at his  
request other two years four months four days labour in the  
James then & there in consideration thereof promised <sup>to</sup> to  
to pay him so much money as the said <sup>he</sup> Jacob reasonably deserved  
to have on demand on demand - and <sup>he</sup> Jacob says <sup>that</sup> he reasonably  
deserved to have therefor another thing the thirty eight pounds  
fifteen shillings seven pence two farthings whereof James  
thinks there had been - yet <sup>the</sup> James the <sup>other</sup> way rec<sup>d</sup> to do  
never performed his <sup>other</sup> promises but neglects it to the damage  
of the <sup>he</sup> Jacob eighty pounds - The <sup>Pl</sup> appears by Simon  
Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> & the <sup>de</sup> James by Moses Biss Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> &  
Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his att<sup>y</sup> comes & deposes that James Injures wherefore  
and removing liberty at the first trial only to give any special  
matter in evidence under the General Issue & to be especially  
at the Supreme Court says that he never promised in manner  
and form as the <sup>Pl</sup> hath alleged against him & thereof  
hath himself on the Country - and the <sup>Pl</sup> agreeing to the rotation  
likewise - thereupon the Jurors of the Jury according to  
the former effect of the Statute which were made provided  
at this time returned impanelled being likewise demanded  
come here who taking the Truth concerning the promises being  
duly sworn declare upon their Oaths by Elizabeth Warner their  
Foreman find the <sup>de</sup> James promised as set forth in the Declaration  
and <sup>he</sup> James Barrages for the <sup>Pl</sup> a six pounds nine shillings lawful  
Money - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that that said  
Jacob do recover against the <sup>de</sup> James six pounds nine shillings  
lawful Money Barrages & Costs of Court taxed at £

N<sup>o</sup> 28

Wherefore the <sup>de</sup> James ~~was not~~ <sup>is not</sup> a proper person to  
sue & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to holden at Springfield next for the County of  
Hampshire on the fourth Thursday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next to be recognized  
with sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal  
with effect as by <sup>his</sup> Recognizance on file appears -

Ruggles Woodbridge Esq<sup>r</sup> & Daniel Moody of common  
Cath of South Hadley in our County of Hampshire <sup>Pl</sup>  
Esq<sup>r</sup> & Warner of Granby in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>e</sup> Defendants  
In a Plea of the Case for that <sup>the</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> at South Hadley on the first day of  
June seventeen hundred eighty one by his date for value re<sup>d</sup>  
promised <sup>to</sup> Ruggles & Daniel to pay them twenty one pounds  
sixteen shillings ten pence lawful money in three at three  
shillings by the Bushel on demand with Interest till paid

Woodbridge

Warner

N<sup>o</sup> 29

Woodbridge v. G. B. I. The negroes that often requested to be never  
perform his promise but neglected to the damage  
of this. Requested Daniel thirty Pounds. The  
Warrant. Appointed by Simon Strong Esq. this 11th & the 12th  
the three times publickly called to come into Court  
made default of appearance here. Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that D. P. Appda recover  
against D. Fifth twenty six pounds one shilling & two  
pence & lawful costs & damages. Costs of Court  
taxed at 10 L. 10 s. 6 d. Wherefore it is ordered the 12th by John  
Chester Williams Esq. his Atty. comes into Court & appeals from  
the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to  
be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire  
on the fourth Tuesday of Sept. next he Recognizes with Sureties  
as the Law directs for his prosecuting & Appeal with Effect as beyond  
recognizance on this dose of fear.

Woolbridge  
N<sup>o</sup> 30  
Ruggles Woodbridge of Southadley in our County  
as Amovable Esq<sup>r</sup> vs<sup>r</sup> Ruben Taylor the on end of South  
adley yeoman Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for the said Ruben  
at Springfield on the first Day of June seventeen hundred  
and eighty two by his Note for value received promised  
Ruggles to pay him three pounds eleven shillings & four  
pence in lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
ye said Ruben tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his  
Obligation but neglected it to the Damage of the said Ruggles  
Eight Pounds - The Plaintiff Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> the said three times publicly called to come into  
Court & make default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the said Ruggles do recover against  
Ruben four pounds nine shillings & one penny of lawful  
Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1. 6. 4  
and thereupon  
Given at the Court at Exeter May 25. 1704

Samuel  
 Dunton  
 S. 21

Ex<sup>3</sup> & Samuel Coolidge man both  
 Ruggs Woodbridge of Southadley in our County of  
 Hampshire Ex<sup>3</sup> P<sup>3</sup> vs Robert Taylor the second of S. Southadley  
 a woman left in a Plea of the Case for that S. Robert afo<sup>3</sup>  
 Southadley on the first day of January seventeen hundred &  
 eight two by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S. P<sup>3</sup> to pay  
 them three pounds five shillings six pence lawful Money on  
 demand with Interest till paid - y<sup>t</sup> S. Robert the  
 afo<sup>3</sup> & both never paid this sum but neg<sup>l</sup> to it to the  
 damage of the S. P<sup>3</sup> six pounds - The P<sup>3</sup> appear by  
 their strong Ex<sup>3</sup> their att<sup>3</sup> in the Sept<sup>3</sup> the three times before  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 therefore it is considered by the Court that S. P<sup>3</sup> recover  
 against S. Robert three pounds fourteen shillings six pence of law  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 6. 7  
 & thereon -  
 Given y<sup>e</sup>. May 26 1704

Daniel Goolley of Amherst in our County of Hampshire Int<sup>r</sup> 203  
 Plaintiff vs Isaac Tappan of Worcester in our County of Worcester Defendant  
 Defendant a Plea of the Case for that Isaac at & Amherst on the  
 twentieth month of June of the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two  
 by his vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>r</sup> Daniel to pay him an  
 order two hundred & fourty Pounds in lawful Money  
 on demand with Interest till paid & yet S<sup>r</sup> Isaac tho requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the  
 S<sup>r</sup> Daniel two hundred & twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
 by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the 2<sup>d</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly  
 called to come into Court makes default & appears in  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Isaac do never  
 against S<sup>r</sup> Daniel two hundred & four Pounds one Shilling & four  
 pence of lawful Money Damages in Costs of Court taxed at  
 £1:16:6 The Defendant Dwight Foster Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>  
 comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this  
 Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Spring  
 field next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
 of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognises with Sureties as the Law directs  
 for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup> Recognizance  
 on Side appears

Schemiah Strong of Newtown in the County of Fair  
 field & State of Connecticut Clerk. Plaintiff vs Noah Smith of Haddam  
 in our County of Hampshire Defendant Int<sup>r</sup> 204  
 Case for that S<sup>r</sup> Noah at & Springfield on the sixth Day of June  
 one thousand eight hundred & twenty seven by his vote for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> promised the Plaintiff to pay him forty Pounds sixteen shillings  
 in lawful Money at the end of one year from the  
 same Date with Interest till paid & yet S<sup>r</sup> Noah tho  
 requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the  
 Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Schemiah sixty Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
 by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the 2<sup>d</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> the three times  
 publicly called to come into Court makes default & ap-  
 pears in Court wherefore it is considered by  
 that S<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff do never against S<sup>r</sup> Defendant fifty seven Pounds  
 sixteen Shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages &  
 Costs of Suit taxed at £1:6:0 Thereupon the Defendant John  
 Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes into Court & appeals from  
 the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
 to be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hamp-  
 shire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he recogni-  
 zes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal  
 with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup> Recognizance on Side appears

Mary Warner of Amherst in the County of Hampshire  
 Plaintiff vs Jacob Warner of Amherst Defendant Int<sup>r</sup> 205  
 Case for that S<sup>r</sup> Jacob at & Amherst on the twenty fourth Day of  
 March one thousand eight hundred & twenty five by his vote for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>r</sup> Mary one Shilling & ten pence in lawful Money  
 to pay them twenty eight Pounds twelve Shillings & six pence  
 in lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet S<sup>r</sup> Jacob  
 tho often requested hath never performed his Promise but  
 neglected it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Mary fifty Pounds

The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Boltwood

Lee

No 34

Ebenzer Boltwood of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff  
vs  
Gideon Lee Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
The Case for the Plaintiff is that the Defendant on the twenty first day of May seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order three pounds three pence in Spanish milled Dollars on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the Defendant after requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the Plaintiff eight Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant do recover against the Plaintiff three pounds five pence six shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 15. 0. Thereupon the Plaintiff by John Coker Esq<sup>r</sup> William Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney appeals from the Judgment of this Court Cause to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & suggests with Sureties to the Law Clerk for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effusion by the Plaintiff's Counsel appears.

Wilde

Ewing

No 35

Silvestre Wilde of Shutesbury in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
William Ewing Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff  
vs  
John Smith Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
The Case for the Plaintiff is that the Defendant on the twenty third day of August last past by their Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order eight pounds in lawful Money on or before the first day of May then next with Interest after that time untill paid. Yet the Defendant after requested have never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the Plaintiff fourteen Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant eight pounds five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 11. 0.

Clough

Drake

Ephraim Clough of Belchertown in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
Jonah Drake Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff  
vs  
Belchertown Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant  
The Case for the Plaintiff is that the Defendant on the third day of January seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order five Pounds in lawful Money within one year from the first day of May then next with Interest till paid. Also for the Defendant on the same third day of January by his other Note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order two Pounds ten shillings in lawful Money on or before the first day of May then next with Interest till paid. Yet the Defendant after requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Ephraim one hundred & forty Pounds.

The Pl/ appears by Simon Strong Esq his Atty & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court made default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Epiphain do recover against D<sup>r</sup> Epiphain Donah ninety five Pounds thirteen shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs, Costs at £1.12.4 — After all which the D<sup>r</sup> Donah by Simon Strong Esq his Atty ~~appeals~~ appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the last fourth Tuesday of September next & he recognizes with sureties as the law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file appears —

Clough  
&  
Dinsdale

Abel Chapin of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Pl/ is Samuel Parsons of Northampton in D<sup>r</sup> County of woman Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that said Samuel late of Springfield on the twenty seventh Day of August seventeen hundred eighty three by his vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Abel to pay him seventeen pounds five shillings & six pence in Lawful Money on or before the first Day of January then next with the Interest till paid — y<sup>t</sup> D<sup>r</sup> Samuel tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Abel twenty five Pounds — The Parties severally appeared & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement there to be final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of August next —

Chapin  
Parsons  
No 27

Lucretia Colt of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Widow Pl/ is Isaac Hunter late of Putnam in D<sup>r</sup> County of woman Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that said Isaac late of Hadley on the eighth Day ~~of~~ of August last past Hunter by his vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Lucretia to pay him three pounds & three shillings & eleven pence lawful Money & demand with Interest till paid — y<sup>t</sup> D<sup>r</sup> Isaac tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Lucretia seven pounds — The Pl/ appears by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court made default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Lucretia do recover against D<sup>r</sup> Isaac three pounds & six shillings & eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs, Costs at £1.10.6 — Wherefore this ~~appeals~~ appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he recognizes with sureties as the law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on file does appear —

Colt  
Hunter  
No 30

Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> Lincoln Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
Marshall  
No 41  
and of Mass.  
seems to pay

Whereas the said John Marshall late of said County of Hampshire an  
Abandoned Debtor in the Hands & possession of John  
Marshall Esq<sup>r</sup> late of said County of Hampshire the Agent, Att<sup>y</sup> Factor & Trustee of  
the S<sup>d</sup> John the Abandoned Debtor. Doth as a Plea of the Case wherein  
the S<sup>d</sup> Simon complains against the S<sup>d</sup> John named for the Twelveth  
the same John a S<sup>d</sup> Amherst in the sixteenth Day of March sweeten  
hundred eighty three owed the S<sup>d</sup> Simon four pounds ten Shillings  
four pence in lawful Money for several articles of account according  
to the Account here to annexed, then & there promised the S<sup>d</sup> Simon in  
consideration thereof to pay him the same in demand -  
and also for that the S<sup>d</sup> Simon was a S<sup>d</sup> Amherst in the sixteenth Day of  
April sweeten hundred eighty three & did then as performed for the  
S<sup>d</sup> John at his Instance & Request eleven other Labourers Service  
in that the S<sup>d</sup> John then & there in Consideration thereof promised the S<sup>d</sup> Simon  
to pay him so much Money as he reasonably deserved to have  
in demand - and that the S<sup>d</sup> Simon was & he reasonably deserved to have  
therein four pounds ten Shillings & four pence of lawful Money  
at which the S<sup>d</sup> John late Notice & yet the S<sup>d</sup> John the after  
neglected & hath never performed his S<sup>d</sup> Promises or either of them  
but since the making of the several Promises aforesaid the said  
John doth absconded & withdrawn himself out of this common  
wealth into Parts unknown leaving Estate Goods & Chattels  
high his Credit in the hands & possession of the S<sup>d</sup> John & only  
harmful which are liable in due to be attached & holden to  
satisfy the Judgement which may be recovered against the said  
John the Debtor in this Suit to the Damage of the S<sup>d</sup> Simon & that  
the S<sup>d</sup> John appears in his own proper Person in the S<sup>d</sup> John the Agent the  
three times publicly called to come into Court by default of appearance  
here - & thereupon it is considered by the Court that this action  
against the S<sup>d</sup> John the Principal be continued to the next Term  
and that the S<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of Aug<sup>r</sup> next

[illegible]

Asaph Leonard of West Springfield in our County of Hampt  
shire yeoman Plaintiff Daniel Hemmington of West Springfield  
yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that Daniel or said  
Springfield on the twentieth first day of November last made to  
said Hemmington by his Note for value received the sum of  
pay him three hundred and lawful Money and more with Interest  
till paid and yet said Daniel tho often requested hath never paid  
the same but neglected it to the damage of the Plaintiff nine  
Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Justice Esq Esq his Atty and the  
Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that Plaintiff do recover against Defendant four hundred and ten  
shillings and three pence of lawful Money Damages with a Court  
tax at £. 4. 7. and thereon to pay on the 25th May 1774

205  
Leonard  
Hemmington  
18th Dec

Abigail Esq of West Springfield in our County of Hampt  
shire Gentlewoman Administratrix on the Estate of said Esq late  
of West Springfield Defendant Plaintiff Luke Day yeoman and Thomas  
Day Gent both of West Springfield Defendants in a Plea of the Case  
for that said Esq late of West Springfield on the sixteenth day of Nov  
seventeen hundred and twenty three by their Note for value re  
ceived jointly and severally promised the said Abigail then living to pay him six  
pounds five shillings and seven pence on demand with Interest  
till paid and yet said Esq tho often requested hath never paid the  
same but neglected it to the damage of the Plaintiff and Defendants  
fifteen Pounds. The Parties severally appear and agree to have this  
Cause continued to the next Term wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties have say here until the last Tuesday of  
August next

18th Dec

Abner Leonard of West Springfield in our County  
Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff William Walker of Lenox in our  
County of Westchester Esq Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that  
Plaintiff at West Springfield on the second day of the last  
hundred and twenty four by his Note for value received promised the  
Plaintiff to pay him eight hundred and three shillings and eight pence lawful  
Money in one year from the date of said Note with Interest from  
the time of Payment till paid and yet said William tho often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglected it to the damage of the Plaintiff  
ninety Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Justice Esq Esq his Atty and the  
Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that Plaintiff do recover against Defendant twelve pounds fourteen shillings  
and seven pence of lawful Money Damages with a Court tax at £. 10. 3.  
and thereon to pay on the 25th May 1774

Walker  
18th Dec

Colt  
Huan  
No 39

Lucretia Colt of Hadley in our County of Hampshire widow  
Plffs John Swan late of Pelham ms? County yeoman left in  
a Ma of the Case for the s.d. John add Hadley on the 14th  
Day of August last past by his Note for value rec. promised  
D Lucretia to pay her or order six pounds Twelve Shillings  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet D Lucretia  
tho often requested he the same paid the same but neglected it to  
the Damage of two. Lucretia ten Pounds - The Plffs apply by  
motion strong Evidence that the Debt the three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
therefore it is considered by the Court that D Lucretia do  
recover against D John seven pounds one Shilling six pence  
and costs of Court taxed at £. 12. 2.  
Therefore the Court by John Chester Williams Esq? his Wtth  
appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial  
Court to be holden at Springfield in for the County of Hamp  
shire on the fourth Tuesday of September next but he recognizes  
with sureties at the Law direct for his prosecuting his Appeal  
with Effect as D Magnigance on file appears

Idem  
Marshall  
No 40

Lucretia Colt of Hadley in our County of Hamp  
widow Administratrix of the last will & Testament of  
Benjamin Colt late of Hadley Gen? died in s.d. County  
Jesse Marshall of Amherst ms? County yeoman left in a  
Ma of the Case for that D Jesse at D Hadley on the seventh Day  
of July seven hundred and seventy two by his Note for value  
rec. promised this D Benja? then living to pay him seven pounds  
and six shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
also for that D Benja? at Sunderland in s.d. County on the fourth  
Day of March seven hundred and seventy three had at the special  
Instances of D Benja? delivered to him both a S weight  
and a measure of rap in this he the D Jesse then there in consideration  
there promised D Benja? then living to pay him so much Money  
as the rap and the measure were reasonably worth on demand and the  
same rap and the measure were reasonably worth five pounds  
and six shillings lawful Money whereof D Jesse then had notice - also for that  
D Benja? then on the same day & year had sold & delivered to D  
Jesse a special Instance of the rap and the measure goods wares  
the rap and the measure performed various Labours & services for  
D Jesse he the D Jesse then there in consideration thereof promised  
D Benja? then living to pay him so much Money as this D Benja? was  
to have thereof on demand - and D Lucretia says D Benja? was a  
very honest man and that D Jesse tho often requested he the same  
paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of two. Lucretia twenty  
pounds - The Parties verbally agreed to have this  
Cause referred to the Judge of the Court to be final  
therefore the Court by the Court that D Jesse  
until the fourth Tuesday of August next

Almer Leonard of West Springfield in the County of Hampshire gentleman  
 Myr Josiah Mosley of Pittsfield in our County of Berkshire gentleman  
 Def in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>sd</sup> Josiah a tr<sup>d</sup> West Springfield  
 on the fourth day of September nineteen hundred & eighty one  
 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised the P<sup>ty</sup> to pay him or order  
 eight pounds ten shillings lawful Money in Gold or Silver by the  
 first day of November then next. yet <sup>sd</sup> Josiah the often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the damage of the said  
 Almer eight pounds. The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by Justice E. S. 19<sup>th</sup> 1881  
 At the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here in wherefore it is considered by  
 the Court that <sup>sd</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> do recover against <sup>sd</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> eight pounds five  
 shillings & seven pence of lawful Money damages & costs of Court  
 taxed at £1:10:7 and thereof etc. Given <sup>sd</sup> May 25<sup>th</sup> 1884

Leonard  
is  
Mosley  
No 16

Elijah White of Bolton in the County of Hartford and  
 State of Connecticut Gentleman Myr Elam Jewell late of Coventry  
 in the County of Hartford gentleman a thenise called Elam Jewell  
 of Springfield in our County of Berkshire gentleman Def in a Plea  
 for that where as <sup>sd</sup> Springfield on the last day of March last past  
 the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah had before that time at the special Instance & request  
 of the <sup>sd</sup> Elam sold & delivered to the <sup>sd</sup> Elam diverse Goods wares  
 Merchandise of him the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah in consideration thereof <sup>sd</sup> Elam  
 then & there promised the P<sup>ty</sup> to pay him therefor so much Money  
 as such Goods wares Merchandise sold & delivered were reasonably  
 worth at the time of the sale & delivery thereof on demand  
 and the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah says that the Goods wares Merchandise so  
 delivered as aforesaid were reasonably worth seven pounds twelve  
 shillings & three pence of which the <sup>sd</sup> Elam had notice & paid  
 sum the <sup>sd</sup> Elam has paid two pounds twelve shillings and the  
 remainder thereof being five pounds & three pence is still due &  
 unpaid. yet <sup>sd</sup> Elam the often requested hath never paid  
 sum of five pounds & three pence but neglects it to the damage of  
 the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah six pounds. The Parties severally agree to  
 have this Case continued to the next Term wherefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that <sup>sd</sup> Parties have day here until the last Tuesday  
 of August next

White  
Jewell  
No 17

Elijah White of Bolton in the County of Hartford and  
 State of Connecticut Gentleman Myr John Herrick of New Marlborough in  
 our County of Berkshire gentleman a thenise called John Herrick  
 of the County of Hartford State of Connecticut gentleman Def in a Plea  
 of the Case for that where as the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah at <sup>sd</sup> Springfield on the last day  
 of March last past had before that time at the special Instance & request of  
 the <sup>sd</sup> John sold & delivered to him diverse Goods wares Merchandise  
 to him the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah, he the <sup>sd</sup> John in consideration thereof promised the  
 P<sup>ty</sup> to pay him therefor so much Money as he reasonably deemed to have  
 for the same on demand - and the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah says the Goods wares  
 Merchandise aforesaid were reasonably worth eight pounds  
 seven shillings & six pence of which the <sup>sd</sup> John had notice & paid  
 the <sup>sd</sup> John at <sup>sd</sup> Springfield in the County of Berkshire on the last day  
 of August nineteen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised the P<sup>ty</sup> to pay  
 him eleven pounds five shillings & seven pence & thereon with  
 interest till paid. yet <sup>sd</sup> John the often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglects it to the damage of the <sup>sd</sup> Elijah eight pounds  
 The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that <sup>sd</sup> Parties have day here until the last Tuesday  
 of August next

White  
Herrick  
No 18

[illegible]

Elijah White of Bolton, in the County of Hartford State  
of Connecticut Greeting, Please John Taylor late of Meridenfield  
in our County of Hampshire formerly otherwise called John  
Taylor of Middelford in the County of Hartford otherwise  
called John Taylor late of Enfield in the County of Hartford  
State of Connecticut, woman Sept in a Plea that the said John under to  
them seven pounds ten shillings & two pence which to him he owes  
from him unjustly detains & whereon the said Elijah says that about  
the Inferior Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield in & for the  
County of Hampshire on the third Tuesday of May sweeten  
hundred & twenty three by the Judgement of the same Court by the  
Name of Elijah White of Bolton woman he recovered against  
the said John five pounds twelve shillings & four pence of Lawful Money  
damages & Costs of Court taxed at £7:17:10 & whereof the John is convicted  
by the Record thereof in our Court & remaining is manifest & appears  
that a Judgement, yet remains in its full Force & Effect not satisfied  
nor reversed and altho the said Plaintiff afterwards sued out diverse Writs of  
Execution thereon yet the same were never served as aforesaid were never  
served thereon and the return day thereof is long since past & the  
same are & shall remain unsatisfied & unpaid, whereby an Action to the said  
Elijah at Springfield to have & demand of the said John the said  
seven pounds ten shillings & two pence & over & the said John  
tho after requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Elijah fifteen Pounds — The Plaintiff appears  
by Justice Eldridge & his Attorney the Defendant tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court make defence or appearance here  
wherein it is considered by the Court that the said Elijah do recover  
against the said John twelve pounds nine shillings & two pence of  
Lawful Money & damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:0:00  
and thereof —  
Given at Springfield May 26 1794

Eliza & White of Boston <sup>in the County of Suffolk</sup> State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup>  
 v<sup>s</sup> Henry Herricks of Birmingham in the County of Berkshire  
 a woman otherwise called Latrop? Hartford a woman Duffin  
 a Plea of the Case for that whereas the<sup>d</sup> Eliza & a<sup>d</sup> Springfield  
 on the last Day of January last past had before that time  
 at the special Instance Request of the<sup>d</sup> Henry sold & delivered  
 to him diverse Goods, wares, Merchandize of him the<sup>d</sup> Eliza  
 he the<sup>d</sup> Henry in consideration thereof there were promised  
 the P<sup>l</sup> to pay him therefor a much Money as such Goods  
 wares, Merchandize were reasonably worth at the time of  
 the sale & delivery thereof on Demand and the P<sup>l</sup> says that  
 the P<sup>l</sup> Goods wares, Merchandize are were worth the sum of  
 two pounds eight shillings of which the P<sup>l</sup> Henry had Notice  
 yet the P<sup>l</sup> Henry tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
 neglect it to the Damage of the P<sup>l</sup> Eliza & three Pounds  
 The P<sup>l</sup> appears by Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
 times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear  
 ance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>l</sup>  
 Eliza do recover against the<sup>d</sup> Henry two pounds eight shillings  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.9.6  
 and therefor  
 Done at May 25<sup>th</sup> 1784

White  
 Herrick  
 No. 51

Daniel Pittin a woman & Martha White Gentlewoman  
 both of East Hartford in the County of Hartford & State of  
 Connecticut Administrators on the Estate of Samuel White late  
 of Hartford aforesaid Gent<sup>l</sup> dec<sup>d</sup> in s<sup>d</sup> Capacity P<sup>l</sup>s & Jonathan  
 Pierce of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire a woman Duffin a  
 Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan & a<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the last Day  
 of April overten hundred & eighty being justly Indebted to the P<sup>l</sup>  
 Samuel then living in the sum of Eleven Pounds one Shilling & seven  
 pence lawful Money to balance Book Accounts for diverse Goods  
 wares, Merchandize then before that time sold & delivered to the  
 P<sup>l</sup> Pierce at his Request in Consideration thereof P<sup>l</sup> Pierce then there  
 promised s<sup>d</sup> Samuel then living to pay him the same on demand  
 Also for that a<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the first Day of June 1772 the P<sup>l</sup>  
 Jonathan & Samuel accounted to gather of and concerning diverse sum  
 of Money before that time due to s<sup>d</sup> Samuel from the P<sup>l</sup> Jonathan &  
 then in arrear & unpaid & upon such Account stated the P<sup>l</sup> Jonathan was  
 then & there found to be in arrear to the P<sup>l</sup> Samuel in the sum of  
 four Pounds four shillings & one penny half penny in Consideration  
 thereof s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan then there promised s<sup>d</sup> Samuel to pay him the last  
 mentioned sum on Demand yet s<sup>d</sup> Jonathan tho often requested  
 hath never performed his s<sup>d</sup> promise but neglect it to the Damage  
 of the P<sup>l</sup> Daniel & Martha sixteen Pounds & The P<sup>l</sup> appears by  
 Justin Ely Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here wherefor  
 it is considered by the Court that the P<sup>l</sup> P<sup>l</sup>s do recover against the Def<sup>t</sup>  
 eleven Pounds one Shilling & seven pence of lawful Money Damages  
 & Costs of Court taxed at £1.7.6 After all which the Def<sup>t</sup> by  
 John Christopher Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> appeals from the Judgement of  
 this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield  
 next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Nov<sup>r</sup>  
 next & he agrees with sureties as the law directs for his prosecuting  
 his s<sup>d</sup> appeal with Effect as by s<sup>d</sup> Recogizance on file appears

White's Ad<sup>r</sup>  
 Pierce  
 No. 52

Leonard  
Day  
1753

Joseph Ashby of the County of Hampshire  
declarator all the Estate of David Leonard late of West Springfield  
gentle deceased in his capacity of Executor of the last Will and Testament  
of said deceased in virtue of the Care for the said Estate charged at said  
West Springfield on the thirteenth Day of August the sum of twenty hundred  
and ninety six by his Note for value recd. promised & saved then  
being to pay him or order thirteen pounds within five Months from  
the Date of said Note with Interest till paid. Also for that said Charge  
at West Springfield on the sixteenth Day of March the sum of twenty hundred  
and twenty four by his Note for value recd. promised & saved  
then being to pay him twenty Pounds sixteen Shillings eleven  
Pence on demand with Interest till paid. yet said Charge the  
same requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects  
it to the Damage of the said Joseph forty Pounds. The Plaintiff fears  
he is within the Statute in that the Debt has three times publicly called  
to come into Court made with of appearance where where  
fore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff do recover against said  
Debt thirty nine Pounds fifteen Shillings four pence by lawfull  
Money Damages Costs of Suit taxed at £1.3.7 and thereupon  
Given in May 25-1754

Morgan  
Day  
1754

Isaac Morgan of West Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Plaintiff vs Luke Bass Plaintiff vs Thomas Bass  
gentle both of West Springfield Defendants Defts in a Plea of the Care for  
that Deft at West Springfield on the twentieth Day of March  
the sum of twenty hundred and eighty one by their Note for value recd. jointly  
severally promised the Plaintiff to pay him twenty Pounds to be  
paid in Grain wheat at four Shillings by the Bushel Rye  
at three Shillings by the Bushel & Indian Corn at two Shillings  
by the Bushel by the first Day of May the sum of twenty hundred  
and eighty two with Interest from the first Day of May then next  
and the Plaintiff says the wheat was well worth viz. 8 Shillings by  
the Bushel Rye at six Shillings by the Bushel & Indian Corn at  
four Shillings by the Bushel viz. at West Springfield at the time  
of Payment mentioned in said Note which is fact. Also for that  
said Defts at West Springfield the same Day & year by one other Note  
for value recd. promised the Plaintiff to pay him the further sum of  
twenty Pounds viz. Grain the one third to be paid in wheat at  
four Shillings by the Bushel <sup>one third in</sup> Rye at three Shillings by the Bushel  
<sup>one third of</sup> & Indian Corn at two Shillings by the Bushel by the first Day of May  
the sum of <sup>1783</sup> ~~the sum of~~ with Interest from the first Day of May <sup>1783</sup>  
then next which time of Payment is past & the Plaintiff says the  
same wheat was well worth eight Shillings by the Bushel, Rye  
six Shillings by the Bushel & Indian Corn four Shillings by the  
Bushel at the time of payment mentioned in said Note viz. at  
West Springfield which time is past. Also for that said Defts at  
West Springfield on the same Day & year by one other Note for  
value recd. promised the Plaintiff to pay him the further sum of twenty  
Pounds viz. Grain viz. one third in wheat at four Shillings by  
the Bushel <sup>one third in</sup> Rye at three Shillings by the Bushel & Indian Corn  
at two Shillings by the Bushel by the first Day of May current

Morgan  
Jr

James

which time is past & the ply says that the wheat abv. West  
Springfield at the time of payment, mentioned in the Note last men-  
tioned was well worth six shillings by the Bushel, or five four & six  
pence by the Bushel & the Indian both three shillings by the Bushel  
and the Ply says he has always been ready to reimburse Grain  
according to the Tenor of sd. Note yet this Luke Thomas tho often  
requested have never performed this sd. Promise but neglects it  
the Damage of the sd. Isaac one hundred & forty Penns. —  
The Parties severally appear agt to have this Case continued  
for Judgment. — wherefore the Court considered by the Court  
that sd. Parties leave Day here untill the last Tuesday of May next.

Abigail Ely of West Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Gutterwoman vs. Luke Day of West Springfield  
gent. Defendant of the Case for that Luke at s. West Springfield  
on the fourth Day of April seventeen hundred eighty two by his Vote  
for value received s. Abigail to pay her ten Pounds eight Shillings  
in lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid. Yet s. Luke  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of this. Abigail fifteen Pounds. The Plaintiff appears Justin  
Esq. her Att. & the Def. the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is ordered by  
the Court that s. Abigail do recover against s. Luke eleven Pounds  
five Shillings & the price of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at s. 2. 3. & thereupon. Quia Affirmal which  
the Def. by Mans Bluff Esq. her Att. appeals from the Judgement  
of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
next in her next, he recognizes with trust as the law directs for  
his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by s. Recognizance appears.

Asaph Leonard of West Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Yeoman. Vs. Jonathan Worthington of West Springfield  
Deft in plea of the Case for that D. Don<sup>t</sup> & h  
D. with Springfield on the twelfth Day of August, seventeen  
dred, sixty eight by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the P<sup>ty</sup>  
to pay him four pounds three Shillings one Penny on Demand  
with use meaning Interest till paid - also for that D. Don<sup>t</sup> & h  
D. Springfield on the eighth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & seventy  
four by his other Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the P<sup>ty</sup> to  
pay him the further Sum of sd Pounds lawful Money on Demand  
with use meaning Interest till paid - & y<sup>e</sup> D. Don<sup>t</sup> the often requested  
hath never paid the sd Sum but negl<sup>d</sup> it to the Damage of the  
P<sup>ty</sup> Asaph twenty Pounds - The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by the Return say<sup>g</sup>  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>ft</sup> the three times publicly called to come in to Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that D. Asaph do recover against D. Don<sup>t</sup> seventeen Pounds  
eighteen Shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
Court taxed at £4.5 - & thereof - Ex<sup>hib</sup> if<sup>d</sup> May 22<sup>d</sup> 1774

John Worthington of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>. Vs. Josiah Rogers of West Springfield in said  
County Esq<sup>r</sup>. Agents. Trustees of Thomas Miller late of West  
Springfield yeoman an Absconding Debtor. Deft in a  
Pl<sup>o</sup> of the Case for the h<sup>d</sup>. T<sup>o</sup>. at s<sup>d</sup>. Springfield on the seventh  
Day of October last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
to John to pay him on order eighteen pounds seventeen shillings  
& nine pence lawful Money with Interest on demand,  
yet the Thomas has never fulfilled his Promise but neglected

Washington and hath a burden & withdrawn himself out of this State & so  
sends himself & his Goods & Estate that they cannot be come at  
to be attached to the Damages of these other twenty Pounds  
Rogers - And the Sheriff's Deputy viz. Jacob Day now returns that he has  
summoned these Josiah Rogers to appear as directed by the  
Writ - The Plt appears in his own proper Person & the said  
Josiah Rogers Trustee of Thomas Miller now appearing in Court  
and being sworn acknowledges himself indebted to S<sup>r</sup> Thomas in  
the sum of one hundred & ten pounds payable the tenth Day of  
July next the one half in Money the half in meat Cattle with  
Interest, from the first Day of March last, for which the said  
Thomas hath two several Notes, one payable in Money the other  
in meat Cattle as aforesaid, Also that he is further indebted to said  
Thomas in a further sum of Money payable in July A<sup>d</sup> 1785  
and thereupon it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Josiah leave  
Daghere untill the last Tuesday of August next

Mem  
Warner  
N<sup>o</sup> 58  
John Worthington of Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plt<sup>r</sup> Aaron Warner of S<sup>r</sup> Springfield y<sup>e</sup>oman  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Aaron at S<sup>r</sup> Springfield on  
the fifteenth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> 1781 in the Court of S<sup>r</sup> Innoc<sup>t</sup> Dom<sup>o</sup> 1781 by his Note for value re<sup>d</sup>  
promised S<sup>r</sup> John to pay him on or after fifteen Pounds five shillings  
and Six Pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
yet S<sup>r</sup> Aaron tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> John Twenty Pounds - The Plt appears in  
his own proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that S<sup>r</sup> John do recover against S<sup>r</sup> Aaron eight pounds  
four shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1:2:2 - & thereof re - Given J<sup>r</sup> June 1. 1782

Cotton  
Hancock  
N<sup>o</sup> 59  
Samuel Cotton of Long Meadow in our County of  
Hampshire y<sup>e</sup>oman Plt<sup>r</sup> Abel Hancock of Springfield in our  
County y<sup>e</sup>oman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Abel at said  
Long Meadow on the eleventh Day of March 1774 by his Note  
for value re<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>r</sup> Samuel to pay him six pounds thirteen  
shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
yet S<sup>r</sup> Abel tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel twelve Pounds - The Plt appears  
by John Worthington Esq<sup>r</sup> & registers  
~~he is now proper Person~~ the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Samuel do recover  
against S<sup>r</sup> Abel ten Pounds & eight shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:3:2 - & thereof re - Given J<sup>r</sup> May June 1. 1784

Mem  
Warner  
N<sup>o</sup> 60  
Samuel Cotton of Long Meadow in our County of Hamp  
shire y<sup>e</sup>oman Plt<sup>r</sup> Zachariah Warner of Springfield in our County  
y<sup>e</sup>oman Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that the S<sup>r</sup> Zach<sup>r</sup> by the same  
of Zachariah Warner & at S<sup>r</sup> Long Meadow on the twentieth Day  
of January 1773 by his Note for value re<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>r</sup> Samuel to  
pay him four Pounds ten shillings lawful Money on demand with  
Interest till paid - yet S<sup>r</sup> Zachariah tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Samuel ten pounds  
The Plt appears John Worthington Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Samuel do  
recover against S<sup>r</sup> Zachariah seven pounds & eleven shillings of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:3:2 - & thereof re - Given J<sup>r</sup> June 1. 1781

Jones  
 is  
 Bliff  
 N<sup>o</sup> 61

Jonathan Dwight of Springfield in our County of  
 Hampshire Merchant Plff vs Samuel Morgan of Springfield  
 Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the tw<sup>d</sup> Samuel at Spring-  
 field on the tenth Day of March Instant by his Note for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup>. promised D<sup>n</sup> Jonathan to pay him or order sixteen Pounds lawful  
 Money on demand with Interest until paid - yet D<sup>n</sup> Samuel  
 tho<sup>o</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
 Damage of the D<sup>n</sup> Jonathan twenty Pounds - The Plff appears by  
 Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft tho<sup>o</sup> three times publicly  
 called to come into Court make default of appearance here  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>n</sup> Jonathan do recover against  
 Samuel eleven Pounds fifteen Shillings five Pence lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1: 2: 6 & thereof the  
 Execut<sup>r</sup> May 20/70

Dwight  
 Morgan  
 N<sup>o</sup> 62

Jail Marble of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Apothecary Plff vs Nicholas Thumicke of West Springfield  
 in D<sup>n</sup> County Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the said  
 Nicholas at Springfield on the seventh Day of July last past by  
 his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised D<sup>n</sup> Jail to pay him or order six  
 Pounds seven Shillings & eleven Pence lawful Money on  
 Demand with Interest till paid - yet D<sup>n</sup> Deft tho<sup>o</sup> often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
 Jail ten Pounds - The Plff appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his  
 Att<sup>r</sup> & the Deft tho<sup>o</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court  
 make default of appearance here - wherefore it is considered by  
 the Court that D<sup>n</sup> Jail do recover against D<sup>n</sup> Deft seven Pounds &  
 five Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at £1: 2: 2 & thereof the  
 Execut<sup>r</sup> May 20/70

Marble  
 Thumicke  
 N<sup>o</sup> 63

Raths  
 11  
 Luddington  
 N<sup>o</sup> 64  
 Andrus Raths of Norwich in the County of New London & State of Connecticut yeoman. My or Daniel Luddington of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for Debt. Daniel afo<sup>d</sup> West Springfield on the twenty third Day of June Instant by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised? Fredrick to pay him sixteen pounds seven shillings & eleven Pence on demand with Interest till paid - yet? Daniel tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the? Fredrick eighteen Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance wherefore it is considered by the Court that? Fredrick to recover against? Daniel sixteen Pounds thirteen shillings & eight Pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £. 4: 6 and thereof £. 1: 10  
 Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 17<sup>th</sup> 1784

Hather  
 11  
 Murre  
 N<sup>o</sup> 65  
 Jacob Hather of Suffield in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut yeoman. My or Daniel Murre of Southwick in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for Debt. Daniel afo<sup>d</sup> Suffield viz at S<sup>t</sup> Springfield on the twenty first Day of July last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised? Jacob to pay him ~~or order~~ by the tenth day of Oct<sup>r</sup> then next eight pounds and one shilling & eight Pence with Interest till paid - yet? Daniel tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the? Jacob twelve pounds The Parties severally appears agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge ment then to be final - wherefore it is considered by the Court that? Parties have day here until the last Tuesday of Aug<sup>t</sup> next.

Hancheth  
 11  
 Perkins  
 N<sup>o</sup> 66  
 Oliver Hancheth of Suffield in the County of Hartford and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> or Phineas Perkins of Southwick in our County of Hampshire yeoman afo<sup>d</sup> Deft in a Plea of the Case for Debt. Phineas afo<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the thirteenth Day of October last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised? Oliver to pay him or order by the first day of Feb<sup>r</sup> then next four Pounds thirteen shillings & eleven Pence with Interest till paid - yet? Phineas tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the? Oliver six Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that? Oliver to recover against? Phineas four Pounds sixteen shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £. 6: 2 & thereof £. 1: 10  
 Exon ip<sup>s</sup> May 26<sup>th</sup> 1784

Burdett  
 11  
 Moore  
 N<sup>o</sup> 67  
 John Burdett the third & Francis Robbins both of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Merch<sup>ts</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> or Sattus Moore of S<sup>t</sup> Springfield yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for Debt. Sattus afo<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the fifth day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1782 promised? John & Francis to pay <sup>or order</sup> them or order two pounds ten shillings worth of good Merchantable Flour for value rec<sup>d</sup> on demand or to pay them or order as aforesaid two pounds two shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet the? Sattus tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his? Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the? John & Francis four Pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Alex<sup>r</sup> Wolcott Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft<sup>r</sup> the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that? Pl<sup>y</sup> do recover against the Deft<sup>r</sup> one pound seven shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £. 0: 12: 0 & thereof £. 1: 10  
 Exon ip<sup>s</sup> May 26<sup>th</sup> 1784

Robert a Negro Man late of Colrain Laborer <sup>in the County of Hampshire</sup> 200  
 late of Colrain of our said <sup>in the County of Hampshire</sup> Robert  
 P. County & Walter Tobes of Norwich in <sup>County of Hampshire</sup> 19  
 in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that <sup>whereon the</sup> Tobes  
 that the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 last past with force Arms viz with Clubs & Staves on him that Robert  
 did make an Assault on him that Robert that the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 imprison <sup>did</sup> No 68  
 viz for the space of sixty Days from thence without any reasonable  
 Cause & against the Duty of this Commonwealth did detain & other  
 outrages on him that Robert that the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 against the Peace. — Also for that <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 opposed on the same first Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> with Force Arms on  
 Drew Thwey & the Wife of him that Robert did make an Assault  
 her that Drew did take away & convey to Place to the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 unknown her that Drew then & alway after hither to hath detained  
 and kept whereby the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 opposed for all the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 on him that Robert that <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 Law against the Peace. — Also for that <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 Chester on the same first Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> with Force Arms did make  
 an Assault on Sally the Daughter & Servant of him that Robert  
 while she that Sally was retained in the Service of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 the same Sally with Force Arms did take away & convey out of the  
 Service of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 to the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 lost & been deprived of the Service of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 until the Purchase of this Writ hath been neglected & remained  
 undone & other wrongs to the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 then did contrary to Law & against the Peace all which is to the  
 Damage of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 appears agree to have this Case continued & then upon it is  
 considered by the Court that <sup>Robert</sup> No 68  
 last Tuesday of August next.

Benjamin Pepoon of West Stockbridge in the County of Pepoon  
 Berkshire Trader <sup>in the County of Berkshire</sup> Lazell  
 Hampshire yeoman <sup>in the County of Hampshire</sup> Lazell  
 that <sup>Robert</sup> No 69  
 Day of November 1781 by his Note for value re<sup>d</sup> promised  
 the <sup>Robert</sup> No 69  
 are equal to five Pounds eight Shillings of our lawful Money  
 within three Months with Interest till paid, from the first Day  
 of Feb<sup>y</sup> 1782 or the value thereof in Rum, Sugar or Salt delivered  
 at <sup>Robert</sup> No 69  
 requested hath never performed his <sup>Robert</sup> No 69  
 Damage of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 69  
 appears comit to have this Case continued to the next Term  
 Judgement then to be final, wherefore it is considered by the  
 Court that <sup>Robert</sup> No 69  
 August next.

Samson Tuttle of Littleton in our County of Tuttle  
 Gent<sup>le</sup> <sup>in the County of Hampshire</sup> Ewell  
 Hampshire yeoman <sup>in the County of Hampshire</sup> No 70  
 P. John at Marlborough viz a Springfield of our said <sup>Robert</sup> No 70  
 Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1781 by his Note for value re<sup>d</sup> promised the <sup>Robert</sup> No 70  
 pay him or order eight new Spanish milled Dollars in twelve Months  
 after the Date of <sup>Robert</sup> No 70  
 requested hath never paid the same but neglects to the Damage of the <sup>Robert</sup> No 70  
 ten pounds. The <sup>Robert</sup> No 70  
 publicly called to come into Court make default hath appeared here, wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that <sup>Robert</sup> No 70  
 four Shillings & ten pence of lawful Money Damages, both of Court taxed at  
 £ 2: 7: 0 and there of &c.  
 Given in June 1. 1782

Hamilton  
Hamilton  
1801

Salem on T<sup>h</sup> day of January in our County of Worcester Massachusetts  
My W<sup>th</sup> Mag<sup>th</sup> J<sup>th</sup> W<sup>th</sup> Court

Arthur Hamilton of Barre in our County of Worcester a free man  
vs Robert Hamilton of Conway in our County of  
Hampshire a gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
D<sup>r</sup> Robert a<sup>d</sup> Conway on the twentieth day of September next then  
returned eight p<sup>ts</sup> two by his vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised to pay him  
to pay him ten pounds seven shillings on demand with interest  
said also for that D<sup>r</sup> Robert a<sup>d</sup> Conway on the same twentieth day of  
Sept<sup>r</sup> gave their note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> to pay him fifty five  
pounds six shillings & two pence & one farthing on demand with interest  
till paid - Also for that D<sup>r</sup> Robert a<sup>d</sup> Conway on the same twentieth  
day of Sept<sup>r</sup> by his other note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the Pl<sup>t</sup> to pay  
him twenty pounds seven shillings & six pence on demand till  
till paid - y<sup>t</sup> D<sup>r</sup> Robert tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he to never perform  
said promises but neglected to the damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Arthur one  
hundred & twenty & out of - The Pl<sup>t</sup> appeared before Judge Strong  
in his H<sup>on</sup> the Sept<sup>r</sup> the three times published & called to come into  
Court make default as appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Arthur do recover of y<sup>e</sup> D<sup>r</sup> Robert eighty one  
pounds six shillings & one penny of lawful Money & damages of  
Court taxed at £1. 19. 6 - After which the Def<sup>t</sup> by John Chester  
Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his H<sup>on</sup> came into Court & appeals from this  
Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
of Sept<sup>r</sup> next where he recognizes with the justices of the Law directed for his  
procuring his Appeal with effect as by his Recognizance appears

John Hubbard of Charleston in the County of Cherokee &  
State of New Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff vs John Wait of Williams  
County in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant  
A Bill of Exchange on the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Joseph Ats Springfield  
in the fifth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> current hundred & ninety nine by his  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> John to pay him or order one  
hundred & twenty Pounds to be paid in value in Gold within  
two years from the date of D<sup>r</sup> Note upon Interest meaning with  
simple Interest of the same sum till paid - & yet D<sup>r</sup> Joseph tho  
requested hath never performed his Promise but neglected  
to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> John ninety Pounds - ~~Whereby~~  
The Plaintiff appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here - Therefore  
it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> J<sup>r</sup> do recover against  
D<sup>r</sup> J<sup>r</sup> sixty eight Pounds eight Shillings & five Pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2:2:0 -  
After all which the D<sup>r</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his  
Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this  
Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield  
in the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of  
November next & he engages with Sureties as the Law  
directs for his appearance at his appeal with Effect as by said  
Magnificence on Bill appears -





John Cloth of Hartford in the County of Hartford in the State of  
 Connecticut Traders & Merchants of Northampton in our County  
 of Hampshire & a man & for in & for of the Case for that said  
 Amos at Northampton in our County of Hampshire on the 1st  
 day of May 1783 of his date, for and we need knowed the said John  
 for as in the said County of Hampshire & for the said  
 Northampton in the date of the date with the said John & for the  
 the often requested and there we paid the same & for the  
 the said Amos at the said Northampton & for the said John  
 the said Amos to save this Case & for the next time & for  
 then to the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 Parties have & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John

Cloth  
 &  
 &  
 1777

William Scott of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
 quire & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 left in a Case of the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 on the last day of March last past it was just & for the said John  
 William in the sum of one hundred & eighty one pounds one shilling  
 & five pence lawful & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 William to pay him the same sum on demand: Also for that the  
 & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 justly indebted to the said William in the sum of one hundred  
 & twenty one pounds & seven shillings & five pence lawful & for the said John  
 for sundry Articles of Book Account according to the schedule hereunto  
 annexed be the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 in himself to the said William for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 same sum on demand: Also for that the said William & for the said John & for the said John  
 and before the last day of March last past it was just & for the said John  
 him the said Amos at his the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 sundry Goods & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 Consideration thereof promised the said William to pay him all the  
 sum of Money as the said Goods & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 delivered as aforesaid were reasonably worth at the time of  
 the sale & delivery thereof whensoever he should be thereto  
 requested: And the said William & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 the time of the sale & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 five Pounds four shillings & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 at Palmer aforesaid: Also for that the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 on the last day of March last past it was just & for the said John  
 & for the said William in the sum of one hundred & six pounds  
 & twelve shillings & five pence lawful & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 before that time had & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 use, & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 the said William to pay him the same sum on demand: Also for that  
 & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 indebted to the said William in the sum of one hundred & six  
 Pounds & twelve shillings & five pence lawful & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 time as aforesaid & for the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 special Instance & request be the said Amos & for the said John & for the said John  
 then thereof promised the said William to pay him the last said

Scott  
 &  
 &  
 1783

Corbin

Denials

*N. O.*

William E

Cohestin

No 82

John Chester Williams of Hadley in our County of Hamp-  
shire Esq. vs. Leonard Weston of Westfield in the County of  
Hartford Esq. Take Leonard Weston in a Plea of the Case  
for that Leonard Weston yielded to wit at Springfield on the last  
day of January when was justly indebted to the said William in  
the sum of five hundred pounds lawful Money for sundry Articles  
of Book Account as the said Leonard ~~in~~ then & there in Consideration  
thereof promised the said William to pay him the same sum on demand  
also for that the said William at Westfield to wit at Springfield  
on the last day of January last past had sold & delivered the said  
sundry Goods, Wares & Merchandize at his then Leonard special  
Instance & Request that Leonard then & there in Consideration  
thereof promised the said William to pay him so much Money as the  
said Goods, Wares & Merchandize sold & delivered were reasonably  
worth when he should be there to request, and that the said William  
saith the said Goods, Wares & Merchandize were reasonably worth the sum  
of four hundred & twenty five pounds yet that Leonard the often requested he the  
never paid the same in respect it to the Damage of the said John five  
hundred Pounds - The Parties were all present & agree to have this  
Cause referred to David Sexton John Williams & Seth Patton who  
are to award the Award of them or any two of them is to be  
final to be returned into this Court Judgment to be made up  
in Execution to & for the said Plaintiff - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that the Parties are lay in until the last Tuesday  
of August next

John Chester Williams of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq. vs John Heaton of Melbourn in County of Cambridge  
 the Case for the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley on the twentieth day of  
 September 1701 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised s<sup>d</sup>. Williams to pay  
 him six pounds seven shillings & two pence silver. Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid - Also for the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley on the  
 eleventh day of September 1702 by his other Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised  
 s<sup>d</sup>. Williams to deliver him forty two Bushels & one sixth of a Bushel of  
 good merchantable wheat at his house in Hadley within two months  
 from the Date of s<sup>d</sup>. Note. and the s<sup>d</sup>. Williams says said wheat  
 was of the value of seven shillings per Bushel viz a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley  
 Also for the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley on the same eleventh day of Sept<sup>r</sup>  
 of 1702 by his other Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised s<sup>d</sup>. Williams to  
 pay him six pounds thirteen shillings & two pence on demand with  
 Interest. Also for the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley on the last day of  
 Feb<sup>r</sup>. last past was jointly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup>. Williams in the sum of  
 forty Pounds lawful Money for so much Money advanced to him the  
 s<sup>d</sup>. Williams use by him the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton he the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton think there  
 in consideration thereof promised s<sup>d</sup>. Williams to pay him the last  
 s<sup>d</sup>. sum on demand - yet the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton tho' often requested hath  
 never performed either of his s<sup>d</sup>. promises but requests it to the same  
 of the s<sup>d</sup>. Williams sixty pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears in his  
 own proper Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times publicly called to  
 come into Court make default of appearance here. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup>. John Chester do  
 recover against the s<sup>d</sup>. Heaton  
 of lawful Money Damages. Costs of Court taxed at 1<sup>l</sup>.

213  
 Idem  
 14  
 Heaton  
 89  
 No. 19

Elisha Cook Jun<sup>r</sup> of Hadley in our County of Hamp<sup>sh</sup>  
 shire vs John Warner of Conway in County of Shrop<sup>sh</sup>  
 a<sup>d</sup>. Williamburgh of woman in Hampshire of Whately Gent<sup>l</sup> all in s<sup>d</sup>.  
 County Def<sup>t</sup> in the Case for the s<sup>d</sup>. Def<sup>t</sup> a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley on the  
 sixteenth day of Oct<sup>r</sup>. 1703 by their joint Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>. promised  
 jointly & severally one Elisha Cook to pay him or order the sum of  
 Pounds ten shillings & two pence lawful Money on demand with  
 Interest till paid. and afterwards to wit on the last day of March  
 last past the s<sup>d</sup>. Def<sup>t</sup> a<sup>d</sup>. Hadley by his Indorsement on the back  
 of s<sup>d</sup>. Note with his own proper hand subscribed for value rec<sup>d</sup>. ordered  
 the Contents of s<sup>d</sup>. Note then due & unpaid to be paid to the said  
 Elisha Cook by means whereof the s<sup>d</sup>. Def<sup>t</sup> became liable to pay  
 s<sup>d</sup>. Contents to s<sup>d</sup>. Cook & then in consideration thereof  
 promised s<sup>d</sup>. Cook to pay him the same accordingly & demands  
 yet the s<sup>d</sup>. Def<sup>t</sup> tho' often requested have never paid s<sup>d</sup>. sum or any part  
 thereof but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup>. Cook fifty Pounds.  
 The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears as John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Off<sup>r</sup> is the Def<sup>t</sup>  
 the three times publicly called to come in to Court make default  
 of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 s<sup>d</sup>. Pl<sup>y</sup> do recover against s<sup>d</sup>. Def<sup>t</sup> thirty eight Pounds sixteen shillings  
 and five pence of lawful Money Damages. Costs of Court taxed  
 at 1<sup>l</sup>. 17<sup>s</sup>. 2<sup>d</sup>. & then of &c.

Cook  
 4  
 Warner vs  
 1703  
 84

Given at June 28<sup>th</sup> 1704

P  
March 24<sup>th</sup>  
Ayer  
No 105

Charles Phelps of Hadley in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> & Esq<sup>r</sup>  
of the last will & testament of Phoebe March late of P. Hadley dec<sup>d</sup>  
his Ex<sup>rs</sup> Capacity Phelps Succeeded Agers of Belchertown in P. County  
woman left in a Plea of the Case for that P. Ayers a t<sup>d</sup> Hadley on  
the tenth day of August 1760 by his vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
that he then living to pay him or order one pound one shilling  
six pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid  
yet this P. Ayers though requested hath never performed his said  
Promise but brought it to the Damage of the said Charles four Pounds  
The Plaintiff of John Christ<sup>l</sup> Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> in A t<sup>d</sup> the 24<sup>th</sup>  
tho<sup>t</sup> this time publicly called to come into Court make ap<sup>ea</sup>  
or appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Charles do recover against the said Ayers two pounds nine  
shilling six pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.11.10 & there of &c. Exon 2<sup>d</sup> June 29. 1764

Puk  
Procial  
No 86

Nathanial Puk of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Phelps James Perjured of a Plantation called Number  
seven in P. County woman left in a Plea of the Case for that  
James a t<sup>d</sup> Amherst on the first day of March seventeen  
hundred eighty by his vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the P<sup>d</sup>  
to pay him four pounds nine shilling six pence lawful  
Money on Demand at the old Price with lawful Interest till paid  
yet this P. Phelps though requested hath never paid the same  
but brought it to the Damage of the said Puk seven Pounds  
The Parties severally appearing agree to have this Case continued  
and no Costs to be taxed at the next Term & wherefore it is consid<sup>er</sup>  
ed by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the last  
Tuesday of August next

Shelton  
Tutor  
No 87

Francis Phelps of Hadley in our County of Hampshire  
late of Vermont woman left in a Plea of the Case for  
that Phelps a t<sup>d</sup> justford in the County of  
Hampshire on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March last past by his vote  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order seven Pounds  
with Interest till paid & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Phelps do recover against the said Defendant seven Pounds  
with Interest till paid & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Samuel  
No 88

Samuel Tower of Cambridge in the County of Alaba  
late of a woman left in a Plea of the Case for that  
Tower a t<sup>d</sup> Cambridge on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March last past by his vote  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the Plaintiff to pay him or order seven Pounds  
with Interest till paid & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the said Tower do recover against the said Defendant seven Pounds  
with Interest till paid & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that the Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

254  
Chap.  
11  
Brown  
J. P. 1891

Ward  
4  
Ric  
1770

Martha  
is  
Parsons  
4<sup>th</sup> 9<sup>th</sup>.

South  
6-17-68  
J.P./L





Smith.  
is  
Burrows  
No 97

Joel Smith of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
Plaint John Burrows of Windsor in our County of Berkshire  
yeoman Defendant a Plea of Turpess on the Case for the said John  
at Windsor to wit that the said Plaintiff on the twenty seventh  
Day of May last past by his Note for value received promised  
said to pay unto or order eight pounds fourteen Shillings & nine  
Pence Lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid.  
The said John thereupon requested that he never paid the same  
but neglected to the Damage of the said Joel fourteen Pounds.  
The Parties severally appeared & agreed to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Judgement to then be final & when so  
it is considered by the Court the said Parties have day here  
untill the last Thursday of August next.

Lewis  
is  
Jacobs

That Lewis of Marlow in the County of Berkshire and  
State of New Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff against Jacob of  
Royalston in our County of Worcester Clerk Defendant is a Plea of the  
Case for that S<sup>d</sup> Whitman at Northampton on the tenth Day of  
June 1781 by his Vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>d</sup> Eber to pay  
in money fifty nine Pounds six Shillings & eight pence lawfull  
Money & demanded with Interest till paid yet S<sup>d</sup> Whitman  
has often requested but never or paid the same but negated it to  
be the damage of this S<sup>d</sup> Eber sixty Pounds The P<sup>ty</sup> appears  
in Court at William Esq<sup>r</sup> is 1784 the S<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>3</sup> three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here & therefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>d</sup> P<sup>ty</sup> do  
recover against S<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> sixty two Pounds twelve Shillings & eight  
pence of lawfull Money & damages & costs of Court taxed at  
£2: 6: 2 After all which the S<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> by Simon Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>

£2: 6: 2 After all which the sd. Depy of Common Pleas  
his Att<sup>y</sup> appeals from the Judge sent of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he  
recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his  
D. Appeal with Effect as by sd. Recognizance on File appears.

Bruck 2.  
A. 94

Robert Buck of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
vs  
2<sup>d</sup> Jy<sup>r</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Leicester Grosvenor of Windsor in our County of Berkshire  
Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea which Leicester vnder to him the s<sup>d</sup> Robert the sum of  
one pound four shillings & ten pence lawful Money which to him he owes  
from time unjustly detained for this to wit that whereas the s<sup>d</sup> Robert Buck & one  
J<sup>r</sup> Hunt now dec<sup>d</sup> whose trust the s<sup>d</sup> Robert hath succeeded by the consideration  
Judgement of our Justice of our Court of Common Pleas holden at R<sup>e</sup>thfield with  
reference to a writ of Habeas Corpus on the first Tuesday of March six & twenty hundred  
and seventy four recovered of the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester the sum of three Pounds nineteen  
shillings & six pence lawful Money damages & one pound  
seven shillings & six pence costs of Suit as by a Copy of the Record of the  
s<sup>d</sup> Judgement before our s<sup>d</sup> Justice of our Court of Common Pleas remaining in  
Court to be read and followeth which Judgement is still in force unsatisfied  
and unpaid for & the s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester the same & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester  
sued our Justice of our Court of Common Pleas & committed the same writ to our  
coroner of our County of Berkshire to be executed yet he has long since returned  
back to us by our return & we have paid four shillings & ten pence lawful Money  
on a s<sup>d</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> for he is indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester the life time of the s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the  
s<sup>d</sup> Leicester & since the death of the s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester the s<sup>d</sup>  
sum of one pound four shillings & ten pence lawful Money with the lawful  
interest thereof & one shilling & five pence for the s<sup>d</sup> Robert of Execution & yet the  
s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester require that he never performe & his s<sup>d</sup> promise but yet acteth to  
the damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester & the s<sup>d</sup> Robert & the s<sup>d</sup> Leicester  
to have this case continued for Judgement to the next Term & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Parties have lay here untill the last Tuesday  
of August next

Hampshire & The Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

(21) I, the Sheriff of said County, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears from the records of said County, in and to which said records the same has been duly filed and recorded.

his under Sheriff or Deputy

Greetings

張氏

Arnold  
\$100

Whereas such Arnold of Berlin in our County of Hampshire  
 a man personally appeared before our Justices of our County  
 of Hampshire at the Peace holden at the Court House in  
 for our County of Hampshire on the last Monday of the  
 last term as he acknowledged himself indebted to us in the sum  
 twenty five pounds and he was ordered to pay the same on or  
 before the first day of the next term of the Court of the County  
 should be made in the year of our Lord one thousand eight  
 hundred and twenty five and he was ordered to pay the same  
 to wit that if Joseph House of Brookfield in our County of  
 Hampshire a man should personally appear before our Justices  
 of our County of Hampshire at the Peace to be holden at  
 Springfield on the first day of the next term of the Court of the  
 County of Hampshire on or before the first day of the next term  
 then as should be made in the year of our Lord one thousand eight  
 hundred and twenty five and he was ordered to pay the same  
 particularly to a witness who was present at the time of the  
 fact. On the Property of Laughlin Ryan should do so and he  
 that which by our Justices of the County of Hampshire was  
 and not depart without giving him the sum of five pounds  
 was to be paid and he was ordered to pay the same on or  
 before the first day of the next term of the Court of the  
 County of Hampshire on or before the first day of the next term  
 and whereas at our last term of the Court of the County of  
 at Springfield within for our County of Hampshire on the  
 second Tuesday of the next term of the Court of the County of  
 solemnly called to come to Court then and there to appear  
 but made default thereof and by the same Joseph's default he  
 since as upon will the sum is forfeited to us as our said  
 main due to the said Arnold for our said County of Hampshire  
 being willing to give the sum and paying the same to us  
 to us as Justice requires. Command you that you that you make  
 known to the said Joseph Arnold that he appear before our Justices  
 of our County of Hampshire at the Peace to be holden at  
 within for the County of Hampshire on the first day of the next  
 term of the Court of the County of Hampshire on or before the first  
 day of the next term of the Court of the County of Hampshire  
 give acquittance in the sum of five pounds and he was  
 forfeited to us as our said County of Hampshire on or before the first  
 day of the next term of the Court of the County of Hampshire  
 what our Court then and there shall consider in the Parties  
 severally appear agree to have this case continued to the  
 is considered by the Court the said Parties have Day here until the  
 on Tuesday of the next term of the Court of the County of Hampshire



Baker

1798

N. 103

Jonathan Baker the son of the late Jonathan Baker of  
 Hampton in S. County was a defendant in the case for the  
 the case for the late Jonathan Baker the son of the late Jonathan Baker of  
 of April current was a defendant in the case for the late Jonathan Baker of  
 of ten pounds for the fine sum of 10 shillings of  
 him the S. John at the trial of it and requested of the  
 John before the trial of it and requested of the  
 in consideration of the sum of 10 shillings of the S. John  
 then there faithfully promised to pay the sum of 10 shillings of  
 interest thereon on demand yet the S. John the often  
 requested hath never paid the same but neglected to  
 the damage of the S. John yet two pounds the S. John  
 appearing before the S. John the often requested of the  
 times publicly called to come into Court make default  
 of appearance whereupon it is considered by the Court  
 that the S. John do neglect to pay the sum of 10 shillings of  
 shilling and six pence of late of the S. John the often  
 taxed at £1.4.6 and therefore it is considered by the Court  
 Samuel Mather of S. County is a defendant in the case for the late Jonathan Baker of

Eq. & Timothy Mather of S. County is a defendant in the case for the late Jonathan Baker of  
 is Mather Pomroy of S. County is a defendant in the case for the late Jonathan Baker of  
 Plea of the Court on the case for the late Jonathan Baker of S. County is a defendant in the case for the late Jonathan Baker of  
 the third day of November last past by writ of capias and return made  
 S. John to pay them or under seven pounds of late of the S. John the often  
 pence one farthing on demand with interest of 10 shillings of the S. John  
 Mather the often requested of the S. John the often requested of the S. John  
 to the damage of the S. John the often requested of the S. John the often requested of the S. John  
 by Caleb Thayer Esq. Mather the often requested of the S. John the often requested of the S. John  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance whereupon it is considered by the Court  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the S. John do neglect to pay the sum of 10 shillings of  
 S. John seven pounds thirteen shillings and six pence of late of the S. John the often  
 damage of the S. John the often requested of the S. John the often requested of the S. John  
 taxed at £1.4.6 and therefore it is considered by the Court  
 George May 27/98



John James of Gosham in our County of Hampshire a man  
 My Samuel Bodman of Williamsburg 2nd S. County a man  
 alias called Gunter Deft in a Plea of Suppass on the Case for  
 that S. Samuel at Williamsburg gave in the 13th day  
 of April 1788 by his Note for value rec. promised in William  
 Boyd to pay him in money six pounds six pence with interest  
 five North pence the said S. Note with Interest till paid  
 and afterwards viz on the same day gave a good and true  
 receipt in writing for the same on the 14th day with his proper  
 hand subscribed the said Gunter to the effect that he was unpaid to  
 be paid to the S. My for value rec. of which the S. Samuel received  
 Notice and became liable to pay the same according to the  
 Tenor of S. Note & being so liable the S. Samuel thereupon  
 considered in the Court of the said S. Note  
 according to the Statute in that behalf made  
 hath never paid the same at which the S. Bodman  
 S. John two pounds - S. Bodman  
 to have this Case continued to the next Term  
 to be final & the said S. Bodman  
 Parties have been in the Court

218  
 Barnes  
 Bodman  
 No 109

Benjamin Thomas a Clerk  
 Hampshire Gunter My is Samuel Hunt Gunter Parley a man  
 woman both of S. County of Hampshire a man  
 Case for that S. Samuel & Parley to Charles Hunt a man  
 on the fourteenth day of July last past by their Note for value  
 rec. promised S. Hunt to pay him or order two pounds six  
 shillings in two North pence the said S. Note with Interest till paid  
 paid - S. Hunt the after requested have the same  
 but neglect it so that the S. Bodman  
 The S. appears by Certificate of his Att. the S. the  
 three times publicly called to come into Court makes no  
 of appearance here - wherefore it is ordered by the Court  
 the S. My do move against S. Hunt two pounds eight shillings  
 in our price & costs then & there to be paid  
 £2:6:0 - with interest

Hunt  
 10

Eleanor Petty of Orange in our County of Hampshire S. My  
 Husband in My is Charles Smith of Amherst in S. County  
 Blacksmith Deft in a Plea of Suppass on the Case for the S. Eleanor in the  
 at S. Amherst on the first day of October next hundred eighty three  
 by his Note for value rec. promised S. Eleanor to pay him ninety pence  
 to be paid by the first day of May then next with Interest till paid  
 yet S. Eleanor the after requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the S. Eleanor one hundred forty Pounds - the  
 S. appears by Certificate of his Att. the S. the three times  
 publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 wherefore it is ordered by the Court that S. My do move against  
 S. Deft eighty nine Pounds five shillings & four Pence of lawful  
 Money & damages Costs of Court taxed at £2:1:0 - Thereupon the  
 S. Deft by his Attorney Thos. Esq. his Att. appeals from the Judgment  
 of the Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
 Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
 of September next & he recognizes with S. Smith as the Law directs  
 for his prosecuting his appeal with Effect as by S. Recognizance  
 on File appears

No 111

Thimbley's case. Samuel Thimbley of Brookfield in our County of Worcester  
Gentle Administrator of all singular the Goods of Thimbley  
Baker  
N<sup>o</sup> 112  
County of Hampshire Gent. Defendant in a Case of Supra on the  
case, on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. Springfield on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
Jan. 1702. his date for value in. now he is in  
the alive to pay a sum of money to the plaintiff  
lawful Money on demand with interest till paid. yet  
on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. the plaintiff requested  
the Court to order the defendant to pay the same but  
the Court was divided. The Parties severally appeared on the 1<sup>st</sup> of  
Feb. 1702. and the Court was divided. The Court continued  
the judgment in the case until the last Tuesday of August next.

Case  
N<sup>o</sup> 113  
David Blacklock of London Gent. Plaintiff. vs. Consideration  
of the Court of Hampshire Gent. Defendant. In a Case of Supra on the  
case, on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. Springfield on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
Jan. 1702. his date for value in. now he is in  
the alive to pay a sum of money to the plaintiff  
lawful Money on demand with interest till paid. yet  
on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. the plaintiff requested  
the Court to order the defendant to pay the same but  
the Court was divided. The Parties severally appeared on the 1<sup>st</sup> of  
Feb. 1702. and the Court was divided. The Court continued  
the judgment in the case until the last Tuesday of August next.

Johns Bright of Pittfield in our County of Berks in  
the bandman Mr. John thea of the College of Burne in our  
County of Hampshire Gent. Defendant. In a Case of Supra on the  
case, on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. Springfield on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
Jan. 1702. his date for value in. now he is in  
the alive to pay a sum of money to the plaintiff  
lawful Money on demand with interest till paid. yet  
on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. the plaintiff requested  
the Court to order the defendant to pay the same but  
the Court was divided. The Parties severally appeared on the 1<sup>st</sup> of  
Feb. 1702. and the Court was divided. The Court continued  
the judgment in the case until the last Tuesday of August next.

Stoddard  
N<sup>o</sup> 114  
Solomon Stoddard of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff. vs. John  
thea of the College of Burne in our County of Hampshire Gent. Defendant. In a Case of Supra on the  
case, on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. Springfield on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
Jan. 1702. his date for value in. now he is in  
the alive to pay a sum of money to the plaintiff  
lawful Money on demand with interest till paid. yet  
on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan. 1702. the plaintiff requested  
the Court to order the defendant to pay the same but  
the Court was divided. The Parties severally appeared on the 1<sup>st</sup> of  
Feb. 1702. and the Court was divided. The Court continued  
the judgment in the case until the last Tuesday of August next.

Isaac Trary of Whately in our County of Hampshire a Minor  
 under the age of twenty one year who owes by his Guardian &  
 next Friend Elisha Trary of P. Whately Husbandman P<sup>er</sup> William  
 of Pittfield in our County of ~~the~~ Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant a Plea  
 of Trespass on the Case for that P<sup>r</sup> William a P<sup>r</sup> Thyele is a P<sup>r</sup>  
 Springfield on the twentieth Day of November 1782 by his Vote for  
 value re<sup>d</sup> promised the P<sup>r</sup> to pay him ten Pounds twelve  
 Shillings on demand with Interest till paid - yet P<sup>r</sup> William  
 tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to  
 the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Isaac twelve pounds - The Parties appear  
 & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judge  
 ment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the  
 Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August.

Trary  
 to William  
 No 116

Jonathan Bancroft of Norwich in our County of  
 Hampshire yeoman P<sup>er</sup> is Luister Grosvener of W. in our County  
 of Berkshire Gentle, Defendant a Plea of Trespass on the  
 Case for that P<sup>r</sup> Bancroft a P<sup>r</sup> Windsor town a P<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the  
 fourth Day of April 1783 by his Vote for value re<sup>d</sup> promised  
 P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan to pay him thirty one pounds fifteen Shillings & two pence  
 lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet said  
 P<sup>r</sup> tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Jonathan forty Pounds - The Parties  
 appear & agree to have this Case continued - Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill  
 the last Tuesday of August next.

Grosvener  
 No 117

Amasa Cook of Barnardstown in our County of Hamp  
 shire Clerk P<sup>er</sup> is Jonas Gleason of Myrrigild in our County  
 Husbandman Defendant a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
 P<sup>r</sup> Jonas at P<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the fifth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> 1782 by  
 his Vote for value re<sup>d</sup> promised P<sup>r</sup> Amasa to pay him in  
 behalf of the Town sixteen pounds sixteen Shillings lawful  
 Money on demand with Interest from the Date - Also for  
 that P<sup>r</sup> Jonas at P<sup>r</sup> Myrrigild on the sixteenth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> next  
 past in consideration that he the P<sup>r</sup> Amasa at P<sup>r</sup> Myrrigild Instance  
 and Request of the P<sup>r</sup> Jonas had there before that time performed  
 & performed other the services of a Minister of the Gospel for  
 one Sabbath to the P<sup>r</sup> in the same Town, agreed on him  
 self & to the P<sup>r</sup> Amasa that he there faithfully promised that he  
 the P<sup>r</sup> Jonas would pay there for the P<sup>r</sup> Amasa twenty four Shillings  
 lawful Money whenever after he should be requested - yet P<sup>r</sup> Jonas  
 tho often requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Amasa twenty five Pounds - The Parties  
 appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term for  
 Judgement - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties  
 have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Cook  
 No 118

Samuel Fairfield of Williamstown in our County of  
 Hampshire Gentle P<sup>er</sup> is Seth Burk of Wethersport town in our County  
 yeoman Defendant a Plea of the Case for that P<sup>r</sup> Burk at P<sup>r</sup> Williamstown  
 the nineteenth Day of August 1783 by his Vote for value re<sup>d</sup> promised  
 P<sup>r</sup> Samuel to pay him fourteen pounds on demand with Interest till  
 paid - yet P<sup>r</sup> Burk tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
 neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Samuel twenty Pounds - The P<sup>r</sup> appear  
 by their Attorneys Esq<sup>r</sup> Smith & the P<sup>r</sup> Burk tho three times publicly called to come  
 into Court make default & of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Samuel do - cover against P<sup>r</sup> Burk three pounds  
 twelve Shillings & two pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
 Court taxed at P<sup>r</sup> 12:0 - & therefor

Burk  
 No 119

Paine

Scott

No 120

Elijah Paine of Williamburg in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plaintiff Benjamin Scott of Whately in said County Defendant  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Deft at Whately upon  
on the tenth day of June last past by his Note for value re-  
promised said Elijah to pay him three pounds seven shillings  
seven pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid -  
The Deft the often requested he the never paid the same but  
neglected to the Damage of the Plaintiff six Pounds - The Plaintiff  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Deft do recover  
against said Benjamin three pounds seven shillings & seven pence of  
lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1. 15. 6. & thereupon  
Exonij<sup>d</sup> June 1. 1784

Brath

Brath

No 121

Edward Brath of Chesterfield in our County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff Jonathan Wright of Northampton in said County Defendant  
Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Deft at Chesterfield in  
on the twenty first day of August last past by their Note for value re-  
promised said Edward to pay him twenty seven pounds lawful Money  
within six weeks from the date of said Note with Interest after said Time of Payment till paid - The Plaintiff  
appears by Caleb Strong Esq his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Plaintiff do recover against  
said Deft of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court taxed at £  
Thereupon the said Deft by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> appeal from the  
Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth  
Tuesday of September next & he recognizeth to be there as the law  
directeth for his prosecuting his said Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance  
on this appears

Paine

Brath

No 122

Elijah Paine of Williamburg in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plaintiff Ebenezer Bordwell Jun<sup>r</sup> of Whately in said County  
Husbandman Defendant Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Deft at said  
Whately on the ninth day of June last past by his Note for value re-  
promised said Elijah to pay him three pounds four shillings  
seven pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid -  
The Plaintiff the often requested to pay the same but neglected to the  
Damage of the Plaintiff twelve pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq  
his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Plaintiff do recover against  
said Ebenezer three pounds eight shillings & two pence  
of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1. 15. 6. & thereupon  
Exonij<sup>d</sup> June 1. 1784

Caldwell

Phelps

No 123

Charles Caldwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford  
in the State of Connecticut Plaintiff Jonathan Phelps of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Defendant Deft in a Plea of the Case for that said Deft at Northampton  
on the sixteenth day of July 1777 by his Note for value re-  
promised said Charles to pay him thirty Pounds five shillings lawful  
Money within one year from the date of said Note with Interest till paid -  
The Plaintiff the often requested he the never paid the same but neglected to the  
Damage of the Plaintiff seventy Pounds - The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq  
his Att<sup>r</sup> the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Plaintiff do recover against  
said Jonathan thirty Pounds five shillings & thereupon  
Exonij<sup>d</sup> June 1. 1784

Ebenezer Gibbs of Northampton in our County of Hampshire 220  
 Plaintiff vs Jonathan Russell of Sarfield in the County of Hampshire  
 Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that S. Jones also of Northampton Gibbs  
 on the twentieth Day of March 1783 by his Note for value recd  
 promised S. Ebenezer to pay him or order five Pounds nineteen  
 Shillings & eight pence lawful Money on Demand with Interest  
 till paid & yet S. Jones tho often requested hath never paid the  
 same but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Ebenezer twelve Pounds.  
 The Plaintiff appears by Caleb Strong Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three  
 times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
 appearance here & therefore it is considered by the Court that  
 S. Ebenezer do recover against S. Jones six Pounds eight Shillings  
 of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.12.6  
 and thereof &c. Exon ip<sup>s</sup> May 31. 1784  
 Russell  
 N<sup>o</sup> 124

Ebenezer Wright, Nathaniel Wright & Beldad Wright  
 Husbandmen all of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Wright vs  
 Plaintiff vs Gad Symon of Northampton Husbandman Defendant in a  
 Plea of Trespass on the Case for that S. Gad at Northampton upon the  
 the twenty fourth Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two by his  
 Note for value recd. promised S. Plaintiff to pay them or order sixty four  
 Pounds & five pence in Spanish Milled Dollars at six Shillings each  
 within one year from the Date of S. Note with Interest till paid &  
 yet S. Gad tho often requested hath never performed his Promise  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Plaintiff ninety Pounds. The  
 Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Parties have Day here  
 untill the last Tuesday of August next. N<sup>o</sup> 125  
 Symon

William Bishop of South Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Bishop  
 Plaintiff vs Benjamin Tiffany of South Brimfield Defendant  
 Cooper Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that S. Bishop at  
 S. South Brimfield on the first Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last past was justly  
 indebted to the S. William in the Sum of three Pounds twelve  
 Shillings for so much Money by the S. Bishop before that time  
 had recd. of the S. William to the S. William used & being so indebted  
 he the S. Bishop undertook & there faithfully promised the S.  
 William to pay him the same on demand & yet S. Bishop  
 tho often requested hath never performed his Promise but  
 neglects it to the Damage of the S. William twelve Pounds.  
 The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Parties have  
 Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next. N<sup>o</sup> 126  
 Tiffany

John Sherman of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire Sherman  
 Plaintiff vs James Thompson, Alpheus Thompson & Solomon Thompson  
 all of S. Brimfield Husbandmen Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the Case for  
 that S. Def<sup>t</sup> at S. Brimfield on the sixteenth Day of May 1783  
 by their Note for value recd. promised S. John to pay him two  
 Pounds one Shilling & six pence silver Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid & yet S. Def<sup>t</sup> tho often requested have  
 never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S. John  
 ten Pounds. The Plaintiff appears by Hiner Morgan Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup>  
 and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here & therefore it is considered by  
 the Court that S. Plaintiff do recover against S. Def<sup>t</sup> two Pounds and  
 four Shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
 at £1.16.0 & thereof &c. Exon ip<sup>s</sup> May 26. 1784  
 Thompson vs

Lucy James  
Jon<sup>a</sup> James  
No 128  
Lucy James of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire widow  
Plffs Jonathan James Jun<sup>r</sup> of Monmouth County Cordwainer Deft  
in a Plea of the Case for the D<sup>t</sup> Jonathan at D<sup>t</sup> Brimfield on the  
thirtieth day of Sept<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
to pay to pay her six pounds sixteen Months from  
the date hereof with Interest till paid. yet D<sup>t</sup> Jonathan tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff  
twelve Pounds. The Plaintiff thereupon appears &c to have this  
continued to the next Term Judgement there to be for D<sup>t</sup> Jonathan  
it is considered by the Court that the D<sup>t</sup> Parties have day here until the  
last Tuesday of August next

Lane  
Tiffany & al  
No 129  
Ebenzer Lane of Hanover in the County of Grafton  
Husbandman Plffs James Tiffany of  
South Brimfield in  
our County of Hampshire Cooper alias called Ben<sup>t</sup> Tiffany of  
Holland in D<sup>t</sup> County of Hampshire Cooper Deft in a Plea of the Case for  
that D<sup>t</sup> Deft at Hanover to wit at South Brimfield aforesaid on the  
twelfth day of Oct<sup>r</sup> 1782 by their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>t</sup> Plff  
to pay him thirty Pounds lawful Money in that Cattle & arthorse  
at the appraisal of indifferent Men by the first day of June next  
if not paid then Interest till paid. yet D<sup>t</sup> Deft tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
hath never paid the same or ever performed their D<sup>t</sup> Promise  
but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff twenty five Pounds  
The Plff appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the D<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>t</sup> Plff do recover against  
D<sup>t</sup> Deft fifteen Pounds twelve Shillings & five Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £4:6:— The Plff appears  
Thereupon the D<sup>t</sup> by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> comes into  
Court & appeals from the Judgement of the Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County  
of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next but he Recognizes  
with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with  
Effect as by D<sup>t</sup> Recognizance on File appears

Morgan  
No 130  
Joseph Morgan Jun<sup>r</sup> of Brimfield in our County of Hamp  
shire Shop Joiner Plffs Salar Sawt<sup>r</sup> Husbandman & William Carpenter  
Husbandman both of South Brimfield in D<sup>t</sup> County Deft in a Plea of the  
Case for that D<sup>t</sup> Deft at Springfield on the second day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last  
past by their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Elisha Porter to pay  
in & order thirteen Pounds lawful Money by the first day of Jan<sup>y</sup> next  
meaning next after the date of Note with Interest if not paid then  
until paid. And that Elisha Porter there afterwards on the same  
day by his Indorsement on D<sup>t</sup> Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> ordered the Content  
of the same Note then unpaid to be paid to the Plff whereof the said  
Deft had due Notice & thereupon became chargeable to pay D<sup>t</sup> Content  
to the Plff on demand & there is in consideration thereof promised  
the Plff to pay him D<sup>t</sup> Content accordingly. yet D<sup>t</sup> Deft tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Joseph twenty Pounds. The Plff appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup>  
and the D<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>t</sup> Plff do  
recover against D<sup>t</sup> Deft thirteen Pounds nine Shillings & two pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:8:00 After all which the  
Deft by Alex<sup>d</sup> Wolcott their Att<sup>r</sup> appeals from the Judgement of this Court  
to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the  
County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next but he  
Recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his  
Appeal with Effect as by D<sup>t</sup> Recognizance on File appears

Samuel Robinson of Paxton in our County of Worcester  
 vs James Stewart of South Brimfield in our County of Hamp  
 shire Husbandman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that S. James  
 at South Brimfield on the fourteenth Day of April last past by his  
 Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Samuel to pay him four Pounds  
 thirteen Shillings sixteen Pence on demand with interest till  
 paid & yet S. James tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the  
 same but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Samuel four Pounds.  
 The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by E. Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup>  
 tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes de  
 fault of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that S. Samuel does sue against S. James four Pounds thirteen  
 Shillings & six Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of  
 Court taxed at £2:0:0. - Wherefore the S. James by Caleb  
 Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into Court & appeals from the Judge  
 ment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
 at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth  
 Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law  
 directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by said  
 Recognizance on File does appear -

May

Nehemiah May of Holland in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Fuller Jun<sup>r</sup>  
 vs James Fuller Jun<sup>r</sup> of South Brimfield in our County  
 Husbandman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that S. James at said N<sup>o</sup> 132 -  
 South Brimfield on the eighth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred &  
 eighty one by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Nehemiah  
 to pay him thirteen Pounds six Shillings & eight Pence to be paid  
 in neat Hock at the Rate of Price they were at at in the year  
 seventeen hundred & seventy three & in seven months & six  
 pence four to be paid at or before the thirtieth Day of May  
 next (meaning next after the Date of S. Note) with Interest  
 till paid & yet S. James tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never  
 paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Nehemiah  
 twelve Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by E. Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is con  
 sidered by the Court that S. Nehemiah do sue against said  
 James four Pounds thirteen Shillings & six Pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:14:2 -  
 Then upon the S. James by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> comes into  
 Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
 Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield next for the County of  
 Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognizes  
 with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal  
 with Effect as by said Recognizance on File appears -

Tinton  
9  
Puk  
No 133

Samuel Tinton of Greenwich in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff Simon Puk of Amherst in County Blacksmith  
Sept in a Plea of the Case for that S. Tinton at D. Greenwich on  
the ninth Day of March seventeen hundred & seventy four by his  
Note for value recd. promised me John A. M. to pay him or  
order three pounds twelve shillings on demand with Interest  
till paid - and thus S. John there afterwards on the same Day  
by his Indorment on the back of S. Note for value recd. ordered the  
Contents of the same Note then unpaid to be paid to the Pky of  
which thus Simon had notice & thereupon became chargeable  
to pay S. Contents to the Pky on demand - there & there, in consideration  
thereof promised the Pky to pay him the same accordingly - yet said  
Simon tho' often requested hath never paid the same but neglected  
it to the Damage of the S. Samuel twelve Pounds - The Parties  
severally appeared agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term Judgment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that S. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday  
of August next

began an  
Wade  
Bacon  
No 134

Sudley Wade & Ludlow in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Plaintiff Benjamin Bacon of Whately in D. County yeoman  
Sept in a Plea of the Case for that S. Benjamin at Thillingsted to wit  
at Ludlow aforesaid on the twenty fourth Day of Sept. seventeen  
hundred & seventy by his Note for value recd. promised S. Sudley  
to pay him or order the sum of ten pounds lawful Money on  
demand with Interest till paid - yet S. Benjamin tho' often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of  
the S. Sudley thirty Pounds - The Parties appeared agree to have  
this Case continued - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
S. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Patterson  
Hooker  
No 135

William Patterson of Greenwich in our County of  
Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Joseph Hooker of Greenwich Gent.  
Sept in a Plea of the Case for that S. Joseph at D. Greenwich on  
the eighth Day of Novr. 1702 by his Note for value recd. promised  
William to pay him or order three pounds twelve shillings on demand  
with Interest till paid - yet S. Joseph tho' often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the S. William  
ten pounds - The Pky appeared by Atty. Morgan Esq. his Atty.  
tho' the S. three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that S. William do move & against S. Joseph by three pounds  
seven shillings one Penny of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
of Court taxed at £1. 19. 6. - thereof &c. - Exonigh May 21. 1704

Nye  
Badger  
No 136

Jonathan Nye of New Brantree in our County of  
Worcester Husbandman Plaintiff Elisha Badger of South Brimfield  
in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Sept in a Plea of the Case  
for that S. Elisha at D. South Brimfield on the eighth Day of Novr  
seventeen hundred & seventy three by his Note for value recd. promised  
S. Jonathan to pay him twelve pounds two shillings seven pence lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet S. Elisha tho'  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to  
the Damage of the S. Jonathan twenty five Pounds - The Parties  
severally appeared agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Court that  
S. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Daniel Gould of Ware in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Plaintiff Inhabitant of the Town of Ware against the  
 Defendants in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that abo. Ware on the  
 thirtieth Day of March last past Abraham Cummings and Inhabitants  
 John Adams / Sicut Murs a Committee of the same Town duly of the Town  
 appointed, chosen empowered & authorized thereto by the said of Ware  
 Inhabitants at a legal Town Meeting duly warned convened  
 and holden ther for that purpose on the one part in behalf  
 of the S. Town of Ware and the S. Daniel on the other part  
 accounted for concerning diverse Sums of Money before that  
 time due to the S. Daniel from the S. Inhabitants & then in arrear  
 and unpaid & upon such account stated the S. Inhabitants were  
 then & there found to be in arrear to the S. Daniel in the sum of  
 six pounds six pence three farthings & being so found in a writ  
 the S. Abraham & John then & there in consideration thereof in  
 behalf of the S. Town of Ware undertook & promised the S. Daniel to pay  
 him the same Sum on demand when & where the thrust there had a writ  
 so became chargeable in Law to pay to the S. Daniel the S. Sum  
 and then & there in consideration thereof promised the S. Daniel to  
 pay him the same accordingly. Also for that the S. Inhabitants  
 afterwards to wit on the same thirtieth Day of March aforesaid  
 at Ware were justly indebted to the S. Daniel yet the S. Inha-  
 bitants tho often requested have never paid the same nor  
 hath the S. Abraham & John ever paid the same or any part  
 thereof but neglect it to the Damage of the S. Daniel twelve  
 pence. The Plaintiff by Abner Morgan Esq. his Att. the  
 Defendants the three times publicly called to come into Court  
 makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the S. Daniel do recover against  
 the Defendants six pounds & six pence three farthings & lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 11. 6  
 and thereof &c. &c. &c.  
 Given at the Court at Exeter the 22<sup>nd</sup> of May 1794

Gould

No 137

Joseph Bruce of Holland in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Plaintiff Jacob Howe of Holland Gent. Defendant in a Plea of  
 the Case for that abo. Jacob abo. Holland on the nineteenth Day  
 of March 1793 by his Note for value recd. promised the S. Joseph  
 to pay him or order fifteen Pounds nineteen Shillings eight  
 pence and demand with Interest till paid & yet the S. Jacob  
 tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the S. Joseph twenty five pence.  
 The Parties appears agree to have this Case continued to the  
 next Term Judgement there to be final &c. &c. Wherefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that the S. Parties have Day here until the  
 last Tuesday of August next.

Bruce

Howe

No 138

Caleb Blodget of Holland in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Plaintiff John Earle of Brimfield in S. County yeoman  
 Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that abo. John abo. Brimfield on  
 the sixteenth Day of Oct. last past by his Note for value recd. promised  
 the S. Caleb to pay him or order thirteen pounds within three Months  
 from the date with interest till paid & yet the S. John tho often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of  
 the S. Caleb twenty pence. The Plaintiff by Abner Morgan  
 Esq. his Att. the Defendant the three times publicly called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here.

Blodget

Earle

No 139

Blodget  
vs  
Earle

Wherefore it is considered by the Court that P<sup>y</sup> do recover  
against D<sup>y</sup> five pounds three shillings & seven pence of  
Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:11:4  
After all which the D<sup>y</sup> by Gale & Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> appears  
from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
to be holden at Springfield within for the County of Hamp-  
shire on the fourth Tuesday of September next to be negociated  
with Surtees & the Land Agents for he is prosecuting his Appeal  
with Effort as by Negligence on this appears

Danielson &  
Blodget  
No 140

Timothy Danielson of Brimfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>y</sup> vs John Blodget of Amherst in P<sup>y</sup> County  
Gent<sup>l</sup> D<sup>y</sup> in a Plea of Trepless the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> John at  
Brimfield on the thirtieth day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past was justly  
indicted to the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy in the sum of two pounds ten shillings  
according to the Quorum hereto annexed & to balance the  
same he the S<sup>r</sup> John then & there in consideration there of promised  
the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy to pay him the same sum on demand & yet said  
John tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Timothy ten Pounds The P<sup>y</sup>  
appears by Abner Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>y</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that P<sup>y</sup> do  
recover against D<sup>y</sup> five pounds three shillings & seven pence  
of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:12:0 & thereupon  
Exec<sup>u</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> May 25 1784

Prin  
Groomer  
No 141

Moses Groom of Woodstock in the County of Windham  
& Abner Groomer of Goshen P<sup>y</sup> vs Lieut<sup>r</sup> Grosbener of  
Windsor in our County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>y</sup> in a Plea  
of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> Lieut<sup>r</sup> at Woodstock to wit<sup>t</sup> at Northampton  
applied on the twenty fourth day of Aug<sup>t</sup> 1772 by his Note  
for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>r</sup> Moses to pay him or order  
three Pounds Lawful Money on or before the first day of  
Oct<sup>r</sup> then next ensuing the he tendered with interest & after  
3<sup>rd</sup> day of Oct<sup>r</sup> till paid & yet the S<sup>r</sup> Lieut<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the S<sup>r</sup> Moses fifteen Pounds The Parties appear agree  
to have this Case continued & Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday  
of August next

Wells  
Thompson  
No 142

Thomas Wells of Holland in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>y</sup> vs Joseph Thompson of Bristowfield in our  
County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup> D<sup>y</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup>  
Joseph at S<sup>r</sup> Hollands on the twentieth day of June 1782 by  
his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S<sup>r</sup> Thomas to pay him  
fifty Pounds & seven shillings & eight pence Silver Money  
on demand with Interest till paid & yet S<sup>r</sup> Joseph tho<sup>t</sup> often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the S<sup>r</sup> Thomas thirty Pounds The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Abner  
Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>y</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Thomas do recover  
against S<sup>r</sup> Joseph twenty seven Pounds nine shillings & seven pence  
of Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:16:10  
and thereupon

223  
Lemuel Bates of Brimfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Plaintiff Thomas Hancock of Long Meadow in said  
County Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the  
Thomas a. d. Brimfield on the tenth day of April last past by his  
Note for value recd. promised one Ruben A. Ly to pay him  
or order eight Pounds in Silver to be paid within <sup>143</sup> Months from  
the date with Interest till paid and that Ruben then  
afterwards on the same day by his Indorsement on said Note for  
value recd. ordered the Contn to go. Note then due to be paid  
to the P<sup>y</sup> whereat Thos. Hancock had due Notice & thereupon became  
chargeable to pay said Contn to the P<sup>y</sup> on demand & there  
therein consideration thereof promised the P<sup>y</sup> to pay him  
Contn accordingly & yet Thos. Hancock the often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
P<sup>y</sup> Lemuel fifteen Pounds & The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Honor Morgan  
Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> the Sept the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that Thos. Hancock do never against Thos.  
eight pounds ten shillings & five pence of lawful Money Damages  
Costs of Court taxed at £1:9:0 & thereupon George May 24<sup>th</sup> 1792

Martha Bridgham of Boston in our County of <sup>Suffolk</sup> Hampshire  
widow Administratrix on the Estate of James Bridgham late  
of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in Capacity P<sup>y</sup> is Shadrach Chapin of  
Merrifield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant  
Plea of Sept for that James in his life time by the Consideration  
of our Justices of our Court of Common Pleas held at Northampton  
within & for our County of Hampshire on the last Tuesday  
August last past recovered a Judgment against Thos. Shadrach  
for the sum of three Pounds eleven shillings lawful Money Damages  
and for two pence eight shillings & ten pence Costs of Suit  
in that behalf expended as by the Records of our Court there  
remaining before me there to being had may appear which Judgment  
remains in full force & virtue not satisfied or reversed & altho  
Thos. James did in his life time sue out Execution on said Judgment  
yet the same hath been & is still in no part satisfied thereby  
an action hath accrued to Thos. James in his life time to demand  
& have of Thos. Shadrach the sums aforesaid on the amounting to  
the sum of six pounds nine shillings & ten pence & yet Thos.  
Shadrach the often requested hath not paid to Thos. James in his life  
nor to the P<sup>y</sup> Martha since the death of Thos. James the last mentioned  
sum but neglects it to the Damage of Thos. Martha in Capacity  
twelve pounds & The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Honor Morgan Esq<sup>r</sup> her  
Att<sup>y</sup> & moves that Samuel Abbot of Andover in the County  
of Essex Esq<sup>r</sup> & John Fineland of Boston aforesaid Merchants who  
are appointed Administrators in the Room of Martha who is  
superseded may be admitted as P<sup>y</sup> in this Case & it is granted  
but afterwards at this same Term the Court are pleased to order  
that this Case be continued to the next Term for advisement  
whether the said Administrators can be admitted

Martha Bridgham of Boston in our County of Suffolk same  
widow Administratrix on the Estate of James Bridgham late  
of Boston Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in Capacity P<sup>y</sup> is John M. M. of  
Merrifield in our County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant  
in a Plea of the Case for that John a. d. Merrifield on the  
fifteenth day of January last past hundred & eighty two by his

Bridghamshead  
11  
Mr. With  
Date for value recd promised. that <sup>d</sup> James in his life time  
to pay him three pounds five shillings & five pence silver  
Money on demand with Interest till paid yet the <sup>d</sup> John the  
after requested but not paid to the <sup>d</sup> James in his life time  
not to the <sup>d</sup> Martin since the <sup>d</sup> James decess said Sumner Interest  
but neglected it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Martin in his Capacity  
two Pounds The Parties appears agree to have this Case  
continued, Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Parties have Stayed until the last Tuesday of August next

Lethrop &c  
11  
Tung  
No. 116  
Joseph Lethrop of West Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Clerk, Elizabeth Knight of Springfield in <sup>d</sup> County  
Gentlewoman Plaintiff William King of Wilbraham in <sup>d</sup> County  
Gentle Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> William at <sup>d</sup> Spring  
field on the seventh Day of April Instant by his Note for value  
recd. promised <sup>d</sup> Joseph to pay him or order one hundred and  
seven Pounds three Shillings & seven pence in Spanish milled  
Dollars on demand with Interest till paid yet <sup>d</sup> William  
the after requested hath never performed his <sup>d</sup> promise but  
neglect it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Plaintiff one hundred & twenty Pounds  
The Plaintiff appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney the Defendant three  
times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
<sup>d</sup> Plaintiff do recover against <sup>d</sup> Defendant one hundred & seven pounds  
nine shillings & seven pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1.3.11. otherwise  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 2. 1784

Parsons  
11  
King  
No. 117  
Linus Parsons of Springfield in our County Hampshire  
Iron holder Plaintiff William King of Wilbraham in <sup>d</sup> County  
Gentle Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> William at <sup>d</sup> Springfield  
on the seventh Day of April Instant by his Note for value  
recd. promised <sup>d</sup> Linus to pay him or order one hundred  
and fifty two pounds eight Shillings & three pence lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid yet <sup>d</sup> William  
the after requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Linus one hundred & twenty  
Pounds The Plaintiff appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Attorney  
the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court  
make default of appearance here Wherefore it is consid  
ered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Linus do recover against <sup>d</sup> William  
one hundred & fifty three Pounds & three Shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.3.2. otherwise  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 2. 1784

King  
11  
Whitmore  
No. 118  
Abel King of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire  
Gentle Plaintiff Jeremiah Whitmore of Pinner in our County  
of Worcester Gentleman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Jeremiah  
at <sup>d</sup> Wilbraham on the twenty eighth Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last past  
by his Note for value recd. promised <sup>d</sup> Abel to pay him or order  
twelve pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest  
till paid yet <sup>d</sup> Jeremiah the after requested hath never paid  
the same but neglect it to the Damage of the <sup>d</sup> Abel thirteen  
Pounds The Parties severally appears agree to have this  
Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be  
final Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the  
Parties have Stayed until the last Tuesday of August next

Daniel Lumbard of Springfield in our County of Hamp  
 shire yoman Plaintiff is Eam Burt yoman v. Abiather Stephenson  
 yoman both of Springfield & the in a Plea of the Case for that  
 P. Sept at P. Springfield on the sixteenth day of Jan<sup>y</sup> last past  
 by their Note for value<sup>y</sup> promised P. Daniel to pay him  
 three pounds ~~two~~ two Shillings six pence Lawful Money  
 on demand with Interest till paid - yet P. Sept tho<sup>y</sup> often  
 requested have never performed their<sup>y</sup> Promise but neglected  
 to the Damage of this<sup>y</sup> Daniel four Pounds - The Parties  
 appear agree to have this case continued to the next Term  
 Judgement then to be final - wherefore it is considered by  
 the Court that P. Parties have day here until the last Tuesday  
 of August next

224

Lumbard

Burt

N<sup>o</sup> 149

Moses Bliss of Springfield in our County of Hamp  
 shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff is Ephraim Chapin of P. Springfield Gent<sup>l</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>  
 in a Plea wherein this<sup>y</sup> Moses demands against the said  
 Ephraim fifteen Acres of Land lying & being in the upper field  
 so called in P. Springfield being the North Moity or half Part  
 of a Lot or Parcel of Land then called the Bean Lot bounding  
 West on Connecticut River East on a High way North on  
 Land of Jon<sup>l</sup> Chapin late dec<sup>d</sup> & South on the other Moity of  
 P. Lot as also the following Lots & part of a Lot of land  
 lying in the inward Commons so called in P. Springfield  
 in the third & last general scheme so called of the Division  
 of P. Common that is to say the Lot called & known by the name  
 of Lot Number thirty nine containing thirteen Acres, eighteen  
 Rods, also the Lot called & known by the name of the Lot Number  
 one hundred & fifty four containing twelve Acres, also seven  
 Acres of Land lying on the East side of the Lot commonly called  
 and known by the Lot number one hundred & fifty eight, also  
 the Lot of Land called & known by the name of Lot Number  
 four hundred & fifty three containing twelve Acres and  
 one hundred & forty seven Rods all which Lands & Tenements  
 lying in P. Springfield North of Chicopee River & part of the Estate  
 of Abel Chapin dec<sup>d</sup> all which Lands with the Appurtenances  
 this<sup>y</sup> Moses claims as his Right Inheritance & whereinto  
 this<sup>y</sup> Ephraim hath not Entry but often & quietly which though  
 Hunt unjustly & without Judgement committed within  
 twenty years now last past and whereupon this<sup>y</sup> Moses says  
 that he within twenty years was seized of all the P. Lands demanded  
 with the Appurtenances in his Demone as of his Right taking  
 the Profit thereof to the value of five Pounds by the Year & whereinto  
 this<sup>y</sup> Ephraim hath not Entry but by the decision of said Judge  
 Hunt committed within P. Term whereof he still desires this<sup>y</sup> Moses  
 the Demandant to the Damage of this<sup>y</sup> Moses fifteen Pounds  
 The Plaintiff appears in his own Person & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>y</sup> three times publicly  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that P. Moses do recover against  
 P. Ephraim Possession of the Lands sued for  
 and then of &c

Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>

Chapin

N<sup>o</sup> 150

Quon ijs? May 17 84

Burr J<sup>r</sup> Timothy Burr Inm<sup>r</sup> of Monmouth County of Hampshire  
yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Charles Ferry of Wilbraham in P<sup>r</sup> County Inm<sup>r</sup>  
Ferry  
before a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Charles at D<sup>r</sup> Wilbraham  
on the ninth day of May last past by his Note for value  
re<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Timothy to pay him seven pounds  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet  
D<sup>r</sup> Charles tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but  
requests it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Timothy ten pounds  
The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup>  
thre times publicly called to come into Court make default  
of Appearance here & there fore it is considered by the  
Court that D<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against the D<sup>r</sup> Charles seven  
pounds eight shillings & six pence of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Suit taxed at £. 7. 2 & there goes  
Upon y<sup>e</sup> June 2. 1702

Bliss  
Sawle  
N<sup>o</sup> 102  
Livi Bliss of Wilbraham in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Charles Ferry Gent<sup>l</sup> and  
Edward Sawle yeoman both of D<sup>r</sup> Wilbraham before in a  
Plea of the Case for the D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> at D<sup>r</sup> Wilbraham on the  
sixth day of August last past by their Note for value  
re<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Livi to pay him ten pounds & ten pence  
lawful Money on or before the first day of April then  
next with Interest till paid & yet D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested have  
never paid the same but requests it to the Damage of the  
D<sup>r</sup> Livi thirty Pounds The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> thre times publicly called to come into Court make default  
of Appearance here & there fore it is considered by the Court  
that D<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> ten pounds & ten shillings  
and three pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court  
taxed at £. 7. 2 & after all which the D<sup>r</sup> by Alexander  
Walcott Gent<sup>l</sup> their Att<sup>r</sup> appeal from the Judgement of this  
Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the  
fourth Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognizes with Sureties  
as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect  
as by D<sup>r</sup> Recognizance on File appears

same  
Jones  
N<sup>o</sup> 153  
Livi Bliss of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman P<sup>ly</sup> is Thomas Jones yeoman, Sabin Perre al yeoman  
William Brewer yeoman Luther Jones yeoman all of said  
Wilbraham & Moses Alvord yeoman & Caleb Laomine yeoman  
both lately of D<sup>r</sup> Wilbraham before in a Plea of the Case for  
that D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> at D<sup>r</sup> Wilbraham on the sixth day of August  
1703 by their Note for value re<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Livi to pay  
him twenty five pounds six shillings & seven pence lawful  
Money by the first day of April last past with lawful  
Interest till paid & yet D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested have  
never paid the same but requests it to the Damage of the  
D<sup>r</sup> Livi thirty Pounds The P<sup>ly</sup> appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> thre times publicly called to come  
into Court make default of Appearance here where fore  
it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> P<sup>ly</sup> do recover against  
D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> twenty six pounds nine shillings & one penny of  
lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at  
one pound & nine shillings

After which the Defts by Alex<sup>d</sup>. Wallcut Gent<sup>l</sup> their Att<sup>ys</sup> appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next he Recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by s<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File appears

Joseph Miller of Ludlow in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> vs Stephen Cotton of Wilbraham in s<sup>d</sup> County Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the s<sup>d</sup> Stephen at s<sup>d</sup> Wilbraham on the twenty eighth day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1789 by his Vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Joseph to pay him one hundred & eighty Pounds four shillings lawful Money by the tenth day of April then next or if not paid then Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Stephen tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph two hundred & ten pounds - The Parties appears on a Motion of the Deft it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued for special Pleading & the s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Miller  
Cotton  
No 154

Jeremiah Chapin of Granby in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup> vs Samuel Belding Yeoman & Philip Phillips Gent<sup>l</sup> both of Ashfield in s<sup>d</sup> County Deft in a Plea of the Case for the s<sup>d</sup> Defts at s<sup>d</sup> Ashley on the twelfth day of May seventeen hundred & twenty three by their Vote for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Jeremiah to pay him ten Pounds twelve shillings lawful Money on Demand with use till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Defts tho<sup>t</sup> often requested have never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said Jeremiah eighteen Pounds - The Ply appears by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> this Att<sup>ys</sup> & the Defts tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Jeremiah do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Defts seventeen pounds eleven shillings and four pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.14.0 - Wherefore the Defts by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>ys</sup> appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next he Recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by s<sup>d</sup> Recognizance on File appears

Chapin  
Belding  
Phillips  
No 155

John Stearns of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire Physician Plys Benjamin Billings of Belcheste in s<sup>d</sup> County Yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for the s<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>l</sup> at s<sup>d</sup> Wilbraham on the fifth day of Sept<sup>r</sup> last past was justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> John in the sum of four pounds nineteen shillings & eleven pence lawful Money by Book Account to balance for charges Medicines & Births administered him & performed for him by the s<sup>d</sup> John at his the s<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>l</sup> special Instance Request in Consideration thereof s<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>l</sup> then & there promised s<sup>d</sup> John to pay him the same on demand - yet s<sup>d</sup> Benj<sup>l</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said John eight Pounds - The Parties appears & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Stearns  
Billings  
No 156

Dwight  
Is  
Thurs  
No 157

Elizabeth Dwight of Springfield Gentlewoman & Joseph Lottrop  
of West Springfield Clerk both in the County of Hampshire Esqs  
vs. Elijah Stuck of Quenby in the same County Yeoman & Esqs  
in a Plea of Debt for that said Elijah at said Springfield  
on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of March Anno Dom 1777 by his Bond under  
his hand & seal of that Date in Court to be produced bound  
himself unto the said Elizabeth & Joseph & Ben Char: Yeoman  
Esqs then living now deceased in the sum of Two hundred  
pounds lawful money — & such he has never paid &  
other Damage Two hundred pounds The Parties appear  
and agree to refer this Case to the Judgment & Determini-  
nation of Esqrs Porter Esq. C<sup>t</sup> Elijah Stuck & Joseph  
Smith the two of them or any two of them it to be  
final, to be returned unto this Court by day to be  
made up and Execution issued accordingly and the  
said Parties have Day here in Court untill the  
last Tuesday of August next

Brewer  
Butter  
No 158

Charles Brewer of Wilbraham in our County of Hampshire  
Gentleman Esqrs Daniel Butler of Monro yeoman & Nathaniel  
Butler Esqs of Ludlow both in the County aforesd Esqs in a  
Plea of the Case for that D. Debt at d. Springfield on the first  
day of June last past by their Note for value recd. promised  
D. Charles to pay him or order fifty two pounds fourteen  
shillings & four pence lawful silver Money on demand with  
Interest annually till paid — yet D. Esqs tho often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
D. Charles two hundred Pounds The Parties appear  
agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment  
there to be final — Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
D. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Clap  
Hale  
No 60

John Clap of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire  
Yeoman Esqrs Joseph Hale of Hardwick in our County of Worcester  
Blacksmith Esq in a Plea of the Case for that D. Joseph at said  
New Bedford to wit at Springfield aforesaid on the twenty  
ninth day of May 1788 by his Note for value recd. promised  
John to pay him seven pounds one Shilling & six pence lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid — yet tho D. Joseph  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of D. John two pounds The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Samuel  
Barnard Esqr his Att<sup>y</sup> & the D<sup>f</sup> tho three times publicly called to  
come into Court make default of appearance here — Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that D. Pl<sup>y</sup> do recover against D. Esq  
seven pounds eight shillings & four pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £. 17. 9 — and thereof &c.  
Execu<sup>n</sup> 10. May 28. 1784

Joniah Dickinson of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
 Plaintiff vs Solomon Ashby of Winsted in the County of  
 Litchfield & State of New Hampshire Trader Defendant in a Plea of the  
 Case for that said Ashby at Northampton on the last day of Dec<sup>r</sup>  
 last past being justly indebted to the said Joseph in the sum of three  
 pounds sixteen shillings lawful Money for sundry Articles of  
 Account according to the account annexed he the said Solomon  
 in consideration thereof then & there undertook & to the said  
 Joniah faithfully promised to pay him the same on demand  
 yet the said Solomon the often requested hath never paid the same  
 but neglects it to the damage of the said Joniah eight Pounds &  
 The P<sup>l</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty &  
 the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court make  
 default of appearance here & therefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the said Joniah do recover against the said Solomon  
 three pounds sixteen shillings of lawful Money damages  
 and Costs of Court taxed at £1: 10: 0 & thereof &c  
 Then upon the Def<sup>t</sup> by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty appeals from  
 the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
 to be holden <sup>at Springfield</sup> in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth  
 Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognizes with Sureties as  
 the law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as  
 By the Recognizance on File appears

Dickinson  
 Ashby  
 No 101

Joseph Mayo of Warwick in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup>  
 Plaintiff vs Abiel Bragg of Winsted in the County of Litchfield  
 Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that said Abiel at Peterham viz at Springfield  
 on the nineteenth day of April last past by his Note for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> promised one David Sanderson Jun<sup>r</sup> to pay him an order  
 twenty two pounds two shillings & twopenny lawful Money in  
 six Months from the date of said Note with use till paid & afterwards  
 to wit the same day & year aforesaid at Springfield & David by his  
 Indorsement on the back of said Note with his own proper hand  
 subscribed assigned the said Note to the P<sup>l</sup> & ordered the Contents  
 thereof then due & unpaid to be paid to the P<sup>l</sup> of which the said  
 Abiel then & there had Notice and so became liable to pay the  
 Contents of said Note according to the Tenor thereof & also for that  
 said Abiel at Peterham viz at Springfield on the twenty  
 sixth day of July last past by his other Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
 promised one David Sanderson Jun<sup>r</sup> to pay him or order eight  
 Pounds eleven shillings lawful Money on demand without till  
 paid and afterwards to wit the same day & year aforesaid at said  
 Springfield the said David by his Indorsement on the back of said  
 with his own proper hand subscribed assigned the same Note to  
 the P<sup>l</sup> & ordered the Contents thereof then due & unpaid to be  
 paid to the P<sup>l</sup> of all which the said Abiel then had Notice & so became  
 liable to pay the Contents of the same Note to the P<sup>l</sup> according to the  
 Tenor thereof & being so liable the said Abiel promised to pay the  
 same accordingly on demand & yet the said Abiel the often requested  
 hath never performed his promise but neglects it to the damage  
 of the said Joseph thirty Pounds & The P<sup>l</sup> appears by Sam<sup>l</sup> Barnard  
 Gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into  
 Court makes default of appearance here & therefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that the said P<sup>l</sup> do recover against the said Def<sup>t</sup> twenty  
 four pounds six shillings & seven pence of lawful Money damages  
 and Costs of Court taxed at £2: 2: 0 & thereof &c

Mayo  
 Bragg  
 No 102

Exon 10. May 20. 1784

Newell  
Pratt  
No 163  
John Newell of Colrain in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
Pls Mich Pratt of Colrain in our County yeoman Def in a Plea  
the Care for that Mich at Colrain on the twenty third Day  
of Oct<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value  
rec<sup>d</sup> promised to John to pay him or Order five Pounds four  
Shillings lawful Money on demand & with Interest till paid -  
yet Mich tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>r</sup> John twelve Pounds  
The Pl<sup>r</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> tho  
three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here & therefore it is considered by the Court  
that the<sup>r</sup> John do recover against the<sup>r</sup> Mich five Pounds nineteen  
Shillings & twopence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £2:0:10 & thereof Exon<sup>g</sup> May 20. 1704

William  
Sanderson  
No 164  
John Williams, James Upham & Edward Upham all of  
Dorchester in our County of Hampshire Petitioners Joint Deceit in  
Trade Pls Enathan Sanderson of Granfield in our County  
yeoman Def in a Plea for that the<sup>r</sup> Pls at Springfield on  
the last Day of March last past was justly indebted to the<sup>r</sup> Pls  
in the sum of five Pounds eight Shillings & five Pence lawful  
Money to balance Book Accounts according to the account  
hereto annexed & in consideration thereof he the<sup>r</sup> Enathan then  
then promised the Pls to pay them the same sum on Demand  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued to the  
next Term & whereon it is considered by the Court that the<sup>r</sup> Parties have  
Day here until the last Tuesday of August next

Newell  
Dana  
No 165  
Solomon Newell of Boston in our County of Middlesex  
Husbandman Pls Daniel Dana of Colrain in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Def in a Plea of the Care for that Daniel  
at Colrain on the thirtieth Day of April seventeen hundred &  
eighty four by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised to Solomon to pay  
him or order sixteen Pounds lawful Money on demand &  
yet Daniel tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the<sup>r</sup> Solomon twenty Pounds  
The Pl<sup>r</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> tho three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here & whereon it is considered by the Court that the<sup>r</sup> Solomon  
do recover against the<sup>r</sup> Daniel sixteen Pounds one Shilling & seven  
pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £2:19:2  
and thereof Exon<sup>g</sup> May 20. 1704

Bragg  
Hall  
No 166  
Abiel Bragg of Whately in our County of Hampshire Pls  
Simon Hall of Barnstable in our County yeoman Def in a Plea of the Care for  
that Simon at Whately on the fourth Day of November seventeen  
hundred & eighty two by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised to Abiel to  
pay him six Pounds lawful Money worth of Boards or Grain on or  
before the first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> next & yet Simon tho often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said  
Abiel Ten Pounds The Pl<sup>r</sup> appears by Samuel Barnard Gent<sup>l</sup>  
his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>r</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here & whereon it is considered by the  
Court that the<sup>r</sup> Abiel do recover against the<sup>r</sup> Simon six Pounds twelve  
Shillings & three Pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed  
at £1:10:6 & thereof Exon<sup>g</sup> May 20. 1704

Abial Bragg of Whately in our County of Hampshire yoman 227  
Plff vs. Michael Babalan Smith of Granfield in<sup>d</sup> County  
yoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Smith that D<sup>r</sup> Whately Bragg  
on the twenty second Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value  
rec<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Abial to pay him or order eight Pounds two  
shillings six pence two Farthings lawful Money on demand Smith  
with Interest till paid - yet D<sup>r</sup> Smith tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath No 167  
never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said  
Abial twelve Pounds - The Parties appear agree to have  
this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be final  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day  
here untill the last Tuesday of August next -

Noah Morgan of Northfield in our County of Hamp  
shire Husbandman Plff vs. David Ballard of Granfield Cordwainer  
& Eliza Rendell of Montague Husbandman both in the County Morgan  
aforsaid Defs in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Defs on the fourth  
Day of March 1703 by their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised D<sup>r</sup> Noah Ballard  
to pay him three Thousand four hundred Lbs of Merchantable No 168  
white Pine Boards on or before the first Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> next - and  
the Plff avers that the Boards above mentioned were well worth  
six Pounds lawful Money - yet D<sup>r</sup> Defs tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
to have never paid the said Sum but neglected it to the Damage  
of the D<sup>r</sup> Noah nine Pounds - The Plff appears by Samuel  
Barnard Gentle his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Defs tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly  
called to come into Court make default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Noah do recover  
against D<sup>r</sup> Defs two Pounds fifteen Shillings of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £ 2: 4: 2 - & there cost  
Exon<sup>d</sup> May 28. 1704

John Williams of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>r</sup> Plff vs. Aaron Gleason & Jonathan Gleason both of the said  
in<sup>d</sup> County yoman Defs in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Williams  
Deft at D<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the sixth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> last past by their Gleason  
Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> jointly & severally promised D<sup>r</sup> John to No 169  
pay him or order nineteen Pounds nine Shillings seven  
pence in Gold or Silver on or before the first Day of May  
th<sup>r</sup> next with Interest till paid - yet D<sup>r</sup> Defs tho<sup>t</sup> often  
requested have never paid the same but neglected it  
to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> John twenty five Pounds - The  
Parties appear agree to have this Case continued for Judge  
ment - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next -

Ruben Wells of Granfield in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>r</sup>  
Plff vs. Joseph Sverance of Shelburne in<sup>d</sup> County Cordwainer Deft Wells  
in a Plea of the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Joseph at D<sup>r</sup> Springfield on the last  
Day of April last past was justly indebted to D<sup>r</sup> Ruben in the Sum Sverance  
three Pounds fifteen Shillings & three pence lawful Money to Balance No 170  
Book accounts according to the account here annexed in Consider  
ation thereof D<sup>r</sup> Joseph undertook faithfully promised to pay him  
the same Sum on demand - yet D<sup>r</sup> Joseph tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the D<sup>r</sup> Ruben  
eight Pounds - The Parties appear agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Judgement then to be final - Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that D<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill  
the last Tuesday of August next -

Heaton  
Arms  
No 171

James Heaton of Shelburne in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
Ply is David Arms of Camsey in our County yeoman Deft in a Plea  
of the Case for that D. David at Charlsmont in our County on the  
third Day of October last past by his Note for value recd  
promised D. James to pay him three Pounds eight Shillings and  
two pence (meaning lawful Money) on Demand with the use till  
paid - yet D. David tho often requested hath never paid the  
same but neglects it to the Damage of the D. James Eight pounds  
The Pll appears by Samuel Barnard Esq his Atty the Deft  
tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that  
D. James do recover against D. David three pounds ten Shillings  
and six pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at  
£2:2:2 - & thereof  
Exon go. May 20. 1704

Goff  
Rice  
No 172

Hezekiah Goff of Burfield in our County of Hampshire  
yeoman Pll is Ephraim Rice of Sunderland in our County yeoman Deft in  
a Plea of the Case for that D. Ephraim at Sunderland on the nineteenth  
Day of January 1703 by his Note for value recd promised D. Hezekiah  
to pay him five pounds two Shillings silver Money - or Wheat at  
silver Money price on or before the nineteenth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> next  
ensuing the Date of Note with Interest till paid - yet D. Ephraim  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the D. Hezekiah Ten Pounds - The Pll appears by  
Samuel Barnard Esq his Atty the Deft tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Whereupon it is considered by the Court that D. Hezekiah do recover  
against D. Ephraim five Pounds eight Shillings & six pence of lawful  
Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1:17:11  
Whereupon the Deft by Simon Strong Esq his Atty appeals from  
the Judgement of the Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be  
holden at Springfield in our County of Hampshire on the fourth  
Tuesday of September next & he recognizes with Sureties at the Law  
dine to for his prosecuting his Appeal with the Effect as by D. Strong  
recognize on File appears

Hanney  
Sloan  
No 173

Charles Hanney of Myrfield in our County of Hampshire  
Husbandman Pll is John Sloan of Greensfield in our County Husband  
man Deft in a Plea of the Case for that D. John at Springfield  
on the nineteenth Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1703 by his Note for value recd promised  
D. Charles to pay him at order seven pounds eight Shillings & seven  
Pence on demand with Interest till paid - yet D. John tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the D. Charles fifteen Pounds - The Pll appears by Samuel  
Barnard Esq his Atty the Deft tho three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Whereupon  
it is considered by the Court that D. Charles do recover against said  
John eight Pounds two Shillings & five pence of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court taxed at £2:4:6 - & thereof  
Exon go. May 20. 1704

David Dickinson of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire Gent. 228  
Pls John Thayer of Charlemont in our County Husbandman Def. Dickinson  
is a Plea of the Case for that David at Deerfield on the first  
Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1782 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised David to pay  
him or order two pounds four shillings in Silver or Gold on demand  
with Interest till paid - yet David the often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of this David five  
Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by Samuel Bernard Gent<sup>l</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup>  
tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
David do recover against David two pounds ten shillings and  
six pence of lawful Money Damages - Costs of Court to David £2:2:1  
After all which the Def<sup>t</sup> by William Billings Esq<sup>r</sup> is Atty<sup>r</sup> appeals from  
the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden  
at Springfield in for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
of Sep<sup>r</sup> next he recognizes with sureties as the Law directs for his  
promoting his Appeal with Effect as by his Recognizance on File appears -

Oliver Field of Deerfield in our County of Hampshire Filed  
Trader Pls Josiah Stone of Colrain in our County yeoman Def in a  
Plea of the Case for that Josiah at Deerfield on the twentieth  
Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1781 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Oliver to pay  
him or order eleven Pounds hard Cash within two Months from  
the Date with Interest after two Months if not paid - yet Josiah  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the  
Damage of this Oliver Thirteen pounds - The Parties appear agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have  
Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next -

Ruggles Thos of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
Att<sup>y</sup> of Colpehiants Gent<sup>l</sup> Pls Lawrence Stork of Westfield in  
our County of Hampshire Physician Def in a Plea of Trespass on the  
Case for that Lawrence at Springfield on the sixteenth Day of  
Oct<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Ruggles to  
pay him nine pounds sixteen Shillings lawful Money within  
sixth Month with Interest till paid - yet Lawrence tho requested  
hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of this  
Ruggles fifteen Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup>  
his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> being out of the State it is considered by the  
Court that this Case be continued & that the Parties have Day here  
untill the last Tuesday of August next

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Administrator of all singular the Goods & Chattels Rightors  
cred<sup>ts</sup> of Elisha Parks late of Westfield in our County Esq<sup>r</sup> and Intestate  
in Capacity Pls Jesse Sacket of Westfield yeoman Def in a Plea  
of Trespass on the Case for that Jesse while living at Westfield on  
the thirtieth Day of March 1779 at the special Intestice & Request of the  
Dece<sup>d</sup> had before that time sold & delivered to this Jesse divers Goods  
wares & Merchandizes in the Dece<sup>d</sup> in Consideration thereof & there  
appeared on himself & fully promised Elisha to pay him therefor  
so much Money as these Goods & Merchandizes were reasonably  
worth at the time of the aforesaid delivery thereof on demand now in fact the  
Dece<sup>d</sup> Warham avers that the same Goods & Merchandizes were well worth  
eleven Pounds lawful Money at the time of the aforesaid delivery thereof - of  
which this Jesse had Notice - yet Jesse tho often requested hath never paid  
the same but neglected it to the Damage of this Warham five  
Pounds - The Parties appear agree to have this Case continued & Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the last  
Tuesday of August next -

Noble Daniel Noble of Westfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman  
Plff vs Zebadiah Williams of Westfield yeoman Deft in a Plea of the  
Williams Case for that D. Zebadiah at Westfield on the twenty fourth Day  
of May 1774 by his Note for value recd. promised D. Daniel to pay him  
N<sup>o</sup> 178 by the name of Daniel Noble Just two Pounds & fourteen Shillings  
lawful Money on Demand with use till paid & yet D. Zebadiah  
the often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the D. Daniel seven Pounds & The Plff appears by John Phelps Gent.  
his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that D. Daniel do recover against D. Zebadiah three Pounds sixteen  
Shillings & nine pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs Court  
taxed at £1.7.9 & thereof &c Exon<sup>o</sup> June 22. 1784

Inhabitants of Blanford of Hampshire Plffs vs David Campbell late of Blanford  
yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case whereon the Inhabitants  
Campbell Campbell in for this to wit that the said David at Blanford on  
the twenty sixth Day of May last past by his Note for value  
N<sup>o</sup> 179 recd. promised the Inhabitants by their Treasurer Warham Parks  
Esq<sup>r</sup> to pay him thirteen Pounds & ten Shillings Silver Money  
on Demand with Interest till paid & yet D. David the often  
requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects it to  
the Damage of the Inhabitants Eighteen Pounds & The Plffs  
appear by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> & move that this may be  
continued the Deft being out of the State & thereupon it is considered  
by the Court that D. Parks have Day here untill the last Tuesday  
of August next

Paul Sheldon of Southampton in our County of Hurry  
Plff vs Abner Smith of Chester County Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that D. Paul at Southampton on  
the last Day of March 1773 had done & performed for him the said Abner  
at his special Instance & Request certain work Labour in his Trade  
as a Smith & provided him Materials viz about the same work  
he the said Abner then there in consideration thereof undertook & faith  
fully promised D. Paul to pay him so much Money as the same work  
& Labour & Materials about the same work was reasonably worth when  
ever he should be requested & and the said Paul in fact saith that he  
reasonably deserved to have for the same five Pounds ten Shillings & eleven  
pence lawful Money of which the said Abner had Notice & yet D. Abner  
the often requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects it  
to the Damage of the said Paul ten Pounds & The Plff appears by John  
Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft the three times publicly called to come  
into Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that D. Paul do recover against D. Abner  
five Pounds ten Shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1.0.0 & thereof &c Exon<sup>o</sup> June 22. 1784

John Phelps of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Gent<sup>l</sup>  
Plff vs David Marg of Westfield a our County of Berkshire yeoman Deft in a  
Plea of Trespass on the Case for that D. David at Westfield on the  
last Day of June last past by his Note for value recd. promised D. John  
to pay him four Pounds nine Shillings & four pence lawful Money on Demand  
with Interest till paid & yet D. David the often requested hath never paid  
the same but neglects it to the Damage of the D. John nine Pounds & The Plff  
appears by his own proper Pleader & the Deft the three times publicly called  
to Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that D. John do recover against D. David  
four Pounds fourteen pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1.1.0 & thereof &c Exon<sup>o</sup> June 22. 1784

Elisha Took of Lonsbury in our County of Hartford & State of Conn.  
 vs Daniel Bardman of Dalton in our County of  
 Berkshire Deft in a Plea of the Case for M. & S. Daniel  
 Springfield on the twelfth day of Nov<sup>r</sup> 1821 at part by his Notes  
 & interest promised Elisha to pay him three hundred & ten shillings  
 & two pence lawful Money on Demand with Int<sup>r</sup> till paid  
 yet Daniel tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglected  
 it to the Damage of the Plaintiff Eight Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears  
 by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publickly called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here, wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that Daniel do never against the Plaintiff  
 four Pounds & six pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
 taxed at £1. 10. 6. within three Months from the 22<sup>d</sup> of June 1822.

Took  
 Bardman  
 1822.

Biddad Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vs Gideon Granger of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
 and State of Connecticut Gent<sup>l</sup> Deft in a Plea of Tresp<sup>s</sup> on the Case  
 for that Biddad at Springfield on the last day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last at  
 the special Instance & Request of the said Gideon sold & delivered to him  
 diverse Goods, Wares & Merchandises, he the said Gideon in Consideration  
 thereof then & thereuntook & faithfully promised Biddad to  
 pay him so much Money as such Goods, Wares & Merchandises sold &  
 delivered as aforesaid were reasonably worth at the time of said Sale  
 whenever he should be thereto requested & the said Biddad in fact sold  
 that said Goods, Wares & Merchandises were reasonably worth the sum  
 of Eighty Pounds lawful Money of which the said Gideon had Notice:  
 yet Gideon tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
 neglected it to the Damage of Biddad seventy Pounds. The Parties  
 appear by and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term.  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Days in Court  
 untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Fowler  
 Granger  
 1822

Cephas Gillet of Sheffield in the County of Hartford and  
 State of Connecticut vs Silas Fowler Gent<sup>l</sup> School  
 Messenger vsoman both late of Southwick in our County of  
 Hampshire Deft in a Plea of Tresp<sup>s</sup> on the Case for that said  
 Def<sup>t</sup> at Southwick on the twenty second day of April 1822 by  
 their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Cephas to pay him six  
 three Spanish milled Dollars & one third of Dollar or the value  
 thereof in that Cattle or Grain to be appraised by Indifferant  
 Men & deliver the same at the House of the said Silas vs Southwick  
 by the first day of Oct<sup>r</sup> then next following the date of said Note with  
 Interest till paid yet Silas & Schell one third of them tho often  
 requested have never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage  
 of the Plaintiff thirty Pounds. The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Phelps  
 Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publickly called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here, wherefore it is con  
 sidered by the Court that the Plaintiff do never against the Def<sup>t</sup> twenty one  
 pounds nine shillings & eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs  
 of Court taxed at £1. 6. 0. & therefor

Gillet  
 Fowler &  
 1822

David Fowler Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in our County of  
 Hampshire vs Nathaniel Gaylord of West Springfield in  
 County vsoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for Debt  
 filed on the tenth day of August seventeen hundred & eighty two by  
 his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised David to pay & deliver two pounds  
 thirteen shillings & five pence in silver or there within two months  
 from the date of said Note with Interest till paid

Fowler Jr  
 Gaylord  
 1822

Fowler. Y. A. B. Nathaniel has often requested hath not performed his s.  
Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the s. David seven Pounds  
Gaylord The s. appears by s. John Phelps Just. his Atty. in the s. s. the three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear  
ance here - Therefore it is considered by the Court that said  
David do recover against s. Nath. C. Two pounds eight shillings  
nine pence three farthings of lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1.6.0. & there of s. c.  
Exhib. 2d. June 22. 1784

Pratt Gerard Pratt of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
s. woman s. s. Job Ailes Jun<sup>r</sup> late of s. Granville s. woman s. s.  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the s. Gerard s. s. Job at  
s. Granville on the twentieth day of April seven hundred  
and eighty accounted together of concerning their Mutual dealings  
together & upon that day their accounts the s. Job was found to be  
in Arrear there in of ten shillings & being so found in Arrear  
he the s. Job in consideration thereof promised s. Gerard to pay  
him s. Sum in what is called the Old way viz at the Rate of four  
shillings by the Bushel on demand & the s. Job over that s. ten  
shillings was equal to fifteen shillings lawful Money also for that  
s. Gerard afterwards viz at s. Granville on the last day of March last  
past had done & performed for the s. Job & delivered to him at his  
special Instance Request diverse Articles according to the Schedule  
hereto Annexed he the s. Job in consideration thereof then then  
agreed on himself & faithfully promised s. Gerard to pay him  
as much Money as the same Articles were reasonably worth at the  
time thereof on demand - now in fact the s. Gerard avers that  
the same Articles at the time they were charged were well worth  
the sum of seven Pounds nineteen shillings & four Pence thirty  
seven shillings & eight pence the s. Gerard has rec. six pounds one  
shilling & eight pence of which the s. Job had notice  
yet s. Job tho often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of the s. Gerard twelve Pounds  
The Parties appear & agree to have this Case continued to  
the next Term Judgement there to be final - Therefore it  
is considered by the Court that s. Parties have Day here until  
the last Tuesday of August next

Caldwell Bucking Caldwell of Westfield in our County of Hamp  
shire s. woman s. s. Jonathan Miller of Chester in our said  
County s. woman s. s. in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that s. Caldwell at s. Westfield on the third day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past  
by his Note for value rec. promised s. Buckley to pay him or  
order fifteen Bushels of Good Merchantable Wheat on demand  
& on demand till paid & the s. Buckley over that s. Wheat was well  
worth four pounds ten shillings lawful Money - yet s.  
Miller tho often requested hath never performed his said  
Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the s. Buckley seven  
Pounds - The Parties appear & agree to have this Case continued  
Therefore it is considered by the Court that s. Parties have Day  
Day here until the last Tuesday of August next

Washam Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Administration of all & sing<sup>l</sup> as the Good & Chastity Parks Adm<sup>r</sup>  
 Rights & Credit of Elisha Parks late of Westfield in our County  
 of Hampshire Intestate in our Capacity Plffs John Rhoades of  
 Warthington in our County yeoman Def in a Plea of the Case Rhoades  
 for the vs. John at s<sup>d</sup> Westfield on the nineteenth day of March No 187  
 1773 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Elisha then living  
 to pay him or order twenty Shillings & eight pence lawful  
 Money within one Month with Interest till paid - also for  
 that vs. John after wards at s<sup>d</sup> Westfield on the fourteenth day  
 of April 1773 by one other Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 Elisha then living to pay him or order seven Pounds ten  
 Shillings & three Pence lawful Money on demand with Interest  
 till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath never  
 performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promises but neglects it to the Damage of  
 the s<sup>d</sup> Washam in our Capacity fourteen Pounds -  
 The Plf appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> who hath  
 tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here - Wherefore it is con-  
 sidered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Washam do recover against  
 the s<sup>d</sup> John eleven pounds eleven Shillings & nine pence  
 of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at £1.12.11 -  
 and there of &c -  
 Exon<sup>o</sup> June 22. 1784

John Phelps of Westfield in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Gent<sup>l</sup> Plf vs John Rhoades of Warthington in our County Phelps  
 yeoman Def in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that vs. John Rhoades  
 at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the seventh day of Feb<sup>r</sup> 1783 by his Note  
 for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Phelps to pay him five pounds No 188  
 ten Shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest till  
 paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Phelps twelve  
 Pounds - The Plf appears in his own proper Person & tho  
 tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
 default of appearance here - Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Phelps do recover against  
 s<sup>d</sup> Rhoads five Pounds eight Shillings & four pence of  
 lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at £1.10.10 -  
 and there of &c -  
 Exon<sup>o</sup> June 22. 1784

Shaddoos Newton of Chert in our County of Hamp-  
 shire yeoman Plf vs Abel Ford of Chertfield in our County yeoman Newton  
 Def in a Plea of the Case for that vs. Abel at s<sup>d</sup> Chertfield on  
 the ninth day of March last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> Ford  
 promised one Samuel Dunth to pay him or order nine Pounds No 189  
 and twelve Shillings lawful Money on demand with Interest  
 till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Abel tho often requested hath never paid the same  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Shaddoos twenty pounds - The Plf appears by  
 John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> who hath tho three times publicly called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Newton do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Ford nine pounds  
 four Shillings & six pence of lawful Money Damages & costs of Court taxed at  
 £1.13.2 - and there of &c -  
 Exon<sup>o</sup> June 22. 1784

Phelps John Phelps of Wethfield in our County of Hampshire Gent.  
Hiles vs. John Hiles Junr of Granville in our County of Hampshire Gent. deft in  
No 190 a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> John at s<sup>d</sup> Wethfield on the  
twelfth day of July last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> John to pay him  
two pounds four shillings & six pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet  
s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it  
to the Damage of this s<sup>d</sup> John twelve Pounds - The Parties  
mutually appear agree to have this Case continued to the next  
Term Judgment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday  
of August next -

Bancroft Samuel Bancroft of Granville in our County of Hamp  
shire Gent. Plff vs. Samuel Thrall of s<sup>d</sup> Granville Gent. deft in a  
Thall Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Thrall at s<sup>d</sup> Granville on the eleventh  
No 191 Day of August last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup>  
Bancroft to pay him sixteen Pounds sixteen shillings lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Thrall tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of this s<sup>d</sup> Bancroft twenty Pounds - The Parties appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment  
then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of  
August next -

Bancroft Samuel Bancroft of Granville in our County of Hamp  
shire Gent. Plff vs. John Gillet of Wethfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gillet deft in a Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> John at s<sup>d</sup> Granville on the  
No 192 fourth Day of March 1783 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised said  
Bancroft to pay him sixteen Pounds ten shillings & eight pence of  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> John  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of this s<sup>d</sup> Samuel twenty Pounds - The Plff appears  
by John Phelps Gent. his Att<sup>r</sup> & the deft tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Samuel do recover  
against s<sup>d</sup> John seventeen Pounds fourteen shillings & seven pence  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:8:7  
and thereupon -

Whipple Ebenezer Whipple of Montgomery in our County of Hamp  
shire Esq. Plff vs. Ephraim Fletcher of South Brimfield in our  
County of Hampshire Gent. deft in a Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Ephraim  
Fletcher at s<sup>d</sup> Montgomery on the sixteenth Day of January  
No 193 last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Ebenezer to pay him  
five Pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet  
s<sup>d</sup> Ephraim tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of this s<sup>d</sup> Ebenezer twelve Pounds - The Plff  
appears by John Phelps Gent. his Att<sup>r</sup> & the deft tho three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it  
is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Ebenezer do recover against s<sup>d</sup> deft five Pounds  
& two shillings of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:11:5  
After all which the deft by s<sup>d</sup> Ebenezer Morgan Esq. his Att<sup>r</sup> appeals from the  
Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at  
Brimfield next for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday  
of September next or sooner or later with Justice as the Law directs  
for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by s<sup>d</sup> Recognizance  
on File appears -

Brooks

Jury

N<sup>o</sup> 194

Submit Clark of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Sheriff Pley is William Judd of Northampton in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
 that D<sup>r</sup> William at D<sup>r</sup> Northampton on the seventh Day of April  
 last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised to Submit to  
 pay him eighteen Pounds seven Shillings & four pence on demand  
 with Interest till paid - yet D<sup>r</sup> William tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damages of  
 the P<sup>r</sup> Submit twenty Pounds - The Parties appeared & agree  
 to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
 then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Clark

Judd

N<sup>o</sup> 195

John Phelps of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Sheriff Pley is Ebenezer King of Montgomery in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer at D<sup>r</sup> Westfield on the twentieth Day of August last past by his Note  
 for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised to John to pay him five pounds  
 lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet D<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer  
 tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but neglected  
 it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> John seven pounds - The P<sup>r</sup> appears  
 in his own proper Person & the D<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> John do recover  
 against D<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer five pounds four Shillings & six pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at L<sup>s</sup> 7. 2. & nothing more  
 Given in June 10. 1704

The P<sup>r</sup>

King

N<sup>o</sup> 196

Warham Parks of Blandford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Pley is Ebenezer King of Montgomery in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that D<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer at D<sup>r</sup> Blandford on  
 the tenth Day of November 1701 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 P<sup>r</sup> Warham to pay him or order eighty one pounds five Shillings  
 and ten pence lawful silver Money on demand with  
 Interest till paid - yet D<sup>r</sup> Ebenezer tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglected it to the Damages of the P<sup>r</sup> Warham one hundred Pounds.

Parks

King

N<sup>o</sup> 197

Parks  
The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by John Parks Gent<sup>r</sup> & is A<sup>tt</sup> & the best the  
thing  
three times publicly called to come into Court make default of  
appearance here, wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
P<sup>r</sup>atham do recover against P<sup>r</sup>atham ninety three Pounds  
nine shillings eight pence of lawful Money damages & costs of  
Suit taxed at £1. 10. 11. & thereupon Exon<sup>r</sup> June 18. 1704

Harker.  
Loomis  
No 198  
David Loomer Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman P<sup>ty</sup> is Evers<sup>r</sup> Loomer of Southwick  
yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that W<sup>r</sup> Evers  
at W<sup>r</sup> Southwick on the twenty fifth day of April last entered  
an order & eight shillings three pence by his Note for value re<sup>d</sup> promised said  
David to pay him or order the sum of three Pounds thirteen shil-  
lings on demand with Interest till paid & yet W<sup>r</sup> Evers after  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the damage  
of the P<sup>r</sup> David seven Pounds & The Parties appears & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then  
to be final & wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Root  
Hiles Jun<sup>r</sup>  
No 199  
Jacob Root of a Place called Schooduck in the  
Manor of Rensselaer County of Albany State of New York  
yeoman P<sup>ty</sup> is Rob<sup>t</sup> Hiles In<sup>t</sup> of Granville in our County of  
Hampshire yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that W<sup>r</sup> Rob<sup>t</sup> at Springfield on the twentieth day of  
January last entered an order & eighty two by his Note for value  
re<sup>d</sup> promised one Ephraim Pitton to pay him or order eight  
pounds. Money missing lawful Money in two Months forom  
the date of W<sup>r</sup> Note & and afterwards at Springfield on the  
same day assigned to the P<sup>r</sup> Ephraim by his Indorsement  
on the back of W<sup>r</sup> Note with his own proper hand subscribed ordered  
the contents thereof then due unpaid to be paid to the P<sup>ty</sup> for  
value re<sup>d</sup> of which the P<sup>r</sup> Rob<sup>t</sup> had Notice, & by reason thereof became  
liable to pay the contents of W<sup>r</sup> Note according to the tenor thereof  
and thereupon in consideration thereof understood & faithfully  
promised the P<sup>ty</sup> to pay him the same accordingly & yet W<sup>r</sup> Rob<sup>t</sup>  
tho<sup>o</sup> afterwards requested hath never paid the P<sup>r</sup> sum but neglects it to  
the damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Jacob twenty Pounds & The Parties appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
then to be final & wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Mosley  
Dewey  
No 200  
Hannah Mosley of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gentlewoman P<sup>ty</sup> is David Dewey of Southampton in our County  
yeoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that W<sup>r</sup> David  
on the fourteenth day of April last past by his Note for value re<sup>d</sup>  
promised P<sup>r</sup> Hannah to pay her or order three Pounds nine  
shillings lawful Money <sup>on demand</sup> with Interest till paid & yet W<sup>r</sup> David  
tho<sup>o</sup> afterwards requested hath never paid the P<sup>r</sup> sum but neglects it to the  
damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Hannah seven Pounds & The P<sup>ty</sup> appears by  
John Parks Gent<sup>r</sup> her A<sup>tt</sup> & the best the three times publicly called  
to come into Court make default of appearance here & wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Hannah do recover against  
P<sup>r</sup> David three Pounds nine shillings & four pence lawful Money  
damages & costs of Suit taxed at £1. 6. 10. & thereupon  
Exon<sup>r</sup> June 22. 1704

Thomas Lloyd of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
Plays John Gillet of Wilbraham in our County of Lincoln a Plea of  
Trespason the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> John at s<sup>d</sup> Granville on the thirtieth  
Day of January 1703 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Thomas  
to pay him two pounds two Shillings eight Pence by the first  
Day of May then next with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> John tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas seven Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by the  
Plays Gust<sup>o</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> that three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Thomas do recover against  
s<sup>d</sup> John Gillet two Pounds five Shillings & eleven Pence of Lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 9. 4 - & there of &c  
Given j<sup>s</sup> June 22. 1704

292

Lloyd  
GilletN<sup>o</sup> 201

Aaron Parks of Montgomery in our County of Hamp  
shire plays James Minson of Blanford in our County  
a Plea of Trespason the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Minson  
at s<sup>d</sup> Blanford on the fourteenth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> last past by  
his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Aaron to pay him or order  
two pounds & sixteen Shillings Lawful Money on demand with  
Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> James tho often requested hath  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup>  
Aaron seven Pounds - The Parties appears agree to  
have this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then  
to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
s<sup>d</sup> Parties have day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Parks  
MinsonN<sup>o</sup> 202

Pliny Mosley of Westfield in our County of Hamp  
shire Guit<sup>o</sup> Plays John Rhoads of Warrington in our County  
a Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> John at s<sup>d</sup> Westfield  
on the twentieth Day of July 1701 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
promised s<sup>d</sup> Pliny to pay him four Pounds & six Shillings in Lawful  
Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> John tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Pliny seven Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by  
John Phelps Guit<sup>o</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> that three times publicly  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here -  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Pliny do recover  
against s<sup>d</sup> John five pounds & six Pence of Lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1. 9. 0 - & there of &c  
Given j<sup>s</sup> June 22. 1704

Mosley  
RhoadsN<sup>o</sup> 203

David Fowler Jun<sup>r</sup> of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
Plays s<sup>r</sup> Abner Frost late of Springfield in our County a Plea  
of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Abner at s<sup>d</sup> Southwick on the  
twenty second Day of April last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
promised s<sup>d</sup> David to pay him or order seven Pounds & thirteen  
Shillings worth of Rye at four Shillings by the Bushel within six  
Months from the Date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Abner  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> David twenty Pounds - The Pl<sup>y</sup> appears by John Phelps  
Guit<sup>o</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> that three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that s<sup>d</sup> Pl<sup>y</sup> do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> eight Pounds & fifteen Shillings of Lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Suit taxed at £1. 4. 11 - & there of &c  
Given j<sup>s</sup> June 22. 1704

Fowler  
FrostN<sup>o</sup> 204

Bagey

Bagey

No 205

Moses Bagey of Springfield in our County of Hampshire with Plls  
Roger Bagey of Watfield in our County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case  
for that R<sup>d</sup> Roger at W<sup>st</sup>field on the second Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> next  
undertakes eighty two by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised M<sup>r</sup> Bagey  
to pay him thirty five Pounds six shillings nine Pence lawful  
Money within one Month from the date of said Note with Interest  
till paid - y<sup>t</sup> R<sup>d</sup> Roger tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he never paid the  
same but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> M<sup>r</sup> Bagey forty Pounds.  
The Pll appears by John Phelps G<sup>nt</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three  
times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appear-  
ance here - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that M<sup>r</sup> Bagey  
do recover against R<sup>d</sup> Roger  
of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £  
Then upon this R<sup>d</sup> Roger by Samuel Fowler G<sup>nt</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> appeals  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on  
the fourth Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognizes with Sureties as the  
Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by said  
Recognizance on File appears -

Parks Esq<sup>r</sup>

Hough

No 206

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Plls Elijah Hough of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
in a Plea of the Case for that R<sup>d</sup> Hough at Blanford on the fifteenth  
Day of August 1801 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised Warham  
to pay him or order fifty four Pounds eight shillings eight pence  
silver Money on demand with Interest till paid - y<sup>t</sup> R<sup>d</sup> Hough  
tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he never paid said Sum but neglects it to the  
Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Warham twenty Pounds - The Parties appear  
and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
there to be final - Whereupon it is considered by the Court that  
said Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of August next -

Barcom

Waich Esq<sup>r</sup>

No 207

Aaron Barcom of Chester in our County of Hampshire Clerk  
Plls Jonathan Waich Esq<sup>r</sup> of Chester aforesaid a Plea of the Case  
for that R<sup>d</sup> Barcom at Chester on the twenty sixth Day of  
November last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised the said  
Aaron to pay him or order two pounds fourteen shillings & six pence  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - y<sup>t</sup> R<sup>d</sup> Barcom  
tho<sup>t</sup> often requested he never paid the same but neglects it to  
the Damage of the said Aaron fifteen Pounds - The Pll appears  
by John Phelps G<sup>nt</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called  
to come into Court makes default of appearance here - Whereupon  
it is considered by the Court that Aaron do recover against said  
Jon<sup>r</sup> eleven pounds & eleven Pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1.12.0 - After all which this Jon<sup>r</sup> by  
John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> comes in to Court & appeals from  
the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be  
holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth  
Tuesday of Sept<sup>r</sup> next & he recognizes with Sureties as the Law directs  
for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by said Recognizance on  
File appears -

John Phelps of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Gent. Plt  
vs Ebenezer Truman of Norwiche in s<sup>d</sup> County of woman & child in a Plea  
of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Ebene<sup>r</sup> a t<sup>r</sup>s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the twelfth day  
of Nov<sup>r</sup> 1781 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised John to pay  
him three pounds six shillings lawful Money on demand on  
demand with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> Ebene<sup>r</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of this<sup>d</sup>  
John seven Pounds - The Plt appears in his own proper Person  
and the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that s<sup>d</sup> John do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Ebene<sup>r</sup> three Pounds fifteen  
shillings & eleven pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.0.2 - & there of &c - Exon<sup>r</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> June 22<sup>nd</sup> 1784

233  
Phelps  
vs  
Truman  
No 208

Adnah Sacket of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
Gent<sup>l</sup> Plt vs Jabez Tracy of Chester in s<sup>d</sup> County of woman & child in  
a Plea of Trespas on the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Adnah a t<sup>r</sup>s<sup>d</sup> Westfield on the  
last day of April last had before that time sold & delivered to this<sup>d</sup>  
Jabez sundry Goods, Wares, Merchandizes at his this<sup>d</sup> Jabez  
special Instance & Request he this<sup>d</sup> Jabez in Consideration thereof  
assumed on himself & faithfully promised this<sup>d</sup> Adnah to pay  
him so much Money as the same Goods Wares, Merchandizes were  
reasonably worth at the time of the said delivery thereof on demand  
Now in fact this<sup>d</sup> Adnah avers that the same Goods, Wares, Merchandizes  
were reasonably worth nine pounds fourteen shillings & four  
pence of which this<sup>d</sup> Jabez had Notice & promised payment on de-  
mand - three pounds three shillings this<sup>d</sup> Tracy hath paid & now  
there remains due from this<sup>d</sup> Tracy six pounds eleven shillings &  
four pence - yet this<sup>d</sup> Jabez tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of this<sup>d</sup> Adnah twelve  
Pounds - The Plt appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that s<sup>d</sup> Adnah do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Jabez nine pounds  
fourteen shillings & four pence of lawful Money Damages Costs  
of Court taxed at £1.9.10 - & there of &c - Exon<sup>r</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> June 22<sup>nd</sup> 1784

Sacket  
vs  
Tracy  
No 209

Warham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Plt vs David Bolton of Middlefield in s<sup>d</sup> County of woman & child in  
in a Plea of the Case for the h<sup>d</sup> David a t<sup>r</sup>s<sup>d</sup> Blanford on the sixteenth  
day of July last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised said  
Warham to pay him or order twenty four Pounds four shillings  
and five pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
yet s<sup>d</sup> David tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid the same but  
neglects it to the Damage of this<sup>d</sup> Warham thirty Pounds - The Plt  
appears by John Phelps Gent<sup>l</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> three times  
publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance  
here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Warham do  
recover against s<sup>d</sup> David twenty five Pounds eight shillings & eight  
pence of lawful Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £1.13.7  
and there of &c - Exon<sup>r</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> June 22<sup>nd</sup> 1784

Parks  
vs  
Bolton  
No 210

Brown  
Blair's  
No 211. Solomon Brown of a Place called White Creek in the County  
of Charlotte & State of New York Gent. My Robert Blair Auctioneer  
of Blanford in Hampshire County of Hampshire in a Plea of the  
Case for that Robert Blair sold on the twenty seventh Day  
of June seven hundred and eighty by his Sale for value sold by  
the Name of Robert Blair promised Solomon to pay him forty Pounds  
Silver Money, meaning lawful Silver Money at or before the first  
Day of May then next following the Date aforesaid with an  
allowance lawful Interest till paid. But Robert the said requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said  
Solomon twenty Pounds. The Court is appeared on a Motion  
of the Defendant it is considered that the Case be continued & it is then for  
Considered by the Court that Robert is have to pay here until the last  
Tuesday of August next.

Palmer  
Noble  
No 212. Timothy Palmer a Sufferer in the County of Hartford  
State of Connecticut is a Son of Mr. Nathan Noble of Norwich in  
our County of Hampshire Gent. In a Plea of Trespass on the  
Case for that Timothy complains for this to wit the said Nathan  
of Springfield on the nineteenth Day of Decr last past by his Sale  
for value sold promised Timothy to pay him four Pounds thirteen  
Shillings seven pence lawful Money on demand with Interest.  
But Timothy the said requested hath never paid  
the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Timothy seven Pounds.  
The Plaintiff appears by John Phelps Gent. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three  
times public called to come into Court makes default of  
appearance here, Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said  
Timothy recover against the Defendant four sixteen Shillings of four  
pence a lawful Money Damages costs of Court taxed at L<sup>y</sup>. 7. 6.  
Wherefore the said Nathan by John Phelps Esq. his Att<sup>y</sup> appears  
from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the  
fourth Tuesday of Sep<sup>r</sup> next he recognizes with Sureties as the  
Law directs for his prosecuting his appeal with Effect as by said  
Recognizance on File appears.

Parkes adm<sup>r</sup>  
Partridge  
No 213. Durham Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
Esq. Administrator of all singular the Goods Effects Rights  
and Credits which were of John A Parks late of Westfield in the County  
Essex dec<sup>d</sup> in his Capacity Plaintiff John Partridge of Worthington in the  
County aforesaid is a Plea of Trespass on the Case  
for that said John A Parks while alive viz on the first Day of April  
seven hundred and eighty nine at the Special Instance Request of  
the said John before that time sold & delivered to him sundry Goods wares  
Merchandizes, to the said John in consideration thereof a promise on himself  
and faithfully promised said John to pay him therefor so much Money  
as the same Goods wares Merchandizes were reasonably worth at the  
Time of the Sales & delivery thereof, whenever after he should be requested  
Now in fact the said Durham avers that said Goods wares Merchandizes  
were reasonably worth the sum of six Pounds lawful Money & the Interest  
of which the said John had notice. But the said John the said requested  
 hath not performed his Promise but neglected it to the Damage of  
the said Durham in his Capacity twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by John Phelps Gent. his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Def<sup>t</sup> the three times public called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here, Wherefore it is  
considered by the Court that said Durham recover against the Defendant John five Pounds  
nine Shillings three Pence of lawful Money Damages costs of Court  
taxed at L<sup>y</sup>. 14. 3. and thereof &c. Given at June 10<sup>th</sup> 1784.

Amos Jones of Colchester in the County of Hertfordshire State of  
 Connecticut Gent. Plffs Joshua Buckwith of Palmer in our  
 County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Car.  
 for that s<sup>d</sup> Joshua a s<sup>d</sup> Palmer on the ninth Day of March 1702  
 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Amos to pay him sweet hundred  
 eight shillings & nine Pence lawful Money on Demand with  
 Interest till paid. y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup> Joshua tho<sup>t</sup> after requested hath never  
 performed his Promise but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup>  
 Amos nine Pounds. The Parties appear & agree to have  
 this Case continued to the next Term Judgment then to be final  
 wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day  
 here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

234

Jones

Buckwith  
N<sup>o</sup> 214

Erastus Sargent & Thomas Sargent both  
 of Hockbridge in our County of Berkshire Administrators of all  
 singular the Goods Chattels Rights Credits of Mark Hopkins  
 late of Great Barrington in s<sup>d</sup> County Esq<sup>r</sup> Deft in s<sup>d</sup> Cap<sup>t</sup> to Plffs  
 Biddad Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
 Deft in a Plea of Trespas on the Case & whereupon the s<sup>d</sup> Erastus and  
 John complain for this to wit that whereas the s<sup>d</sup> Biddad a well  
 Springfield in the lifetime of the s<sup>d</sup> Mark viz on the first Day of  
 April seventen hundred & seventy four by his Note for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Mark to pay him eight Pounds nineteen shillings  
 and four pence lawful Money on demand with Interest y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup>  
 Biddad tho<sup>t</sup> after requested hath never performed his Promise  
 but neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Erastus & John fourteen Pounds.  
 The Parties appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next  
 Term Judgment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the third Tuesday  
 of August next.

Sargent & Sargent  
is  
Fowler  
N<sup>o</sup> 215

Daniel Kopp Just of Paulding Precinct in the County of Dutchess  
 State of New York Trador Plffs Thomas Linton yeoman & Bur<sup>g</sup>  
 Linton yeoman both of Williamsburgh in our County of Hampshire  
 Deft in a Plea of covenant broken & whereupon the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel complains  
 for this to wit that s<sup>d</sup> Thomas & Bur<sup>g</sup> a s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the thirtieth  
 Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> last past being justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel in the  
 sum of sixty six pounds & twelve shillings lawful Money for so much  
 Money then before that Time by the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas & Bur<sup>g</sup> to the use of  
 the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel had rec<sup>d</sup> in consideration thereof the s<sup>d</sup> Thomas &  
 Bur<sup>g</sup> a then & there made their certain writing subscribed with  
 their own proper hands & sealed with their seals in Court to be  
 produced & thereby promised the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel to pay him or order  
 sixty six pounds & twelve shillings lawful Money to be paid by  
 the first Day of Dec<sup>r</sup> then next with Interest till paid y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup>  
 Deft tho<sup>t</sup> after requested hath never performed their Promise but  
 neglects it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel Eighty pounds.  
 The Parties appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next  
 Term Judgment then to be final. Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last  
 Tuesday of August next.

Kopp

Linton & al  
N<sup>o</sup> 216

William Walker of Leno in our County of Berkshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Plffs Elias Dickinson of Canaan in our County of Hampshire Just<sup>ice</sup> Deft  
 in a Plea of Trespas on the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Elias a s<sup>d</sup> Pittsfield town s<sup>d</sup>  
 Springfield on board on the sixth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> seven hundred &  
 eighty one by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised on Woodbridge L<sup>td</sup>  
 to pay him or order thirty one Pound ten shillings lawful Money  
 within one year from the date with Interest till paid. And  
 the s<sup>d</sup> Woodbridge afterwards viz the same day & year y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup> by his  
 Indorsement on the back of the s<sup>d</sup> Note with his own proper hand subscribed  
 ordered the Content thereof then due & unpaid to be paid to the Plff

Walker

Dickinson  
N<sup>o</sup> 217

Walther for value recd whereof the sd. Elias had Notice: & by reason thereof became liable to pay the contents aforesaid according to the Tenor of a Note being so liable the sd. Elias in consideration thereof a promise on himself & to the sd. William thereupon faithfully promised to pay him the same with Interest thereon according to the Tenor of sd. Note & yet sd. Elias the often requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the sd. William, & the Plaintiff by Arthur Henry Gent. & the Defendant the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here whereupon it is considered by the Court that the sd. William do recover against sd. Elias thirty six pounds two Shillings eight pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs & Court Charges at £1. 10. 4. After all which the sd. Elias by William Billings Esq. his Att. comes into Court & appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire on the first & the Tuesday of Sept. next he recognizeth with Sureties as the Law direct for his prosecuting his Appeal with Judgement & Recognizance on file of Court.

Washburn of the district of Hillsdale in the County of Albany in the State of New York yeoman Plaintiff James Taggart of Middlefield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Taggart on the Case for the sd. James at sd. Middlefield on the twenty seventh Day of March seventeen hundred & eighty three by his Note for value recd. promised sd. Miles to pay him seven pounds eleven Shillings nine Pence lawful Money with Interest till paid to be paid September the first 1783. & yet sd. James the often Requested hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the sd. Miles eight Pounds & The Parties appear to agree to have this Case continued & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman Plaintiff Silas Goodrich of Manchester in the County of Bennington in the State of Vermont Gent. alias called yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Fowler on the Case for the sd. Silas at sd. Springfield on the first Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty four by his Note for value recd. promised sd. Biddad to pay him five Pounds lawful Money within two years from the Date of sd. Note with Interest till paid & also for that the sd. Silas at sd. Springfield on the twentieth ninth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty four by his other Note for value recd. promised sd. Biddad to pay him seven pounds twelve Shillings & two farthings lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid & also for that the sd. Silas at said Springfield on the same first Day of April by his other Note for value recd. promised sd. Biddad to pay him five Pounds fifteen Shillings & four Pence lawful Money by the first Day of April then next with Interest till paid. The Plaintiff by William Williams Gent. his Att. & the Defendant the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here wherefore it is considered by the Court that sd. Biddad do recover against sd. Silas of lawful Money Damages & Costs & Court Charges at £1. 10. 4. and thereupon

Samuel Bectwood of Amherst in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman v. John Wait of Pittfield in our County of Berkshire  
 yeoman Sept in a Plea upon the Case for the Debt due at  
 Springfield on the last day of Feb 1780 being justly indebted to  
 the said Samuel in the sum of four Pounds & ten shillings lawful  
 Money for so much Money before that time had been by the  
 John of the said Samuel to the use of the said Samuel & there in  
 consideration thereof appeared on himself & promised the said  
 Samuel to pay him the same sum on demand - yet the John  
 tho often requested hath never paid the said sum but neglected it to the  
 damage of the said Samuel five Pounds - The Plt appears by  
 John Chand<sup>r</sup> Williams Esqr his Atty the Deft the three times  
 publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
 here - wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Samuel  
 (there being no judgement that this case be continued in the said  
 Parties have lay here untill the last Tuesday of the next next.

Bethwood  
 Wait  
 N<sup>o</sup> 220

Moses Graves of Pittfield in our County of Berkshire

Plt v. Samuel Church of Pittfield in our County of Hampshire Esqr  
 Samuel Church Esqr v. B. v. the said Esqr for the of Charleston in the County  
 of Berkshire & State of Massachusetts Executor of the last will & testament  
 of John Church Esqr late of Charleston in the County of Pitt  
 in a Plea that they render to the said Moses twenty nine Pounds fifteen  
 shillings & eight pence lawful Money which from him they  
 unjustly detain & whereupon the said Moses complains for this to wit  
 that at a Superior Court of Judicature holden at Thane forth within  
 the said County of Berkshire on the first Tuesday of Oct 1774 by the  
 consideration of the Justices of the same Court he recovered judgement  
 against the said John then in full life for the sum of seventy four Pounds  
 & seven shillings for his damages & four Pounds eight shillings  
 and eight pence for his Costs & Charges about which & in that  
 behalf expended which bid him sure equal to seven & nine Pounds  
 fifteen shillings & eight pence lawful whereof the said John was committed  
 as by the record thereof in our said Court to be produced in manifest  
 appears which said judgement yet remains in full force & effect  
 no way satisfied or reversed & tho the said Moses sued out a writ of  
 Execution thereon yet has the same been long since returned unsatisfied  
 whereby an action hath accrued to the said Moses to demand & have  
 the said sum of seventy nine pounds fifteen shillings & eight pence  
 yet the said John in his life time nor the said Executors since the death  
 of the said John tho often requested have never performed their said  
 promise but neglect it to the damage of the said Moses forty Pound  
 The Plt appears by John Chand<sup>r</sup> Williams Esqr his Atty  
 the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court  
 make default of appearance here - wherefore it is consider  
 ed by the Court that the said Moses do recover again the said Samuel Church one of the Defts  
 Forty pounds - - - of lawful Money & damages & Costs  
 of Court taxed at £1 10 0 - & thereupon

Graves  
 Church  
 N<sup>o</sup> 221

Specimen Sept 9. 1784

James Black of Christen in our County of Hampshire Esqr

Plt v. Malcomb Henry of Buxton in our County of Berkshire Esqr  
 a Plea of the Case for the said Malcomb at Murrayfield to wit that Spring  
 field on the fourteenth day of July 1774 by his Note for value received  
 mixed the said James to pay him twelve Pounds lawful Money on demand  
 with interest till paid - yet the said Malcomb tho often requested hath never  
 paid the same but neglected it to the damage of the said James thirty  
 Pounds

Black  
 Henry  
 N<sup>o</sup> 222

Blank. The Plea appears by John Charles Williams Gent. his Atty.  
Henry - the Sept. the thirteenth times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that P. Jones do recover against S. Malcomb eleven  
Pounds nine shillings eight pence lawful Money Damages  
and Costs of Court taxed at £1.11.0. & thereof &c.  
Exon 10. June 2. 1784

1200  
Warner

No 223

Ezekiel Broad of P. thfield in our County of Berkshire  
Gent. Pleas Lawning Warner of of Williamsburgh in our County  
of Hampshire yet man diff in a Plea of the Case for that S. Downing  
at P. Springfield on the twenty eighth day of Jan. 1783 by his Atty.  
for value rec. promised &c. to pay him one pound eight  
shillings lawful Money on demand. Also for that S. Downing at  
P. Springfield on the fifth day of March 1783 by his Atty. to do  
for value rec. promised &c. to pay him fifteen shillings  
lawful Money on demand with interest till paid.  
Also for that S. Downing at P. Springfield on the last day of March  
last in consideration that the S. of E. B. had before that time of  
forded with him much for that S. Downing at his special Instance  
and Request agreed on himself promised &c. that he  
would when he should be requested pay unto the S. E. B. so  
much Money for the same entertainment as he reasonably deserved  
to have for the same & now the S. E. B. avers that for the same  
entertainment he reasonably deserved to have twelve shillings  
lawful Money of which S. Downing had notice &c. the S. Downing  
tho often requested hath never performed said Promises but neglects  
therein to the Damage of the S. E. B. ten Pounds. The Parties  
appear & agree to have this case continued with a saving of all  
advantages &c. thereupon it is considered by the Court that said  
Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Turner  
Gent

No 224

John Turner Turnershook in the County of Albany  
State of New York is our Plea in Plea of Laneborough  
in our County of Berkshire Gent. & G. in a Plea of the Case  
for that S. Pleg on the twenty eighth day of July 1783  
hundred &c. to the four and S. Springfield by his Atty. for value  
rec. promised S. John to pay him the sum of two shillings with lawful  
Interest till payable first of Jan. next. yet S. Pleg tho often  
requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the S. John six pounds. The Plea appears by Thom.  
Gold Gent. his Atty. the Sept. the three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default of appearance here  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. John do recover  
against S. Pleg two pounds eleven shillings & lawful Money  
Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £2.7.0. & thereof &c.  
Exon 10. May 24. 1784

Turner  
Miner

No 225

Luci Purie of Partridgefield in our County of Berkshire  
Pleas Samuel Miner of Washington in our County of Hampshire  
yet man diff in a Plea of the Case for that S. Samuel on the second day of  
March 1784 at Washington agreed by his Atty. for value rec. promised  
Luci to pay him five pounds eleven shillings & eleven pence on demand  
with Interest till paid. yet S. Samuel tho often requested hath never  
paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Luci twelve Pounds.  
The Parties appear & agree to have this case continued to the next Term  
Judgement there to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that S. Parties have Day here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Isaac Bipell of Exeter in our County of Hampshire  
 Malcomb Henry of Buckle in our County of Berkshire Esq. Sept in a Plea  
 of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Malcomb at Pittfield to wit a Spring field  
 of one acre on the twenty one day of Nov<sup>r</sup> 1783 by his Note for  
 value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Isaac to pay him eleven Pounds lawful  
 Money by the first day of May next with Interest till paid  
 y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup> Malcomb tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his  
 s<sup>d</sup> promise but neglected it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac twenty  
 Pounds — The Plea appears by Thomas Gold Gant Esq. A<sup>t</sup> t<sup>r</sup>  
 and the Sept the three times publicly called to come into Court  
 make default of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Isaac do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Malcomb eleven  
 pounds six shillings seven pence of lawful Money Damages &  
 Costs of Court taxed at £1:13:6 — & thereof — Ex<sup>o</sup> 24<sup>th</sup> May 1784

Bipell  
 Henry  
 N<sup>o</sup> 226

Isaac Bipell of Exeter in our County of Hampshire  
 Frederick Pley John Stille of Washington in our County of Berkshire woman  
 Sept in a Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> John on the fifteenth day of  
 August seventeen hundred eighty three by his Note for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Edward Money to pay him or order thirty two  
 pounds lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
 and afterwards to wit the same day & year aforesaid s<sup>d</sup> Edward  
 by his Indorsement on the back of s<sup>d</sup> Note with his proper hand  
 subscribed assigned the same Note to the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac & ordered the  
 Contents thereof then due & unpaid to be paid to the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac  
 of all which the s<sup>d</sup> John had notice & so became liable to pay  
 the Contents of the same Note to the s<sup>d</sup> Isaac & s<sup>d</sup> John being so liable  
 in consideration thereof promised s<sup>d</sup> Isaac to pay him the same  
 Sum accordingly on demand — y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup> John tho<sup>t</sup> often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the  
 s<sup>d</sup> Isaac sixty Pounds — The Parties appeared agree to have  
 this Case continued to — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have day here until the last Thursday of August next.

Idem  
 Stille  
 N<sup>o</sup> 227

Warham Parks of Blarford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq. Plea James Campbell Esq. a Justice of the Peace  
 Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> James on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of Sept<sup>r</sup> 1783 by his Note for value  
 rec<sup>d</sup> promised Warham to pay him or order on demand twenty eight pounds  
 eleven shillings seven pence lawful Money with Interest  
 till paid — y<sup>t</sup> s<sup>d</sup> James tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglected it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Warham  
 thirty Pounds — The Parties appeared agree to have  
 this Case continued to — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have day here until the last Thursday of August next.

Warham  
 N<sup>o</sup> 228

P. Recognizance on File appears —

Taylor  
Black  
N<sup>o</sup> 229

Samuel Taylor of Middlefield in our County of Hampshire  
vs. James Black of Glastonbury in the County of Wilts  
In a Plea of the Case for that J. James at B. Springfield on  
the twentieth day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value  
received promised Taylor to pay him in order five pounds and  
fifteen shillings lawful Money of the first day of March then  
next with Interest till paid. Yet J. James tho' often  
requested hath ever performed his Promise but hath  
in the mean time paid Taylor twenty Pounds.  
The App<sup>r</sup> of J. Taylor Samuel Taylor Esq<sup>r</sup> is at the Bar of the  
Court who three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default & appearance here. Therefore it is ordered  
by the Court that J. Taylor do recover against J. James  
the sum of five pounds & four pence of lawful Money  
with interest by bill of exchange at 10:15:00.  
Therefore the Court hath directed J. Taylor his Att<sup>y</sup> to  
draw a bill of exchange on the Court for the sum of five  
pounds & four pence of lawful Money to be paid on the fourth  
day of September next. The App<sup>r</sup> of J. Taylor is at the Bar of the  
Court who three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default & appearance here. Therefore it is ordered  
by the Court that J. Taylor do recover against J. James  
the sum of five pounds & four pence of lawful Money  
with interest by bill of exchange at 10:15:00.

Parker  
" "  
Garle  
N<sup>o</sup> 230

Warham Parker of Blarford in our County of  
Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup> vs. John Garle of Cranville in the  
County of Dorset Esq<sup>r</sup> In a Plea of the Case for that J. Parker  
on the eighteenth day of Sept<sup>r</sup> last past promised J. Garle  
to pay him in order twenty three pounds thirteen shillings  
and six pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till  
paid. Yet J. Garle tho' often requested hath never  
paid the same but requests it to the damage of J. Parker  
Twenty Pounds.  
The App<sup>r</sup> of J. Parker is at the Bar of the Court who three times publicly called to  
come into Court makes default & appearance here.  
Therefore it is ordered by the Court that J. Parker do  
recover against J. Garle the sum of twenty six pounds four shillings  
and six pence of lawful Money with interest by bill of exchange  
at 10:10:00. Thereupon the App<sup>r</sup> of J. Parker is at the Bar of the  
Court who three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default & appearance here. Therefore it is ordered by the Court  
that J. Parker do recover against J. Garle the sum of twenty six  
pounds four shillings and six pence of lawful Money with interest  
by bill of exchange at 10:10:00.

Washam Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq. Pls Amos Trink of Worthington in our County of Dorset  
 in a Plea of the Case for that S. Amos a R. Spring filed on the  
 fifth Day of August last past by his Plea for value rec. promised  
 S. Washam to pay him or order twenty seven Pounds seven  
 Shillings & eleven Pence lawful Money on demand with Interest  
 till paid & yet S. Amos tho often requested hath never paid  
 the same but neglecteth it to the Damage of the S. Washam fifty  
 Pounds. The Parties appear agree to have this Case continued  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Amos have Day  
 here until the last Sunday of Sept next

237  
 Parks  
 Trink  
 No 231

Washam Parks of Blanford in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq. Pls Ezra Cleveland of Worthington in our County of Dorset  
 in a Plea of the Case for that S. Ezra a R. Blanford on the twenty  
 eighth Day of June last past by his Plea for value rec.  
 promised S. Washam to pay him or order eighteen Pounds  
 nineteen Shillings & four Pence lawful Money on demand  
 with Interest till paid & yet S. Ezra tho often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglecteth it to the Damage of  
 the S. Washam thirty Pounds. The Pls appear by same  
 Towler Gent. his Att. & the Deft the three times publicly called  
 to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that S. Washam do recover  
 against S. Ezra nineteen Shillings and three pence of lawful  
 Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at L. 1. 1. 11.  
 and thereupon

Parks  
 Cleveland  
 No 232

John Clouth John Caldwell both of Hartford in the County  
 of Stafford State of Connecticut Plaintiffs Administrators of  
 the Estate & Credit of Samuel Clouth the late of S. Hartford Dec.  
 in S. Capacity Pls Thomas Smith of Haelley in our County of  
 Hampshire Esq. in a Plea of the Case for that S. Thomas  
 at Hartford viz in Northampton upon the twentieth Day  
 of August sixteen hundred & seventy two by his Plea for value  
 rec. promised S. Clouth in his life time to pay him or order  
 five pounds seven Shillings & six pence within thirty Days from  
 the Date of S. Plea and if not then paid Interest till paid  
 yet S. Thomas tho often requested hath never performed his pro-  
 mise but neglecteth it to the Damage of the S. Administrators in  
 their S. Capacity ten Pounds. The Pls appear by Alex.  
 Walcott Gent. their Att. & the Deft the three times publicly  
 called to come into Court makes default of appearance here  
 Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the Pls do recover  
 against the S. Deft  
 10 lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at L.

Clouths Adrs  
 Smith  
 No 233

Wherefore the Deft up John Caldwell Esq. his Att. appeals  
 from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court  
 to be holden at Springfield next for the County of Hampshire  
 on the fourth Tuesday next September next to be recognized as the Sureties  
 as the Law directs for his prosecuting his appeal with Effect  
 as by S. Recognizance on this appeal



and lawful Money, then, Reason Consideration thereof?  
 Joseph promised to account with him there for  
 whenever he should be requested. And Also for that said  
 Joseph at Spring field on the twentieth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1781  
 part being justly indebted to Samuel five Pounds  
 of fine Pounds in paper, which he never paid, and  
 so much more, that he had been had here, think  
 there in Consideration thereof, the said Joseph promised  
 to pay him the same on Demand, & he did much thought  
 requested he the said Samuel the same but neglected to the  
 damage of the said Samuel fifty Pounds. The Parties  
 appear agree it is considered by the Court that this Case  
 be continued till the next object is but continuing to the  
 said Parties have been in the last Tuesday of August next.

Fowler

Barre

Anno Remington of Suffolk in the County of  
 Hartford State of Connecticut vs. Thomas Remington  
 Campbell of Southwell in our County Hampshire  
 Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the said Thomas Campbell  
 the fifth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 to pay to the said Samuel five Pounds fifteen shillings in paper  
 lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid. Yet the  
 said Thomas Campbell requested to never paid the same but  
 neglected it to the damage of the said Samuel nineteen Pounds.  
 The Parties appear agree to have this Case continued to the  
 next Term Judgment to be rendered. Wherefore it is  
 considered by the Court that the Parties have day here until the  
 last Tuesday of August next.

Daniel Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Plaintiff vs. David Sipe of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the said Daniel Fowler  
 the said Sipe on the twentieth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1781 by his  
 Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised to pay to the said Daniel Fowler  
 Money within six Months from the date of the Note with Interest till  
 paid. Yet the said Sipe the said Fowler requested to never paid the same but  
 neglected it to the damage of the said Daniel five Pounds. The Parties  
 appear agree it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel Fowler  
 called to come into Court on his default of appearance ever.  
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel Fowler  
 against the said Sipe three Pounds nine shillings & six pence of lawful  
 Money damages & costs of Court taxed at £1: 6: 6 & the Court  
 give judgment May 25 1781

Fowler

Sipe

N<sup>o</sup> 28

Abner Smith of Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Plaintiff vs. David Brewer of Boston in our County of Suffolk  
 Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the said Abner Smith  
 the fourth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1781 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
 to pay to the said Abner Smith on or before the twentieth Day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1781  
 price in Spanish milled Dollars on demand with Interest till paid.  
 The Parties appear agree it is considered by the Court that the said Abner Smith  
 called to come into Court on his default of appearance ever. Therefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the said Abner Smith  
 against the said Brewer eight shillings & seven pence of lawful Money  
 damages & costs of Court taxed at £1: 7: 10  
 After all which the said Brewer by his Appeal from the Judgment of the  
 Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in the  
 County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he  
 recognizes with Smith as the new dees to for his producing his Appeal  
 with Effuda by D. Crognier nee on Tide appears

Smith

Brewer

N<sup>o</sup> 29

Ashley  
10

William Ashley of said County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff  
vs  
John Lawrence of said County of Hampshire  
Defendant  
The Case for that S. Lawrence a blackfield on the nineteenth day of  
last past by a note for value of £100 promissory  
which was made by the said Lawrence in three  
Months from the date of the said note and paid  
and the said Lawrence called upon by the said Ashley  
never paid the same and neglected to do so  
therefore the said Ashley appears by Samuel Fowler  
his attorney at law to demand the same from the said  
Lawrence and to have the same continued to the next Term of the Court  
and out of the state. Whereupon it is considered by the Court  
that the said Lawrence shall pay the said Ashley the sum of £100  
on the first day of August next.

Jaylor  
11  
vs  
Matthew  
No. 241

Edmund Jaylor of said County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff  
vs  
Matthew of said County of Hampshire  
Defendant  
The Case for that S. Jaylor a blackfield on the first day  
of August last by a note for value of £100 promissory  
to pay him eighty five pounds and nine shillings the first day  
of August next and the said Jaylor neglected to do so  
therefore the said Jaylor appears by Samuel Fowler  
his attorney at law to demand the same from the said  
Matthew and to have the same continued to the next Term of the Court  
and out of the state. Whereupon it is considered by the Court  
that the said Matthew shall pay the said Jaylor the sum of £85  
on the first day of August next.

Noble  
12  
vs  
Smith  
No. 242

Joel Noble of said County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff  
vs  
John Smith of said County of Hampshire  
Defendant  
The Case for that S. Noble a blackfield on the eighteenth day  
of October last by a note for value of £100 promissory  
to pay him fifty pounds and ten shillings the first day  
of November next and the said Noble neglected to do so  
therefore the said Noble appears by Samuel Fowler  
his attorney at law to demand the same from the said  
Smith and to have the same continued to the next Term of the Court  
and out of the state. Whereupon it is considered by the Court  
that the said Smith shall pay the said Noble the sum of £50  
on the first day of November next.

Copley  
13  
vs  
Barlow  
No. 243

Noah Copley of said County of Hampshire  
Plaintiff  
vs  
Barlow of said County of Hampshire  
Defendant  
The Case for that S. Copley a blackfield on the first day  
of November last by a note for value of £100 promissory  
to pay him fifty pounds and ten shillings the first day  
of December next and the said Copley neglected to do so  
therefore the said Copley appears by Samuel Fowler  
his attorney at law to demand the same from the said  
Barlow and to have the same continued to the next Term of the Court  
and out of the state. Whereupon it is considered by the Court  
that the said Barlow shall pay the said Copley the sum of £50  
on the first day of December next.

Adnah Jacket of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Gent. 239  
 Plyn Alexander Miller of Warrington in our County Just. before us the  
 of the Court for that the said Adnah Jacket has offered to pay to the  
 Day of November next under an act of the said Court for the  
 we promised the said Adnah Jacket to pay to the said Court  
 silver or Gold at the next time of the said Court the sum of  
 then next with out rest till paid or if he should die before the  
 hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
 said Adnah fifty Pounds. ~~The Plea of Pardon appearance~~  
~~Against the said Adnah Jacket~~ The Court continued to the next Term Judge  
 then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 the Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

John Ingersoll of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq. Plyn Ichabod Gornstock late of Williamsburgh in our County  
 of Berkshire a free man & free of the Court for the said  
 Ichabod a do. Westfield on the last Day of the last past Term  
 justly indebted to the said John Ingersoll nine Pounds three  
 Shillings & two pence lawful Money to Balance Book Accounts  
 for sundry Articles of Merchandise before that time sold & delivered  
 to him in consideration thereof the said Ichabod then & there assumed  
 on himself to the said John faithfully promised to pay him the same  
 sum on demand. Yet the said Ichabod tho often requested he never  
 paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the said John seven Pounds.  
 The Plea appears by Samuel Fowler Gent. his Atty. who hath the  
 three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
 here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said John to  
 recover against the said Ichabod nine Pounds three shillings & two  
 pence of lawful Money Damages. Court taxed at £1.12.9  
 and therefore. Ingersoll  
Gornstock  
No 245

Daniel Taylor of Middlefield in our County of Hamp  
 shire a free man & free of the Court for the said  
 Governor hath in a Plea of the Court for the said  
 on the twenty third Day of July last past by his Note for value  
 we promised the said Daniel to pay him seven Pounds lawful Money  
 by the first Day of Nov<sup>r</sup> then next with out rest till paid  
 the said Daniel tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
 it to the Damage of the said Daniel seven Pounds. The Plea ap-  
 pears by Samuel Fowler Gent. his Atty. who hath the three times  
 publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance  
 here. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Daniel to  
 recover against the said Daniel seven Pounds  
 of lawful Money Damages. Court taxed at £1.12.9  
 and therefore. Taylor  
King  
No 246

Abner Fowler of Southwick in our County of Hampshire  
 a free man & free of the Court for the said  
 for that the said Abner Fowler hath on the last Day of the last past  
 for value we promised the said Abner Fowler to pay him the sum of  
 demands with out till paid. Also for that the said Abner Fowler  
 the twenty sixth Day of April last past by his Note for value we  
 promised the said Abner to pay him the sum of five Pounds  
 lawful Money on demand with out till paid. Yet the said Abner  
 hath neglected to pay the same but neglects it to the Damage of the  
 said Abner eight Pounds. The Court continued to the next Term Judge  
 then to be final. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that  
 the Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.



yet S<sup>r</sup> Job tho' ~~thrice~~ <sup>twice</sup> publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> John & Ruth w<sup>th</sup> S<sup>r</sup> Capricious fifteen Pounds. The Parties of said S<sup>r</sup> agree to have this case continued to the next Term & adjournment then to be final & wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> Job's day here is until the last Tuesday of August next.

Ozias Pithbone of Simsbury in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> My<sup>r</sup> S<sup>r</sup> in Charles of Groville in our County of Hampshire grooman Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> John at Springfield on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of June last past by his vote for value re. promised S<sup>r</sup> P<sup>r</sup> to pay him eight Pounds nineteen shillings & three pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid yet S<sup>r</sup> John tho' often requested hath not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Ozias twelve Pounds. The P<sup>r</sup> appears by John Phelps Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the S<sup>r</sup> Job tho' three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court that S<sup>r</sup> P<sup>r</sup> do recover against S<sup>r</sup> Job of lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at £1. 4. 0. After all which the S<sup>r</sup> John by Moses Blais Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the Supreme Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield in & for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept next and he recognises with sureties at the Law de rict for his prosecuting his S<sup>r</sup> Appeal with Effect as by S<sup>r</sup> recognizance appears.

Pithbone  
Charles  
No 252

David Hawley of Southwick in our County of Hampshire grooman My<sup>r</sup> Stephen Esq<sup>r</sup> Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that S<sup>r</sup> Stephen at Southwick on the twenty third day of September 22 by his vote for value re. promised S<sup>r</sup> David to pay him or order twelve hundred of good merchantable Wheat Flour in Cash or good order by the 1<sup>st</sup> day of Nov<sup>r</sup> then next following the date of S<sup>r</sup> Job with Interest till paid. And the P<sup>r</sup> avers that S<sup>r</sup> Job is well worth twenty shillings by the hundred & yet S<sup>r</sup> Stephen tho' often requested hath not paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> David fifteen Pounds. The P<sup>r</sup> appears by John Phelps Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> & the S<sup>r</sup> Job tho' three times publicly called to come into Court makes default of appearance here & wherefore it is considered by the Court that this case be continued to the next Term & adjournment then to be final & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have & y<sup>e</sup> here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Hawley  
Stephen  
No 253

Pliny Hyllier of Simsbury in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> My<sup>r</sup> John Gidd of Belcham in our County of Hampshire grooman Esq<sup>r</sup> in a Plea that S<sup>r</sup> John render to the S<sup>r</sup> Pliny seven Pounds ten shillings & one penny to him received from him by his detainers & whereon the S<sup>r</sup> Pliny says that he is bound to holden before Ozias Pithbone Esq<sup>r</sup> in the Justice of the Peace for the County of Hartford appeared on the thirtieth day of Oct<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty five that he recovered Judgment against the S<sup>r</sup> John by the name of John Pithby grooman for the sum of seven Pounds ten shillings lawful Money Damages and Costs of Court & that whereof the S<sup>r</sup> John is bound as of the time of said Court & an which Judgment remains not wholly unsatisfied altho' the S<sup>r</sup> Pliny on the fifteenth day of Jan<sup>r</sup> then next produced a Writ of Exon<sup>r</sup> on said Judgment & the S<sup>r</sup> John as aforesaid were never twice thereby actioned to the S<sup>r</sup> Pliny to have of the S<sup>r</sup> John the sums aforesaid recovered as aforesaid & yet S<sup>r</sup> John tho' often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S<sup>r</sup> Pliny twelve Pounds. The Parties appear & agree to have this case continued to the next Term & wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties have day here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Hyllier  
John  
No 254

Fowler. Biddad Fowler of Wethersfield in our County a Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Phillips Esq<sup>r</sup> Defendant in a Plea of Infringement on the Case for the Defendant  
No 255 - Biddad vs. Fowler. It is shewn that the said Biddad & William of 4 common  
a dispute was had between the said Biddad & William of 4 common  
the said Biddad coming to the said William his then & last two Farms  
of land in Wethersfield by deed from the said Biddad to the said William and it  
was then & there agreed that the said Biddad should execute a deed of  
his two Farms aforesaid to the said William for a sum the two aforesaid  
said Biddad, on consideration however that the said William agreed  
faithfully promised the said Biddad that he the said William would pay said  
Biddad so much Money as the two Farms would sell for more than  
the purchase Price in the said mentioned when thereto requested  
now in fact the said Biddad avers that the two Farms aforesaid were sold  
by the said William for the sum of one hundred Pounds more than  
the Purchased Price of which the said William had notice from the said Biddad  
Also for that the said William afterwards viz on the thirtieth day of March last  
past at Springfield was indebted to the said Biddad in the sum of  
fifty Pounds of lawful Money for the like sum of Money by the  
said Biddad before that Time at the special Instance & request of the said William  
to his not paid & without any excuse & being so indebted he the said William  
afterwards to wit the same day & year aforesaid at Springfield in  
consideration thereof undertook & faithfully promised the said Biddad to  
pay him the said fifty Pounds as mentioned when he should be requested  
Also for that the said William at Springfield afterwards viz on the tenth  
day of April Instant was indebted to the said Biddad in the further sum of  
one hundred Pounds of lawful Money for the like sum of Money by  
the said William before that Time had received to the use of the said Biddad &  
being so indebted the said William in consideration thereof undertook  
faithfully promised the said Biddad to pay him the same sum on demand  
but the said William tho after requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
to the Damage of the said Biddad two hundred Pounds - The Parties  
appear on a Motion of the said Biddad being sickly agree to have this  
case continued - Wherefore it is ordered by the Court that  
it shall have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next -

Parker Ad<sup>r</sup> Warham Parker of Blanford in our County a Hampshire Esq<sup>r</sup>  
Administration of all singular or the goods chattels Rights & Credits  
which were of Elisha Parks late of Wethersfield in our County Esq<sup>r</sup> deceased in  
his Capacity Vice & Ad Forward of Southampton in our County Clerk  
or the his wife, who was lately Sarah the wife of Elisha Parks late of  
Wethersfield in our County Esq<sup>r</sup> dec. a minister of all singular the Goods  
chattels Rights & Credits which were of the said Elisha Parks his wife  
by intestate in a Plea of Infringement on the Case for the said Elisha whole  
sum in the third day of the said over one hundred & seventy four at  
Wethersfield by his Note for value rec. promised the said Elisha then living  
in our County in order were paid & he willing to pay lawful Money  
on demand with Interest till paid - yet the said Elisha while he lived or  
his Brother while he was sole or the said Sarah since their marriage  
he all often requested have never performed their said Promise but  
neglects to the Damage of the said Warham in our County twenty Pounds  
The Parties appear & agree to have this Case continued to the next Term  
Judgement then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that it shall have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next -

Mosley Ex.  
William Ex.  
No 257

Ephraim Fuller of Wilbraham in our County of Hamp-  
shire ofoman & Daniel Robb<sup>late</sup> of Wilbraham Gent.  
Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of the case for that s<sup>d</sup> Daniel & s<sup>d</sup> Wilbraham  
on the twenty third Day of Feb<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred & eighty two  
by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised & one Samuel Sawyer to pay  
him or order twenty six Pounds nine Shillings & nine Pence two  
Forthings Lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid  
and afterwards to wit on the first Day of January last past s<sup>d</sup>  
Wilbraham the s<sup>d</sup> Samuel by his Indorsement on the back s<sup>d</sup>  
Note signed the s<sup>d</sup> Note over to the s<sup>d</sup> Ephraim for the value thereof  
and ordered him the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel to pay the Contents of s<sup>d</sup> Note then  
due excepting the sum of five Pounds ten Shillings paid to s<sup>d</sup>  
Samuel Indorsed thereon, on the twentieth second Day of Jan<sup>r</sup>  
after the date thereof or to his order whereby the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel  
had Notice & so became liable to pay the same according to the  
tenor thereof & being so liable the s<sup>d</sup> Daniel was Consideration  
yets<sup>d</sup> Daniel tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his s<sup>d</sup>  
Promise but neglected it to the damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Ephraim thirty  
Pounds — The Pl<sup>y</sup> appeared by Moses Bliss Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>y</sup> & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> being out of the State it is considered by the Court that  
this Case be continued & that s<sup>d</sup> Parties have Day here until  
the last Tuesday of August next

Fuller  
Robb  
No 257

Joseph Athrops of West Springfield in our County of  
Gloucester Elizabeth Swigh of s<sup>d</sup> Springfield Gentlewoman Pl<sup>y</sup>  
vs Daniel Rogers of s<sup>d</sup> West Springfield Gent. Agents Trustees of  
Thomas Miller late of s<sup>d</sup> West Springfield Gentleman an Absentee  
& an absconding Debtor Def<sup>t</sup> in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that s<sup>d</sup> Thomas late of s<sup>d</sup> West Springfield on the tenth Day of Nov<sup>r</sup>  
seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
the s<sup>d</sup> Joseph & Elizabeth & one Charles Synchon who was then alive, but  
has since that time deceased & the Pl<sup>y</sup> have survived him to pay them

Athrops &c  
Miller  
No 258



lawful Money in hard Money for which he tho<sup>t</sup> Eben<sup>d</sup> promised 242  
to make return as soon as possible in Indago or other Articles of  
Merchandise to the best of his Judgement for the Pleasurs that he sh<sup>d</sup> be  
always bound to receive the Contents of this P<sup>r</sup> Note - yet said  
Eben<sup>d</sup> tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his P<sup>r</sup> Promise but  
neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Shins as sixty Pounds - The  
Ply appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> his Atty<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> being out of  
the State it is considered by the Court that this Case be continued  
& that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of Aug<sup>r</sup> next.

Elisha Allis of Williamsburgh in our County of Hampshire Allis  
Gent. vs John Bagley of Williamsburgh Husbandman & Deft<sup>r</sup> Bagley  
a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that P<sup>r</sup> John at P<sup>r</sup> Williamsburgh  
on the eight<sup>h</sup> Day of Jan<sup>r</sup> 1702 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised  
said Elisha to pay him or order three Pounds forther the sixpence  
piece in Gold or Silver on demand with Interest till paid - yet said  
John tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his P<sup>r</sup> Promise but  
neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Elisha eight Pounds -  
The Parties severally appear & agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Judgement then to be final - Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last  
Tuesday of August next.

Samuel Howe of Belcherstown in our County of Hampshire Howe  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Ply vs Stephen Darling of Belcherstown yeoman Deft<sup>r</sup> Darling  
a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that P<sup>r</sup> Stephen at P<sup>r</sup> Belcherstown on the twenty  
sixth Day of April seventeen hundred & eighty one by his Note for value  
rec<sup>d</sup> promised P<sup>r</sup> Samuel to pay him or order thirty Pounds lawful Money  
in one year from the Date thereof with Interest till paid - Also for  
that P<sup>r</sup> Stephen at P<sup>r</sup> Belcherstown on the twenty seventh Day of  
Jan<sup>r</sup> 1702 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised P<sup>r</sup> Samuel to pay or deliver to him thirty one  
good Horse we sh<sup>d</sup> pay him up to two Spanish milled Dollars  
by the first Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> then next with Interest till paid - yet said  
P<sup>r</sup> Stephen tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his P<sup>r</sup> Promise  
but neglects it to the Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Samuel forty nine Pounds.  
The Parties appear & agree to have this continued to the next  
Term Judgement then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of  
August next.

Samuel Howe of Belcherstown in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup> Howe  
Esq<sup>r</sup> Ply vs Solomon Willis yeoman John Bordwell yeoman both Mem  
of P<sup>r</sup> Belcherstown Defts in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
P<sup>r</sup> Defts at P<sup>r</sup> Belcherstown on the fifteenth Day of May last past by  
their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised one Moses Howe to pay him or  
order the sum of seventy four Pounds fifteen Shillings & six pence by the  
eleventh Day of Oct<sup>r</sup> then next with Interest till paid & afterwards  
to wit<sup>h</sup> on the same Day <sup>at Belcherstown</sup> ap<sup>r</sup>oved this P<sup>r</sup> Moses by his Indorsement  
on the same Note with his own proper hand subscribed ordered the  
Contents of the same Note then wholly due & unpaid to be paid to the  
Ply for value rec<sup>d</sup> of which this P<sup>r</sup> Defts said Note & thereby became  
chargeable to pay the P<sup>r</sup> Contents to the Ply according to tenor of the same  
Note & being so chargeable the P<sup>r</sup> Defts then & there in consideration  
thereof promised the Ply to pay him the P<sup>r</sup> Contents according to the  
tenor of the same Note and the Indorsement ap<sup>r</sup>oved - yet said P<sup>r</sup> Defts  
tho<sup>t</sup> often requested hath never performed his P<sup>r</sup> promise but neglects it to the  
Damage of the P<sup>r</sup> Samuel seventy Pounds - The Parties appear & agree  
to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
final - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that P<sup>r</sup> Parties have Day  
here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Caleb Strong of Northampton in our County of Hampshire Esq.  
Plays Michael Hastings late of Grunfield in the County of Devon  
Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Michael at said  
Northampton on the tenth Day of Sept<sup>r</sup> seventeen hundred eighty  
one being justly indebted to the s<sup>d</sup> Caleb in the sum of forty six  
Shillings lawful Money for the like sum of Money there before  
that Time paid & advanced by s<sup>d</sup> Caleb for s<sup>d</sup> Michael at his  
s<sup>d</sup> Michael's special Instance & Request in Consideration thereof  
applied on himself to the s<sup>d</sup> Caleb then & there faithfully promised  
to pay him the same sum & Interest thereof on demand Also  
for that s<sup>d</sup> Michael at s<sup>d</sup> Northampton on the first Day of the same  
Sept<sup>r</sup> in Consideration that the s<sup>d</sup> Caleb at the special Instance &  
Request of the s<sup>d</sup> Michael had then before that time done & performed  
for s<sup>d</sup> Michael divers Labors & service in the Business of an Att<sup>y</sup>  
applied on himself there & there faithfully promised s<sup>d</sup> Caleb  
to pay him so much Money as the same Labors & Service was  
reasonably worth when ever he should be requested & the Plea avers  
that for the same Labors & Service he reasonably deserved to  
have of the s<sup>d</sup> Michael twelve Shillings of which the s<sup>d</sup> Michael  
had Notice & yet s<sup>d</sup> Michael tho often requested hath never  
performed his s<sup>d</sup> Promise but neglected it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup>  
Caleb ten Pounds & The Plea appears in his own Person & the  
Def<sup>t</sup> tho three times publicly called to come into Court makes  
default of appearance here & Wherefore it is considered by the  
Court that s<sup>d</sup> Caleb do recover against s<sup>d</sup> Michael two pounds  
thirteen Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money Damages &  
Costs of Court taxed at £1. 14. 6 & thereof &c. Given under the  
Seal of the said Court the 21<sup>st</sup> Day of June 1794

John Clark of Colrain in our County of Hampshire  
vs Joseph Washburn of New Brantree in our  
County of Worcester & coman Debt in a Plea of Trespass on  
the Case for that <sup>sd</sup> Joseph abt Spring 1840 on the tenth day of  
May seventeen hundred & eighty three by his late for value recd  
warranted John to pay him in order four Pounds five Shillings & one  
Penny lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid &  
of <sup>sd</sup> Joseph tho after request he hath never performed his promise  
but neglected to the damage of <sup>sd</sup> John ten Pounds — The  
parties appeared here to have this Case continued to the next  
Term in December then to be final — wherefore it is considered  
by the Court the <sup>sd</sup> Parties have lay here until the last Tuesday of  
March next — <sup>sd</sup> John Clark vs <sup>sd</sup> Joseph Washburn & coman Plea in the County of Hampshire

[illegible]

Stephen Miller of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman Plaintiff John Walcott of Northampton yeoman otherwise  
 called John Walcott late of the County of Hampshire  
 Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said John Walcott  
 on the first day of April current was justly indebted to the said  
 Stephen in the sum of forty Pounds lawful Money for the like  
 sum of Money of the said Stephen to his use at the special instance  
 and request of the said John then before that time made, and the  
 said John being so indebted in consideration thereof appeared on  
 the first day of the said Stephen then and there faithfully promised the  
 said John to pay him the same sum with the lawful interest thereon  
 Demand. Yet the said John who afterwards requested hath never paid the  
 same but negges it to the damage of the said Stephen sixty Pound  
 The Plaintiff appearing by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup> in 1784 the Defendant three  
 times publicly called to come into Court make default of appear-  
 ance here whereon it is considered by the Court that the  
 Stephen do recover against the said John forty Pounds and the things  
 of lawful Money Damages Costs Court taxed at £1:12:10  
 and thereupon given. June 1. 1784

243  
 Miller  
 Walcott  
 No 268

Caleb Strong of Northampton in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Francis French of Bonney in the County of Hampshire  
 otherwise called Gert French in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
 that the said French on the third day of August last  
 past by his Note for value received promised the said Caleb to pay him  
 five Pounds lawful Money in two Months from the date of  
 the said Note with Interest till paid. Yet the said French the often requested  
 hath never paid the same but negges it to the damage of the  
 said Caleb nine Pounds. The Parties appeared and agreed to have  
 this Case continued to the next Term Judgement thereon to be  
 given. Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the  
 Parties have say here until the last Sunday of August next.

Strong &  
 French  
 No 269

Caleb Strong of Northampton in our County of Hamp-  
 shire Esq<sup>r</sup> Plaintiff Joel Strong yeoman Ebenezer Brewster  
 Gould yeoman otherwise called Eben Brewster Gould Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 both of Granville in the County of Hampshire Defendants in a Plea of the Case for  
 that the said Joel at Northampton appeared on the twenty ninth  
 day of August 1783 by their Note for value received promised the  
 said Caleb to pay him three Pounds six Shillings and six Pence  
 lawful Money by the first day of November next with  
 Interest until paid. Yet the said Joel the often requested have  
 never paid the same but negges it to the damage of the said  
 Caleb seven Pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in his own Person  
 the Defendant three times publicly called to come into Court  
 make default of appearance here whereon it is considered  
 by the Court that the said Caleb do recover against the said Joel three Pounds  
 six Shillings and four pence of lawful Money Damages Costs  
 of Court taxed at £1:11:10 and thereupon given. June 1. 1784

Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
 Strong &  
 No 270

Joel Smith of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman  
 Plaintiff Peter Harwood of Windsor in our County of Berkshire Husband  
 Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that the said Peter at Windsor to wit at  
 Northampton appeared on the eighteenth day of May when he had received  
 eighty one by his Note for value received promised one John Benson to  
 pay him at order thirty nine pounds six Shillings and six Pence  
 on demand with Interest till paid afterwards to wit the same sum and  
 four pence the said John his endorsement on the back of said Note  
 subscribed with his own hand he the said John ordered the Contents of  
 the said Note to be paid to the said Joel according to the Tenor thereof for  
 value received of which the said Peter had Notice by reason whereof the said Peter  
 became liable to pay the Contents of the same Note according to the  
 Tenor thereof being so liable the said Peter appeared on himself to the  
 said Joel then and there faithfully promised to pay him the Contents of the same

Smith  
 Harwood  
 No 271

Note according to the Tenor thereof on Demand & yet P. After the  
often Requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects it  
to the Damage of the S. <sup>Edith</sup> <sup>Twenty</sup> Pounds. The Parties appears agree  
to have this Case continued & Whereupon it is considered by the Court  
that P. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday of August next.

Martindale

Allen

N<sup>o</sup> 272

Elisha Martindale of Lee in our County of Berkshire  
Husbandman Plaintiff vs Noah Allen of Greenfield in the County of  
Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that  
P. Noah hat Springfield a fore said on the twentieth Day of March 1777  
by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S. Elisha to pay him twenty Pounds  
lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid & yet P. Noah tho  
often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage  
of the S. Elisha forty Pounds. The P<sup>y</sup> appears by Caleb Strong Esq<sup>r</sup>  
his Atty the Def<sup>t</sup> that three times publickly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here & Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that P. Elisha do recover against P. Noah twenty  
five Pounds four shillings & eight Pence of lawful Money  
Damages & Costs & Court taxed at £ 2: 7: 8 & thereof be  
Exon ip<sup>s</sup> June 1. 1784

Williams

Stanley

N<sup>o</sup> 279

Israel Williams of Hatfield in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>y</sup> vs Gadrick Stanley of Hadley in the County of Essex Defendant in a  
Plea of the Case for that P. Gadrick a t<sup>r</sup> Hadley on the twentieth sixth  
Day of Feb<sup>y</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised S. Israel  
to pay him three Pounds four shillings & eight Pence lawful Money  
on demand with Interest till paid & yet P. Gadrick tho often requested  
hath never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the S. Israel  
eight Pounds. The Parties appears agree to have this Case continued  
to the next Term Subject then to be final & Wherefore it is consi  
dered by the Court that P. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday  
of August next.

Morton

Taylor

N<sup>o</sup> 274

James Morton of Blandford in our County of Hampshire  
Esq<sup>r</sup> P<sup>y</sup> vs Gilliam Taylor of Dorchester in our County of Suffolk Defendant  
in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for that P. James at S. Blandford  
on the first Day of January seventeen hundred & eighty two had been  
employed then before that time by him the S. Gilliam to bargain  
sell or dispose of his the S. Gilliam's certain Right or Tract of Land  
lying in Souden near to the S. Town of Blandford & also had there  
before that time been at Great Pains & expence to ascertain the  
value thereof & find a suitable purchaser thereof in Bargaining  
& contracting for the Purchase thereof all in Behalf of & at the special  
Instance & Request of him the S. Gilliam in consideration thereof  
he the S. Gilliam then & there promised him the S. James to pay him  
therefor on demand so much Money <sup>as</sup> he the S. James reasonably  
united to have for his Pains & expence aforesaid & S. James in  
fact says he reasonably deserved to have for the same three Pounds  
lawful Money of all which the S. James had Notice & yet S.  
Gilliam tho often requested hath never paid the same by neglects  
it to the Damage of the S. James four Pounds. The Parties appear  
and agree to have this Case continued & Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that P. Parties have Day here untill the last Tuesday  
of August next.

Samuel Stedman of Granville in our County of Hampshire  
 yeoman vs David Mowman yeoman & Elizabeth Mowman yeoman  
 both of D. Granville Defts in a Plea of the Case for that Deft in  
 wt D. Granville on the twentieth day of April one thousand  
 and twenty four by their oath for value promised said Samuel to  
 pay him or order ninety five pounds seven shillings and three pence  
 on Demand with Interest at the same rate for the said Deft Mowman  
 and said afterwards on the eleventh day of April one thousand  
 and twenty five at D. Granville by their oaths in consideration  
 of a certain sum of money said then the Properties of & belonging  
 to him the said Samuel sold delivered them by the said Samuel promised  
 Samuel one hundred acres of Land to be laid out on the East side  
 of the Great Meadow Farm as called being a Part of the Property  
 of & belonging then to D. Deft yeoman & Grace Deft meaning that they  
 the D. Deft & Grace for the Consideration aforesaid would within  
 a convenient & reasonable Time after sell & sufficiently convey  
 to the said Samuel in Fee Simple one hundred acres of Land to be  
 laid out on the East side of D. Farm & Parcel thereof which hundred  
 Acres of Land D. Samuel says is well worth thirty shillings by the  
 Acre and the said Samuel says that a convenient & reasonable time  
 hath long since elapsed & that he hath caused that one hundred  
 Acres of Land to be surveyed measure off & laid out on the East side  
 of D. Farm & requested D. Deft to make & execute a sufficient Deed & con-  
 veyance thereof to wit at D. Granville on the first day of May one thousand  
 and twenty eight & yet the said David & Grace the often requested  
 have never performed their Promise but neglect it to the Damage  
 of the said Samuel three hundred & fifty Pounds & The Plaintiff  
 by Moses Bliss Esq his Attorney the Deft being out of the State it is  
 considered by the Court that this Cause be continued & that the  
 Parties have Day here untill the last Thursday of August next.

Stedman  
 Mowman  
 No 275

Samuel Fowler of Westfield in our County of Hampshire  
 Esq. complains that at a Justice Court before Samuel Mather  
 Esq. one of the Justices assigned to keep the Peace in said County  
 at his dwelling house in D. Westfield on Monday the twelfth  
 day of April one thousand and twenty eight by the Consi-  
 deration of Justice recovered Judgement against Timothy  
 Smith of Chester in D. County Gent. for the sum of one  
 Pound eight shillings & two pence of lawful Money  
 Damages Costs of Court taxed at four shillings & two  
 Pence From which Judgement the Deft appeals  
 to this honorable Court & recognized with Sureties as  
 the Law directs to prosecute his Appeal but has failed  
 so to do The Complainant therefore prays Affirmation  
 on the former Judgement with additional Damages  
 Costs as in Duty bound &c Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that D. Samuel do recover against D. Timothy  
 Two pounds twelve Pounds shillings & six pence of lawful  
 Money Damages Costs of Court taxed at £. 19. 8.  
 and thereof &c  
 Given at July 24. 1784

Fowler  
 Smith  
 No 276

Jon a Warner & Noahiah Warner both of Hadley in  
 our County of Hampshire Joint Traders vs Westwood  
 Wright of Sarfield in D. County Shop Joiner Deft in a Plea  
 of the Case for that D. Westwood at D. Hadley on the twentieth  
 day of March one thousand and twenty eight by his oaths for  
 value rec. promised D. Pls to pay them or order eight pounds  
 seven shillings & four Pence lawful Money on Demand with  
 Interest till paid & yet D. Westwood the often requested

Warner  
 Wright  
 No 277

hath never paid the same but neglects it to the damage  
of the <sup>d</sup> Jonathan Roadiah twelve pounds - The Parties  
appear agree to have this case continued to the next Term  
Judgment then to be final - Wherefore it is considered by  
the Court that Parties have Judgment untill the last Tuesday  
of August next

Jonathan Warner & Roadiah Warner both of Hadley in  
Warrenstrale County of Hampshire Joint Traders Pllp is Hugh  
Subbs of Willingham in <sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire a man Deft in a Plea of  
the Case for that <sup>d</sup> that <sup>d</sup> Hadley a promise on the twentieth  
Day of May 1782 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised <sup>d</sup> Pllp  
to pay them five pounds five shillings on Demand - yet  
<sup>d</sup> Subbs tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects  
it to the damage of the <sup>d</sup> Jonathan Roadiah two Pounds -  
The Pllp appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> their  
Att<sup>y</sup> the Deft the three times publicly called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here - Wherefore  
it is considered by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Pllp do recover against  
<sup>d</sup> Deft six pounds four shillings four pence of lawful  
Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1.12.2  
and thereof &c

Exon<sup>is</sup> June 29. 1784

Mem  
Johnson  
N<sup>o</sup> 279  
Jonathan Warner & Roadiah Warner both of Hadley  
in our County of Hampshire Joint Traders Pllp is Hugh  
Johnson of Polkham in <sup>d</sup> County of Hampshire a man Deft in a Plea of  
the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Hugh at <sup>d</sup> Hadley on the eighth Day of  
May 1782 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised <sup>d</sup> Pllp to pay  
them or order four pounds fifteen shillings nine pence on  
forthring lawful Money on Demand with Interest till paid -  
yet <sup>d</sup> Hugh tho often requested hath never paid the same  
but neglects it to the damage of the <sup>d</sup> Pllp six Pounds - The  
Pllp appears by John Chester Williams Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Deft  
the three times publicly called to come into Court makes default  
of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
that <sup>d</sup> Pllp do recover against <sup>d</sup> Deft five pounds seven shillings  
five pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.12.2 - and thereof &c

Exon<sup>is</sup> June 28. 1784

Thygers  
Cracker  
N<sup>o</sup> 280  
Stephen Thygers Amara Sugar both of Hartford in the  
County of Hartford State of Connecticut Joint Traders Pllp is  
Champion Cracker of Chatham in our County of Hampshire  
a man Deft in a Plea of the Case for that <sup>d</sup> Champion a Deft  
field on the last Day of January last past was justly indebted  
to the <sup>d</sup> Pllp for sundry Articles of Book Accounts according to the  
Schedule here annexed before that Time sold & delivered with the  
<sup>d</sup> Champion at his special Instance & Request, rec<sup>d</sup> Champion  
thence there in Consideration thereof promised <sup>d</sup> Pllp to pay so much  
Money as they reasonably deserved to have for the same - Whereafter  
he should be requested to that <sup>d</sup> Pllp pay they reasonably deserved  
to have for the same four pounds nine shillings seven pence  
and that <sup>d</sup> Champion thence there in Consideration thereof promised  
<sup>d</sup> Pllp to pay them the same on Demand - yet <sup>d</sup> Champion  
tho often requested hath never paid the same but neglects it to the damage  
of the <sup>d</sup> Pllp six Pounds - The Pllp appears by John Chester Williams  
Esq<sup>r</sup> their Att<sup>y</sup> the Deft the three times publicly called to come into Court  
makes default of appearance here - Wherefore it is considered  
by the Court that <sup>d</sup> Pllp do recover against <sup>d</sup> Deft four pounds  
nine shillings seven pence of lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court  
taxed at £1.17.2 - and thereof &c

Exon<sup>is</sup> June 28. 1784

Edmond Burnip of Giltford in the County of Arundel  
and State of Virginia, Plaintiff in Error, vs. Abigail Burnip his wife  
Defendant in Error of Palmer in our County of Hampshire  
Physician Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that said Abigail & her  
husband promised to pay to the Plaintiff on the fifth day of March  
next ten pounds in money or by his note for value received  
and assigned by the name of Abigail Gilbert being then single  
and unmarried to pay her in order that the Lawful Money on demand  
with Interest till paid. yet the Defendant tho of two requested  
both never performed his promise but neglects it to the damage  
of the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff therefore is ready to have  
this case continued to the next Term Judgement then to be  
given. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the Parties  
have lay over until the last Tuesday of August next.

Abner Leonard of West Springfield in our County  
of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. John Frost of Granville in  
County of Vermont Defendant in a Plea of Trespass on the Case for  
that said John abt. West Springfield on the twenty ninth  
Day of Decr 1776 by his note for value received promised the Plaintiff  
to pay him five Pounds nineteen Shillings Lawful Money  
on demand with use till paid. yet said John tho often  
requested both never paid the same but neglects it to the  
Damage of the Plaintiff Abner ten Pounds. The Plaintiff appears  
by Justin Ely Esq. as Attorney for the Plaintiff the three times public  
called to come into Court makes default of appearance here.  
Wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Abner do recover  
against said John eight Pounds seven Shillings seven pence  
Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:5:3.

Justin Ely of West Springfield in our County of  
Hampshire Esq. Plaintiff vs. Biddad Fowler of Westfield in said  
County of Vermont Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that said Biddad  
abt. West Springfield on the sixth Day of January 1778 by his  
note for value received promised the Plaintiff to pay him twenty six  
Pounds eight Shillings Lawful Money on demand with  
Interest. Also for that said Biddad abt. West Springfield on  
the twenty fourth day of January instant being justly indebted  
to the Plaintiff the further sum of five Pounds two Shillings  
Lawful Money to balance Book account for labour & service  
done & performed for said Biddad & for Money laid out & expended  
for said Biddad at his Request in Consideration thereof said Biddad  
tho then promised the Plaintiff to pay him the last mentioned  
sum on demand. yet said Biddad tho often requested both  
never paid the same but neglects it to the Damage of the Plaintiff  
Twenty five Pounds. The Plaintiff appears in his own  
Person & the Defendant tho three times public called to come into  
Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore it is con-  
sidered by the Court that said Justin do recover against said Biddad  
twenty five Pounds Lawful Money Damages & Costs of Court taxed at £1:3:7.  
After all which the said Biddad by Moses Blay Esq. his Attorney  
appeals from the Judgement of this Court to the Supreme  
Judicial Court to be holden at Springfield next for the County  
of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & he  
recognizes with Sureties as the same directs for his prosecuting his appeal  
with Effect as by said Recognizance on File appears.

Allen

Dewey

No 284

Alexander Allen of Litchfield in the County of Northampton State of Connecticut Trader. Plff vs Thomas Dewey of Montgomery in our County of Hampshire yeoman Deft in a Plea of the Case for that D. Thomas at Springfield on the last Day of January last past being justly indebted to the Plff in the sum of twenty eight Pounds in Billings for Sugar & Molasses there to go & that time sold & delivered to the Plff at a good price by the Plff in consideration & sale. Thomas there fore promised the Plff to pay him the same sum in hand. The Plff appearing by Justin Gay Esq his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft tho three times publicly called to come into Court make default of appearance. Wherefore if it is considered by the Court that the Deft do owe against Thomas the sum of twenty eight Pounds in Billings of Lawful Money & damages thereto, Let him at £15:00 & the costs thereon if. May 25th 1794

Bagg

Lee & al

No 285

Judah Bagg of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire yeoman. Plff vs John Lee & Stephen Lee both of Westfield in our County of Hampshire Defts in a Plea as may be seen at large in the Original Writ or File. The Plff being now three times publicly called to come into Court is non mit the Defts departed & the Action dismissed.

Thayer

Pyne

No 286

Lydian Thayer of Granville in our County of Hampshire Widow Administratrix of all & singular the Goods here bequeathed to her Credit of Stephen Thayer late of Granville yeoman deceased in our County of Hampshire. Plff vs George Pyne of Springfield in our County of Hampshire Deft in a Plea that D. George under said Lydian one Thousand Pounds lawful Money which sum he unjustly claims for this viz that D. George at Springfield on the thirty first Day of May 1793 by his written writing obligatory sealed with the seal of the D. George in Court to be produced the date whereof is the same Day & year acknowledged himself to be holden & stand firmly Bound & obliged unto the D. Stephen in his life time or the D. June one Thousand Pounds to be paid to the D. Stephen his Executors or Administrators when he the D. George should be thereto after requested. Up to D. George tho after requested hath never performed his Promise but neglects into the Damage of the D. Lydian in her D. Capacity one thousand Pounds. The Plff appears by Justin Gay Esq his Att<sup>y</sup> & the Deft comes into Court & confesses the fact of the Bond sued for & prays to be heard in Chancery. The Court is considered as the Court that the Plff do never against D. four Pounds six shillings & eight Pence of Lawful Money & that being for the charges of the Bond sued for. Costs of Court & D. £1:10:00

Hancock's Ad<sup>n</sup>  
Petition for  
Sale of Real  
Estate

No 287

Humbly now Son & then daughter Thomas Wright Administrators on the Estate of John Hancock late of Springfield in our County of Hampshire that the personal Estate of said Dec<sup>d</sup> is insufficient to discharge the Debts due from D. Estate. D. Debts exceeding the D. Estate the sum of eighty seven Pounds eight Shillings & seven Pence as is a certificate from the office of Registry for Probate of wills & for Courts appears, they therefore pray they may be allowed to sell as much of the Real Estate of D. Dec<sup>d</sup> as to enable them to discharge D. Debts with additional Costs &c. Wherefore it is considered by the Court that D. Administration be permitted to sell so much of the real Estate of D. Dec<sup>d</sup> as shall produce the sum of ninety Pounds lawful Money they duly observing the Law &c. such sale

Aaron Colton of Ludlow in our County of Hampshire yeoman 246.  
 vs Isaac Jones of Woburnham in the County of Devon a Plea of  
 the Case for the said Isaac a Bre Ludlow on the thirtieth Day of May last  
 part by his late co-plaintiff promised the said Aaron to pay him six  
 pounds lawful Money on or before the first Day of October next  
 with use till paid — but the said Isaac the often requested he to never  
 paid the same but neglected it to the Damage of the said Aaron nine  
 pounds — This is appeared by Messrs W. J. Esqrs his 1174 & the last  
 that the same matter is called to come into Court — matter of fact  
 of appearance here — Wherefore it is considered by the Court  
 that the said Aaron do recover against the said Isaac six pounds seven shillings  
 and three pence of lawful Money Damages — Costs of Court taxed at £1.6.2  
 on 10<sup>th</sup> June 1774  
 and there of the

Humbly shews Jacob Cooley of Springfield in our County 247.  
 of Hampshire yeoman Executor of the last Will & Testament of Thomas Esq<sup>r</sup> Petitioner  
 Cooley late of Springfield dec<sup>d</sup> that the Estate of the said Thomas dec<sup>d</sup> is insolvent for sale of  
 and insufficient to pay the Debts due from the dec<sup>d</sup> as a certificate of the High Court of Chancery  
 liencies of this Hon<sup>ble</sup> Court to make the sale of the whole Real Estate of the  
 said dec<sup>d</sup> for the payment of his Debts — Wherefore it is considered by the  
 Court that the said Executor be permitted to make sale of the whole real Estate  
 aforesaid for the purpose aforesaid he duly observing the Law touching  
 such sale

Wm J  
 William & Lex.  
 No 289

Proctor  
Proctor  
No 27

Wilson Burton of Windsor in our County of Berkshire yeoman  
Plffs Amos Burton of Montague in our County of Hampshire Physician  
Defrs in a Plea of the Case for the 2d Adm on the twenty second  
Day of February 1789 at which time in our County of Hampshire by his  
Note for value recd promised that Wilson to pay him three pounds  
sixteen shillings his penie on demand with Interest till paid &  
yet he has often requested & have never paid the same but neglects  
it to the Damage of the Wilson eight Pounds The Plff appears  
by Thomas Gould Gent. his Plea & the Defrs in three times & all called  
to come into Court on the 2d day of April 1789 & thereupon  
it is considered by the Court that S. Wilson do recover against said  
Amos four Pounds seven Shillings & four Pence of lawful Money  
Damages Costs of Court taxed at £2.10.0 & thereof  
Exon ip. May 21<sup>st</sup> 1789

Griswold  
Brownson  
No 28

Thomas Griswold of Windsor in the County of Hartford &  
State of Connecticut yeoman Plffs David Brownson & Ruben  
Brownson both of Westington in our County of Hampshire yeomen  
Defrs in a Plea of the Case for the 2d Adm on the 21<sup>st</sup> at 8  
Springfield on the eighteenth Day of July 1776 by their Note for  
value recd promised to Thomas to pay him fifty four Pounds lawful  
Money within six Months from the Date with lawful Interest untill  
paid & yet he has often requested & have never paid the same  
but neglect it to the Damage of the S. Thomas one hundred Pounds  
The Plffs appears & it is considered by the Court that S. Plffs have  
Lose & thereupon it is considered by the Court that S. Plffs have  
Lose here until the last Tuesday of August next.

Bliss Adr  
Petition for  
Sale of real  
Estate  
No 29

Humbly shew Nathaniel Bliss Adm<sup>r</sup> on the Estate of John  
Bliss late of Longmeadow in the County of Hampshire decd  
that the Estate of S. decd is insolvent as by a Certificate from the  
Office of Registry of Probate of Wills &c for S. County appears he  
thereupon prays he may be allowed to make sale of the real Estate  
of S. decd to enable him to discharge such Proportion of the Debt as  
may be ordered him agreeable to Law & thereupon it is considered  
by the Court that S. Administrator do  
make sale of the real of the real Estate of S. decd for the Purpose  
of paying the Debt according to the Law touching such sale

Spelman's  
Petition  
No 30

Humbly shew Oliver Spelman Adm<sup>r</sup> on the Estate of  
Abel Spelman late of Granville in the County of Hampshire  
decd in state that the Personal Estate of S. is insufficient to  
discharge the Debt due from S. decd S. debts & excluding S. personal  
estate this is by a Certificate from the Office of Registry of Probate of Wills &c for S. County appears  
he thereupon prays he may be allowed to make sale of so much  
of the real Estate of S. decd as to enable him to discharge S. debts  
with additional Costs & thereupon it is considered by the  
Court that S. Adm<sup>r</sup> be permitted to make sale of so much of the  
real Estate as will produce the sum of twelve Pounds lawful  
Money he duly observing the Law touching such sale

Humbly shews that a Ingham Adm<sup>r</sup> on the Estate of Luther Alvord late of South Hadley in the County of Hampshire dec<sup>d</sup> intestate Alvord's that the personal Estate of s<sup>d</sup> dec<sup>d</sup> is insufficient to discharge the Debts Adm<sup>r</sup>'s Pet<sup>r</sup> due from s<sup>d</sup> dec<sup>d</sup> he s<sup>d</sup> Debts exceeding s<sup>d</sup> Personal Estate the sum of for sale of twenty eight Pounds two pence as by a Certificate from the Office of real Estate Registry of s<sup>d</sup> State of W<sup>t</sup> is herewith appears he therefore prays he may be allowed to make sale of so much of the Real Estate as may enable him to discharge s<sup>d</sup> Debts with additional Costs &c - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Adm<sup>r</sup> be allowed to make sale of so much of the Real Estate of s<sup>d</sup> dec<sup>d</sup> as to produce the sum of thirty Pounds lawful Money, he ~~thereby~~ does observing the Law touching such sale.

Humbly shews Lawrence Throp of Shelburne in the County of Hampshire Gent. that his Est<sup>e</sup> was attached, & himself summoned to appear at this Term at the Suit of John Hudson of Richmond in the County of Berkshire a woman that the s<sup>d</sup> John had failed to prosecute Hudson his s<sup>d</sup> Suit, & her Complaints therefore prays that his Costs may be allowed him - Wherefore it is considered by the Court that s<sup>d</sup> Lawrence do recover against s<sup>d</sup> John his Costs for depending this Suit of the said of the s<sup>d</sup> John taxed at £1.11.6 & thereupon

Hamp

Hudson

No 295

Ozias Pettibone of Simsbury in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff is John Wright of Granville in our County or Hampshire Gent. Deft in a Plea that s<sup>d</sup> John owes to s<sup>d</sup> Ozias the sum of five Pounds seven shillings & six pence which to him he owes & from him unjustly detains & whereon the s<sup>d</sup> Ozias says that at an Inferior Court or Common Pleas holden at Northampton within for the County of Hampshire on the second Day of March in severeth hundred & seventy four by Adjournment he recovered Judgement against s<sup>d</sup> John for the sum of five Pounds nine shillings & six pence damages by reason of the s<sup>d</sup> John's not performing his Promise before the time made him & also two Pounds eight shillings for his costs about this Suit in that behalf expended whereof the s<sup>d</sup> John is convicted as by the Record thereof in said Court there remaining is fully manifested & appears which Judgement yet remaining in its full force & Effect notwithstanding nor reversed, altho for the Plff afterwards sued out a writ of Execution thereon yet the sum as aforesaid recovered were never levied thereby the return say thereof is long since past & the same is wholly unsatisfied & unpaid whereby an Action Accrues to the Plff to demand & have of the s<sup>d</sup> John the sum of five Pounds seven shillings & six pence yet s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath never paid the s<sup>d</sup> sum but neglects it to the damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Ozias twelve Pounds - The Parties appear and as it is considered by the Court that this case be continued & that the s<sup>d</sup> Parties have day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Pettibone

Wright

No 296

Ozias Pettibone of Simsbury in the County of Hartford State of Connecticut Esq<sup>r</sup> Plff is John Wright of Granville in our County or Hampshire Gent. Deft in a Plea of Trespass on the Case, or that s<sup>d</sup> John at & Simsbury viz a s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the sixth day of April 1775 by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Ozias to pay him ten pounds nineteen shillings & seven pence lawful Money on demand with Interest till paid - yet s<sup>d</sup> John tho often requested hath never paid the s<sup>d</sup> sum but neglects it to the damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Ozias nine Pounds - The Parties appear and as it is considered by the Court that this case be continued & that the s<sup>d</sup> Parties have day here untill the last Tuesday of August next

Wright

No 297

Norton Ad? Humbly shew Edward Norton & Robert Norton of Southwicks  
 Peti<sup>r</sup> for sale and Execution of the last Will & Testament of Trugrace Norton late  
 of Southwicks in <sup>the</sup> County of Hampshire and that the Estate of the  
 said Trugrace is insufficient to discharge the debts & demands of his Estate  
 as it appears from the Certificate from the Office of Registry of Probate  
 of Wiltshire that pray they may be empowered to make sale of the  
 Real Estate of the said Trugrace dec<sup>d</sup> to discharge the said debts of the said dec<sup>d</sup>.  
 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said Adm<sup>r</sup> be permitted  
 to make sale of the whole of the real Estate of the said dec<sup>d</sup> for the  
 purpose aforesaid they duly observing the Law touching such sale

Gillet  
 v  
 Pomroy  
 No 299

Asahel Gillet of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
 State of Connecticut vsoman Pl<sup>r</sup> is Elijah Pomroy of West  
 Springfield in our County of Hampshire vsoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a  
 Plea as the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Elijah at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the eighth  
 day of Sep<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Asahel  
 to pay him four Pounds ten Shillings within three Months from the  
 date of s<sup>d</sup> Note. Also for that s<sup>d</sup> Elijah at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the  
 same eighth day of Sep<sup>r</sup> last past by his other Note for value rec<sup>d</sup>  
 promised s<sup>d</sup> Asahel to pay him seven Pounds ten Shillings  
 Interest after three Months from the date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with  
 the often requested hath never paid the same but neglect it to the  
 Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Asahel twenty Pounds. The Parties severally appear  
 and agree to have this Case continued to the next Term Judgement  
 then to be final unless a Tryal. Wherefore it is considered  
 by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Parties have day here until the last Tuesday  
 of August next.

No 300

Joseph Pearce of Suffield in the County of Hartford  
 and State of Connecticut vsoman Pl<sup>r</sup> is Reuben Taylor  
 & Oliver Taylor both of s<sup>d</sup> Suffield in our County of Hamp<sup>r</sup>  
 vsoman Def<sup>r</sup> in a Plea of the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>r</sup>  
 at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the twenty seventh day of August last  
 past by their Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> jointly & severally promise  
 the Pl<sup>r</sup> to pay him thirteen Pounds & seven Pence on Demand  
 with Interest hereon. yet s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>r</sup> tho often requested have  
 never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the said  
 Joseph thirteen Pounds. The Pl<sup>r</sup> appears by Justin  
 Esq<sup>r</sup> his Att<sup>r</sup> the Def<sup>r</sup> tho their tin is publicly called to come  
 into Court makes default of appearance here. Wherefore  
 it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Pl<sup>r</sup> do recover the  
 sum of thirteen Pounds & seven Pence with Interest thereon & Costs  
 of the s<sup>d</sup> Cause at the s<sup>d</sup> Rate.

No 301

Justin Esq<sup>r</sup> of West Springfield in our County of Hampshire  
 vsoman Pl<sup>r</sup> is David Paine of Ludlow in s<sup>d</sup> County vsoman Def<sup>r</sup> in  
 a Plea as the Case for that s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>r</sup> at s<sup>d</sup> Springfield on the twenty  
 third day of Sep<sup>r</sup> last past by his Note for value rec<sup>d</sup> promised s<sup>d</sup> Pl<sup>r</sup> to  
 pay him four Pounds six Shillings & six Pence in two years two  
 months from the date of s<sup>d</sup> Note with Interest from the date in Cash  
 or what at Cash Price. The Pl<sup>r</sup> says he has been ready to receive the whole  
 according to the Tenor of s<sup>d</sup> Note. yet s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>r</sup> tho often requested  
 hath never paid the same but neglect it to the Damage of the s<sup>d</sup> Pl<sup>r</sup>  
 the sum of four Pounds six Shillings & six Pence with Interest thereon  
 therefore it is considered by the Court that the s<sup>d</sup> Pl<sup>r</sup> do recover the  
 sum of four Pounds six Shillings & six Pence with Interest thereon & Costs  
 of the s<sup>d</sup> Cause at the s<sup>d</sup> Rate.

[illegible][illegible]

It is now ordered by the Court that in future all Settlements  
between Party and Party be ascertained and entered on  
the Docket before the first Tuesday Morning of every Term

Also that the Continued Actions be called and setled  
in Course so far as the Jury of Trials are imparrelled

The foregoing Judgments Orders &c being made and entered up in Manner as aforesaid and then the Court was adjourned without Day —

At Rob Breckler













